WEED CLASSIFICATION USING GENETIC ALGORITHM OPTIMISED CLASSIFIERS

WONG WEI KITT

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

PERPUSTAKAAN INIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAM

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2016



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL: WEED CLASSIFICATION USING GENETIC ALGORITHM OPTIMISED CLASSIFIERS

IJAZAH: DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Saya **WONG WEI KITT**, Sesi Pengajian <u>2012-2016</u>, mengaku membenarkan tesis Doktor Falsafah ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kagunaan seperti berikut:-

- 1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat Salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
- 3. Perstakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. Sila tandakan (/)



(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarajh keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972)



(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan

_		_		
-	-	-	-	-
£ .				
_			_	_

TIDAK TERHAD

WONG WEI KITT

Tarikh: 14 Januari 2016

Disahkan pleh LIBRARIAN (Tandatangan, Pustakawan) (Prof. Dr. Ali Ch nekima) Penyelia ama (Ir. Dr. Muralindran Mariappan) Penyelia Bersama 1 (Assc. Prof. Dr. Janah Wong Penyelia Bersama UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAI

MINERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

PERPUSTAKAAN

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, excerpts, equations, summaries and references which have been duly acknowledged.

14 January 2016

Wong Wei Kitt PK1211010T



CERTIFICATION

- : WONG WEI KITT NAME
- : PK1211010T MATRIC NO.

: WEED CLASSIFICATION USING GENETIC TITLE ALGORITHM OPTIMISED CLASSIFIERS

- DEGREE : DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
- VIVA DATE : 13 NOV 2015

CERTIFIED BY;

- 1. MAIN SUPERVISOR Prof. Dr. Ali Chekima
- 2. **CO-SUPERVISOR 1** Ir. Dr. Muralindran Mariappan
- 3. **CO-SUPERVISOR 2** Assc. Prof. Dr. Farrah Wong





ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to express his sincere gratitude to God for His in guidance in this thesis work. He is truly the reason behind my miraculous journey from starting to completion of this doctoral project.

I also wish to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisors, Prof Dr. Ali Chekima, Ir. Dr. Muralindran Mariappan and Assc. Prof. Farrah Wong for their never ending support and guidance in my research work in which without, I will be unable to complete this research work.

I would also like to thank my Laboratory mates - Mr. Brendan Khoo, Mr. Jong Chia Sing, Ms. Manimehala Nadarajan and Mr. Choo Chee Wee for their never ending support and assistance.

Also, a special thanks to my father and mother (Mr. and Mrs. Wong) and wife (Mrs. Anna Felicia) for their constant prayers and never ending support in pursuing this doctoral work.

Wong Wei Kitt 14 January 2016



ABSTRACT

Automated spot weeding with an efficient weed classification can increase production in crops and reduce herbicide usage. A proposed strategy of applying excessive feature sets followed by feature selection was applied on development of the classifiers to eliminate the non-discriminating features. Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) were applied in the classification using a combination of image derived features. Optimising the classifier involves a tedious selection of subsets and parameters which can be considered a solution searching problem. Optimising the classifier parameters can be solved using heuristic methods such as Genetic Algorithm since it is a non – convex optimisation problem. In ANN structures, the features subset (input numbers) and hidden neuron layer are configurable while for SVM, the hyper parameter and the feature subset are configurable. In order to optimise the structures, feature subset and parameters, two optimisation approach were considered. These two optimisation approach include using backward Sequential Feature Selection (SFS) and Genetic Algorithm (GA) approach. GA requires a careful design of chromosome and fitness function in representing the structure, parameters and feature sets. In the fitness function for SVM optimisation, the fitness score is weighted between feature reduction term and fitness evaluation term of the candidate solution. For the SVMs optimised with GA, it was observed that all the GA configurations yielded better results (both on validation/test sets) as compared to SFS optimised counterpart. The results suggest that optimisation fitness function for SVM requires a simultaneous selection of feature subset /hyper parameters and a small value of weightage (between 0% to 20%) of the total fitness score should be allocated from the feature reduction term to avoid over fitting to training sets. As for the ANN optimisation using GA, fitness function (which includes the error reduction term, feature reduction term and neuron reduction term) showed lesser generalization with independent test sets in comparison with the SFS optimisation approach. The ANN configuration with SFS feature selection gave best results on validation error therefore showing better subset selection using SFS algorithm as compared to GA selection.



