UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN TE	SIS
JUDUL: COMPARISON OF BIOMASS YIELD, CHEMICAL QUALITY AMONIG THREE FORAGE SPECIES USING	COMPOSITION AND SILAGE
ACTIVATED SOLUTION	
UAZAH: BACHELOR OF AGRICULTURE SCIENCE WITH	HONDURS (LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION)
SAYA : JACOB MATHAN SESI PENGAJIA (HURUF BESAR)	N :
Mengaku membenarkan tesis *(LPSM/Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini d Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-	isimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia
 Tesis adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai tinggi. Sila tandakan (/) 	salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja. bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian الا
SULIT (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdar seperti yang termaktub di AKTA RAHS	jah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia IA RASMI 1972)
TERHAD (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yan mana penyelidikan dijalankan)	g telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di
TIDAK TERHAD	Disahkan oleh URULAIN BINTI ISMAIL LIBRARIAN
1 Tel.	UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH
(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)	(TANDATANGAN PUSTAKAWAN)
MARMAR SATU, THN MELEWAR,	
68100 KUALA LUMPUR	PEDE, DR. WA. CAICHL ALAM
	(NAMA PENYELIA)
TARIKH: 15/1/2014	TARIKH: 15/1/2014
Catatan: *Potong yang tidak berkenaan. *Jika tesis ini SULIT dan TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak b menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebaga *Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarja bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (perkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan ai SULIT dan TERHAD. ana Secara Penyelidikan atau disertai LPSM).

COMPARISON OF BIOMASS YIELD, CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND SILAGE QUALITY AMONG THREE FORAGE SPECIES USING EFFECTIVE MICROORGANISM ACTIVATED SOLUTION

JACOB MATHAN

PERPUSTAKAAN LINIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF AGRICULTURE SCIENCE WITH HONOURS

LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION PROGRAMME SCHOOL OF SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2014



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is based on my original work except for citation and quotation which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that no part of this dissertation has been previously or concurrently submitted for a degree at this or any other university.

JACOB MATHAN BR10110032 12 DECEMBER 2013



1. Professor Dr. M. Raisul Alam SUPERVISOR

3. Dr. Kiron Deep Singh Kanwal

EXAMINER

PROF. DR. MD PATSUL ALAM PROF. SCHOOL OF SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

2. Assoc. Prof. Haji Mohd. Dandan @ Ame bin Haji Alidin _______ Profesor Madya Hj. Mohd. Dandan Hj. Alidin (BSK 400K ASDK)

olesor Madya Hj. Mohd. Dandan Hj. Alidin (B.S.K., A.D.K., A.S.D.K.) Felo Kanan Kepada Sekolah Pertanian Lestari, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Sandakan

DR. KIRON D.S KANWAL Pensyarah / Penasir at Akademik Sekolah Pertanian ∟estari Universiti Malaysia Sabah

PERPUSIANAAA SABAA

4. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Md. Shahidur Rahman EXAMINER

DEAN OF SCHOOL OF SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

PROF. MADYA DR. MD. SHAHIDUR KAHMAIN PROFESOR MADYA/PENASIHAT AKADEMIK SEKOLAH PERTANIAN LESTARI UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH





ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratefulness to God for all the blessings that he has bestowed upon me and for giving me the strength to finish this dissertation successfully. I would also like to convey my heartfelt gratitude towards my parents and siblings, who have supported me both through their prayers and also by giving me mental support to finish this dissertation.

I would also like to thank my supervisor Prof. Dr. M. Raisul Alam and my co-supervisor Assoc. Prof. Haji Mohd. Dandan @ Ame B. Hj Alidin for their dedication in guiding and leading me throughout this study and also for providing me with technical information on how to successfully complete this dissertation. I am also grateful to Dr. Punimin Abdullah who was always there to share information and to guide me whenever I needed help or faced any difficulties. Besides that, I would like to thank all other lecturers and staff who have helped to guide me and given me valuable teachings and a strong background and knowledge in order for me to become the person I am today and successfully complete this dissertation.

I also wish to express my gratitude to my course mates who have assisted me in completing this dissertation. Special thanks to Bennikah Sibin, Emyrul Syafiq, Jeoglas Justop, Kelvin Kalianon, Ting Ee Lin and Wan Nur Azid, Ting Kai Siang, Teo Tian Ping, Wee Poh Leong and Ahmad Safwan for helping me to complete my research.

