WETLAND VEGETATION CHANGES AT A LANDSCAPE SCALE IN BEAUFORT AREA, SABAH USING REMOTE SENSING AND GIS TECHNIQUES



SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL TROPICAL FORESTRY UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2009

WETLAND VEGETATION CHANGES AT A LANDSCAPE SCALE IN BEAUFORT AREA, SABAH USING REMOTE SENSING AND GIS TECHNIQUES

KAMLISA UNI KAMLUN

PERPUSTAKAAN

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

SCHOOL OF INTERNATIONAL TROPICAL FORESTRY UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2009

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotation, excerpts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

August 12, 2009

Kamlisa Uni Kamlun PF 20078223



CERTIFICATION

NAME : KAMLISA UNI KAMLUN

MATRIC NO. : **PF20078223**

TITLE : WETLAND VEGETATION CHANGES AT A LANDSCAPE SCALE IN BEAUFORT AREA, SABAH USING REMOTE SENSING AND GIS TECHNIQUES

DEGREE : MASTER OF SCIENCE (LANDSCAPE ECOLOGY)

VIVA DATE

JULY 29, 2009

DECLARED BY

1. SUPERVISOR

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Phua Mui How

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL: WETLAND VEGETATION CHANGES AT A LANDSCAPE SCALE IN BEAUFORT AREA, SABAH USING REMOTE SENSING AND GIS TECHNIQUES

IJAZAH: SARJANA SAINS (LANDSCAPE ECOLOGY)

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2007-2009

Saya, KAMLISA UNI KAMLUN mengaku membenarkan tesis sarjana ini disimpan di perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

- 1. Tesis adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
- 3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. TIDAK TERHAD.

Disahkan oleh UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

Penulis: KAMLISA UNI KAMLUN

04

TANDATANGAN PUSTAKAWAN



Penyelia: Prof. Madya. Dr. Phua Mui How

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all I am greatfull to Allah the Almighty for giving me the strength and granting me with the knowledge throughout the duration of this study.

I would like to give my utmost gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Phua Mui How which I am greatly indebted to for the advise and sharing of invaluable time, intelligence, wisdom, suggestions, criticisms and encouragement. Without his help my endeavors would have been fruitless.

My appreciation also goes to the entire staff in School of Topical Forestry especially Assoc. Prof. Dr Roszehan, Hamimah Talib, Hardawati Yahya, Rosmalina Abd. Rashid, Sandra Foo, Maznah Mahali, Roziela Hanim Alamjuri and Dr Andy Russel Majiol for their suggestions, supports and ideas. Also to the Dean, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mahmud Sudin for giving me the opportunity to pursue my study here in Universiti Malaysia Sabah. My thanks also go to Dr Michael (Chief Technical Advisor MGFEB GTZ) for his comments and suggestions.

My deepest gratitude also goes to Munir Ladoni (Lecturer in School of Social Science), Stanley Anak Suab (Master Candidate in School of Social Science), Syahmie (ex-master student in School of Science and Technology), Kong and Tiong (ex-student in School of International Tropical Forestry) and Sebastian Chew for their time, help and guidance for the finishing point of this study.

To Sabah Forestry Department, Kimanis-Beaufort Forestry Department, Department of *Hal Ehwal Anak Negeri Sabah*', Rashid Abdul Samad (UNDP/GEF Klias Peat Swamp Forest project national expert for Sabah), Shaid Hj. Othman (Assistant District Officer in District Office of Beaufort), Hermin (Sabah Land and Survey), all the officers in *Mahkamah Anak Negeri*' offices in each administered boundaries (Lumadan, Klias and Membakut), all the head of villages in Beaufort area and all the villagers from Kg. Suasa, Kg. Kangsa, Kg. Piasau, Kg Lumat Laut, Kg. Kota Klias, Kg. Nukahan, Kg. Gumarit, Kg. Madang Pimping, Kg. Pansong and Kg. Luagan Sanginan for the help on gaining the knowegde, data and information for the completion of this study.

I'd also like to extend my most heartfelt gratefulness to my husband Ahmad Jurie Aliudin and daughter Aunie Syahirah, my parents Kamlun and Samsiah and siblings Kamsi, Kamsha, Shaun and Saiful, best friends Nur Nizam, Sarenah, Zuery and yenney, cousins Muryanie and Shukrie for their support in terms of moral, material and interest in my progress throughout the duration of this study.