ABSTRAK

PENGUNAAN ALGORITMA GENETIK UNTUK MENGOPTIMUMKAN KLASIFIKASI DATA BAGI TUJUAN KLASIFIKASI RUMPUT-RUMPAI

Penyemburan racun rumput-rumpai secara automatik boleh dilakukan dengan sistem klasifikasi yang cekap bagi membolehkan peningkatan dalam produktiviti dan penjimatan dalam pengunaan racun rumput-rumpai. Suatu strategi yang digunakan untuk membentuk sistem klasifikasi adalah dengan memperkenalkan sekumpulan ciri yang banyak serta menggunakan teknik penyaringan untuk memilih ciri-ciri. Jaringan Neural (ANN) dan Mesin Vektor Sokongan (SVM) digunakan untuk pengelompokan dengan menggunakan ciri-ciri dari teknik pengimejan. Walau bagaimanpun, pengoptimuman pengklasifikasi dari segi struktur dan subset ciri merupakan suatu tugas yang mencabar yang boleh diselesaikan dengan kaedah heuristik seperti Algoritma Genetik (GA) memandangkan ia adalah suatu masalah bukan convex. Dua kaedah digunakan untuk mengoptimumkan pengklasifikasian yang disebutkan iatu i) cara pemilihan ciri secara berurutan (SFS) dan ii) berdasarkan kaedah Algoritma Genetik (GA). Kaedah GA memerlukan konfigurasi fungsi kemantapan yang sesuai untuk berfungsi. Fungsi kemantapan adalah persamaan yang digunakan untuk menilai suatu konfigurasi dan ia terdiri daripada beberapa bahagian. Untuk fungsi kemantapan untuk SVM, ia terdiri daripada 2 bahagian terma (sebahagian untuk mengurangkan ciri dan sebahagian lagi untuk menilai tahap kemantapan). Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa bahagian untuk mengurangkan ciri perlu diberi 'nilai kepentingan' yang kurang (diantara 0%-20%) daripada skor kemantapan untuk mengelakkan situasi terlebih padan. Untuk optimisasi ANN menggunakan GA, fungsi kemantapan yang terdiri daripada 3 bahagian (sebahagian untuk mengurangkan maklumat ciri, sebahagian untuk menilai kemantapan konfigurasi dan sebahagian untuk mengurangkan bilangan neuron dalam lapisan tersembunyi), perubahan nilai kepentingan antara tiga bahagian kurang mengubah generalisasi pengklasifikasian berbanding dengan optimisasi SFS. Untuk ANN adalah didapati bahawa konfigurasi SFS memperoleh keputusan yang lebih baik berbanding semua konfigurasi GA. Ini menunjukkan keadah SFS adalah lebih sesuai dari GA untuk ANN dari segi pengoptimuman.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

-			
P	2	C	ρ
	u	Ч	-

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABA

DECLA	RATION	ii
CERTI	ICATION	ш
ACKNO	WLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTR	ACT	v
ARSTR	DAK	vi
TADIE	OF CONTENTS	vii
IADLE	UP CONTENTS	•
LIST C	OF FIGURES	XI
LIST C	OF TABLES	xiv
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST (OF SYMBOLS	xviii
LIST	OF APPENDICES	xx
CHAP	TER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Research Objectives	3
1.4	Research Scope	3
1.5	Thesis Organization	4
СНАРТ	ER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Overview	6
2.2	Weed Classifications Technology For Spot Weeding	6
2.3	Species Based Classification in Agricultural	9
2.4	Reviews on Current Weed Classification	11
2.5	Features for Classification	19
2.6	Classification Optimization	23
2.7	Chapter Summary	27
	vii	

CHAPTER 3: IMAGE ACQUISITION AND FEATURE EXTRACTION

3.1	Overview	w	29
3.2	Image A	Acquisition Setup	29
3.3	Samples Acquired from Field		
3.4	Image I	Preprocessing	37
3.5	Feature	Extraction	39
3.6	Colour S	Statistics Features	48
3.7	Normal	ization of Features	50
3.8	Classifie	er and Settings	50
	3.8.1	Artificial Neural Network	51
	3.8.2	Support Vector Machine	52
3.9	Trainin	g and Testing Methodologies	54
3.10	Chapte	r Summary	56

CHAPTER 4 : SEQUENTIAL SEARCH AND GRID SEARCH OPTIMIZATION

4.1	Overview	58
4.2	Hyperparameter Selection using Grid Search	60
4.3	Backward Sequential Feature Selection For Support Vector Machine	67
4.4	Backward Sequential Feature Selection Artificial Neural Network	68
4.5	Analysis of Acquired Configurations	70
4.6	Chapter Summary	73

CHAPTER 5: GENETIC ALGORITHM OPTIMIZATION DESCRIPTION

5.1	Overview			74	
5.2	Integer Population for Optimization For Subset Config	uration		77	
5.3	Binary Chromosome Design for Subset Configuration			81	
5.4	Weighted Feature Configuration			82	
5.5	Fitness Functions			84	
		(And and and and and and and and and and a	1		ЛС

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAI

5.6	Further	Settings for GA	86
	5.6.1	Cross Over and Mutation Functions for Integer Type Chromosome	88
	5.6.2	Cross Over and Mutation Functions for Binary Type Chromosome	89
	5.6.3	Cross Over and Mutation Functions for Floating Point Type Chromosome	90
5.7	Solutior	ns in Search Space	90
5.8	Chapter	r Summary	91
СНАРТИ	ER 6 : 0	OPTIMIZATION OF CLASSIFIER USING FEATURE SELECTION METHOD	
6.1	Overvie	2W	93
6.2	SVM (Minimis	Dptimization Using Binary Chromosome with Error sing Fitness Function	94
6.3	SVM O Rate M	ptimization Using Binary Chromosome with Recognition aximising Fitness Function	97
6.4	SVM (Minimi	Dptimization Using Integer Chromosome with Error sing Fitness Function	99
6.5	SVM Recogi	Optimization Using Integer Chromosome with nition Rate Maximising Fitness Function	101
6.6	SVM C	ptimization with Weighted Feature Configuration	102
6.7	ANN C	ptimization Using Binary Chromosome	104
6.8	ANN C	ptimization Using Integer Chromosome	106
6.9	ANN C	Pptimization with Weighted Feature Configuration	108
6.10	Analys	is and Comparisons of Various Configurations	109
	6.10.1	Analysis on ANN Configurations	111
	6.10.2	Analysis on SVM Configurations Based on Average RMSE	114
6.11	Featu	re Selection Analysis	120
6.12	Chapt	er Summary	126