Apart from that, I would also like to express my gratitude to Mr Romeo Cordova from the Department of Veterinary Services Sandakan and also Mr Andrew Bin Guanoi from the Department of Veterinary services Telupid for helping me in locating forage resources and for all the knowledge and expertise that they have shared with me so generously.

May God continue to bless all of you for all the help and you have showered upon me during this time. Thank you



ABSTRACT

This study was conducted from April 2013 to September 2013 at the School of Sustainable Agriculture (SSA), Universiti Malaysia Sabah. This study was carried out to determine the biomass yield and chemical composition of three forage species. The effect of effective microorganism activated solution (EMAS) towards the quality of the silage produced from the three forages was also assessed in this study. The treatment in this study was three forage species that were Guinea grass, Splendida grass and Signal grass. For silage production there were two treatments which were the control treatment and silage with 0.001% EMAS. Each treatment consisted of three replications. The experimental design used was Completely Randomized Design (CRD) and the data for biomass yield and chemical composition was analysed using one way ANOVA and the data for silage quality was analysed using two way ANOVA both at 0.05% significance level. No significant differences (p>0.05) were observed in fresh yield, DM yield, CP yield as well as OM, Ash contents among the three forages. However, the DM content in Splendida grass was significantly higher (p<0.05) compared to the other two grasses. The CP and EE content in Signal grass was significantly lower (p<0.05) when compared to the other two forages. The CF content in Guinea grass however was significantly higher (p<0.05) than the other two grasses. There was a significant difference (p<0.05) in the nitrogen free extract content among the three forages with Signal grass containing the highest amount followed by Splendida grass and Guinea grass. In terms of silage quality, there was no significant difference (p>0.05) between the two silage treatments. However, there was a significant difference (p<0.05) in the silage quality among forage species. In the control treatment, the pH of Guinea grass was significantly higher (p<0.05) compared to the other two forages which had no significant difference among each other. In the treatment with 0.001% EMAS, the pH of Signal grass was significantly higher (p<0.05) compared to the other two forages which had no significant difference among each other. It was concluded that Guinea grass was better than Splendida grass and Signal grass on the basis of DM yield, CP yield and overall chemical composition. In addition, the usage of effective microorganism activated solution was also not effective in improving the silage quality. Further research is needed however, to investigate the lactic acid content to understand the type of fermentation microorganism which dominated the fermentation, the effect of water soluble carbohydrate content on silage quality and the performance of the animals when fed silage produced from EMAS.



PERBANDINGAN HASIL BIOJISIM, KOMPOSISI KIMIA DAN KUALITI SILAJ TIGA SPESIS FORAJ MENGGUNAKAN 'EFFECTIVE MICROORGANISM ACTIVATED SOLUTION' (EMAS)