Finally, deep gratitude to all the people that I am not able to mention. Only God will reward you greatly.

Kamlisa Uni Kamlun August 12, 2009

ABSTRACT

WETLAND VEGETATION CHANGES AT A LANDSCAPE SCALE IN BEAUFORT AREA, SABAH USING REMOTE SENSING AND GIS TECHNIQUES

Wetland is a part of natural ecosystem that provides many intangible services to human. However, many of these wetlands have been destroyed and converted to other land uses. Beaufort, Sabah, consists of an extensive wetland ecosystem. This wetland ecosystem lays pieces of pristine peat swamp forest (PSF) that has been degraded due to human activities. This study aims at examining the dynamics of wetland vegetation changes in relation to anthropogenic activities in Beaufort area. Multitemporal satellite data of Landsat MSS (June 29, 1985), Landsat TM (June 14, 1991), Landsat 7 ETM+ (November 2, 1999), Landsat 7 ETM+ (Jan 14, 2003) and SPOT4-HRVIR (March 26, 2003) were used in this study. A supervised classification approach was performed to classify satellite images into ten land cover types. The overall accuracies for all classifications are more than 89%. The result from the land cover classification shows a significant changes to PSF, bareland and grassland cover type throughout the 18-year period. The PSF had drastically plummeted by about 70% from 1985 to 2003. The grassland had almost doubled from 1985 to 2003 and bareland also increased more than 100%. These changes were mainly due to fires occurred in 1998 and 2003 during El-Niño event. The El-Niño fires did not affect the mangrove. The dynamics change pattern analysis showed that the PSF area has severely degraded, leading to deforestation. The main dynamic pattern indicate that the PSF areas have changed to grassland and bareland from 1985 to 2003. Fragmentation analysis based on mean patch size and largest patch index provide the evidence that the PSF has undergone an increase of fragmentation between 1985 to March 2003. The mean nearest neighbour distance value has increased indicating that the patches of PSF have low connectivity and become more isolated. Buffering and overlay analysis showed that agriculture was the main factor contributing to deforestation in Beaufort area. Ouestionnaire survey discovered that El-Niño fires in 1998 and 2003 was due to land clearing for plantation using slash and burn. In short, the PSF in Beaufort area has been decreasing at an alarming rate due to uncontrolled human activities. Therefore, the authorities such as Sabah Forestry Department are suggested to take action in controlling and managing the human activities for agriculture near the PSF especially during dry season.

ABSTRAK

Hutan tanah Lembap merupakan ekosistem semulajadi yang memberi banyak kebaikan yang tak ketara kepada manusia. Bagaimanapun, kebanyakkan hutan tanah lembap ini telah dimusnahkan dan ditukar kepada guna tanah lain. Beaufort, Sabah, mempunyai ekosistem tanah lembab yang luas. Di dalam tanah lembap ini terletaknya hutan paya gambut (HPG) asli yang mengalami degradasi akibat aktiviti manusia. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti dinamik perubahan vegetasi dan hubungkaitnya dengan aktiviti antropogenik di kawasan Beaufort. Data satelit multitemporal Landsat MSS (29 Jun 1985), Landsat TM (14 Jun 1991), Landsat 7 ETM+ (2 November 1999), Landsat 7 ETM+ (14 Januari 2003) and SPOT4-HRVIR (26 Mac 2003) digunakan dalam kajian ini. Dengan pendekatan pengkelasan terselia, imej satelit ini dikelaskan kepada ten jenis kelas. Keseluruhan analisis ketepatan bagi setiap pengkelasan memberi nilai lebih daripada 89%. Keputusan daripada pengkelasan litupan tanah menunjukkan perubahan signifikan untuk jenis penkelasan litup HPG, rumput dan kawasan lapang dalam tempoh 18 tahun. HPG berkurang sebanyak 70% dari tahun 1985 hingga 2003. Rumput meningkat hampir dua kali ganda dari 1985 hingga 2003 dan kawasan lapang meningkat lebih 100%. Punca utama perubahan ini adalah disebabkan berlakunya kebakaran pada tahun 1998 dan 2003 pada musim El-Niño. Kebakaran El-Niño tersebut tidak mempengaruhi hutan payah bakau. Corak perubahan dinamik HPG menunjukkan hutan ini mengalami degradasi yang serius sehingga berlakunya penyahutanan. Corak utama dinamik perubahan menunjukkan perubahan HPG kepada rumput dan kawasan lapang dari tahun 1985 hingga 2003. Analysis fragmentasi bagi kelas HPG dihitung menggunakan nilai 'mean patch size' dan 'largest patch index' menunjukkan fragmentasi di kawasan ini semakin meningkat dari tahun 1985 hingga 2003. Peningkatan mean nearest neighbour distance' menunjukkan 'patches' HPG mempunyai perhubungan yang rendah dan semakin terasing. Analisis penampan dan overlay menunjukkan bahawa faktor utama berlakunya penyahutanan di kawasan Beaufort ialah disebabkan faktor pertanian. Soalselidik menunjukkan bahawa amalan buka tanah menggunakan tebang dan bakar menvebabkan berlakunya kebakaran semasa musim El-Niño pada 1998 dan 2003. Kesimpulannya, HPG di Beaufort semakin berkurang di tahap yang kritikal akibat aktiviti manusia yang tidak terancang. Oleh yang demikian, pihak berkuasa seperti Jabatan Perhutanan Sabah dicadangkan untuk mengambil tindakan mengawal dan memantau aktiviti manusia berhampiran kawasan HPG untuk pertanian terutamanya pada musim kering.