CHAPTE	R7:	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND TESTING	
7.1	Overvi	ew	128
7.2	Testin	g on Images With Single Weed	132
7.3	Chapte	er Summary	137
СНАРТІ	ER 8 :	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	
8.1	Resea	rch Summary	138
8.2	Contri	butions	142
8.3	Future	e works	143
REFER	ENCES		145
APPEN	DIX A	List of Features	153
APPEN	DIX B	List of Minimum/maximum value for normalization	155
APPEN	DIX C	Details of Selected Classifier Configurations in Chapter 7	157
APPEN	IDIX D	Publications Derived from this Research	160



LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1:	Various robotic sprayer systems	7
Figure 2.2:	Three general spraying patterns : Broadcast, band and targeted spraying	9
Figure 2.3:	Experimental setup to acquire training images for classification	13
Figure 3.1:	Positioning of image acquisition equipment	31
Figure 3.2:	Isometric view of image acquisition structure	31
Figure 3.3:	Images of AGECO species-variant	33
Figure 3.4:	Images BOIRE species-variant	34
Figure 3.5:	Image of MONO Group	34
Figure 3.6:	Image of BRSJU species-variant	35
Figure 3.7:	Binary conversion of vegetation images	36
Figure 3.8:	Separation of leaf and weed due to conversion to binary image	37
Figure 3.9:	Flowchart for extracting feature row from image with single vegetation	38
Figure 3.10:	Illustration on the various elements for region based shape features	41
Figure 3.11:	Image skeleton	47
Figure 3.12:	Original binary image prior to skleleton conversion	47
Figure 3.13:	Centroid of image	48
Figure 3.14:	Graphical representation of hue and saturation	49
Figure 3.15:	Depiction of a R-input, S-neurons, one-layer neural network	52



Graphical depiction of hyperplane selection in SVM	53
Training and optimization schemes	55
Optimization scheme for ANN and SVM	60
Training, validation and evaluation /testing feature vector arrangement for SVM	61
Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C value for SVM on MONO feature sets	63
Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C value for SVM on BOIRE variant 1 feature set	63
Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BOIRE variant 2 feature set	64
Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on AGECO variant 1 feature set	64
Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on AGECO variant 2 feature set	65
Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BRSJU variant 1 feature set	65
Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BRSJU variant 2 feature set	66
Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BRSJU variant 3 feature set	66
Grid search for RBF sigma and C Value for SVM on BRSJU variant single leaf feature set	67
Validation RMSE for SVM and ANN optimized using the backward sequential feature selection	72
Performance comparison on SVM and ANN optimised using backward SFS	72
Chromosome representation of feature	79
	 Graphical depiction of hyperplane selection in SVM Training and optimization schemes Optimization scheme for ANN and SVM Training, validation and evaluation /testing feature vector arrangement for SVM Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C value for SVM on MONO feature sets Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C value for SVM on BOIRE variant 1 feature set Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BOIRE variant 2 feature set Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BOIRE variant 1 feature set Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on AGECO variant 1 feature set Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on AGECO variant 2 feature set Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BRSJU variant 1 feature set Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BRSJU variant 1 feature set Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BRSJU variant 3 feature set Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BRSJU variant 3 feature set Grid search for RBF kernel sigma and C Value for SVM on BRSJU variant 3 feature set Validation RMSE for SVM and ANN optimized using the backward sequential feature selection Performance comparison on SVM and ANN optimised using backward SFS Chromosome representation of feature



Figure 5.2:	Binary vector representing the feature subset	79
Figure 5.3:	Binary vector representing the feature subset	82
Figure 5.4:	Feature weighting mechanism	84
Figure 5.5:	Mechanism of chromosome crossover	89
Figure 6.1:	Comparison of several ANN configurations performances (mean RMSE)	112
Figure 6.2:	Comparison on several SVM configurations for integer type chromosome	115
Figure 6.3:	Comparison on SVM configurations for binary type chromosome	115
Figure 6.4:	Comparison on several SVM configurations	117
Figure 6.5:	Comparison of various SVM configurations	118
Figure 6.6:	Average number of features selected for ANN	122
Figure 6.7:	Feature selected by Genetic Algorithm for ANN configurations	123
Figure 6.8:	Average number of features for SVM configurations	124
Figure 6.9:	Feature selected by Genetic Algorithm for SVM configurations	125
Figure 7.1:	ROC plot for SVM on BRSJU variant 1	129
Figure 7.2:	FAR and FRR on SVM versus confidence threshold applied on BRSJU variant 1	129
Figure 7.3:	ROC plot for ANN on BRSJU variant 1	131
Figure 7.4:	FAR and FRR on ANN versus confidence threshold applied on BRSJU variant ${\bf 1}$	131
Figure 7.5:	Arrangement for single image testing	132
Figure 7.6:	Block diagram of recognition system	133
Figure 7.7:	Analysis of image	1 ¹³⁷
		UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH



LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1:	The various degrees of complexity in classification	7
Table 2.2:	List and categories of weed classification research work	11
Table 2.3:	Research application of ANN and SVM using pre-defined features	26
Table 3.1:	Properties setting for the Logitech C615 webcam	30
Table 3.2:	List of weeds and crops for this study	32
Table 3.3:	Classifier and parameter ranges	54
Table 3.4:	Features and description	57
Table 4.1:	Results of grid search	62
Table 4.2:	Results of sequential feature selection for SVM	68
Table 4.3:	Results of sequential feature selection for ANN	69
Table 4.4:	Structure of final ANN configurations	70
Table 4.5:	Results of sequential feature selection for SVM and ANN	71
Table 5.1:	Configurations of proposed optimisation	75
Table 5.2:	Bit description of features	78
Table 5.3:	Bit description of parameters	78
Table 5.4:	Range of values based on the fitness function applied	86
Table 5.5:	Various settings for GA based on the chromosome type	88
Table 5.6:	Possible solution candidate	91
Table 6.1:	Performance of SVM configuration with RMSE error reduction fitness function	96
Table 6.2:	Performance of SVM configuration with recognition rate based fitness function	98



Table 6.3:	Performance of SVM configuration with RMSE fitness function	100
Table 6.4:	Performance of SVM configuration with recognition rate fitness function	102
Table 6.5:	Performance recognition for optimized SVM configurations with weighted feature configuration	103
Table 6.6:	Performance of ANN configurations using binary chromosome	105
Table 6.7:	Performance of ANN configurations using integer chromosome	107
Table 6.8:	Performance of ANN configurations with weighted feature configuration	109
Table 6.9:	Number of features selected for ANN	121
Table 6.10:	Feature ranking for ANN configuration	123
Table 6.11:	Number of feature selected with respect to the fitness function applied	125
Table 6.12:	Feature ranking for SVM configuration	126
Table 7.1:	Confusion matrix using selected SVM configuration	135
Table 7.2:	Confusion matrix using selected ANN configuration	135
Table 7.3:	Comparison with other species recognition research works	136



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1	ACO -		Ant Colony Optimization		
,	AGECO -	•	EPPO code for Agerantum Conyzoides		
з	ANN -		Artificial Neural Network		
9	AUC ·	•	Area Under the Curve		
	BOIRE	-	EPPO code for Borreris Repens		
	BRJSU	-	EPPO code for Brassica Juncea		
EPPO - Plant codes develo			Plant codes developed by European and Mediteranean Plant		
			Protection Organization (Previously Known as Bayer Code)		
	ExG ·	-	Excessive Green Index		
	ExR	-	Excessive Red		
	FAR	-	False Acceptance Rate		
	FFT	-	Fast Fourier Transform		
	FP	-	False Positive		
	FPR	-	False Positive Rate		
	FN	-	False Negative		
	FRR	-	False Rejection Rate		
	GA	-	Genetic Algorithm		
	GLCM	-	Greys Level Co-occurrence Matrix		
	GPS	-	Global Positioning System		
	GS	=	Grid Search		
	Hue	-	Hue colour space		
	HSV	-	Hue, saturation Value colorspace		
	Max	-	Maximum value for feature normalization		
	Min	-	Minimum value for feature normalization		
	MONO	-	Abbreviation for Monocotyledon group of weeds		
	NDI	-	Normalized Difference Index		
	NDVI	-	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index		
	NIR	-	Reflectance within infrared band		
	NGRDI	-	Normalized Green-Red Difference Index		
	PCA	-	Principal Component Analaysis		
	RED	-	Reflectance within the visible red band		



RGB	-	Red, Green, Blue
RMSE	-	Root mean Squared Error
ROC	-	Receiver Operating Characteristic
SE	-	Simbiotic Evolution
SFS	-	Sequential Feature Selection
SVM	-	Support Vector Machine
TN	-	True Negative
TNR	-	True Negative Rate
ТР	-	True Positive
TPR	-	True Positive Rate

.



-

LIST OF SYMBOLS

An	-	First fourier elliptical coefficient for nth harmonic	
A sig	-	Parameter for sigmoid curve fitting of SVM output	
В	-	Normalized Blue pixel value in RGB colour space	
b	-	Blue pixel value in RGB colour space	
Bn	-	Second fourier elliptical coefficient for nth harmonic	
B _{sig} - Parameter for sigmoid curv		Parameter for sigmoid curve fitting of SVM output	
С	 Soft Hand Over Constraint for SVM 		
Cn	-	Third fourier elliptical coefficient for nth harmonic	
Dn	-	Fourth fourier elliptical coefficient for nth harmonic	
е	-	Error in classifier output	
E _{max}	ax - Maximum value for each feature in feature sca		
Emin	- Minimum value for each feature in feature scalling		
F	- Distance from each focus to the center in images		
G	 Normalized Green pixel value in RGB colour space 		
g	-	Green pixel value in RGB colour space	
Н	-	Hue pixel value in HSV colour space	
h	-	Number of hidden neurons	
L	-	Hidden layers of processing element for Neural Network	
m	-	Central Moment	
m	-	Number of training samples	
M1	-	First Hu Moment Invariants	
M2	-	Second Hu Moment Invariants	
MЗ	-	Third Hu Moment Invariants	
M4	-	Fourth Hu Moment Invariants	
M5	-	Fifth Hu Moment Invariants	
M6	-	Sixth Hu Moment Invariants	
M7	-	Seventh Hu Moment Invariants	
n	=	Number of samples in validation	
N	-	Total number of EF harmonics	
р	-	Grayscale Pixel value	