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk menentukan hasil biojisim dan kimia komposisi tiga spesies foraj. Kesan EMAS terhadap kualiti silaj yang dihasilkan daripada tiga foraj turut dinilai dalam kajian ini. Rawatan bagi kajian ini adalah tiga spesies forai iaitu rumput guinea, rumput splendida dan rumput signal. Untuk pengeluaran silaj terdapat dua rawatan jaitu rawatan kawalan dan rawatan yang terdiri daripada silaj dengan 0.001% EMAS. Setiap rawatan terdiri daripada tiga replikasi dan menggunakan rekabentuk CRD. Data untuk hasil biomas dan komposisi kimia dianalisis menggunakan ujian ANOVA satu hala dan data kualiti silaj dianalisis menggunakan ujian ANOVA dua hala dan kedua-duanya pada aras keertian 0.05%. Tiada perbezaan yang signifikan (p>0.05) dalam hasil segar, hasil DM, hasil CP serta kandungan OM dan Ash antara ketiga-tiga foraj. Walau bagaimanapun, kandungan DM di rumput Splendida adalah jauh lebih tinggi (p<0.05) berbanding dengan rumput lain. Kandungan CP dan EE di rumput Signal adalah jauh lebih rendah (p<0.05) berbanding foraj lain. Kandungan CF di rumput Guinea bagaimanapun adalah lebih tinggi (p<0.05) daripada rumput lain. Terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan (p<0.05) dalam kandungan ekstrak nitrogen antara ketiga-tiga foraj dengan rumput Signal mengandungi jumlah tertinggi diikuti oleh rumput Splendida dan rumput Guinea. Dari segi kualiti silaj, tiada perbezaan yang signifikan (p>0.05) antara kedua-dua rawatan silaj. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat perbezaan signifikan (p<0.05) antara species foraj dari segi pH silaj. Dalam rawatan kawalan, pH rumput Guinea adalah lebih tinggi (p<0.05) berbanding dengan dua forai yang lain yang tidak ada perbezaan yang signifikan. Dalam rawatan dengan 0.001% EMAS pula, pH rumput Signal adalah lebih tinggi (p<0.05) berbanding dengan dua foraj yang lain yang tidak ada perbezaan yang signifikan. Kesimpulannya adalah bahawa rumput Guinea adalah lebih baik daripada rumput Splendida dan rumput Signal atas dasar hasil DM, hasil CP dan komposisi kimia secara keseluruhan. Di samping itu, penggunaan EMAS juga tidak berkesan dalam meningkatkan kualiti silaj. Penyelidikan lanjutan diperlukan untuk menyiasat kandungan asid laktik untuk memahami jenis mikroorganisma yang menguasai fermentasi, kualiti tepat silaj dan prestasi haiwan apabila diberi makan silaj dari EMAS.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CO	NTENT	PAGE
DEC	CLARATION	ii
VER	RIFICATION	iii
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABS	TRACT	v
ABK	ŚSTRAK	vi
TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST	r of tables	ix
LIST	f of Figures	x
LIST	F OF SYMBOLS, UNITS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST	OF FORMULAE	vii
СНА	PTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	- 1
1.2	Justification	3
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Hypothesis	4
СНА	PTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Availability of Livestock Feed in Malaysia	6
2.2	Forage Production in Malaysia	5 7
2.3	Panicum maximum	9
2.4	Brachiaria decumbens	11
2.5	<i>Setaria spacelata</i> var. <i>splendida</i>	12
2.6	Toxicity among the three forages	13
2.7	Silage	14
	2.7.1 Silage Quality	14
2.8	Effective Microorganisms	16
2.9	Gap in Knowledge and Research Need	18
СНА	PTER 3 METHODOLOGY	19
3.1	Location and Duration of Study	19
3.2	Cultivation of Forages	19
3.3	Biomass Yield Determination	20
3.4	Chemical Composition Analysis	20
	3.4.1 Determination of Dry Matter	20
	3.4.2 Determination of Organic Material and Ash	20
	3.4.3 Determination of Crude Protein	21
	3.4.4 Determination of Crude Fibre	22
	3.4.5 Determination of Ether Extract	22
	3.4.6 Determination of Nitrogen Free Extract	23
3.5	Production of Silage	23
3.6	Determination of Silage Quality	23
	3.6.1 Determination of Silage Physical Characteristics	23



	3.6.2 Determination of Silage pH	24	
3.8	Experimental Design	24	
3.9	Statistical Analysis	25	
CHAI	PTER 4 RESULT	26	
4.1	Biomass Yield	26	
4.2	Chemical Composition	27	
	4.2.1 Dry Matter Content	27	
	4.2.2 Organic Matter	27	
	4.2.3 Ash Content	28	
	4.2.4 Crude Protein Content	28	
	4.2.5 Ether Extract	28	
	4.2.6 Crude Fibre	28	
	4.2.7 Nitrogen Free Extract	28	
4.3	Silage Quality	29	
	4.3.1 pH of Silage	29	
	4.3.2 Silage Physical Characteristics	31	
СНАР	TER 5 DISCUSSION	32	
5.1	Biomass Yield	32	UN
5.2	Chemical Composition	33	IVE
5.3	Silage Quality	36	PERT
СНАР	TER 6 CONCLUSION	40	'USTANN MALAYS
REFEI APPEI	RENCES NDICES	42 49	nn IA Shen -

REF	ERENC	ES
APP	ENDIC	ES.



LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
Table 3.1	Fertilizer Application Rate	19
Table 3.2	CRD Design that was used for the study during the cultivation	24
	period.	
Table 3.3	CRD Design that was used for the arrangement of the silage	24
	containers	
Table 4.1	Biomass Yield of Forages	26
Table 4.2	Chemical Composition (%DM basis) of Forages	27
Table 4.3	Physical Characteristics of Silage	31



.

