A RATIONAL APPROACH OF THE DESIGN FOR HIGHWAY FORMATIONS

ELSA EKA PUTRI

PERPUSTAKAAN INIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2012



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL : A RATIONAL APPROACH OF THE DESIGN FOR HIGHWAY FORMATIONS

IJAZAH : DOKTOR FALSAFAH

Saya <u>ELSA EKA PUTRI</u>, Sesi Pengajian <u>2007-2012</u>, mengaku membenarkan tesis Doktor Falsafah ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-

- 1. Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Malaysia Sabah
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
- 3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. Sila tandakan (/)



(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau Kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

TIDAK TERHAD

(Tanda Tangan Penulis)

Alamat Tetap: Wisma Indah V Blok J3 No.1 Tabing Padang, Indonesia

Tarikh: 15 Jun 2012

Disahkan Oleh,

NURULAIN BINTI ISMAIL LIBRARIAN UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SAFAL

Tanda Tangan Pustakawan)

PROF. DR. NSV KAMESWARA RAO (Penyelia Utama)

PROF. MADYA DR. MD. ABDUL MANNAN (Penyelia Bersama)



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, excerpts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

June 2012

Elsa Eka Putri PK20078380



CERTIFICATION

- NAME : ELSA EKA PUTRI
- MATRIC NO. : PK20078380
- TITLE : A RATIONAL APPROACH OF THE DESIGN FOR HIGHWAY FORMATIONS
- DEGREE : DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
- VIVA DATE : 21 MARCH 2012

DECLARED BY

1. SUPERVISOR Prof. DR. N. S. V. Kameswara Rao

Signature

2. Co - SUPERVISOR Assoc. Prof. DR. Md. Abdul Mannan



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

بِسْمِ اللهِ الرَّحْمنِ الرَّحِيمِ - الْحَمْدُ للهِ رَبِّ الْعَالَمِينَ

First of all, thank you O Allah SWT because, with your grace, I have been able to complete this thesis. A very special thank you to the Rector of University of Andalas, Indonesia for giving me the opportunity to embark on this Ph.D programme, and also secure my scholarship from the Directorate General for Higher Education (*DIKTI*), Indonesia. My utmost appreciation extends to the Vice Chancellor of Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Brigadier General Professor Datuk Seri Panglima Dr. Kamaruzaman Hj. Ampon and Dean of the School of Engineering and Information Technology (SKTM), Associate Professor Dr. Rosalam Sarbatly for giving me the opportunity to commence my Ph.D research project. I am also thankful to the Centre of Research and Innovation of Universiti Malaysia Sabah for providing me the FRG0074-TK-1/2006 and FRG174-TK-2008 grant to run the research as smoothly as possible.

I am deeply indebted to my main supervisor and project leader, Prof. Dr. N. S. V. Kameswara Rao for his constant support. Without his help, this work would not have been possible. Never have I seen an academician who is ever present to articulate all my questions and problems. His exceptional scientific intuition truly inspired and enriched my growth as a student, researcher and scientist that I yearn to be. His constant presence helps strengthen and improve my understanding of the subject matter, and an ever-reliable compass to my research orientation.

I gratefully acknowledge the immense assistance of my co-supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Md. Abdul Mannan for his advice, supervision, and contribution that greatly improved the overall thesis. His passion in research innovation is truly inspirational and has added high value to the entire research. I am indeed indebted to both my supervisors in more ways than one.

My sincere thanks also goes to a number of dependable laboratory technicians, namely, Mr. Panjiman Saidin, Mr. Julius Sokodor, Mr. Afflizailizam and



Mr. Munap Salleh for their help and technical support that proved essential in my research work. Many thanks to Mr. Jodin Makinda and Mrs Lillian Gungat for their unrelenting assistance and warm friendship.

Where would I be without my family? My parents deserve special mention for their inseparable support and prayers. My father, the late Ahmad Rizal and my mother, Ernenon, are eminent person who helped put in place the fundamentals of my learning character, showing me the joy of intellectual pursuit ever since I was a child, and sincerely raised me with great care and gentle love. Their sacrifices are immensely priceless.

I am delighted to express my ever loving appreciation to my husband, Dr. Masyhuri Hamidi for your love, dedication and persistent confidence in me, and constant encouragement to see me through this research. To my beloved children Amiral Fadhil, Siti Mahira Nasywa and Farhan Fitrahadi, thank you for your enthusiastic 'support' while working in the laboratory and towards the preparation of this thesis.

Finally, I would like to thank all those who have been part and parcel to the successful realisation of this thesis, and never forgetting to express my sincere apologies to those whose feathers I may have unknowingly ruffled as we crossed paths.

Elsa Eka Putri Jun 2012



A RATIONAL APPROACH OF THE DESIGN FOR HIGHWAY FORMATION

Highways are important components for bolstering the economic development and industrial growth of every country, and hence, should be well designed. The formations should be stable enough to facilitate increased efficiency while ensuring longer life coupled with low maintenance costs, thus, safely allowing the running of heavier and faster vehicles. Two approaches in improving highway formations have been investigated in this thesis. In the first approach, studies on improving the subgrade strength by adding natural agricultural wastes as additives was carried out. In the second approach, a more realistic methodology for the design of highway formations was investigated. Accordingly, the improvement of soil subgrade by adding different percentages of Oil Palm Shell aggregate (OPS agg), Oil Palm Shell granule (OPS granule), Oil Palm Shell ash (OPS ash), and lime was studied. The California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test was used to investigate this improvement and to determine soil suitability for highway formations. It is suggested that optimal improvement in the CBR values can be attained by adding 10% OPS agg, 5% OPS granule, 30% OPS ash and 3% lime for subgrade soil in unsoaked condition, and 30% OPS aggregate, 10% OPS ash, 10% lime in soaked condition. The empirical CBR test is traditionally used for the design of highway formations. However, pavements and runways are also designed using the elastic foundation approach, i.e., the modulus of subgrade reaction, k_s, and Modulus of Elasticity, E. Both k_s and E are determined by plate load tests and widely used by Geotechnical engineers for the design of substructures. In this thesis, to take advantage of several available advanced methods using the elasticity approach, the CBR test is correlated with E and k_s using the Finite Element Method. Thus, the choices available for the design of highway formations can be widened and methods used in highway engineering and geotechnical engineering could be integrated. In this context, with vehicles actually transmitting cyclic stresses onto the highway formations, it is crucial to determine the threshold stress of the soil. This approach is developed by conducting cyclic triaxial tests on soils. The design of highways using threshold stress approach proposed to take into account a more realistic assessment of the soil behaviour that is subjected to repeated loadings due to running vehicles compared to the CBR test-based design. Cyclic triaxial test is used to determine the subgrade properties needed, including the threshold stress. Accordingly, Unconfined Cyclic Triaxial tests, Unconsolidated Undrained Cyclic Triaxial tests and Consolidated Undrained Cyclic Triaxial tests are conducted on the soil. Stresses caused by wheel loads of vehicles are computed using Boussinesg's solutions for simplicity. The formations thickness is then obtained in such a manner that the induced stresses on top of the subgrade are less than the threshold stress as determined in the above-mentioned laboratory tests. Design charts are developed for designing highway formations using threshold stress of the soil. Typical designs are compared to the CBR values-based design.