- **R** Normalized Red pixel value in RGB colour space
- r Red pixel value in RGB colour space
- s Skewness
- *t* Step required to transverse one pixel along the closed contour
- *T* Basic period of the chain code
- w Distance between the target and outlier support vectors
- x_N Projections of the closed contour in the x axis
- y_N Projections of the closed contour in the y axis
- ξ. Slack Variable
- σ Sigma, RBF kernel for SVM
- η Normalized central moment
- % Percentage



LIST OF APPENDICES

		Page
APPENDIX A	List of Features	153
APPENDIX B	List of Minimum /maximum value for normalization	155
APPENDIX C	Details of selected classifier configurations in Chapter 7	157
APPENDIX D	Publications derived from this research	160



.

PERPUSTAKAAN

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

In recent developments, the advancement of technology in imaging has benefitted various industries such as engineering, internet development, and security. Subsequently, researchers are exploring the concept of imaging technology in agriculture, mainly in discriminating between crops and weeds or between the categories of weeds. This dissertation explores the possibility of applying a species based vegetation classification at a window of approximately 1-4 weeks post emergence. Imaging technology applied in crop/weed recognition can lead to advancement in technology such as automated spot weeding. Spot weeding technology has the potential to increase production in crops while reducing herbicide usage (McCarthy *et al.*, 2010). Researches conducted include various types of crop/weed classification distinguishing the broad category of weeds (Ahmed *et al.*, 2012) and species classification (Weis, 2010). The decision to spray a certain amount of herbicide can be mechanized by applying computer vision system and classification algorithms.

The significant challenges faced in such image based weed classification are the classifier optimization which includes parameter fine tuning and selection of discriminant features to train the classifier. This process is referred to as classifier optimization. In order to optimize such classifiers, various strategies were employed, which involves Grid Search, Sequential Feature Search (SFS) and RELIEF Feature weighting. Although Support Vector Machines (SVM) were often used to develop the classifier for the weed-crop discrimination or the individual weed species, optimizing SVM is known to be a complex task as it requires the selection



of hyperparamters and feature subset (Huang and Wang, 2006). Apart from the fine tuning and optimization of the classifier, the selection of specific type of features such as shape and color features is also imperative to ensure optimal classification success.

In order to achieve a sufficient machine autonomy in executing task of automated weed spraying, machine vision is required to identify in the batch of vegetation, if there exist a specified crop, a specified type of weed and more specifically the number of weed counts. This can be achieved by applying image processing technologies with the application of artificial intelligence, such as an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Support Vector Machines (SVM). However, the image of vegetation that was investigated needs to be non-occluded from one another. Hence, such methods of analyzing vegetation from shape features are more suitable for early stage of vegetation. Although this limits the application to analysis on certain stages of crops development and types of crops, this is still useful as vegetation are mostly separable in the early stages of development.

Based on the current developments in vegetation recognition, this thesis will attempt to apply Genetic Algorithm (GA) to optimize the selected types of classifiers to recognize individual species of weed and crops using a combination of shape and color features. The advantage of using Genetic Algorithm is that parameters selection and features selection can be done simultaneously.

1.2 Problem Statement

The application of Machine Learning classifiers namely Artificial Neural Network (ANN) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) have been applied in various image classification works but optimization of the classifiers remain an open ended optimization. Various feature selection and parameter selection algorithms were proposed for optimization. However every algorithm has its weakness and the overall best solution (combination of feature subset and parameters) could be harder to discover with more feature subsets in the selection pool and the wide range of parameters. Heuristic approach to optimization such as Genetic Algorithm



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

enables the discovery of such combination of subsets/parameters by a process of 'biological evolutionary' inspired mechanism such as selection/crossover and mutation. However, such heuristic methods are highly dependent on various elements such as fitness function and encoding the classifier parameters into chromosome which can be further refined based on existing literature.

1.3 Research Objectives

Genetic Algorithm provides a heuristic approach to solution search in a vast search space. This feature in GA is a suitable candidate for optimization to select classifier structure and feature subsets. The objectives of the research are:

- To investigate the feasibility of using Genetic Algorithm to optimize the structure and select features for Back Propagation Artificial Neural Network (ANN).
- 2) To investigate the feasibility of using Genetic Algorithm to optimize the hyper parameters and select features for Support Vector Machines (SVM).
- 3) To investigate the feasibility of using Sequential Feature Selection for Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) for classifying the species of vegetation.