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES									PAGE
FIGURE 4.1	Effect	of	silage	treatment	and	grass	species	towards	29
	silage p	эΗ							



LIST OF SYMBOLS, UNITS AND ABBREVIATIONS

%	Percentage
>	More than
<	Less than
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
CF	Crude Fibre
cm	Centimetre
СР	Crude Protein
CRD	Completely Randomized Design
DM	Dry Matter
e.g.	Example
EE	Ether Extract
EM	Effective Microorganism
EMAS	Effective Microorganism Activated Solution
g	Gram
H ₂ SO ₄	Sulphuric Acid
ha	Hectare
HCI	Hydrochloric Acid
h	Hours
kg	Kilogram
LAB	Lactic Acid Bacteria
m	Metre
М	Molarity
ml	Millilitre
MOP	Muriate of Potash
Ν	Normality
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
NFE	Nitrogen Free Extract
рН	Negative log of hydrogen ion concentration
S1	Silage Treatment 1



S2	Silage Treatment 2
Sdn Bhd	Sendirian Berhad
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Science
SSA	School of Sustainable Agriculture
t/ha	Tonnes per hectare
t/ha/yr	Tonnes per hectare per year
T1	Treatment 1
T2	Treatment 2
Т3	Treatment 3
TSP	Triple Super Phosphate
VFA	Volatile Fatty Acids
WSC	Water Soluble Carbohydrates



LIST OF FORMULAE

Formula		PAGE
3.1	Dry Matter Yield	20
	DM Yield = Fresh Yield \times %DM	
3.2	Protein Yield	20
	$CP Yield = DM Yield \times \% CP$	
3.3	Percentage of dry matter content (DM%)	20
	$DM\% = \frac{(w1 - w)}{w} \times 100$	
3.4	w = weight of sample; w1 = weight of sample after drying Percentage of organic matter content (OM%)	21
	$OM\% = \frac{(\text{weight of DM} - \text{weight of ash})}{\text{weight of DM}} \times 100$	
3.5	Percentage of ash content (Ash%)	21
	Ash% = $\frac{(w2 - w1)}{weight of sample} \times 100$	
	w1 = weight of sample; w2= weight of ashed sample	
3.6	Percentage of Nitrogen	21
	$N\% = \frac{(S - B) \times N \times 0.014 \times D \times 100}{\text{weight of sample } \times V}$	
	S = Sample titration reading; B = Blank titration reading; N = Normality of HCL; D = Dilution of sample after digestion; V = Volume taken for distillation; $0.014 = Mill$ equivalent weight of Nitrogen	
3.7	Percentage of Crude Protein content (CP%)	21
	$CP\% = N\% \times 6.25$	

N% = Percentage of nitrogen



Percentage of Crude Fibre (CF%) 3.8

$$CF\% = \frac{w3 - w1 - w4 - w5}{w2} \times 100$$

w1 = weight of fibrebag; w2 = initial sample weight; w3 = Incinerating crucible and dried fibrebag after digestion; w4 = incinerating crucible and ash; w5 = blank value of the empty fibrebag

3.9 Percentage of Ether Extract (EE%) 22

$$EE\% = \frac{\text{weight of ether extract}}{\text{weight of sample}} \times 100$$

Percentage of Nitrogen Free Extract (NFE%) 3.10

NFE% = 100 - (%moisture + %CP + %EE + %CF + %Ash)



23

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Livestock production is a vital part of agriculture. Livestock are animals that render economic returns to the farmer and are mainly used for various reasons such as for the production of meat, milk, fibres and as a source of energy. In addition, livestock rely on low quality feed grains and roughages that are not used as food for humans as a source of nutrition and convert these products into high quality food for human consumption. The demand for livestock products are expected to rise due to the increasing global population and the increasing demand for food. Thus, there is a need to increase the production of livestock and to ensure that livestock production is efficient and effective. Currently, livestock production is one of the fastest growing agricultural subsectors in developing countries. This growth is driven by the rapidly increasing demand for livestock products, increasing of population growth, urbanization and increasing incomes in developing countries (Delgado 2005). Therefore, effective feeding and management systems are needed to ensure that the productivity of farm animals is increased. Research also needs to be carried out to study new methods of increasing productivity of livestock production. There is a need to increase productivity by making the most efficient use of the production inputs while reducing its impact on the environmental and world's natural resources. The constraints faced by small to medium scale farmers are lack of skills, knowledge and appropriate technologies thus making it difficult to increase productivity. Therefore, the result is that both production and productivity remain below the actual potential and yet losses and wastage in production can be high. Thus, there is a need for more research to identify new methods of husbandry and management that are able to increase the efficiency and productivity of livestock farming.