vi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

| TITLE | | | i |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| DECLARATIO | N | | ii |
| CERTIFICATI | ON | | iii |
| ACKNOWLED | GEMENT | | iv |
| | GENEIT | | |
| ABSTRACT | | | V |
| ABSTRAK | | | vi |
| TABLE OF CO | NTENTS | | vii |
| LIST OF TABL | .ES | | х |
| LIST OF FIGU | IRES | | xiii |
| LIST OF ACRO | ONYMS | | xiv |
| LIST OF APPE | NDICES | | xvi |
| CHAPTER 1: | INTRO 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 | DUCTION Background Problem Statement Objectives Scope of Study | 1 1 3 5 6 |
| CHAPTER 2: | 2.1 2.2 2.3 | ATURE REVIEWDynamics of Vegetation ChangeWetlands Ecological Role and Importance2.2.1Definition of Wetland2.2.2Important Role of Wetland2.2.3Wetland Distribution2.2.4Disturbance in WetlandRemote Sensing and GIS of WetlandVegetation Change2.3.1Remote Sensing in Wetland Environment2.3.2GIS in Underlying Factor of Vegetation Change | 7 7 10 10 11 12 14 15 16 18 |
| | 2.4 | Landscape Pattern Indices Analysis for Fragmentation | 19 |

| CHAPTER 3: | | DOLOGY | 21 |
|------------|--------|---|----------|
| | 3.1 | Study Area | 21 |
| | 3.2 | Materials and Methods | 26 |
| | | 3.2.1 Data Acquisition | 26 |
| | | 3.2.2 Pre-processing | 32 |
| | | 3.2.3 Land Cover Classification | 35 |
| | | 3.2.4 Accuracy Assessment | 36 |
| | | 3.2.5 Post Classification Processing | 37 |
| | | 3.2.6 Dynamics of PSF Change Pattern | 38 |
| | | 3.2.7 Fragmentation Pattern Analysis3.2.8 Digitizing | 39 40 |
| | | 3.2.9 Buffering Analysis | 40 |
| | | 3.2.10 Overlay Analysis and Weights | 40 |
| | | Calculation | 41 |
| | | 3.2.11 Socio-Economic Survey | 42 |
| CHAPTER 4: | RESULT | rs. | 45 |
| | 4.1 | Land Cover Change Analysis | 45 |
| | | 4.1.1 Land Cover Classification | |
| | | (1985 - 1991) | 45 |
| | | 4.1.2 Land Cover Classification | |
| | | (1991 – 1999) | 48 |
| | | 4.1.3 Land Cover Classification | |
| | | (1999 – January 2003) | 48 |
| | | 4.1.4 Land Cover During El-Niño 2003 | 51 |
| | 4.2 | Assessment of the Classification Accuracy | 54 |
| | 4.3 | Dynamics of PSF Change Pattern | 60 |
| | 4.4 | Forest Fragmentation Pattern Analysis | 63 |
| | 4.5 | Anthropogenic Influences on PSF Vegetation | |
| | | Change During El-Niño Events | 65 |
| | | 4.5.1 Change Area during El-Niño Event | |
| | | Within the Buffer Zone | 65 |
| | | 4.5.2 Weightage for Each Anthropogenic | ~ ~ |
| | 1.0 | Factor during El-Niño Event | 66 |
| | 4.6 | Livelihood Activities and Land Management | 67 |
| | | Practices during El-Niño Event | 67 |
| CHAPTER 5: | DISCUS | SSION | 80 |
| | 5.1 | Land Cover Change between 1985 – 2003 in | |
| | | Beaufort Area | 80 |
| | 5.2. | Dynamics of PSF Change from 1985 | |
| | | to March 2003 | 81 |
| | 5.3. | Landscape Fragmentation Pattern of PSF | 83 |
| | 5.4 | Anthropogenic Factor causing PSF | |
| | | Vegetation Change | 89 |
| | 5.5 | Linkages Between Livelihood Activities and Land | 0.0 |
| | | Management Practices during El-Niño Event | 90 |