1.4 Research Scope

This thesis will focus on the development of the classification algorithm for non – occluded vegetation images. Most weeds are non-occluded/non overlapping at the stage of 1-4 weeks. The training images are acquired from a fixed distance and setting with controlled lighting, which will be discussed in the following chapter. Only three groups of weeds; Monocotyledon (MONO), Agerantum Conyzoides (AGECO) and Borreris Repens (BOIRE) and one type of crops – Brassica Juncea (BRSJU) were considered as target class and several other classes of weeds considered as outlier class used as image tests for our research work. Weed



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

REFERENCES

- Ahmed F., Hossain B. A. S. M., Emam Hassan, Howlader A. A-M., and Paul Kwan. 2011, 'Performance Analysis of support vector Machine and Bayesian Classifier for crop and weed classification from digital Images', World Applied Sciences Journal. **12**(4):432-440.
- Ahmed F., Howlader A. A-M., Hossain B. A. S. M., Eman Hassan and Paul Kwan, 2012, '*Classification of Crops and Weeds from Digital Images; A support vector machine approach* ', Crop Protection. **40**(1):98-104.
- Anami S. B., Pujan J. D. and Rajesh Y., 2011, 'Identification and Classification of Normal and Affected Agricultural Produce Based on Combined Colour and Texture Feature Extraction', International Journal of Computer Applications in Engineering Sciences. 1(3):2-6.
- Anadkumar P. and Lalitha Y. S., 2015, 'Crop species identification using NN tool', International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology. 4(5):100-106.
- Andreasen C., Rudemo. M. and Sevestre. S., 1997, 'Assessment of Weed Density at an Early Stage by Use of Image Processing.', Weed Research, vol. 37(1):5-18.
- Astrand B. and Baerveldt A. J., 2002, 'An Agricultural Mobile Robot with Vision-Based Perception for Mechanical Weed Control', Autonomous Robots. 13(1):21-35.
- Barnes D. E., 1990, 'Common weeds in Malaysia and their control', Ancompublisher.
- Benitez J. M., Castro J. L. and Requena I., 2002, 'Artificial Neural Networks Black Boxes?', Neural Networks. 8(5):1156 – 1164.
- Binita K. and Swankar T., 2011, 'Filter Versus Wrapper Feature Subset Selection in Large Dimensionality Microarray: A Review', International Journal of ComputeScience and Informatin Technology. 2(3):1048-1053.
- Blackshaw, R. E., Semach, G. and Entz, T. 1998. '*Postemergence control of foxtail barley (Hordeum jubatum) seedlings in spring wheat and flax'*, Weed Technol. **12**(4):610–616.



- Bui Q., 2005, 'VariTarget: a New Nozzle with Variable Flow Rate and Droplet Optimization', ASAE Annual meeting 2005, Paper no. 051125.
- Burks T. F., Shearer S. A., Green J. D. and Heath J. R. ,2002, 'Influence of Weed Maturity Levels On Species Classification Using Machine Vision', Weed Science. **50**(6):802-811.
- Camargo N. J. and Meyer G. E., 2005, 'Crop species identification using machine vision of computer extracted individual leaves', Optical sensors and sensing system for natural resources and food safety and quality, SPIE, 5996, pp: 64-74.
- Campbell J. B., 1996, Introduction to Remote Sensing', Guilford, Press, New York.
- Cédric B., Dimitrios M., West J., McCartney A. and Ramon H., 2003, 'Early Disease Detection in Wheat Fields using Spectral Reflectance', Biosystems Engineering. 84(2):137–145.
- Chakri J. and Parokh R., 2012, 'Plant Leaf Recognition Using Gabor Filter', International Journal of computer applications. **56**(10):26-29.
- Chang X. and Lilly J. H., 2004, '*Evolutionary Design of a Fuzzy Classifier From Data*', IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part B.**34**(4):1894-1906.
- Dionisio A., Weis M. and Gerhards. R., 2012, 'An Ultrasonic System for Weed Detection in Cereal Crops', Sensors. **12**(12):17343-17357.
- Eleyan A. and Demirel H., 2011, '*Co-occurrence Matrix and Its Statistical Features* as a New Approach for Face Recognition', Turkey Journal of Electrical and Computer Science (Tubitak). **19**(1):97-10.
- El-Faki M. S., Zhang N. and Peterson D. E., 2000, '*Factors Affecting Color-Based Weed Detection*', ASAE. **43**:1001–1009.
- Feyaerts F., Van Gool L., 2001, *Multi-Spectral Vision System for Weed Detection*, Journal Pattern Recognition Letters. **22**(6-7):667-674.
- Golzarian M. R. and Frick R. A., 2011, *Classification of Images of Wheat, Ryegrass* and Brome Grass Species at Early Growth Stage Using Principal Component Analysis', Plant Methods, pp. 7-28.
- Hagan, M. T., H. B. Demuth and M. H. Beale, 1996, 'Neural network design', Boston: PWS Publisher.