Nutrition is one of the most important aspects of livestock production. Good nutrition often leads to high productivity in animals. It is a rule of thumb where animals that are cared for and are on a good plane of nutrition are usually animals that are highly productive. Generally, one of the factors that bring about low productivity in farm animals is the lack of focus in proper nutrition which leads to malnutrition and low productivity in animals. A review of available literature indicates that there is insufficient production of forage due to the lack of knowledge on the importance of nutritional values of forages in developing balanced feeding systems for the livestock farmers (Eng, 2004). Najib (2001) reported that farmers mainly depend on available fresh and dried forage to feed their ruminant animals and also produce and conserve forages for periods where there is inconsistent supply of feed. In addition most good quality fodder seeds are not available and there is not enough suitable land in Malaysia for pasture cultivation (Jelan, 2010) due to the widespread cultivation of oil palm.

The cost of feeding animals in developing countries accounts for about 60-70% of total production costs of livestock farming (Madubuike 1993). This shows that more focus should be placed on producing pasture that is of good quality, quantity and low cost in order to increase the profits of farmers and increase the productivity of farms. Understanding that there is a present trend of rising feed stuff prices and global inflation, livestock production is increasingly constrained by feed scarcity and the high cost of feeds (Ayantunde *et al.*, 2005).

Apart from the problem of quantity of forage produced that is insufficient to meet the animal requirements, another problem faced by livestock producers is that the quality and nutritive values of these fodders are not sufficient to meet the nutritional requirements of the animals. Eng (2004) reported that much of the natural forages available consist of mainly weeds such as *Imperata cylindrica* and other low yielding native species like *Axonopus compressus* and *Ottochloa nodosa*. Therefore there is a need to identify which species of fodder is best adapted to both the local climatic and environmental conditions. This will help farmers to choose the best fodder to cultivate thus increasing their productivity.

Forage conservation is also essential to ensure that there is a consistent supply of forages for animal consumption and so that the extra forages will not be wasted. The time in which a grass is harvested affects the nutritive value of the grass. Grasses



harvested earlier are usually more nutritive. Thus with the ability to conserve forages effectively, livestock producers can easily harvest all their fodders at the optimal growth stage and conserve them to be given to the animals during times of insufficient feed without affecting the nutritive value of the forage. Different types of grasses have different amount of water soluble carbohydrates which is primarily converted to lactic acid. The quality of silage is determined by its lactic acid content. Therefore, a fodder with higher water soluble carbohydrate content has a better chance of making good quality silage. In addition to that, Woolford and Sawczyc (1984) speculated that low water-soluble carbohydrates crops would benefit more from inoculation, whereas Seale *et al.* (1986) reported that additional water soluble carbohydrates can improve an inoculant's performance. Thus there is a gap in knowledge as to whether or not the usage of effective microorganisms is able to improve the quality of silages. There is also a need to identify which fodder species will make the best silage due to the varying amount of water soluble carbohydrates in the different fodder species.

Thus the purpose of this study is to identify the best forage among *Panicum maximum, Brachiaria decumbens* and *Setaria sphacelata var. splendida* to be used for cultivation by farmers to feed their animals in terms of quantity and quality based on the local soil and climatic conditions. Apart from that, this study is also carried out to identify the effects of using effective microorganism activated solutions in producing silage.

1.2 Justification

There is a need for more studies to be conducted to evaluate the best fodder species that can be planted by livestock producers in Sandakan, Sabah based on the local climate and soil conditions. There is also a need to identify the performance of these grasses in local climatic and soil conditions by identifying the biomass yield and chemical composition for quality and quantity assessment. In addition to that, there is also a need to compare the different methods on ensiling to identify whether the conventional method of silage production or the new method of using effective microorganism is more effective and feasible. There are no researches that study the specific effect of effective microorganisms on the silage production of *Panicum maximum, Brachiaria decumbens* and *Setaria sphacelata var. splendida* specifically and a comparison of all three forages silage quality as most research is done on other



grasses. Therefore, there is a need to study the effect of effective microorganisms on the silage quality of *Panicum maximum, Brachiaria decumbens* and *Setaria sphacelata var. splendida* grass silage.

Thus through this research, farmers are able to assess the effectiveness of using effective microorganisms in producing silage to feed their animals in periods of insufficient feed as well as the best species of grass from among the three to be cultivated to produce silage. This study also compared the three forage species stated and identified the best species among the three in terms of biomass yield and chemical composition in order to make it easy for farmers in Sandakan, Sabah, Malaysia to select the best forage to cultivate under local soil and climatic conditions.