ABSTRAK

Jalan raya adalah komponen penting untuk meningkatkan pembangunan ekonomi dan pertumbuhan industri setiap negara dan oleh itu harus dirancang dengan baik. Kestabilan bentukan adalah penting untuk memudahkan peningkatan kecekapan dan memastikan jangka hayat yang lebih lama menggunakan kos penyelenggaraan yang rendah, justeru memungkinkan perjalanan kenderaan lebih berat dan lebih cepat dengan cara selamat. Dua pendekatan peningkatan bentukan jalan raya telah diteliti dalam tesis ini. Pada pendekatan pertama, mengukuhkan kekuatan tanah subgred dengan menambah sampah alam sisa pertanian sebagai penstabil tanah telah dijalankan. Pada pendekatan kedua, metodologi yang lebih realistik untuk mereka bentuk bentukan jalan raya telah diteliti. Dengan demikian, pengukuhan tanah subgred dengan penambahan agregat Kelapa Sawit (OPS Agg), granul Kelapa Sawit (OPS granule), abu Kelapa Sawit (OPS ash), dan kapur dengan peratusan berbeza telah dikaji. Ujian nisbah galas California (CBR) digunakan untuk menyiasat pembaikan ini dan menentukan kesesuaian tanah untuk bentukan jalan raya. Disarankan bahawa peningkatan optimum nilai CBR dapat dicapai dengan penambahan 10% agregat OPS, 5% granul OPS, 30% abu OPS dan 3% kapur dalam keadaan tanah subgred tak terendam, 30% agregat OPS, 10% abu OPS, atau 10% kapur dalam keadaan tanah berendam. Ujian empirik CBR secara tradisional digunakan untuk mereka bentuk bentukan jalan raya. Namun, lebuh raya dan landasan pacu juga direka dengan menggunakan pendekatan asas elastik dengan modulus reaksi tanah subgred, k_s, dan modulus keanjalan, E. k_s dan E ditentukan oleh ujian beban plat dan digunakan oleh para jurutera Geoteknik secara meluas untuk mereka bentuk substruktur. Dalam tesis ini, untuk mendapat manfaat daripada beberapa kaedah canggih yang sedia ada menerusi pendekatan keanjalan, maka ujian CBR dikorelasikan dengan E dan k₅ menggunakan Kaedah Unsur Terhingga. Dengan demikian, pilihan untuk mereka bentuk bentukan jalan raya dapat diperluaskan dan kaedah yang digunakan dalam kejuruteraan Geoteknik jalan raya dapat diintegrasikan. Dalam konteks ini, telah dicatatkan bahawa kenderaan benar-benar mengalirkan tegasan berkitar ke atas bentukan jalan raya, maka adalah penting pendekatan titik terdaya tekanan dibangunkan menggunakan ujian tiga paksi berkitar ke atas tanah. Titik terdaya tekanan boleh ditakrifkan sebagai tahap tekanan di atas mana beban berkitar menyebabkan cangaan kumulatif yang berlebihan dan kegagalan akhirnya. Reka bentuk jalan raya menggunakan pendekatan titik terdaya tekanan yang dicadangkan dalam tesis ini digunakan untuk penilaian yang lebih realistik dari perilaku tanah mengalami beban berulang kerana pergerakkan kenderaan dibandingkan dengan reka bentuk berdasarkan ujian CBR. Ujian tiga paksi berkitar digunakan untuk menentukan sifatsifat tanah subgred yang diperlukan termasuk titik terdaya tekanan. Dengan demikian, ujian tiga paksi berkitar tak terkurung, Ujian tiga paksi berkitar tak terkukuh tak bersalir dan Ujian tiga paksi terkukuh tak bersalir telah dilakukan ke atas tanah. Tegasan akibat beban roda kenderaan dikira menggunakan penyelesaian Boussinesq untuk kesederhanaan. Kemudian, ketebalan bentukan boleh diperolehi sedemikian rupa apabila tekanan aruhan di atas tanah subgred adalah kurang dari titik terdaya tekanan yang ditentukan dalam ujian makmal yang disebut di atas. Carta reka bentuk dibangunkan untuk merancang pembentukan jalan raya menggunakan pendekatan titik terdaya tekanan tanah. Reka bentuk jenisan dibandingkan dengan reka bentuk nilai CBR.



CONTENTS

	page
TITLE	i
DECLARATION	ii
CERTIFICATION	111
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	vi
ABSTRAK	vii
CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF APPENDIX	xxiii
SYMBOLS	xxiv

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Backg	Background		
1.2	.2 Present Problem		2	
	1.2.1	Subgrade Improvement	2	
	1.2.2	Importance of California Bearing Ratio, Modulus of Elasticity	4	
		and Modulus of Subgrade Reaction in Pavement		
	1.2.3	Rational Design of Highway Formations	5	
1.3	Resea	rch Justification	5	
1.4	Research Significance			
1.5	Resea	rch Objectives	10	
1.6	Scope	of the Research	11	
1.7	Struct	ure of the Dissertation	12	

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introd	Introduction		
2.2	Subgra	ade Improvement Materials	14	
	2.2.1	Oil Palm Shell Ash	16	
	2.2.2	Oil Palm Shell Aggregate	18	



	2.2.3	Lime	20	
2.3	Integration of Geotechnical Engineering Properties with Highway		22	
	Design Parameter			
	2.3.1	California Bearing Ratio with Modulus of Elasticity Correlation	23	
	2.3.2	Relationship between Modulus of Subgrade Reaction and	24	
		Modulus of Elasticity		
	2.3.3	Relationship between California Bearing Ratio and Modulus of	26	
		Subgrade Reaction		
2.4.	Thresh	old Stress	29	
	2.4.1	Threshold Stress Definition	29	
	2.4.2	Cyclic Stress Ratio	31	
	2.4.3	Evaluation of Threshold Stress for Subgrade Soils Based on		
		Pore Water Pressure	34	
	2.4.4	Estimation of Threshold Stress for Subgrade Soils Based on		
		Plasticity Index of Soil	36	
	2.4.5	Evaluation of Threshold Stress for Subgrade Soils using		
		Plastic Strain	37	
2.5	Stress-	Strain Determination	39	
	2.5.1	Effect of Tyre Pressure, Axle Load and Load Distribution	40	
	2.5.2	Determination of Impact Factors	42	
2.6	Highwa	y Formation Design	43	
	2.6.1	Design Method Overview	44	
	2.6.2	Highway Formation Design based on California Bearing Ratio	45	
	2.6.3	Highway Formation Design based on Rational Approach	47	
2.7	Chapte	r Conclusions	48	
CHAP	TER 3:	MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES		
3.1	Introdu	iction	50	
3.2	Materia	I and Properties	50	
	3.2.1	Subgrade Soil	50	
	3.2.2	Oil Palm Shell Aggregate Additive	51	
	3.2.3	Oil Palm Shell Granules Additive	52	
	3.2.4	Oil Palm Shell Ash Additive	52	



	3.2.5	Lime Additive	53
3.3	Tests	on Subgrade Improvement	54
	3.3.1	Soil Properties	55
	3.3.2	Compaction Test	56
	3.3.3	California Bearing Ratio Test	56
3.4	Modell	ing Using COSMOSWork	59
3.5	Triaxia	al Test on Threshold Stress Determination	60
	3.5.1	Loading	61
•	3.5.2	Unconfined Static and Cyclic Compression Test	62
	3.5.3	Unconsolidated Undrained Static and Cyclic Test	64
	3.5.4	Consolidated Undrained Static and Cyclic Test	64
3.6	Thresh	nold Stress Evaluation	65
	3.6.1	Threshold Stress Based on Plastic Strain	65
	3.6.2	Threshold Stress Based on the Pore Water Pressure	66
3.7	Induce	ed Estimation Stress on Top of Subgrade	67

CHAPTER 4: SUBGRADE IMPROVEMENT AND CBR CORRELATION

4.1	Introduction			
4.2	Compaction Tests			
4.3	3 Soil Density under Standard Proctor Compaction			
	4.3.1 Soil Mixed with Oil Palm Shell Aggregate Additive	70		
	4.3.2 Soil Mixed with Oil Palm Shell Granule Additive	72		
	4.3.3 Soil Mixed with Oil Palm Shell Ash Additive	73		
	4.3.4 Soil Mixed with Lime Additive	74		
4.4	Soil Density under Modified Proctor Compaction	75		
	4.4.1 Soil Mixed with Oil Palm Shell Aggregate Additive	76		
	4.4.2 Soil Mixed with Oil Palm Shell Ash Additive	77		
	4.4.3 Soil Mixed with Lime Additive	79		
4.5	California Bearing Ratio value of Subgrade Soil Mixed with Different	80		
	Additives			
	4.5.1 Unsoaked California Bearing Ratio Test Prepared by Standard			
	Proctor Compaction	81		



	4.5.2	Soaked California Bearing Ratio Test Prepared by Modified	
		Proctor Compaction	85
4.6	Predic	tion of Modulus of Elasticity and Modulus of Subgrade Reaction	
	of Soi	ils Using CBR Test	89
	4.6.1	Correlation Assessment	90
	4.6.2	Finite Element Method	91
	4.6.3	Correlation of CBR versus E Development	95
	4.6.4	CBR Test Result for the Prediction of the Plate Load Test	96
		Results	
4.7	Chapte	er Conclusions	103
	4.7.1	Subgrade Improvements	103
	4.7.2	CBR Correlation to Predict Plate Load Test results	107
CHAF	TER 5:	RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION OF TRIAXIAL TESTS	
5.1	Introd	uction	108
5.2	Backg	round of the Test	108
5.3	Uncon	fined Compression Static and Cyclic Tests	110
	5.3.1	Secant Modulus Calculation	114
	5.3.2	Resilient Modulus calculation	116
5.4	Uncon	solidated Undrained Static Triaxial Tests	117
	5.4.1	Deviator Stress	119
	5.4.2	Pore Water Pressure Development	120
5.5	Uncon	solidated Undrained Cyclic Triaxial Tests	121
	5.5.1	Deviator Stress	122
	5.5.2	Pore Water Pressure Development	123
5.6	Conso	lidated Undrained Static Tests with Back Saturation for	
	Confin	ing Effective Stress 0 – 100 kPa	125
	5.6.1	Deviator stress	127
	5.6.2	Pore Water Pressure Development	128
5.7	Conso	lidated Undrained Cyclic Triaxial Tests with Back Saturation	129
	5.7.1	Deviator stress	130
	5.7.2	Pore Water Pressure Development	131