| CHAPTER 6: | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | | 94 | |
|------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----|--|
| | 6.1 | Conclusions | 94 | |
| | 6.2 | Recommendation | 95 | |
| REFERENCES | | | 97 | |
| APPENDICES | | | 108 | |
| | | | | |



LIST OF TABLES

| Pa | aq | е |
|----|----|---|
| | | - |

| Table 2.1 | Estimate of undisturbed peatland area in Southeast Asia | 13 |
|------------|---|----|
| Table 3.1 | Forest reserves in the Klias Peninsula | 24 |
| Table 3.2 | Dark object substraction of digital number value | 35 |
| Table 3.3 | Logical Operation to reduce misclassification of the land cover classification | 38 |
| Table 3.4 | Dynamics of PSF change pattern | 39 |
| Table 3.5 | Sample size for the selected villages' field interview | 43 |
| Table 4.1 | Accuracy assessment for land cover classification of 1985 | 55 |
| Table 4.2 | Accuracy assessment for land cover classification of 1991 | 56 |
| Table 4.3 | Accuracy assessment for land cover classification of 1999 | 57 |
| Table 4.4 | Accuracy assessment for land cover classification of January 2003 | 58 |
| Table 4.5 | Accuracy assessment for land cover classification of March 2003 | 59 |
| Table 4.6 | Type of PSF dynamic pattern from 1985 to 2003 | 62 |
| Table 4.7 | Landscape structural change for PSF in Beaufort area, 1985 to March 2003 | 64 |
| Table 4.8 | Amount of change area for 1998 El-Niño event within the buffer zone | 66 |
| Table 4.9 | Amount of change area for 2003 El-Niño event within the buffer zone | 66 |
| Table 4.10 | Weightage for anthropogenic factor during 1998 El-Niño event; road, settlement and agriculture | 67 |
| Table 4.11 | Weightage for Anthropogenic factor during 2003 El-Niño event; road, settlement and agriculture | 67 |
| Table 4.12 | Land clearing by respondents for plantation by distance class | 68 |

| Table 4.13 | Chi-Square tests for slash and burn for plantation by distance class | 69 |
|------------|--|----|
| Table 4.14 | Slash and burn for plantation by distance class | 70 |
| Table 4.15 | Chi-Square tests for slash and burn for plantation by distance class | 70 |
| Table 4.16 | Respondents reason of using slash and burn techniques by distance class | 71 |
| Table 4.17 | Chi-Square tests for reason of using slash and burn techniques by distance class | 72 |
| Table 4.18 | Respondents year of land clearing for oil palm plantation | 73 |
| Table 4.19 | Respondents year of land clearing for rubber plantation | 74 |
| Table 4.20 | Respondents year of land clearing for paddy field | 75 |
| Table 4.21 | Respondents year of land clearing for pineapple plantation | 76 |
| Table 4.22 | Respondents year of land clearing for fruit tree planting | 77 |
| Table 4.23 | Respondents year of land clearing for vegetable planting | 78 |
| Table 4.24 | Respondents season of clearing land for plantation | 79 |