1.3 Objective

The objectives of this study are:-

- 1. To determine the biomass yield of *Panicum maximum, Brachiaria decumbens* and *Setaria sphacelata var. splendida*.
- 2. To evaluate the chemical composition of *Panicum maximum, Brachiaria decumbens* and *Setaria sphacelata var. splendida*.
- 3. To study the effect of effective microorganisms (EMAS, Recaventure Sdn Bhd) on the quality of silage made from *Panicum maximum, Brachiaria decumbens* and *Setaria sphacelata var. splendida* grass.

1.4 Hypothesis

- a) Comparison of different forage species performance.
 - **H**_o: There are no significant differences among forage species on biomass yield and chemical composition
 - **H**_a : There are significant differences among forage species on biomass yield and chemical composition



- b) Study of the effect of effective microorganisms on the silage quality of three different fodder species as well as the interaction between usage of effective microorganism and forage species on the quality of silage.
 - H_o: Forage species does not affect the silage quality.
 - H_a: Forage species affects the silage quality.
 - H_o: Usage of effective microorganism does not affect the silage quality.
 - H_a: Usage of effective microorganism affects the silage quality.
 - $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{o}}$: Forage species type and usage of effective microorganism do not interact in their effect on silage quality
 - $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{a}}$: Forage species type and usage of effective microorganism interact in their effect on silage quality



CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Availability of Livestock Feed in Malaysia

Nutrition is one of the most important aspects of livestock farming. The livestock industry in Malaysia is heavily dependent on imported feed ingredients especially in the non-ruminant sector. Alimon (2010) stated that more than 2 billion tonnes of compounded feed was produced in Malaysia for the local poultry and swine industry and a small proportion for the ruminant and aquaculture sector. However, even though feed is produced in Malaysia, most of the feed ingredients are imported from other nations. Loh (2002) stated that the major problem in Malaysia is its heavy dependence on imported feedstuffs which account for 30 per cent of the total food bill and amounts to RM10 billion a year. Anon (2002) also claimed that locally available raw materials make up about 30% of the total feed ingredients in Malaysia and the use of locally available feed ingredients depends on supply, cost and quality where most of the time the production of these locally available feed ingredients such as fish meal and tapioca is not sufficient to meet the requirements of the local feed industry. Most compounded feeds for the poultry and swine industry were formulated mainly with corn as the energy component and soybeans as the protein components in addition to small quantities of other materials that include fish meal, cottonseed meal, rice bran, wheat milling by-products, tapioca chips and most importantly palm kernel cake (Alimon, 2010). Loh (2002) however, found that in Malaysia, the ruminant industry depends mainly on locally available feedstuffs with only some supplementation provided by imported ingredients. The major local materials used are crop residues and other agroindustrial by products such as rice bran, copra cake, palm kernel cake, oil palm frond, sago, tapioca and broken rice. Alimon (2010) also stated that pellet production for the ruminant subsector mainly utilized by-products such as distillers dried grain, rice bran, corn gluten meal and palm kernel cake as feed ingredients.



The ruminant industry in Malaysia is not well developed and is mainly operated by smallholder farmers with extensive to semi-intensive production systems that depend on native pastures that are often supplemented with locally available feedstuff such as palm kernel cake, palm oil sludge, oil palm frond and soy waste. The problem with this is that in Malaysia the wild grasses, pastures and oil palm fronds which are abundant and palatable are of low protein content and nutritive quality (Jelan, 2010). Therefore, there is a need to identify and compare various improved pasture species and pasture management methods to be introduced to the local smallholder farmers to improve the nutrition of ruminants and hence improve productivity.