- Haralick M. R., Shanmugam K., and Distein Itshak, 1973, *Textural Features for Image Classification'*, System, Man and Cybernetics, Vol. SMC-3, pp. 610-621.
- Hemming J. and Rath T., 2001, *Computer-Vision-based Weed Identification under Field Conditions using Controlled Lighting*, Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research. **78**:233–243.
- Hendries D. G., 2011, 'Segmentation and Extraction of Individual Leaves From Plant Images for Species Classification', Master Thesis, Appalachian State University.
- Herrera P. J., Dorado J. and Ribeiro A., 2014, 'A Novel Approach for Weed Type Classification Based on Shape Descriptors and a Fuzzy Decision-Making Method', Sensors. **14**(1):15304-15324.
- Hossain J. and Ashraful A. M., 2010, '*Leaf Shape Identification Based Plant Biometrics*', Proceedings of 13th International Conference on Computer and Information Technology (ICCIT), pp. 458-463.
- Hong S., Minzan L. and Qin Z., 2012, 'Detection System of Smart Sprayers: Status, Challenges and Perspectives', International Journal of Agriculture and Bio Engineering. 5(3):1-14.
- Hu M. K., 1962, *Visual Pattern Recognition by Moment Invariants*, Information Theory. **8**:179–187.
- Huang C. L. and Wang Chieh-Jen, 2006, 'A GA Based Feature Selection and Parameters Optimization for Support Vector Machine', Expert System with Application. **31**(2):231-240.
- Hunt E. R., Cavigelli M., Daughtry C. T., McMurtrey, J. and Walthall. S. L., 2005, 'Evaluation of Digital Photography From Model Aircraft for Remote Sensing of Crop Biomass', Precision Agriculture. **6**(4):359–378.
- Jamei M., Mahfouf M. and Linkens D. A., 2004, 'Ellicitation and Fine Tuning of Fuzzy Control Rules Using Symbiotic Evolution', Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 147(1):57-74.
- Kamal N. A, Karan Singh, Ganesh C. B. and Lin D., 2012, 'Weed Recognition Using Image Processing Technology Based on Leaf Parameter', Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Technology. 2B:899-908.



- Kataoka T., Kaneko. T., Okamoto, H., and Hata S., 2003, '*Crop Growth Estimation System Using Machine Vision'*, International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics. **2**:b1079-b1083.
- Kianni, S. and Kamgar S., 2011, '*Application of Co-occurrence Matrix on Wavelet Coefficients for Crop Weed Discrimination'*, International Journal of Natural and Natural Sciences, **5**(2):37-41.
 - Kianni, S. and A. Jafari, 2012, 'Crop Detection and Positioning in the Field Using Discriminant Analysis and Neural Networks Based on Shape Features', Journal of Agricultural Science Technology. **14**:755-765.
 - Kuhl F. P. and Giardina C. R., 1982, *Elliptic Fourier Feature of a Closed Contour*, Computer Graphics and Image Processing. **18**(3):236-258.
 - Lamm R. D, Slaughter D. C. and Giles D. K., 2002, '*Precision Weed Control System* for Cotton', ASAE. **45**(1):231-238.
 - Mathanker S. K., Weckler P. R. and Taylor R. K., 2008, 'Canola- Weed Identification for Machine Vision Based Patch Spraying', American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers Annual International Meeting 2008, ASABE. 12:7199-7209.
 - McCarthy C., Rees S. and Baillie C., 2010, 'Machine Vision-Based Weed Spot Spraying: A Review and Where Next For Sugarcane?', 32nd Annual Conference of the Australian Society of Sugar Cane Technologists, Bundaberg, Australia, pp. 7-13.
 - Merotto J. R., Breidmeier C. Vidal R. A., Goulard I. C. G. R., Bortol E. D. and Andreason N. L., 2012, *'Reflectance indices by multipurpose equipment in precision Agriculture'*, Planta Daninha. **30**:437-447.
 - Meyer G. E. and Camargo N., 2008, 'Verification of Colour Vegetation Indices For Automated Crop Imaging Application', Computer and Electronics in Agriculture. **63**:282-293.
 - Meyer G. E., Metha T., Kocher M. F., Mortesen D. A. and Samal A., 1998, '*Textural Imaging and Discriminant Analysis for Distinguishing Weeds for Spot Spraying*', ASAE, ST. Joseph. **41**:1189-1197.
 - Moushou D., Baerdemaeker J. D. and Ramon H., 1999, '*Neural Network Based Classification of Different Weed Species and Crops'*, European Conference on Precision Agriculture, pp. 275-284.



- Nishad P. and Manicka C., 2013, 'Various colour spaces and colour space conversion algorithms', Journal of Global Research in Computer Science. **4**(1):44-48.
- Platt. J. C., 1999, 'Probabilistic Outputs for Support Vector Machines and Comparisons to Regularized Likelihood Methods', Advances in large margin classifiers, MIT Press, pp. 1-11.
- Perez, A. J., Lopez. F., Benlloch. J. V. and Christensen. S., 2000.' *Color and shape analysis techniques for weed detection in cereal fields*'. Computer and Electronics in Agriculture. **25**(3):197–212.
- Rasekhi R., Asadi V. and Jafari A., 2010, '*Weeds and Corn Classification by Image Processing and Neural Network Techniques'*, International Journal of Natural & Engineering Sciences. **4**(2):40-47.
- Rath T., 1997, 'Methods for plant identification by image processing demonstrated in the area of dendrological determinations', Horticulture Technical Information, Department of Horticultural Engineering and Agriculture, Bulletin 42, University of Hanover, Germany.

Reitermanova Z., 2010, 'Data spilitting', WDS 2010, part 1, pp. 31-36.

