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ABSTRACT

ANT COLONY OPTIMIZATION IN DYNAMIC ENVIRONMENTS

Optimization is the process of finding the maximum or minimum of some objective function. During recent years, a new direction of research has emerged in optimization, with the research focus moving from the conventional static optimization problems to the dynamic optimization problems. Dynamic optimization problems differ from the static ones where the optimal solution is dynamic and changes over time. A similar trend can be seen in the application of Ant Colony Optimization (ACO). ACO is an optimization technique inspired by the ants' foraging behavior which optimizes their routes taken to food sources. This thesis is an investigation into the application of ACO for solving dynamic optimization problems. The first objective of this study is to identify which ant algorithm performs the best under a dynamic environment. In order to achieve this objective, six ant algorithms namely Ant System (AS), Ant Colony System (ACS), Best-Worst Ant System (BWAS), Elitist Ant System (EAS), Max-Min Ant System (MMAS) and Rank-Based Ant System (RBAS) were implemented to solve a dynamic optimization problem in the form of the dynamic Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP). Three different sizes of the dynamic TSP test sets were used: eil51 (small), lin318 (medium) and d1291 (large). Apart from the size of the optimization problem, how the swapping interval affects the dynamic optimization by the ant algorithms is also investigated. Swapping of cities in the dynamic TSP was done in the early, middle and late stages of the optimization process. A series of 30 test runs were conducted on each dynamic TSP instance and also for each swap condition. The second objective of the research is to investigate the suitability of applying local search algorithms to the best performing ant algorithm from the first objective. For this purpose, three local search algorithms namely 2-opt, 2.5-opt and 3-opt were chosen to be coupled with the ant algorithm in order to solve the dynamic TSP. The last objective of this thesis is to optimize the parameter settings of the best performing ant algorithm with local search. From the experimental analysis, it was found that ACS works best for solving the dynamic TSP compared to the other five ant algorithms. When coupled with a local search technique, a significant improvement can be seen for ACS using the 3-opt local search algorithm. Lastly, it was also found that different optimal parameter settings were required for solving the different sizes of the dynamic TSP problems.
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview
Over the years, Swarm Intelligence has been widely studied by researchers and scholars alike. Swarm Intelligence is a field that adopts the natural behaviour of the real animal or insects to solve many problems such as the NP-hard optimization problems (Dorigo & Stützle, 2004). One of the examples of Swarm Intelligence techniques is Ant Colony Optimization (ACO). Ant Colony Optimization is a well-known technique for solving optimization problems. Many studies have been successful in applying ACO to solve optimization problems (Gambardella & Dorigo, 1995; Stützle & Hoos, 1997; Dorigo et al., 1999).

1.2 Ant Colony Optimization
The origin of the ACO algorithm lies within the real ants itself. The behavior of the ants to find the shortest route from the nest to food is the main motivation for Dorigo et al. to create the ACO algorithm (Dorigo & Stützle, 2001). An important fact to keep in mind is that many ants species are almost blind, which avoids the exploitation of visual clues (Cordon et al., 2002). The ants are able to find the shortest route to the food source when it interacts with other ants in the colony through pheromone (a chemical that the ants can smell). This special behavior was studied by Deneubourg and colleagues in the year 1989 and 1990 (Goss et al., 1989; Deneubourg et al., 1990). In the experimental report, Deneubourg and colleagues used a double bridge connecting a nest of ants and a food source to study the pheromone trail and following behavior in controlled experimental conditions. In that experiment, a colony of *Linepithema humile* ants (also known as Argentine ants) was used. The Argentine ants are known for depositing pheromone both when leaving and when returning to the nest. A number of experiments were conducted in which the ratio of the two branches of the bridge varied. The interesting fact in the experiments is that one of the branches was longer than the other. In the experiment, at start the ants were left free to move between the nest and the food source and the percentage of ants that chose one or the other of the two branches was observed over time. The outcome of the experiments showed that almost all ants ended up using the shorter branch despite the random start.
The result of the experiments can be explained as per Figure 1.1. Before the start of the experiment, there is no pheromone on the two branches. Since there is no preference on which route to take, it is expected on average, half of the ants choose the short branch and the other half the long branch. As the ants move along the branch, it will deposit pheromone along the way. The ants on the shorter branch will arrive at the food source faster compared to the other ants. But then, the ants must decide which branch to take to travel back to the nest. Because the ants choosing the short branch arrived first, the short branch has a trail of pheromone. The higher level of pheromone on the short branch biases the ants’ decision in its favor. Therefore, the ants will take the branch with higher level of pheromone (the short branch) to bring back the food to the nest. With this happening, the pheromone of the short branch accumulates faster and eventually will be used by majority of the ants.