5.8	Effective Stress Behaviour of the Soil		
	5.8.1	Failure Envelope	135
	5.8.2	Effects of Confining Pressure and Confining Effective Stress	
		Behaviour	136
5.9	Plastic	Strain Development	138
	5.9.1	Plastic Strain Development for the Unconfined Cyclic Tests	138
	5.9.2	Plastic Strain Development for Unconsolidated and	
		Consolidated Undrained Test	140
5.10	Pore W	ater Pressure Response during Cyclic Loading	144
5.11	Evaluat	ion of Threshold Stress Ratio	145
	5.11.1	Plastic Strain Development Criterion	146
	5.11.2	Pore Water Pressure Measurement Criterion	148
5.12	Thresh	old Stress versus Confining Effective Stress	151
5.13	Chapter Conclusions 1		

CHAPTER 6: DESIGN OF HIGHWAY FORMATIONS USING THRESHOLD STRESS APPROACH

6.1	Introduction			
6.2	Threshold Stress Approach	158		
6.3	Proposed Design Methodology	159		
	6.3.1 Estimation of Induced Stresses on the Subgrade	159		
	6.3.2 Threshold Stress Evaluation	162		
	6.3.3 Design Charts for Highway Formations	163		
6.4	Design Chart Development	163		
	6.4.1 Development of Threshold Stress Line	164		
	6.4.2 Thickness Ratio	164		
	6.4.3 Impact Factor	169		
	6.4.4 Design Charts	170		
6.5	Design of Highway Formations	173		
	6.5.1 Thickness Determination Based on Developed Charts	173		
	6.5.2 Thickness Determination Based on JKR manual	179		
6.6	Simplified Design Approach	183		
	6.6.1 Flow Chart Development	183		



	6.6.2 Design Calculation Procedure	186
6.7	Chapter Conclusions	189
CHAP	TER 7: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
7.1	General Remarks	192
7.2	Concluding Remarks	193
7.3	Recommendation for Future Research	199
REFERENCES		
APPE	NDIX A: CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO TEST RESULTS	222
APPE	NDIX B: PLATE LOAD TEST PREDICTION FROM	
	CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO TEST	229
APPE	NDIX C: UNCONFINED CYCLIC TEST RESULTS	232
APPE	NDIX D: PAVEMENT THICKNESS DESIGN BASED ON JKR	
	MANUAL	241
APPE	NDIX E : PUBLICATIONS DERIVED FROM THIS STUDY	247



Ϊ.

LIST OF TABLES

		Page	
Table 2.1 :	Chemical composition of OPS ash	18	
Table 2.2 :	Chemical composition of OPS aggregate	19	
Table 2.3 :	Physical and mechanical properties of OPS aggregate	20	
Table 2.4 :	Poisson's ratio of Soil	26	
Table 3.1 :	Index Properties, Compaction Characteristics and Classification of local soil	55	
Table 3.2 :	CBR values of standard material	59	
Table 4.1 :	Unsoaked CBR values of OPS agg addition	81	
Table 4.2 :	Unsoaked CBR value of OPS granule addition	82	
Table 4.3 :	Unsoaked CBR value of OPS ash addition	83	
Table 4.4 :	Unsoaked CBR value of lime addition for 7 days curing time	84	
Table 4.5 :	Unsoaked CBR value of lime addition for 28 days curing time	84	
Table 4.6 :	Unsoaked CBR value of lime addition for 100 days curing time	85	
Table 4.7 :	Soaked CBR result of OPS agg addition	86	
Table 4.8 :	Soaked CBR result of OPS ash addition for 7 days curing	86	
Table 4.9 :	Soaked CBR result of OPS ash addition for 14 days curing	87	
Table 4.10:	Soaked CBR result of OPS ash addition for 28 days curing	87	
Table 4.11:	Soaked CBR result of lime addition for 7 days curing	88	
Table 4.12:	Soaked CBR result of lime addition for 14 days curing	88	
Table 4.13:	Soaked CBR result of lime addition for 28 days curing	89	
Table 5.1 :	Data of Unconfined Compression static and cyclic tests samples	110	
Table 5.2 :	Total stress results of the Unconfined Compression cyclic tests	112	
Table 5.3 :	E _u and E _i values	115	



Table 5.4 :	Resilient Modulus of the soil calculated from Unconfined Compression Cyclic test	117
Table 5.5 :	Unconsolidated Undrained static test data	119
Table 5.6 :	Unconsolidated Undrained cyclic tests data	122
Table 5.7 :	Results of Unconsolidated Undrained tests cyclic loading	124
Table 5.8 :	Results of Unconsolidated Undrained static shear tests	125
Table 5.9:	Consolidated Undrained static test data	126
Table 5.10:	Results of Consolidated Undrained static tests (σ_c' 0–100 kPa)	129
Table 5.11:	Consolidated Undrained cyclic triaxial tests data	130
Table 5.12:	Results of Consolidated Undrained cyclic tests	133
Table 5.13:	Results of Consolidated Undrained static shear tests after 100 cycles	133
Table 5.14:	Skempton's pore water pressure parameter, A _f value	134
Table 5.15:	Data related to threshold stress versus σ_c' relationship	152
Table 5.16:	Equation of shear stress versus confining effective stress relationships	154
Table 6.1 :	Wheel load and radius of circular contact area	171
Table 6.2 :	Thickness of formation based on uniform distributed wheel load	178
Table 6.3 :	Thickness of formation based on concentrated wheel load	179
Table 6.4 :	Thickness of formation based on JKR method	181
Table C.1 :	Unconfined Cyclic Compression Tests Data and Results	240



LIST OF FIGURES

			Page
Figure 1.1	:	Flexible pavement layers subjected to wheel loads	3
Figure 1.2	:	Stress pulse induced by a wheel load in a pavement foundation	9
Figure 2.1	:	Empirical relationship between the modulus of subgrade reaction and the California Bearing Ratio	27
Figure 2.2	:	Threshold stress versus Plasticity Index	36
Figure 2.3	:	Typical load distribution in flexible pavement	41
Figure 3.1	:	Different sizes of OPS aggregate	51
Figure 3.2	:	Oil Palm Shell granules additive	52
Figure 3.3	:	Oil Palm Shell ash additive	53
Figure 3.4	:	Lime additive	53
Figure 3.5		Particle size distribution of local soil as subgrade material	55
Figure 3.6	:	CBR test sample preparation	57
Figure 3.7	:	Sample being soaked	57
Figure 3.8	:	CBR test set-up	58
Figure 3.9	:	GDS Triaxial test instrument	60
Figure 3.10	:	Load form used in GDS instrument	61
Figure 3.11	:	Pore pressure measurement transducer in GDS	. 64
Figure 4.1	:	Results of Standard Proctor compaction of soil mixed with OPS agg	71



•

.