LIST OF FIGURE

| Figure 2.1 | The same factors change communities regardless of the specialty area in which the research is conducted | 8 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.2 | Process of succession represented generally by vegetation dynamics | 9 |
| Figure 3.1 | Location of Beaufort, Sabah | 22 |
| Figure 3.2 | Interpolated average annual rainfalls for the West Coast of Sabah | 23 |
| Figure 3.3 | Location of forests reserve in the Klias Peninsula | 25 |
| Figure 3.4 | Satellite image of Landsat MSS obtained on June 29, 1985 (RGB: 4, 3, and 2) | 27 |
| Figure 3.5 | Satellite image of Landsat TM obtained on June 14, 1991 (RGB: 5, 4, and 3) | 28 |
| Figure 3.6 | Satellite image of Landsat 7-ETM+ obtained on November 2, 1999 (RGB: 5, 4, and 3) | 29 |
| Figure 3.7: | Satellite image of Landsat 7-ETM+ obtained on | |
| | January 14, 2003 (RGB: 5, 4, and 3) AVSIA SABAH | 30 |
| Figure 3.8 | Satellite image of SPOT4-HRVIR obtained on March 26, 2003 (RGB: 4, 3, and 2) | 31 |
| Figure 3.9 | The flowchart showing the methodology framework of this study | 32 |
| Figure 3.10 | Location of the selected villages of different distance from the change area presented in yellow colour (Image used: SPOT 4, March 2003 - RGB 4, 3, and 2) | 44 |
| Figure 4.1 | Land cover classification for 1985 after post-processing | 46 |
| Figure 4.2 | Land cover classification for 1991 after post-processing | 47 |
| Figure 4.3 | Land cover classification for 1999 after post-processing | 49 |
| Figure 4.4 | Land cover classification for January 2003 after post-processing | 50 |

| Figure 4 F | Land sover electification for March 2002 offer | |
|------------|--|----|
| Figure 4.5 | Land cover classification for March 2003 after post-processing | 52 |
| Figure 4.6 | Changes of land cover from 1985 to 2003 | 53 |
| Figure 4.7 | Dynamics of PSF change pattern from 1985 to 2003 | 61 |
| Figure 4.8 | Influence of land clearing for plantation during El-Niño event | 78 |
| Figure 5.1 | Dynamics variation in PSF landscape in Beaufort area | 84 |
| Figure 5.2 | Dynamics variation in number of patches of PSF landscape in Beaufort area | 85 |
| Figure 5.3 | Dynamics of patch density of PSF in Beaufort area | 85 |
| Figure 5.4 | Dynamics variation in largest patch index and mean patch size of PSF landscape in Beaufort area | 86 |
| Figure 5.5 | Dynamics variation in mean shape index and mean patch fractal of PSF in Beaufort area | 87 |
| Figure 5.6 | Dynamics variation in mean proximity index and mean nearest neighbour distance of PSF landscape in Beaufort area | 87 |
| Figure 5.7 | Dynamics variation in interspersion/ juxtaposition of PSF landscape in Beaufort area | 88 |
| Figure 5.8 | Cleared land for plantation during El-Niño event | 92 |
| Figure 5.9 | Respondents' information on the causes of forest fire in certain part of PSF reserves. | 93 |

LIST OF ACRONYMS

| PSF | Peat Swamp Forest |
|--------------|---|
| BFR | Binsuluk Forest Reserve |
| KFR | Klias Forest Reserve |
| FR | Forest Reserve |
| HPG | Hutan Paya Gambut |
| UNDP/GEF | United Nations Development Program/ Global Environment Facility |
| ADO | Assistant District Officer |
| PKR | People Development Leader |
| JUPEM | Department of Survey and Mapping Malaysia |
| ENSO | El-Niño – Southern Oscillation |
| SPSS | Statistical Package for Social Sciences |
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| UTM | Universal Transverse Mercator ALAYSIA SABAH |
| RMS | Root Mean Square |
| RGB | Red, Green, Blue |
| LANDSAT TM | Landsat Thematic Mapper |
| LANDSAT ETM+ | Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus |
| LANDSAT MSS | Landsat Multispectral Scanner |
| SPOT4-HRVIR | Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre Four - High Resolution Visible and Infrared |
| NIR | Near-Infra Red |
| MIR | Mid-Infra Red |
| .img FCD | File Format for ERDAS Imagine Forest Canopy Density |