Figure 1.1: The process how ants find the shorter path.
Based on the experimental results on the real ants, Dorigo and Di Caro (1999) came up with the ACO Metaheuristic. A more detailed view on the ACO metaheuristic will be discussed in Chapter 2.

1.3 Dynamic Environment

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in studying ACO for dynamic environments. When dealing with dynamic environments, many types of uncertainties need to be taken into account. According to Jin and Branke (2005), uncertainties in the environments can be categorized into four classes. The first one is noise. Noise is subject to the fitness evaluation and may come from many different sources. The second class of uncertain environments is robustness. The design variables are subject to changes after an optimization process. Therefore, the requirement that the solution will work satisfactorily when the changes occur is called robust solutions. The third class is fitness approximation. In this class, the approximation approach is used based on data generated by simulation and experiments. This occurs when the fitness function is expensive to evaluate or the analytical fitness function is not available. The final class is time-varying fitness functions where the optimum point changes over time, which is the focus of this thesis.

1.3.1 Traveling Salesman Problem and Dynamic Traveling Salesman Problem

One of the key areas of research in ACO is to solve the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP). The first ant algorithm, Ant System (AS) was designed to solve TSP (Gambardella & Dorigo, 1995). Looking at the recent trend, more and more researchers put their effort in investigating dynamic problems instead of the conventional static problems. The TSP idea originated from a salesman whom, starting from city $a$, would like to visit all the cities in his route exactly once before returning to city $a$. The main goal of TSP is to find the shortest length to travel to each city from the graph exactly once. This is also known as the Hamiltonian circuit and often referred to as a tour.

The dynamic TSP however is different from the conventional TSP, where cities can be added or removed during the optimization process (Dorigo & Stützle, 2004). For example, at iteration 100 during run time, 5 cities were deleted from the TSP instance and 3 new cities were added in. These sudden changes on the TSP instances make the problem dynamic and hence remit in a time-varying fitness function. The main objective remained the same, which is to find the shortest tour to visit all cities.
1.4 Motivation Of The Research
Throughout the years, ACO described in section 1.2 had been applied to solve many NP-hard problems such as Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP), Quadratic Assignment Problem (QAP), vehicle routing problems and many more. These problems however are often static where all data are known in advance before the optimization has started. Real world problems are often dynamic where the optimal point varies from time to time (Gambardella & Dorigo, 1995; Stützle & Hoos, 1997).

In Cordon et al. (2002) the authors had pointed out the current research direction of ACO. Researchers are now focusing on applying ACO to solve multi-objective optimization problems. Another research direction stated by the authors is the application of ACO to time-varying variants of classical NP-hard optimization problems like the TSP or the QAP. Throughout the literature review process, it was found that not many research works have been conducted in applying ACO to dynamic problems. According to a technical report written by Angus (2006), several research works were done to apply ACO into the dynamic environment. In the paper, the author explained two research works that had been applied to dynamic problems. The first one is FIFO-Queue ACO which was introduced by Guntsch and Middendorf (2002). The second research discussed in the paper was Ant Systems for dynamic TSP done by Eyckelhof and Snoek (2002). The version of dynamic TSP used by the researchers introduced a “traffic jam” to the current best path. This was done by increasing the weight of the edge on the current best path. But the main question here is whether Ant System is the best ant algorithm among all the different ACO algorithms to apply to when solving dynamic problems? As reported in (Angus, 2006), only a few research work had been done on ACO application in the dynamic Traveling Salesman Problem.