Figure 4.2	:	Results of Standard Proctor compaction of soil mixed with OPS granule	72
Figure 4.3	:	Results of Standard Proctor compaction of soil mixed with OPS ash	73
Figure 4.4	:	Results of Standard Proctor compaction of soil mixed with lime	75
Figure 4.5	:	Results of Modified Proctor compaction of soil mixed with OPS agg	76
Figure 4.6	:	Results of Modified Proctor compaction of soil mixed with OPS ash	78
Figure 4.7	:	Results of Modified Proctor compaction of soil mixed with lime	79
Figure 4.8	:	Result from CBR test	90
Figure 4.9	:	CBR model in COSMOSWorks FEM	92
Figure 4.10	:	Relationship between loads and deflections subgrade soil using FEM	94
Figure 4.11	:	CBR versus E obtained from the FEM with several Poisson's ratio value	95
Figure 4.12	:	Figure of CBR and PLT set up	97
Figure 4.13	:	Pressure–Deflection from FEM with various value of E (Pa)	98
Figure 4.14	:	Flow chart of the procedure to predict the PLT result	102
Figure 4.15	:	Optimum maximum dry density from Standard Proctor Compaction	104



Figure 4.16	•	Optimum maximum dry density from Modified Proctor Compaction	106
Figure 5.1	:	Stress-strain relationship for unconfined static tests.	111
Figure 5.2	:	Maximum deviator stress after 100 cycles at various cyclic stress ratios	113
Figure 5.3	:	Maximum deviator stress during static shearing	113
Figure 5.4	:	UCT test result for calculation of modulus of elasticity for cyclic stress amplitude of 400 kPa	114
Figure 5.5	:	Deviator Stress cyclic stress amplitude 400 kPa	116
Figure 5.6	:	Dry density versus moisture content relationship for effective stress tests	118
Figure 5.7	:	Deviator stress versus axial strain for static Unconsolidated Undrained tests	120
Figure 5.8	:	Pore pressure rise during static loading for static Unconsolidated Undrained tests	121
Figure 5.9	:	Deviator stress for Unconsolidated Undrained cyclic tests	123
Figure 5.10		Pore pressure rise for Unconsolidated Undrained cyclic tests	124
Figure 5.11	:	Deviator stress for Consolidated Undrained static tests	127
Figure 5.12	:	Pore water pressure rise for Consolidated Undrained static tests	128
Figure 5.13	:	Deviator stress versus axial strain for Consolidated Undrained cyclic test	131
Figure 5.14	:	Pore water pressure rise for Consolidated Undrained cyclic tests	132



Figure 5.15	:	Effective stress failure envelope	135
Figure 5.16	:	Deviator stress versus axial strain for various σ_3 and σ_c'	136
Figure 5.17	:	Deviator stress versus effective stress	137
Figure 5.18	:	Pore water pressure versus axial strain	137
Figure 5.19	:	Deformation of the sample due to cyclic shear loading	139
Figure 5.20	:	Plastic strain versus R_f for unconfined cyclic tests	139
Figure 5.21	:	Increase in plastic strain with cyclic loading ($\sigma_c' \leq 40$ kPa).	141
Figure 5.22	:	Increase in plastic strain with cyclic loading for high confining effective stress	141
Figure 5.23	:	Pore water pressure rise for high confining effective stress	144
Figure 5.24	:	Pore water pressure development for low confining effective stress	145
Figure 5.25	:	Plastic strain versus cyclic deviator stress	146
Figure 5.26	:	Variations of $\Delta U_{max}/\sigma'_c$ with σ'_c for low confining effective stress	147
Figure 5.27	:	Normalized effective stress path for static and cyclic test for high confining effective stress	148
Figure 5.28	:	Normalized effective stress path for cyclic tests with high confining effective stress	149
Figure 5.29	:	Predicted line for ΔU_{max} occurence	150
Figure 5.30	:	Shear strength versus confining effective stress relationship	153
Figure 5.31	:	Threshold stress value versus confining effective stress	155

.

.



Figure 6.1	:	Response due to a circular load with a radius, a and uniform pressure, q	160
Figure 6.2	:	Solution at Axis of Symmetry (Huang, 1993)	161
Figure 6.3	:	Induced stress ($\sigma_1 - \sigma_3$) versus D for tyre pressure 345 kPa	167
Figure 6.4	:	Induced stress ($\sigma_1 - \sigma_3$) versus D for tyre pressure 690 kPa	168
Figure 6.5	:	Flow chart of thickness determination	169
Figure 6.6	:	Minimum thickness determination based on 80 kN wheel load	172
Figure 6.7	:	Design Chart for tyre pressure 345 kPa without impact factor	174
Figure 6.8	:	Design Chart for tyre pressure 690 kPa without impact factor	175
Figure 6.9	:	Design Chart for tyre pressure 345 kPa with impact factor (30%)	176
Figure 6.10	:	Design Chart for tyre pressure 690 kPa with impact factor (30%)	177
Figure 6.11	:	Thickness formations from various load conditions	182
Figure 6.12	:	Flow chart for simplified design approach	185
Figure 6.13	:	Thickness of formation layers (tyre pressure = 345 kPa)	189
Figure 6.14	:	Thickness of formation layers (tyre pressure = 690 kPa)	189
Figure A.1	:	CBR test results of soil with Oil Palm Shell aggregate	222
Figure A.2	:	CBR test results of soil with Oil Palm Shell granule	223
Figure A.3	:	CBR test results of soil with Oil Palm Shell ash	223

λ.



Figure A.4	:	CBR test results of soil with lime for 7 days curing time	224
Figure A.5	:	CBR test results of soil with lime for 28 days curing time	224
Figure A.6	:	CBR test results of soil with lime for 100 days curing time	225
Figure A.7	:	CBR test results of soil with OPS agg	225
Figure A.8	:	CBR result of soil with OPS ash for 7 days curing time	226
Figure A.9	:	CBR result of soil with OPS ash for 14 days curing time	226
Figure A.10	:	CBR result of soil with OPS ash for 28 days curing time	227
Figure A.11	:	CBR result of soil with lime for 7 days curing time	227
Figure A.12	:	CBR result of soil with lime for 14 days curing time	228
Figure A.13	:	CBR result of soil with lime for 28 days curing time	228
Figure B.1	:	Prediction of field plate load test response for d =760 mm ($v = 0$, $v = 0.3$, $v = 0.4$), E = 3900 kPa	231
Figure C.1	:	Unconfined Cyclic Test Results for $R_f = 4.63\%$	232
Figure C.2	:	Unconfined Cyclic Test Results for $R_f = 6.82\%$	233
Figure C.3	:	Unconfined Cyclic Test Results for $R_f = 12.694\%$	234
Figure C.4	:	Unconfined Cyclic Test Results for $R_f = 31.541\%$	235
Figure C.5	:	Unconfined Cyclic Test Results for $R_f = 49.91\%$	236
Figure C.6	:	Unconfined Cyclic Test Results for $R_f = 37.644\%$	237
Figure C.7	:	Unconfined Cyclic Test Results for $R_f = 44.63\%$	238
Figure C.8	:	Unconfined Cyclic Test Results for $R_f = 58.129\%$	239
Figure D.1	:	Determination of the Corrected Equivalent Thickness, T_{A}' for 1,000,000 ESALs	241
Figure D.2	:	Thickness of formation layers for 1,000,000 ESALs	243

-



Figure D.3	:	Determination of the Corrected Equivalent Thickness, T_A'	
		for 5,000,000 ESALs	243
Figure D.4	:	Thickness of formation layers for 5,000,000 ESALs	244
Figure D.5	:	Determination of the Corrected Equivalent Thickness, T_{A}' for 10,000,000 ESALs	245
Figure D.6	:	Thickness of formation layers for 10,000,000 ESALs	246



•

LIST OF APPENDIX

		page
APPENDIX A:	CALIFORNIA BEAQRING RATIO TEST RESULTS	222
APPENDIX B:	PLATE LOAD TEST PREDICTION FROM CALIFORNIA	
	BEARING RATIO TEST	229
APPENDIX C:	UNCONFINED CYCLIC TRIAXIAL TEST RESULTS	232
APPENDIX D:	PAVEMENT THICKNESS DESIGN BASED ON JKR	
	MANUAL	241
APPENDIX E:	PUBLICATIONS DERIVED FROM THIS STUDY	247



.

REFERENCE

AASHTO T-222. 2004. Standard Method of Test for Nonrepetitive Static Plate Load Test of Soils and Flexible Pavement Components, for Use in Evaluation and Design of Airport and Highway Pavements.

AASHTO. 1993. Specification for the Design of Pavement Structures, Vol. 1.

AASHTO T-193: Standard Method of Test for the California Bearing Ratio.

AASHTO. 1989. Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges, 14th edition.

- Addison, M. B., and Polma, F. A. 2007. *Extending Durability of Lime Modified Clay Subgrades with Cement Stabilization*, Proceedings of Sessions of Geo-Denver.
- Ahlvin, R. G., and Ulery, H. H. 1962. *Tabulated Values for Determining the Complete Pattern of Stresses, Strains and Deflection Beneath a Circular Load on a Homogeneous Half Space*, Bulleting 342, Highway Research Board, pp 1-13.
- Akbulut, S., Arasan, S., and Kalkan, E. 2007. *Modification of Clayey Soils Using Scrap Tire Rubber and Synthetic Fibers*. Applied Clay Science **38**(1-2): 23-32.
- Alkasawneh, W., Ernie Pan P.E., Han, F., Zhu, R., and Green R. P. E. 2006. *Flexible Pavement Response to Elastic Modulus Variation With Depth*. Available at <u>http://www.ohio.edu/icpp/upload/Flexible%20Pavement%20Response-</u> <u>Alkasawneh.pdf</u> [retrieved June 2010].