| DOS | Dark Object Substration | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| DN | Digital Number | | |
| dNBR | Normalized Burn Ration differencing | | |
| FRAGSTAT | Spatial Pattern Analysis Program for Categorical Maps | | |
| BP | Before Present | | |
| USD | United State Dollar | | |
| рН | Measure of the acidity or basicity of a solution | | |
| Km | Kilometers | | |
| Km ² | Square Kilometers | | |
| mm | Millimeters | | |
| m | Meters | | |
| ha st | Hectares | | |
| 0° | Degree | | |
| > | More than | | |
| < ABA | Less than UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH | | |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| D | а | 0 | Δ |
|---|---|---|---|
| | u | Э | |

| APPENDIX A | CHANGES OF LAND COVER IN HA FROM 1985 TO 2003 | 108 |
|------------|---|-----|
| APPENDIX B | TYPE OF PSF DYNAMIC CHANGE PATTERN | 109 |
| APPENDIX C | TOPOGRAPHIC MAP OF BEAUFORT AREA OBTAINED FROM JUPEM | 120 |
| APPENDIX D | AGRICULTURE WITH BUFFERING OF 1000 m, 2000 m, 3000 m DISTANCE FOR 1998 EL-NINO EVENT | 121 |
| APPENDIX E | AGRICULTURE WITH BUFFERING OF 1000 m, 2000 m, 3000 m DISTANCE FOR 2003 EL-NINO EVENT | 122 |
| APPENDIX F | ROAD WITH BUFFERING OF 1000 m, 2000 m, 3000 m DISTANCE FOR 1998 AND 2003 EL-NINO EVENT | 123 |
| APPENDIX G | SETTLEMENT WITH BUFFERING OF 1000 m, 2000 m, 3000 m DISTANCE FOR 1998 AND 2003 EL-NINO EVENT | 124 |
| APPENDIX H | INTERSECT OVERLAY OF AGRICULTURE BUFFER ZONE AND PSF CHANGE AREA DURING 1998 EL-NINO EVENT | 125 |
| APPENDIX I | INTERSECT OVERLAY OF ROAD BUFFER ZONE AND PSF CHANGE AREA DURING 1998 EL-NINO EVENT | 126 |
| APPENDIX J | INTERSECT OVERLAY OF SETTLEMENT BUFFER ZONE AND PSF CHANGE AREA DURING 1998 EL-NINO EVENT | 127 |
| APPENDIX K | INTERSECT OVERLAY OF AGRICULTURE BUFFER ZONE AND PSF CHANGE AREA DURING 2003 EL-NINO EVENT | 128 |
| APPENDIX L | INTERSECT OVERLAY OF ROAD BUFFER ZONE AND PSF CHANGE AREA DURING 2003 EL-NINO EVENT | 129 |
| APPENDIX M | INTERSECT OVERLAY OF SETTLEMENT BUFFER ZONE AND PSF CHANGE AREA DURING 2003 EL-NINO EVENT | 130 |
| APPENDIX N | QUESTIONNAIRE | 131 |
| APPENDIX O | RESPONDENTS PROFILE | 139 |
| APPENDIX P | PICTURES TAKEN DURING THE STUDY PROCESS | 144 |
| | | |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Wetland is a very important natural ecosystem that provides many intangible services to human. It provides the important landscape to natural ecosystems and values for human environment especially socio-economic sustainable development (Liu *et al.*, 2004). However, there are only a few remaining wetland landscapes on the face of the earth that has not been altered by human influence. The world wetland covers 6% of the earth (Safford and Maltby, 1998) and now over 50% of that total have probably been degraded or lost (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). Mankind's existence on the earth affects the ecosystem causing changes to the natural environment of the wetland ecosystem. The pressure on land use increases, especially in developing nations, where the population increases faster. Competing demands on land use for urbanization, agriculture, forestry and recreation changes this wetland into a complex landscape. Rate of degradation also increases every year resulting from all types of land use (Ozturk *et al.*, 2002).