Thus, the motivation of this research is to find out which is the best ant algorithm to use when dealing with dynamic environments. There is no recommendation so far on which ant algorithm is to be used when dealing with dynamic environments. Therefore, this research will investigate the best ant algorithm to apply when dealing with dynamic environments. This research will also attempt to find out which local search algorithm applied to ant algorithm performs best in dynamic environments. The last objective of the research is to find out the best parameter settings for the ant algorithms when applied to dynamic environments. There is no guarantee that the parameter settings that work well on static environment will also perform well in dynamic environment.
1.5 Objectives Of Research

The main objectives of this research are outlined as follows:

1. **Comparison of six ACO algorithms in dynamic environments**
   The first objective of this research is to investigate which ACO algorithm performs best in dynamic environments. Investigation on this was done since there is no report yet on which ACO algorithm performs well in dynamic environments. Six ACO algorithms were chosen to run on the same dynamic environment for a fair performance comparison. The dynamic Travelling Salesman Problem was chosen as the test bed that portrayed the dynamic environment. The idea of dynamic Traveling Salesman Problem originated from Guntsch et al. (2001). A slight modification was done to the Traveling Salesman Problem to generate a dynamic version of the TSP. Before the optimization process begins, a number of \( n \) cities from the total \( N \) were taken out and stored in a spare cities pool. The cities in the spare cities pool will swap into the TSP instance during run time at iteration \( t \). With these changes on the problem instance, the TSP problem is now dynamic. Results from the experiment were analyzed to determine which ant algorithm works well under dynamic environment. The better algorithm will be used in the second objective of the research.

2. **Local search optimization for ACO in dynamic environments**
   The best performing ant algorithm from objective one will be used in the second objective. In objective two, three local search techniques are applied to the ACO and a comparison was done between the three techniques. The three local search techniques that were applied to the ACO were 2-opt local search, 2.5-opt local search and 3-opt local search. Local search techniques have been reported to assist ant algorithm to produce good solutions. Therefore, it is an interesting prospect to investigate the outcome of the local search technique when applied to ant algorithms in a dynamic environment. Besides that, it is important to find out which is the best local search technique to be coupled with the ant algorithm in order to solve dynamic problems. The best local search technique will be implemented for the next objective of the research.

3. **Fine tune the parameter settings of ACO in dynamic environments**
   For the last objective, the parameter settings of the ant algorithm will be fine tuned. This will give the optimal performance of the ant algorithm while working on dynamic
environments. The results of the optimized ant algorithm will be compared with the result from the second objective. It is interesting to see if the parameter settings recommended for static TSP will work well under the dynamic environment.

1.6 Scope And Limitations Of The Research
This research is limit to discrete optimization for dynamic environments especially to ACO metaheuristic. The aim of this research is to determine the best ant algorithm to use when dealing with dynamic environment. Six of the more common used ant algorithms were selected to solve the dynamic environment. Dynamic TSP will be used to emulate the dynamic environment. Three different sizes of dynamic TSP test set were used in this research.

The main limitation of this research is the time of the experiment runs will not be taken into account. The timing of the runs will not be taken as the main focus of this research is on the solution's quality. The six ant algorithms selected cannot represent all the other ant algorithm variation. With this, it is not guaranteed that other ant algorithms, beside the six selected in the research, will work well in solving dynamic environment.

1.7 Expected Outcomes Of The Research
The expected output for this research is to identify the best ant algorithm when dealing with a dynamic environment. The next expected outcome will be the suitability of a local search technique coupled together with ant algorithm when applied to dynamic problems. Lastly, the optimal parameter settings for the ant algorithm that performs best in a dynamic environment will be produced.

1.8 Structure Of Thesis
This thesis has seven chapters and is organized as follows:

In Chapter 1, the introduction of the thesis is presented. An overview of the research field is shown followed by the motivations and the objectives of the research. An outline of the expected research output and conclusion is given at the end of this chapter.

For Chapter 2, a literature review was conducted on ACO and dynamic
environments especially for dynamic TSP. The review focuses on the history, background, varieties and techniques of the ants’ algorithm. Some previous works done on applying ant algorithm to dynamic environments are discussed. The outcome of the literature review is discussed and the chapter closes with a summary.

Chapter 3 explains in detail the methodology used throughout the research. Experimental setup and equipment used will be discussed. The dynamic TSP that is used throughout the research will be explained in detail.

In Chapter 4, the experiment conducted for the first objective is presented. In this chapter, six ant algorithms were tested on the dynamic TSP. Three different sizes of the dynamic TSP were used as the test bed. The results of the experiment run for each ant algorithm was analyzed and compared against the rest of the algorithms. The ant algorithm that produced the best result was applied to the next objective.

Chapter 5 explains the local search techniques that were applied to the ant algorithm. Local search techniques that were applied to the ant algorithm were 2-opt, 2.5-opt and 3-opt local search. The outcome of the experiment will be compared with the basic ant algorithm without application of local search technique. The best local search technique will be applied to the next objective.