- Al-Rawas, A.A., Hagoa, A.W. and Al-Sarmib, H. 2005. *Effect of Lime, Cement and* Sarooj (Artificial Pozzolan) on the Swelling Potential of an Expansive Soil from Oman. Building and Environment, **40**: 681-687.
- Altun, S., and Goktepe, A. B. 2006. *Cyclic Stress-Strain Behaviour of Partially Saturated Soils*, ASCE Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Unsaturated Soils.
- Altwair, N. M., and Kabir. S. 2010. *Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA): An Environmentally-Friendly Supplemental Cementitious Material for Concrete Production*, International Conference on Material Science and 64th RILEM Annual Week, Aachen, Germany.
- Andersen, K.H., Rosenbrand, W. F., Brown, S. F. and Pool J. H. 1980. *Cyclic and Static Laboratory Tests on Dramen Clay,* Proc. A.S.C.E, Vol 106, No. UTS, 499-529.
- Ansal, A., Iyisan, R, and Yildirim, H. 2001. *The Cyclic Behaviour of Soils and Effects* of Geotechnical Factors in Microzonation, Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering.
- Arvidsson., J and Keller, T. 2007. *Soil Stress as Affected by Wheel Load and Tyre Inflation Pressure*. Soil & Tillage Research **96**(1-2), 284-291.
- Asphalt Institute. 1981. Thickness Design-Asphalt Pavements for Highways and Streets, M. S. 1981.
- ASTM D1196 93. 2004. Standard Test Method for Nonrepetitive Static Plate Load Tests of Soils and Flexible Pavement Components, for Use in Evaluation and Design of Airport and Highway Pavements

ASTM D1557. 1991. Geotechnical Engineering Standards.



- ASTM D1883-05. Standard Test Method for CBR (California Bearing Ratio) of Laboratory-Compacted Soils.
- ASTM D2850-95. 1999. Standard Test Method for Unconsolidated-Undrained Triaxial CompressionTest on Cohesive Soils.
- ASTM D4318-10. Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils.
- ASTM D 4394 84. 1998. Standard Test Method for Determining the In Situ Modulus of Deformation of Rock Mass Using the Rigid Plate Loading Method (Reapproved 1998).
- ASTM, 1965. Compaction of Soils, Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 65-18214
- Atkinson, J.H., 1981. Foundations and Slopes: An Introduction to Applications of Critical State Soil Mechanics, John Wiley & Sons: New York.
- Attya, A., Indraratna, B., and Rujikiatkamjorn, C. 2007. Cyclic Behaviour of PVD-Soft Soil Subgrade for Improvement of Railway Tracks. Proceedings of the 10th Australia New Zealand Conference on Geomechanics, Brisbane, Australia, 21-24 October 2007, 2, 36-41.
- Awal, A. S. M. and Hussin, M. W. 1997. *The Effectiveness of Palm Oil Fuel Ash in Preventing Expansion Due to Alkali-silica reaction*. Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai, Johor Bahru, Malaysia.
- Baldi, G., Hight, D. W and Thomas, G. E. 1988. A Reevaluation of Conventional Triaxial Test Methods- State of the Art Paper, Advanced Triaxial Testing of Soil and Rock, ASTM STP977, Donaghe, R. T., Chaney, R. C., and Silver, M. L. (eds), Philadelphia. 219 – 263.



- Barksdale, R. 1997. *Laboratory Determination of Resilient Modulus for Flexible Pavement Design:* Final Report, NCHRP Web Doc 14, National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Washington DC.
- Basri, H.B., Mannan, M.A., and Zain, M. F. M. 1999. Concrete Using Waste Oil Palm Shells as Aggregate. Journal Cement and Concrete Research 29(4): 619-622.
- Behzadi, G., and Yandell, W. O. 1996. Determination of Elastic and Plastic Subgrade Soil Parameters for Asphalt Cracking and Rutting Prediction, Transportation Research Record, 1540, pp. 97-104.
- Bell F. G. 2004. Engineering Geology and Construction, Taylor and Francis.
- Bell, F. G. 1996. Lime Stabilization of Clay Minerals and Soils. Journal of Engineering Geology 42(4): 223-237.
- Bishop, A. W. & Henkel, D. J. 1962. *The Measurement of Soil Properties in the Triaxial Tes*t, 2nd ed. London: Edward Arnold.
- Bishop, A. W., Alpan, I., Blight, G. E., and Donald, I. B. 1960. Factors Controlling the Strength of Partially Cohesive Soils, Proceeding Research Conference on Shear Strength of Cohesive Soils, ASCE, University of Colorado, Boulder, 503-532.
- Bishop, A. W., and Henkel, D. J. 1953. Pore Pressure Changes during Shear in Two Undisturbed Clays. Proceeding 3rd Int. Conf. Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Zurich.
- Boardman, D.I., Glendinning, S., and Rogers, C. D. F. 2001. Development of Solidification and Stabilisation in Lime–Clay Mixes. Geotechnique 40:533– 543.



- Borhan, M. N., Ismail. A., and Rahmat. R. A. 2010. Evaluation of Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) on Asphalt Mixtures, Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 4(10): 5456-5463, ISSN 1991-8178.
- Boussinesq, J. 1885. Application des Potentiels a l'etude de l'equilibre et du Mouvement des Solids Elastiques, Gauthier-Villars, Paris.
- Bowles, J. E. 1984. *Physical and Geotechnical Properties of Soils*, McGraw-Hill International Edition.
- Briaud. J. Louis. 2000. Introduction to Soil Moduli <u>http://www.hanmicorp.net/MFG/Humboldt/GeoGauge/GeoGauge--</u> <u>Reports/FHWA%20GeoGauge%20Study/Presentations/2-IntroModulus.pdf</u> (Retrieved October 2009)
- Brown, S. F. 1997. *Achievements and Challenges in Asphalt Pavement Engineering*, ISAP - 8th International Conference on Asphalt Pavements – Seattle.
- Brown, S. F. 1996. *Soil Mechanics in Pavement Engineering 36th Rankine Lecture*, Geotechnique Vol. 46, No. 1, pp. 383-426.
- Brown, S. F. and Dawson, A. R. 1992. *Two-Stage Approach to Asphalt Pavement Design*, Proceeding 7th International Conf. Asphalt Pavements, Nottingham.
- Brown, S. F., Lashine, A. K. F., and Hyde, A. F. L. 1975. *Repeated Load Testing of a Silty Clay*. Geotechnique, **25**(1) 95-114.
- Brown, E.R. 1990. *Density of Asphalt Concrete How Much Is Needed*?, Presented at the 69th Annual Meeting of The Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC, January 1990, NCAT Report No. 90-3.

BS 1377-4. 1990. Method of Test for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes.



BS 1924 : Part 2 (5.4). 1990. *Methods of Test for Cement-Stabilised and Lime-Stabilised Material, Chemical Tests.*

BS 882. 1983. Specification for Aggregate from Natural Sources for Concrete.

- Business Magazine. 2010. *Malaysian Palm Oil Industry Performance 2009*, Global Oils and Fats, KDN No: PP10311/10/2010 Vol. 7.
- Cetin, H., Fener, M., and Gunaydin, O. 2006. Geotechnical Properties of Tire-Cohesive Clayey Soil Mixtures as a Fill Material. J. Engineering Geology 88(1-2): 110-120.
- Cheung, L. W. 1994. *Laboratory Assessment of Pavement Foundation Materials*. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK.
- Chindaprasirt. P., Homwuttiwong. S. and Jaturapitakkul. 2007. *Strength and Water Permeability of Concrete Containing Palm Oil Fuel Ash and Rice Husk-Bark Ash*. Construction and Building Materials. **21**. 1492-1499.
- Chowdhury, I. and Dasgupta, S. P. 2008. Dynamics of Structure and Foundation: A Unified Approach, Volume 2, CRC Press, Dec 18, 632 pages.
- COSMOS. 2004. *Introducing COSMOSWork*, Solidwork, Structural Research and Analysis Corporation (SRAC).

Craig, R. F. 1992. Soil Mechanics, Chapman and Hall, 5th edition, London, UK.

Crawford, C. B. 1961. *The Influence of Strain on Shearing Resistance of Sensitive Clay*. ASTM, 61, 12501265.