The global concern about anthropogenic alteration on wetland in the form of fragmentation caused by over exploitation of natural resources will also increase the potential of natural disaster such as forest fire. It was recorded that in the early 90's, the total wetland of a peat swamp forest (PSF) in Malaysia was 2.7 million hectares (ha) (Wong, 1991) compared to recent data which shows that the area had decreased to 1.5 million ha (UNDP/GEF, 2006). This proves that almost half of the pristine wetland had been destroyed within a decade. The reduction of PSF in Southeast Asia is mostly by fire (Stuebing *et al.*, 2006). The question is why fire frequently occurs in this particular area? Although fire is regarded as an ecological factor, intended fires either for land clearing, hunting activities or human habits such as indiscriminately throwing cigarette butts can be destructive due to lack of conservation awareness (Pianzin, 2004).

Remote sensing and Geographic Information System (GIS) are the most widely used tools for identifying environmental causes and changes in wetland ecosystem. This is due to the difficulty of monitoring the inner part of the wetland where it is sometimes impossible to access. Therefore, remote sensing is one of the most cost effective tools for monitoring land cover change (Wang et al., 2004; Wenting et al., 2004; Wilson and Sader, 2002) especially when it concerns a large area. It provides spatial information for wetland change monitoring. Change detection is one of the important uses of remote sensing that identifies differences in the state of an object by observing it at different times or periods (Singh, 1989). Change detection of wetland provides a better understanding between human and natural phenomenon to manage the resources. It can involve multitemporal datasets to quantify the changes of a wetland in time series. As for GIS, it is an important tool in the management of natural resources. GIS in combination with remote sensing has been increasingly used in all aspects of wildland fire management (Setiawan, 2004). GIS can be instrumental for identifying the factors that are causing changes in an area.

Change can be a quantitatively characterized as landscape patterns which link the change to ecological process in the landscape. According to Frohn (1998), landscape metrics are necessary for use by researchers to detect the pattern of change that is not readily visible to the human eye or easily detectable by human analysis. Landscape metrics are employed to generate quantitative measures of spatial patterns found on remote sensing images. Assessing the landscape structure using satellite remote sensing has also become the essence in landscape fragmentation studies (Kepner *et al.*, 2000).

Therefore, the combination of remote sensing products and GIS tools is very important to provide the understanding of the current changes caused by human alteration to generate scenarios for the future modification of the wetland and the surrounding area. As verified by Kaishaigili *et al.* (2006), a scientific community needs quantitative and spatially explicit data on how the land cover has been changed for future prediction. Without proper control of land use practices, deforestation leading to further fragmentation will destroy the remnants of wetland.

2

1.2 Problem Statement

During the past decade, forest fire occurring in the wetland areas has increased at an alarming pace. Fire is recognized as one of the major threats to the remaining wetland. This catastrophe is becoming more of a concern by the fact that certain areas have been burned constantly. As a result, it is causing a great number of losses to the economy of the affected country. Economists estimated that the damages to the economy due to smoke alone in 1997 was more than 1.4 billion USD (Siegert and Hoffman, 2000). Imagine how much it will cost for the loss of a pristine forest?

The wetland in Beaufort area is also facing the same problem. Forest fire had repetitively occurred in certain part of the wetland and adjacent area. It was reported to occur in 1983, 1998 and again in 2003 during the El-Niño event destroying 85% of Binsuluk Forest Reserve (BFR) (UNDP/GEF, 2006). The Klias Forest Reserve (KFR) remains in a relatively good condition but is under threat. Only a few studies have been conducted on peat fire especially in the tropics (Lailan and Ahmad Ainuddin, 2004). Hence, the integrated study on peat fire is important in resource management and biodiversity conservation. Efforts to compile data pertaining the burned areas using medium resolution satellite data should also be conducted over the past El-Niño event (Phua, 2007). Resource managers need remote sensing data to make decisions about landscape patch size as a necessity for land use planning. The ability to identify landscape structure especially fragmentation is prerequisite to determine landscape function and change (McGarigal and Marks, 1995). The need in discovering the changes in landscape pattern are to identify how degraded the forest area is.