2.2 Forage production in Malaysia

Even though Malaysia has an equatorial climate with adequate rainfall and sunshine all year round, there is a lack of high quality natural pastures typified by those found in some of the temperate countries like New Zealand (Eng, 2004). The pasture research team at the Malaysian Agriculture Research and Development Institute (MARDI) over the past 20 years had introduced several hundreds of improved tropical pasture ascensions and also had identified various promising species and genera (Wong et al., 1982; Wong and Najib, 1988). Much of the natural forages available consist of mainly weeds (Imperata cylindrica) and other low yielding native species like Axonopus compressus and Ottochloa nodosa (Eng, 2004). The pasture team at MARDI found that the Digitaria genus, Brachiaria humidicola and Brachiaria dictyoneura were adapted to bris soils; Brachiaria humidicola and Tripsacum andersonii (Guatemala grass) were important on acid sulphate soils and in areas with a higher water table; while on peat soils, Pennisetum purpureum (Napier Grass) was outstanding. Wong et al. (1982), also reported that other promising grasses including Panicum maximum (Guinea grass) and Brachiaria decumbens (Signal grass) were able to perform in any of the sedentary and alluvial soils in all agroclimatic zones. In the highlands, Wong et al. (1982) reported that Napier, Guinea, Signal, Guatemala and Kikuyu grass (Pennisetum clandestinum) as well as Nanti Setaria (Setaria sphacelata cv Nandi) had good production records as they had shown vigorous growth and seed setting. One of the factors that hinder the development of promising species of tropical pastures for seed production and seed setting in Malaysia is the unfavourable climate (Wong and Chen, 1998).



Wong and Chen (1998) reported that improved pastures were established in Malaysia as part of the establishment of eight commercial ranch operations with six farms in Peninsular Malaysia, and one each in Sabah and Sarawak which was developed by the National Livestock Authority (Majuternak) with the aim of increasing commercial livestock production in the mid 1970s.Current total areas of ranch pastures were approximately 25 00 ha in Peninsular Malaysia, 5 000 ha in Sabah and 20 000 ha in Sarawak (Wong and Chen 1998). One of the problems these pastures faced is that of persistence (Chen, 1985) which were mainly due to the poor tropical soils which had high saturation of aluminium that is approximately 60 to 80 per cent and low soil pH of about 4.0 to 5.5 (Wong and Chen, 1998). This is one of the drawbacks in the establishment of ranch pastures in Malaysia. Hence, the best forage species that is able to perform well and adapt to the local climate must be identified and cultivated on poor tropical soils in Malaysia for better forage growth.

Eng (2004) reported that most farmers in Malaysia do not grow improved forages as many of the farmers in Malaysia consist of smallholders who do not depend on livestock rearing as a major source of income. Therefore these farmers usually feed their animals with natural forages that are found growing on the road side or bunds in paddy fields or they may allow their animals to graze on native grasses and shrubs either on idle agricultural land or under permanent tree crops owned by them or in the plantations where they work. However this extensive and low input production system, because of the inherent low quality and quantity of feed available, inevitably leads to low animal productivity (Eng, 2004). Clayton (1983) reported that the high cost of pasture establishment from rain forests, coupled with the low productivity of the cattle on the farm and the low ex-farm prices offered for the beef produced in Malaysia, has resulted in commercial ranches taking 10 - 12 years to break even on the total expenditure incurred. Hence, there is a need to identify potential improved grasses that can be planted by smallholder farmers and used to feed their animals without incurring high costs. Review of literature also reported that there were few grasses that had been identified for small scale production of seed for local needs in Malaysia and such species were the Ruzi grass (Brachiaria ruziziensis) and Guinea grass (Wong and Chen, 1998).

Chen (1985) identified various problems of forage development in Malaysia one being the limited choice of suitable pasture grass and legume species for specific usage



in the open ranch, in small holdings and in plantations. This is because not all improved pasture species can adapt to the local climate in Malaysia (Eng, 2004). Hence it is essential to identify which grass has best adapted to the local climate and is the most productive in various types of soil.

There is also insufficient information on the optimum usage of fertiliser for plant growth and animal production in relation to various soil types in Malaysia (Chen, 1985). The identification of soil properties and the correction of soil nutritional deficiencies are essential in the establishment of pastures. Kerridge and Tham (1974) have clearly established the need for soluble phosphorus in order to successfully establish pasture grasses and legumes in most Malaysian soils. Apart from phosphorus, Tham and Kerridge (1982) also reported deficiencies in potassium, molybdenum, copper and calcium in both pot and field trials done with a number of Malaysian soils. Tham (1980) also found that apart from nitrogen, there is also a need to ensure adequate Phosphorus and Potassium fertilisers to maintain high fodder yields. Review of past literature also showed that for signal grass there is a need to fertilise up to 300 kg N/ha/year in order to sustain a live weight gain of 900 kg/ha/yr when grazed by Kedah- Kelantan cattle at a stocking rate of 8 head/ha. However, when the Nitrogen rate was decreased to 150 kg N/ ha/year, the live weight gain obtained was reduced to 750 kg/ha/year at the same stocking rate. In both cases, phosphorus and potassium fertilisers were applied as standard (Chen et al., 1981).