Croney, D. 1977. The Design and Performance of Road Pavements, London, HMSO.



- Das, B. M., 2008. *Advanced Soil Mechanics*, 3rd edition, Taylor and Francis, New York.
- Das, B. M. and Sivakugan, N. 2007. Settlements of Shallow Foundations on Granular Soil — An Overview, International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, pp.19–29.
- Davich. P., Labuz, J., Guzina. B, and Drescher A. 2004. *Small Strain and Resilient Modulus Testing of Granular Soils*, Final Report, Minnesota Department Of Transportation Research Services Section.
- Day, R. W. 2000. *Geotechnical Engineer's Portable Handbook*, McGraw-Hill Professional. US
- De Beer, M., Fisher, C, and Kannemeyer, L. 2004. Tyre-Pavement Interface Contact Stresses on Flexible Pavements – Quo Vadis ? 8th Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa Roads – The Arteries of Africa (CAPSA 2004), September 12 - 16, 2004, Sun City, North West Province, South Africa.
- Diaz-Rodriguez, J. A. 1988. *Overconsolidated Mexico City Clay Under Cyclic Loading*. Proceeding Ninth World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Tokyo Japan. Vol. III
- Diaz-Rodriguez, J. A and López-Molina, J. A. 2008. *Strain Thresholds in Soil Dynamics*, 14th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, October 12-17, 2008, Beijing, China.
- Dobry, R., Ladd, R. S., Yokel, F. Y., Chung, R. M., and Powell, D. 1982. Prediction of Pore-Water Pressure Buildup and Liquefaction of Sands During Earthquakes by The Cyclic Strain Method. National Bureau of Standards Building Science Series No. 138.



- Douglas, R. A. 1997. *Heavy Load, Low Tire Pressure Rutting of Unbound Granular Pavements*. Journal of Transportation Engineering **123**(5): 357.
- Durgunoglu,T, Yilmaz, O., Kalafat, M, Karadayilar, T, and Eser, M. 2004. An Integrated Approach for Characterization and Modelling of Soft Clays under Seismic Loading: A Case Study, The 11th International Conference on Soil Dynamics & Earthquake Engineering (11th ICSDEE). The 3rd International Conference on Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering (3rd ICEGE). University of California, Berkeley, January 7-9.
- Ejezie, S. U., and Williams, K. H. 1987. *Reliability of Cyclic Load Deformation Models for Cohesive Soil*. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 6 No.2.
- Elliott, R. P., and Thompson, M. R. 1985. *ILLI-PAVE Mechanistic Analysis of AASHO Road Test Flexible Pavements,* TRR 1043, TRB, Washington D. C. 39-49.
- Elliott, R. P., Dennis N. D., and Qiu, Yanjun. 1998. *Permanent Deformation of Subgrade Soils*, A test Protocol, Department of Civil Engineering University of Arkansas Fayetteville, AR 72701.
- Fall, M., Tisot, J. P., and Cisse, I. K. 1997. Undrained Behaviour of Compacted Gravel Lateritic Soils from Western Senegal under Monotonic and Cyclic Triaxial Loading, J. Engineering Geology 47(1-2): 71-87.
- Fang. H. Y. 1990. *Foundation Engineering Handbook*, Kluwer Academic Publisher 6th printing, Massachusetts.
- Foster, C. R., and Ahlvin, R.G. 1954. *Stresses and Deflection Induced by a Uniform Circular Load,* Proceedings, Highway Research Board Vol. 33, pp 467-470.
- French, S. E. 1999. *Design of Shallow Foundations*, ASCE Publications, Mar 1, 1999
 Technology & Engineering 374 pages.



- Fredlund, D.G. and Rahardjo, H. 1993. *Soil Mechanics for Unsaturated Soils.* John Wiley and Sons, New York, 560p.
- Frost, M. W., Fleming, P. R., and Rogers, C. D. F. 2004. Cyclic Triaxial Tests on Clay Subgrades for Analytical Pavement Design, Journal Transport Engineering, Volume 130, Issue 3, pp. 378-386 (May/June 2004)
- Frost, M. W., Fleming, P. R. and Rogers, C. D. F., 2002. Threshold Stress and Asymptotic Stiffness of UK Clays in the Repeated Load Triaxial Test. IN: A. Gomes Correia, F. E. F. Branco (eds.) Bearing Capacity of Roads, Railways and Airfields: Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on the Bearing Capacity of Roads and Airfields, Lisbon, Portugal, 24-26 June 2002. pp. 1099-1108
- Garber, N. J., and Hoel, L. A. 1988. *Traffic and Highway Engineering*, West Publishers Company.
- Garcia, G and Thompson, M. 2003. *Working Platform Requirements for Pavement Construction,* A White Paper Prepared for the Technical Review Panel, Illionois.
- Haider, S. W., Chatti, K., Buch, Neeraj., Lyles, R.W., Pulipaka, A.S., and Gililand, Dennis. 2007. Effect of Design and Site Factors on the Long-Term Performance of Flexible Pavements, Journal of Performance Of Constructed Facilities, ASCE/ July/August.
- Harr, M. E. 1966. *Foundations of Theoretical Soil Mechanics*, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Hasan, J. U., and Fredlund, D. G. 1980. *Pore Pressure Parameters for Unsaturated Soils*, Canadian Geotech. Journal, 17, 395-404



Hetenyi, M. 1946. *Beams on Elastic Foundation*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor.

- Heukelom, W. and Klomp, A.J.H. 1962. Dynamic Testing as a Means of Controlling Pavements during and after Construction, Proceedings of the International Conference on the Structural Design of Asphalt Pavements. University of Michgan, Ann Arbor, USA, pp. 667-679.
- Hight, D.W. and Stevens, M.G.H. 1982. *An Analysis of the California Bearing Ratio Test in Saturated Clays.* Geotechnique, Vol. 32, No.4, pp. 315-322.
- Hiong, N. C. 2010. *Studies on Precast Reinforced Concrete Floor Panels Using Oil Palm Shell Aggregate*, Ph.D thesis, Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- Hoff, I. 2004. *Improvement of Equipment for Cyclic Triaxial Testing*, Sintef Report, Sintef Civil and Environmental Engineering, Roads and Transport, Trondheim, Norway.
- Hsu, C. C., and Vucetic, M. _2006. *Threshold Shear Strain for Cyclic Pore-Water Pressure in Cohesive Soils*, Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol. 132, No. 10.
- Hugh, Y. D., and Roger, F. A. 2000. *Pressure in a Truck Tire,* Sears & Zemansky's University of Physics tenth edition. New York: Addison Wesley Longman inc., 2000: Page 451, 343.
- Huang H. Yang. 1993. *Pavement Analysis and Design*, Prentice Hall Englewood Cliff, New Jersey.
- Hyde, A. F. L. and Brown, S. F. 1976. The Plastic Deformation of a Silty Clay Under Creep and Repeated Loading, Geotechnique, London, England, 26(1), 173-184.



- Interim Advice Note 73/06. 2009. *Design Guidance for Road Pavement Foundations* (Draft HD25), Revision 1.
- Ishihara, K. 1993. *Liquefaction and Flow Failure During Earthquakes*, The 33rd Rankine Lecture. Geotechnique, Vol. 43, No. 3, pp. 351-415.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR). 1985. *Manual on Pavement Design*, Malaysia, Arahan Teknik/Jalan 5/85.
- Jamiolkowski. M., Lancellotta. R. and Lo Presti. D. 1999. *Pre-failure Deformation Characteristics of Geomaterials*, Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Pre-failure Deformation Characteristics of Geomaterials, Italy.
- Jaturapitakkul C., Tangpagasit, J., Songmue, S., and Kiattikomol, K. 2011. *Filler Effect and Pozzolanic Reaction of Ground Palm Oil Fuel Ash*. Construction Building and Material, doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.04.073.
- Jerath, S. and Gurav, S. 2008. *Road Surface Roughness Generation by Power Spectral Density in Bridge Design*, ASCE Conf. Proc. doi:10.1061/41016(314)312.
- Joint Departments of the Army and Air Force (TM 5-822-13/AFJMAN 32-1018). 1994. Pavement Design for Roads, Streets, and Open Storage Areas, Elastic Layered Method, October 1994.
- Jones, G. 1997. *Analysis of Beams an Elastic Foundation*. Thomas Telford, United Kingdom.
- Kameswara Rao, N. S. V. 2005. *Mechanical Vibration of Elastic System*, Asian Books Private Limited, New Delhi