- Rojas I., Merelo J. J., Pomares H. and Prieto A., 1997, 'Genetic algorithms for optimum designing fuzzy controller', International ICSC Symposium on Fuzzy Logic and Applications (ISFL'97), International Computer Science Conventions, pp. 165–170.
- Rumpf T., Mahlein A. K., Steiner U., Oerke E. C., Dehne H. W. and Plümer L., 2010, 'Early detection and classification of plant diseases with Support Vector Machines based on hyperspectral reflectance', Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 74(1):91-99.
- Rumpf T., Christoph Romer, Weiz M., Markus S., Gerhardz R. and Lutz Plumer, 2012, 'Sequential Support Vector Machine Classification for Small – Grain Weed Species Discrimination with special regard in Circium Arvense and Galium Aparine', Computers and Electronics in Agriculture. 80(1):89-96.
- Satsiou A., Doumpos M. and Zopounidis C., 2005, 'Genetic Algorithms for the Optimization of Support Vector Machines in Credit Risk Rating', 2nd International Conference on Enterprise Systems and Accounting, pp. 193-210.



- Shearer S. A. and Holmes R.G., 1990, '*Plant identification using color co*occurrence matrices, ASAE. **33**(6):2037-2044.
- Shearer S. A. and Jones P. T., 1991, 'Selective application of post emergence herbicides photoelectrics', ASAE. **34**(4):1661 -1666.
- Smith A. M. and Blackshaw R. E., 2008, 'Weed: Crop Discrimination Using Remote Sensing: A Detached Leaf Experiment', Weed Technology. 17(4):811-820.
- Sogaard H. T., 2005, *Weed classification by active shape models*, Biosystem Engineering. **91**(3):271-281.
- Sökefeld M., Gerhards R. and Kühbauch W., 1996, 'Automated identification of weeds in the stage of cotyledon using image analysis', Innovative method for weed detection. **236**:47-58.
- Solahudin M., Wayan A., Kudang B. S. and Agus B., 2010, *Weeds and plant* recognition using fuzzy clustering and fractal dimension methods for automatic weed control', AFITA 2010 International Conference, pp. 110-112.
- Sorensen C. G., Jørgensen R. N., Maagaard J., Bertelsen K. K., Dalgaard L. and Nørremark M., 2010, '*Conceptual and user-centric design guidelines for a plant nursing robot*', Journal of Biosystems Engineering. **105**(1):119 – 129.
- Strand B. A. and Baerveldt A. J., 2002, 'An agricultural mobile robot with visionbased perception for mechanical weed control', Autonomous Robots. 13(1):21–35.
- Tang L., Tian L. F. and Steward B. L., 2003, 'Classification of Broadleaf and Graff weeds using Gabor Wavelets and an Artificial Neural Network', American Society of Agricultural Engineers, ASAE. 46(4):1247-1254.
- Tellaeche A., Burgos-Artizzu X. P., Pajares G. and Ribeiro A., 2008, 'A Vision-Based Method for Weeds Identification Through the Bayesian Decision Theory', Pattern Recognition. **42**(2):521-530.
- Tijmen B., Bontsema J., Joachim M. and Gerrit S., 2010, '*Systematic Design of an Autonomous Platform for Robotic Weeding*', Journal of Terramechanics. **47**(2):63–73.



- Tort A., 2003, 'Elliptical Fourier Functions as Morphological Descriptor of the Genus Stenosarina', Mathematical Geology. **35**(7):873-885.
- Vapnik V., 1995, 'The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory', 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Wanas N., Gasser A., Kamel M. S. and Karray F., 1998, On the optimal number of hidden nodes in a neural network, Electrical and Computer Engineering Conference, 2:918-921.
- Weis M, 2010, 'An image analysis and classification system for automatic weed species identification in different crops for precision weed management', PHD dissertation, Institute for Phytomedicine Department of Weed Science, University of Hohenheim.
- Weis M. and Gerhards R., 2007, *Feature Extraction for the Identification of Weed Species*, Digital Images for the Purpose of Site-Specific Weed Control, pp. 537–545.
- Wenzhu Y., Wang S., Zhao X., Zhang J. and Feng J., 2015, *'Greenness identification on HSVdecision tree'*, Information Processing in Agriculture. **2**(3-4):149-160.
- Woebbecke D. M., Meyer G. E. and Mortensen D.A., 1995, 'Shape Features for Identifying Young Weeds using Image Processing Analysis', ASAE. 37(2):271-281.
- Wolf L. and Bileschi S., 2005, *Combining Variable Selection with Dimensionality Reduction'*, Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. **2**(1):801-806.
- Wu L., Wen Y., Xiaoyan D. and Hui P., 2009, *Identification of Weed /Corn using BP Network Based on Wavelet Features and Fractal Dimension*', Scientific Research and Essay. 4(11):1194-1200.
- Xianfeng Li, 2010, *Weed Identification Based on Shape Features and Ant Colony Optimization Algorithm*', Computer Application and System Modeling. 1:V1-384 - V1-387.
- Yacci P., 2009, 'Feature Selection of Microarray Data Using Genetic Algorithms and Artificial Neural Networks', Rochester Institute of Technology, PHD Thesis.



- Yang C. C., Prasher S. O. and Landry J. A., 2002, '*Weed Recognition in Corn Fields* Using Back-Propagation Neural Network Models', Canadian Biosystems Engineering. **44**:7.15-7.22.
- Yang C. M. and Cheng C. H., 2007, '*Spectral Characteristics of Rice Canopy Infested With Brown Plant Hopper and Leaf Folder*', Crop Sciences. **47**(1):329-335.