Chapter 6 discusses the parameter tuning of the ant algorithm. Three main parameter settings were explicitly hand-tuned to obtain the maximum performance out of the ant algorithm when applied to a dynamic environment. Analysis of the experimental result will give the best parameter settings applied for ant algorithm in a dynamic environment. The parameter settings will be compared with the recommended settings for static TSP problems.

The conclusion of the research is discussed in Chapter 7. The important findings from the research are presented in this chapter. The directions of future work arising from this thesis are also discussed on Chapter 7.

1.9 Conclusion
An overview of the research topic was discussed in this chapter. Then, the main motivations of the research were discussed. This chapter also highlighted the main
objectives of this research as well as the expected output. The scope and limitation of the thesis were discussed. The structure of the thesis was also discussed in this chapter. The next chapter will focus on the literature review of the research.
CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Overview
In this chapter, the basic framework of the ant algorithm will be explained first. Then, the basic structure of the six ant algorithms used will be discussed. Next, the application of the ACO metaheuristic to solve dynamic optimization problems will be discussed. This will give a clear view of the current state-of-the-art of ACO in solving dynamic optimization problems. Finally, an explanation about the uncertainties in an environment is presented.

2.2 Swarm Intelligence
Swarm intelligence is known as the emergent collective intelligence of groups of simple agents. According to (Bonabeau et al., 1999), any attempt to design algorithms or distributed problem-solving techniques that originally took its inspiration from the collective behaviour of social insects and other animals, is known as swarm intelligence. Some of the well-known studies and application of swarm intelligence includes Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1995), ACO (Dorigo & Stützle, 2004), Nest Building Behaviour of Wasps and Termites (Bonabeau et al., 1999), and Honey Bees (Karaboga and Akay, 2009) and many more. The focus on this research is on ACO.

2.3 Ant Colony
Ant colonies consist of individual ants that communicate with each other in performing difficult tasks (Cordon et al., 2002). With this behavior, the ants are known as social insects. One of the most interesting behaviors of ants is the ability to find the shortest path to the food source exploring from the nest. To add to the fact that most ant species are visually impaired, which makes food searching based on visual clue is almost next to impossible (Dorigo & Stützle, 2004; Cordon et al., 2002). This foraging behavior has been the key aspect of the Ant Colony Optimization study. So, how do the ants manage to always find the shortest path to the food source and back to the nest? The next part will describe how the real life ants manage to find the shortest path.

Chapter 1 had explained on how the ants were able to communicate with each
other. The ants coordinate through stigmergy communication. While the ants travel between their nest and food source, they deposit a chemical substance known as pheromone. This pheromone enables the ants to communicate with each other. As the ants leave behind the pheromone, this will leave trails of pheromone on the route. First, the ants will search randomly on the route for food source. For example, there are two routes to the food source that appear in front of the ants. One is shorter and the other is longer. This will give a clear view on how the foraging behavior of ants works. When choosing a path, ants tend to choose the trail that is higher in pheromone level. At the start of searching for food, ants will travel randomly on both paths. Both paths will be reinforced with pheromone as ants travel along them. A shorter path will get more pheromone reinforcement as the path will be regularly used by other ants compared to the longer path. The ants that travel on the shorter path will reach the food source earlier.

The ants will then choose the path that has the higher pheromone level, which is the shorter path as the ants on the longer path are still on the way to the food source. After some times, the shorter path will have a high pheromone concentration as more and more ants will use this path. This is due to the fact that ants traveling on the shorter path will reach the food source and bring it back to the nest earlier compared to the longer path. Eventually, this high pheromone concentration path is the shortest route to the food source found by the ants. All other ants will follow this path as the ants prefer the path that is marked with high pheromone concentration.

2.3.1 The artificial ants compared to real ants
Unlike real ants, an artificial ant is a simple agent that tries to solve problem based on the pheromone and heuristics information available (Dorigo & Stützle, 2004). There are some similarities and differences between the artificial ants and real ants. One of the most significant similarities is the usage of a colony of individuals that interact with each other to solve a given task (Dorigo & Stützle, 2004).

Other than that, both artificial and real ants share a common goal: to search for the shortest path from the nest to the food source (Dorigo & Stützle, 2004). Both ants share another common characteristic which is to deposit pheromones that will affect the environment. Real ants deposit an odourous substance called pheromone while artificial ants’ pheromone will be in a numerical form.
REFERENCES