- Kameswara Rao, N. S. V. 2000. *Dynamic Soil Tests and Applications*, A. H. Wheeler and Co. Ltd, New Delhi, First Edition 2000.
- Kavak. A, and Akyarli, A. 2007. *A Field Application for Lime Stabilization*, J. Environmental geology ISSN 0943-0105, vol. 51, no.6, pp. 987-997.
- Kezdi, A. 1979. *Stabilized Earth Roads*. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam.
- Kleyn, E.G., and Van Heerden, 1983. Using DCP Soundings to Optimize Pavement Rehabilitation, Paper submitted for Annual Transportation Convention, Johannesburg, July. Report LS/83 Materials Branch, Transvaal Roads Department, Pretoria, South Africa
- Kouby, A. L., Bourgeois, E and Lacoste, F. R. 2010. Subgrade Improvement Method for Existing Railway Lines – an Experimental and Numerical Study, EJGE-Vol. 15 [2010], Bund. E.
- Krizek, R. J., Ansal, A., and Bazant, Z. P. 1978. Constitutive Equation for Cyclic Behaviour of Cohesive Soils. Proceeding ASCE Specialty Conf. on Earthquake Engrg. and Soil Dynamics, Cal. Inst. Of Tech., Pasadena, CA (1), 557-558
- Kurowski, P. M. 2006. *Engineering Analysis with COSMOSWorks Professional 2006.* Schroff Development Corporation (SDC) Publishing.
- Laird, H. M. C. 1995. Frequency Effects on Cyclic Plastic Strain of Polycrystalline Copper under Variable Loading, Materials Science and Engineering A194 (1995) 137-145.
- Larew, H. G., and Leonards, G. A. 1962. *A Strength Criterion for Repeated Loads*. Proceedings of the Highway Research Board, No. 41, 525-556.



- Lees, G., Abdelkader, M. O. and Hamdani, S. K. 1982. Effect of the Clay Fraction on Some Mechanical Properties of Lime Soil Mixtures, J. Inst. High. Engr., 11:3-9.
- Lefebvre, G. S., Leboeuf, D., and Demers, B. 1989. *Stability Threshold for Cyclic Loading of Saturated Clay*. Canadian Geotechnical Journal **26**(1): 122-131.
- Lefebvre, G. S., and LeBoeuf, D. 1987. *Rate Effects and Cyclic Loading of Sensitive Clays*. ASCE Journal of Geotechnical Engineering **113**: 476-489.
- Lekarp, F., Isacsson, U., and Dawson, A. R. 2000. State of the Art. I: Resilient Response of Unbound Aggregates. Journal of Transportation Engineering, Vol. 126, No.1, January/February 2000, pp. 66-75.
- Lin, D. F., Lin, K. L., Hung, M. J., and Luo, H. L. 2007. Sludge Ash/Hydrated Lime on the Geotechnical Properties of Soft Soil Journal of Hazardous Materials, Volume 145, Issues 1-2, 25 June 2007, Pages 58-64.
- Look, B. G. 2007. *Handbook of Geotechnical Investigation and Design Tables*, Taylor & Francis Group, London, UK.
- Luo, R., and Prozzi, J. A. 2005. *Evaluation of the Joint Effect of Wheel Load and Tire Pressure on Pavement Performance*, University of Texas, Research Report SWUTC/05/167245-1.
- Makinda. J., Gungat. L, and Putri. E. E. 2009. *Study of Using Lime and Recycled Asphalt Pavement in Stabilizing Soil*, 1st International Conference on Rehabilitation and Maintenance in Civil Engineering (ICRMCE), Sebelas Maret University (UNS) Solo, 21st -22nd March.
- Mannan, M. A. and Ganapathy, C. 2001. Long-Term Strengths of Concrete With Oil Palm Shell as Coarse Aggregate, Cement and Concrete Research **31**(9): 1319-1321.



- Mannan, M. A., Alexander, J., Ganapathy,C., and Teo D.C.L. 2006. *Quality Improvement of Oil Palm Shell (OPS) as Coarse Aggregate in Lightweight Concrete*, J.Building and Environment **41**(9): 1239-1242.
- Manual of Contract Documents for Highway Works (MCDHW). 1994. *Volume 2 Notes for Guidance on the Specification for Highway Works*, Great Britain
- Marsal, R. J. 1979. *Analysis of Fundamentals of Compacted Cohesive Soils, General Report,* Proc. 6th Pan-Am Conf. on Soil Mechanics and Foundations Engineering, Lima, Peru.
- Matasovic, N. and Vucetic, M. 1995. *Generalized Cyclic-Degradation-Pore Pressure Generation Model for Clays,* Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, Vol. 121 No.1.

MnDoT. 2007. Chapter 3: Pavement Manual. Minnesota Department of Transport

- Mn/Road. 1998. Manual of Minnesota Department of Transport. http://www.lrrb.org/apg/2350.htm
- Moayed, R. Z., and Janbaz, M. 2009. *Effective Parameters on Modulus of Subgrade* Reaction in Clayey Soils, Journal of Applied Sciences, 9: 4006-4012.
- Mohd Hizam Bin Harun. 2005. *The New JKR Manual on Pavement Design*, Final Report: JKR 20601-LK-0156-KP-05
- Monismith, C. L., Ogawa, N., and Freeme, C. R. 1975. *A Permanent Deformation Characteristics of Subgrade Soils due to Repeated Loading, TRR 537*, Washington D.C., TRB, 1-17.
- Ngo-Tran T. L. and Hayashikawa T. 2008. *Dynamic Interaction Analysis of Simply* Supported Twin I-Girder Bridge Subjected To Moving Trucks,



IABSE Conference on Information and Communication Technology (ICT) for Bridges, Buildings and Construction Practice, Helsinki, Finland.

- Nicholson, P., Kashyap, V., & Fuji, C. 1994. Lime and Fly Ash Admixture Improvement of Tropical Hawaiian Soils. Transportation Research Record, Washington, DC, No. 1440, pp. 7178.
- Nurulhuda Binti Amri. 2008. Preparation of Activated Carbons from Waste Tyres Char Impregnated With Potassium Hydroxide and Carbon Dioxide Gasification, Master's Thesis, Univ. Sains. Malaysia
- Østnor, T. 2007. Alternative Pozzolans" as Supplementary Cementitious Materials in Concrete, Sintef Report, Norway
- Osula, D. O. A. 1991. *Lime Modification of Problem Laterite*. Engineering Geology **30**(2): 141-15.
- Ouhadi, V.R., and Yong, R.N. 2003. *The Role of Clay Fraction of Marly Soils on Their Post Stabilization Failure*. J. Engineering Geology 70, 365–375.
- ORE. 1983. Question D 117—Optimum Adoption of the Conventional Track to the Future Traffic. Report No. 25—The Behaviour of the Track Bed Structure Under Repeated Loading (Tests at Vienna Arsenal and Derby). Report No. D117 / RP, Office for Research and Experiments-International Union of Railways Utrecht, Netherlands.
- ORE. 1970. *Question D71; Stresses in the Rails, the Ballast and in the Formations Resulting from Traffic Load*, Report no.12; Repeated loading of Clay and Track Foundation Design, Report no. D71 / RP12, Office for Research and Experiments-International Union of Railways Utrecht, Netherlands.



- Papagiannakis, A. T. and Masad E. 2008. *Pavement Design and Materials*, John Wiley and Sons, Canada
- Patel, R. S. and Desai, M. D. 2010. *CBR Predicted by Index Properties for Alluvial Soils of South Gujarat*, Indian Geotechnical Conference, Bombay.
- Porter, O. J. 1938. *The Preparation of Subgrades*, Proc. Highway Res. Board, 18, No. 2, Washington, DC, 324-331.
- Powell, W. D., Potter, J. F., Mayhew, H. C., and Nunn, M. E. 1984. *The Structural Design of Bituminous Roads*. TRRL Report LR 1132, 62pp.
- Presti, L. D., Lai. C and Foti, S. 2004. Geophysical and Geotechnical Investigations for Ground Response Analyses, ISBN 978-1-4020-1827-5 (Print) 978-14020-2528-0 (Online) Springer Netherlands.
- Price, J. F. 2005. *Dimensional Analysis of Models and Data Sets: Similarity Solutions and Scaling Analysis*, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts,02543 (http://www.whoi.edu/science/PO/people/jprice/class/DA_SSSA.pdf)
- Rahman, M. A. 1987. *Effects of Cement-Lime Mixes on Lateritic Soils for Use in Highway Construction*, Building and Environment **22**(2): 141-145.
- Ranjan, G., and Rao, A. S. R. 2007. *Basic and Applied Soil Mechanics*, New Age International Publisher, New Delhi.
- Rao, S. M. and Shivananda, P. 2005. *Role of Curing Temperature in Progress of Lime-Soil Reactions*, Geotechnical and Geological Engineering 23(1): 79-85.
- Rowe, R. K. 2001. *Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering Handbook*, Springer, 1088 pages