There are many factors that can cause the ignition of fire. Anthropogenic activities especially the ongoing conversion for agricultural purposes is becoming a major threat to this area (Phua *et al.*, 2007). It contributes to the increase of fragmentation to the forest area. Habitat fragmentation, the unique transport of flammable plants and ubiquitous overlay of human impacts on fire regimes demand a new level of understanding for the peaceful coexistence with the fire occurrences (Bond and Keeley, 2005). The changes are not only caused by various natural

3

impacts but is also caused by human impacts where both forces induce complex processes with very great effects on the environment (Kalis *et al.*, 2002). Recent evidence proved that the major contributor of forest fragmentation in Malaysia is agricultural activities especially the cultivation of oil palm and rubber (Abdullah *et al.*, 2006). Many studies had shown that repeated fire is caused by the nearby human activities. Most of it is due to the traditional land clearance for agriculture using slash and burn technique. This is the easiest and cost-effective way to clear a land especially a large area. However, fire is a major threat of vegetation extinction and fragmentation in tropical wetland (IFFN, 2001). If fragmentation increases, it will initiate an extreme degraded forest. Therefore, it is important to study the extent of fragmentation and what causes it to happen

It is also a necessity to see the human dimension that is causing the landscape alteration of the wetland in Beaufort area. According to the information obtained from Beaufort District Office, Mohd Shaid (2008), the increment of total population in the area is 3.5% each year with the total population in year 2000 was 64,756 people. There are 127 villages in Beaufort and half of them are located near the wetland area. It also stated that 70% of the economic production is dependent on agricultural sector. Therefore, it is important to identify the factor from agricultural practices to social activities that maybe causing the fire to constantly happen in the areas near the wetland of Beaufort area. In view of the above, observing the changes of vegetation in detail and spatially can describe the interaction between human activities and environment and thus highlight the driving forces of vegetation changes (Petit et al., 2001). Ecosystem management needs a better understanding of how the human disturbances influence ecosystem dynamics and how focal ecosystem interacts with adjacent areas (Liu et al., 1999). The impact of massive vegetation changes on biodiversity is profound and has been of concern in the environment ecosystem. The immediate effect of changes of vegetation can be significant and it is important to be understood to create a better management system for the effected area.

In Beaufort area there is lack of empirical proof and studies yet to be done to assess the nature and causes of wetland vegetation change. The level of fragmentation in this area had also never been quantified in multitemporal period. The cause of forest fire during the prolonged drought by the El-Niño in 1998 and 2003 in Beaufort are still not clear. It predicted that the causes of this event were due to human interference on the wetland area. For instance land use activities such as forest clearance, cooking, use of fire in hunting activities and social practices such as slash and burn agriculture, careless throwing of cigarettes butts and match sticks, open burning of forest clearance and domestic waste, and even deliberately burning the forest (Painzin, 2004). It is therefore necessary for a study such as this to be carried out in the wetland of Beaufort area to prevent more destruction of the pristine wetlands.

1.3 Objectives

The main objective of this study is to examine the dynamics of wetland vegetation changes in relation to anthropogenic activities in Beaufort area, Sabah. Specifically, this study intends to;

- a) Quantify the wetland vegetation changes using supervised classification of multitemporal satellite data
- b) Examine the dynamics of peat swamp forest in a land cover change context
- c) Examine the multitemporal fragmentation pattern of PSF based on landscape pattern indices
- d) Identify the anthropogenic factors influencing PSF vegetation changes using GIS and socio-economic survey techniques

1.4 Scope of Study

In this study five sets of remotely sensed data were used to analyze the wetland vegetation change of the study area. The temporal vegetation change only takes into account of the changes from year 1985-1991, 1991-1999, 1999-January 2003

and January 2003- March 2003. The land cover classification includes ten types of classes which comprise PSF, mangrove, shrubland, grassland, bareland, oil palm plantation, rubber tree plantation, water, cloud and shadow. Even though there are various time of fire occurrences in Beaufort area it was not possible to find image satellite of the earliest dates covering the whole study area. In this case, this study will only focus on two episodes of fire occurrences during the El-Niño event, the 1998 and 2003 fire. Due to shortage of time, the GIS data for buffering and overlaying analysis with the change area will only include three type of factor; agricultural, road and settlement. The socio-economic survey also includes only ten villages with three different distances due to time shortage and village accessibility. The socio-economic data analyzed is mainly based on the livelihood of the respondents, especially the agricultural aspects.