- Saeed, A. 2008. *Performance-Related Tests of Recycled Aggregates for Use in Unbound Pavement Layers*, NCHRP REPORT 598.
- Sangrey, D. A. 1968. *The Behaviour of Soils Subjected to the Repeated Loading*, Doctor Thesis, Cornell Univ., at Ithaca, USA.
- Sangrey, D. A., Pollard, W. S., and Egan, J. A. 1978. Errors Associated with Rate of Undrained Cyclic Testing of Clay Soils, Dynamic Geotechnical Testing-STP654, edited by M. L. Silver, D. Tiedemann, ASTM 2nd printing, Baltimore.
- Selvadurai, A. P. S. 1979. *Elastic Analysis of Soil-Foundation Interaction, Developments in Geotechnical Engineering*, Vol. 17, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Shahu, J. T., Yudhbir, and Kameswara Rao, N. S. V. 2000. A Rational Method for Design of Railroad Track Foundation, Soils and Foundations, Japanese Geotechnical Society, Soil and Foundation vol.40.
- Shahu, J.T., Yudhbir, and Kameswara Rao, N.S.V. 1999. A Simple Test Methodology for Soils Under Transportation Routes, Journal of Geotechnique, The Institution of Civil Engineers, London, 49, 5, October, 1999, pp.639 – 649.
- Shahu, J. T. 1993. *Some Analytical and Experimental Investigations to Study the Behaviour of Soil under Railway Tracks*, PhD Thesis of Indian Institute of Technology, at Kanpur India.
- Shioi, Y., and Sakai, T. 2007. *Influence of Impact Load on Base Course and Subgrade by Circulation, Design and Construction of Pavement and Railtrac*ks, Taylor and Francis, LLC.
- Simons, N. E and Menzies, B. K. 2000, *Effective stress and short term and long* term stability - Shear strength - Immediate settlement - Bearing capacity of



footings - *Settlement analysis* - *Piled information*, Thomas Telford - Technology & Engineering - 244 pages

- Sitharam, T. G., Govindaraju, L. and Srinivasa Murthy, B. R. 2004. *Evaluation of Liquefaction Potential and Dynamic Properties of Silty Sand Using Cyclic Triaxial Testing*, Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol. 27. No. 5.
- Sukumaran, B., Kyatham, V., Shah, A., and Sheth, D. 2002. *Suitability of Using California Bearing Ratio to Predict Resilient Modulus*, presented on FAA Airport Technology Transfer Conference 05/2002.
- Szirtes. T., 2007. *Applied Dimensional Analysis and Modeling*, Butterworth-Heinemann
- Tangchirapat, W., Jaturapitakkul, C. and Chindaprasirt, P. 2009. Use of Palm Oil Fuel Ash as a Supplementary Cementitious Material for Producing High-Strength Concrete, Construction and Building Materials 23, pp. 2641–2646.
- Tangchirapat, W., Saeting, T., Jaturapitakkul, C., Kiattikomol, K and Siripanichgorn. 2007. Use of Waste Ash from Palm Oil Industry in Concrete, Waste Management, Vol. 27, pp 81-88.
- Tay, J. H. and Show K.Y. 1995. Use of Ash Derived from Oil-Palm Waste Incineration as a Cement Replacement Material. J. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 13. pp 27-36.
- Taylor, D.W. 1951. Research on Shearing Resistance of Clay: Sections on Water Migration Studies. U.S. Army Engineers Waterways Experiment Station Report 36/42, Vicksburg, Mississippi, 9-12.
- Teo, D. C. L., Mannan, M. A., and Kurian, V. J. 2006. Structural Concrete Using Oil Palm Shell (OPS) as Lightweight Aggregate, Turkish J. Eng. Env. Sci. 251-257.



- Teong, L. K., Mohamed, A. R., Harun. A., Dahlan, I., and Zainudin, N. F. 2006. *A* Novel Technology for the Production of Fertilizer from Oil Palm Ash: Zero Waste Emission Concept (Article Released Fri-14th-July-2006).
- Terzaghi, K., Peck R. B, and Mesri, G. 1996 *Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice*, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Terzaghi, K., 1955. *Evaluation* of *Coefficients of Subgrade Reaction*, Geotechnique (London), V. 5, No. 4, pp. 297-326.
- Thompson, M.R., 1968. *Lime Stabilization of Soils for Highway Purposes*, Final Summary, Highway Series No.25, Illinois Cooperative Highway Research Program, Project IHR-76.
- Thompson, M. R., and Robnett, Q. L. 1979. *Resilient Properties of Subgrade Soils,* Journal of Transportation Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 105, No. 1, pp. 71-89.
- Timoshenko, S., and Goodier, J. N. 1951. *Theory of Elasticity*. Mc Graw-Hill, Book Company Inc, New York, USA.
- Towhata, Ikuo. 2008. *Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering*, publisher: シュプリンガー・ジャパン株式会社, ISBN : 3540357823, 9783540357827
- Turnbull, W. J. 1950. *Appraisal of the CBR Method, Development of CBR Flexible Pavement Design Method for Airfelds* - a symposium, Trans. Am. Soc. Civ. Engrs, 547-554.
- Varghese, P. C. 2004. *Limit State Design of Reinforced Concrete*, PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd., Technology & Engineering.
- Venkatappa Rao, G., and Dutta, R. K. 2006. Compressibility and Strength Behaviour of Sand–Tyre Chip Mixtures, Geotechnical and Geological Engineering (2006) 24: 711–724, DOI: 10.1007/s10706-004-4006-x.



- Vesic, A. S. and Saxena. K. 1974. *Analysis of Structural Behaviour of AASHO Road Test Rigid Pavements*, NCHRP Report No. 97, Highway Research Board, Washington D.C.
- Wang, T. L., and Huang, D. 1992. Computer Modelling Analysis in Bridge Evaluation, Phase II: Dynamic Response of Continuous Beam Bridges and Slant-Legged Rigid Frame Bridges, Research Report No. FL/DOT/RMC/0542(2)-4108.
- Wang, T. L., and Liu, C. 2010. *Influence of Heavy Trucks on Highway Bridges*, Final Report, Florida International University, Miami, Florida.
- Wang, T. L., Shahawy, M., and Huang, D. Z. 1993. *Dynamic Response of Highway Trucks due to Road Surface Roughness*, Computers and Structures Vol. 49.
 No. 6 pp. 1055-1067
- Wood, D. M. 1982 Laboratory Investigations of the Behaviour of Soils under Cyclic Loading: A Review. Soil Mechanics- Transient and Cyclic Loads, Pande, G.N. and Zienkiewicz, O.C (eds) John Wiley and Sons., pp. 513-582
- Yang, S. R., and Huang, W. H. 2007. Permanent Deformation and Critical Stress of Cohesive Soil under Repeated Loading, Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board 0361-1981, Vol. 2016.
- Yoder, E. J., and Witczak, M. W. 1975. *Principles of Pavement Design*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Young, H. M., Sellasie, K., Zeroka, D., and Sabnis. G. 2003. *Physical and Chemical Properties of Recycled Tire Shreds for Use in Construction*. Journal of Environmental Engineering, **129**: 921-929.



- Yudhbir and Kameswara Rao, N. S. V. 1989. An Approach Paper for a Rational Criterion for Design and Construction of Railway Formations, Research Report, Kanpur Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute
- Yudhbir and Korchoke, C. 1987. *Evaluation of Effective Stress Strength Parameters of Partially Saturated Soils*, Proceeding Indian Geotechnics Conference, Bangalore, 1, 35-40.
- Yudhbir, Kameswara Rao, N. S. V, and Shahu, J. T. 1995. *A Rational Approach to the Design of Railway Formation*, Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering, Ishihara (ed.), Balkema
- Yudhbir, Kameswara Rao, N. S. V, and Shahu, J. T. 1998. A Rational View of Track Maintenance, Proceedings of the Joint Seminar on Bilateral Co-operation for Railway Research, European Rail Research Institute and Indian Railways, Vigyan Bhawan, New Delhi.
- Zhou, J., and Gong, X. 2001. *Strain Degradation of Saturated Clay under Cyclic Loading*, Canadian Geotech. J, **38**(1): 208–212