2.3 Panicum maximum

Guinea grass has often been regarded as one of the best tropical grasses. Batistoti *et al.* (2012) stated that the major grasses used as forage for cattle in tropical areas belong to the genus "Brachiaria" or "Panicum" which are able to adapt well and are widely distributed in most tropical areas. Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum* Jacq.) is a warm-season perennial bunchgrass and is widely recognized as one of the best forage crops for tropical and warm-temperate regions of both hemispheres due to its good yield potential and high quality forage when properly managed (Usberti and Subodh, 1978). Quantitative variation in guinea grass introductions from all over the world (Warmke, 1954; Burton *et al.*, 1973; Edye and Miles, 1976; Usberti and Subodh, 1978) showed a wide range of phenotypes for several characters (Usberti and Subodh, 1978). Thus it can be concluded that there is a variation in the performance of guinea



REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A. S., Noordin, M.M. and Rajion, M. A. 1988. Signal grass (*Brachiaria decumbens*) toxicity in sheep: changes in motility and pH of reticulo-rumen. *Vet Hum Toxicology* **30(3)**:256-8.
- Abdullah, A. S., Noordin, M.M., Rajion, M. A. 1989. Neurological disorders in sheep during signal grass (*Brachiaria decumbens*) toxicity. *Vet Hum Toxicology* **31(2)**:128-9
- Abdullah, A. S., Rajion, M.A. 1997. Dietary factors affecting entero-hepatic function of ruminants in the tropic. *Animal Feed Science Technology* **69**: 79-90
- Akyildiz, R. 1986. Feeds Knowledge and Technology. Ankara University of Agriculture. Facility Publication No. 94
- Alçiçek, A. and Ozkan, K. 1997. Determination of silage quality with physical and chemical methods in silages. Turkey I. *Silage Congress*. Sep. 16-19. Bursa. 241-246
- Alimon, A. R. 2010. Utilization of Agro-Industrial By-Products for Animal Feed. In: *Proceedings of the Malaysia Society of Animal Production 31st Annual Conference.* 6-8 June 2010. Kota Bharu, Kelantan, Malaysia
- Alvarado, A., 1986. Evaluación del rendimento y valor nutritive del heno de pasto barrera (*Brachiaria decumbens* Stapf). Master of Science Thesis. U.C.V. Facultad de Agronomía, Maracay, Venezuela.
- Amakiri, A.O., Owen, O.J. and Udenze, C. N. 2011. Comparative Study of Nutritional Value of Two Pasture Grasses using Weaner Rabbits. *New Clues in Sciences* 1: 88-91
- Aminah, A. and Chen, C.P. 1991. Future prospects for fodder and pasture production. Feeding dairy cows in the tropics. *FAO animal production and Health Paper* **86**:127 – 141
- Anon. 2001. Princieple Microorganisms in EM Technology and their Action. *Nature Farming and Environment International Journal* **2(1)**: 34-51
- Anon. 2002. Protein Sources for The Animal Feed Industry. In: FAO Animal Production and Health Proceedings: Expert Consultation and Workshiop, 29 April – 3 May 2002. Bangkok, Thailand
- AOAC. 2003. Official Methods of Analysis of The Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 17th edition. Assiciation of Official Analytical Chemists. Arlington, Virginia
- Archibald, J.G. 1953. Sugars and Acids in Grass Silage. *Journal of Dairy Science* **36**: 385
- Archibald, J.G. and Kuzenski, J.W. 1954. Further observations on the composition of grass silage. *Journey of Dairy Science* **37**; 1283
- Aregheore, E. M. 2001. Nutritive Value and Utilization of Three Grass Species by Crossbred Anglo Nubian Goats in Samoa. *Asian–Australasian J. Anim. Science* 14(10):1389–1393
- Ayantunde, A. A., Fernández-Rivera, S. and McCrabb, G. (Eds.). 2005. Coping with feed scarcity in smallholder livestock systems in developing countries. Animal Sciences Group, Wageningen UR, Wageningen, The Netherlands, University of Reading, Reading, UK, ETH (Swiss Federal Institute of Technology), Zurich, Switzerland, and ILRI (International Livestock Research Institute), Nairobi, Kenya. 306 pp.



- Babayemi, O. J. and Igbekoyi, J. A. 2008. Compteition for Resources in a Changing World: New Drive for Rural Development. In: Eric, T. (Eds). *Conference of the Internation Research on Food Security: Natural Resource Management and Rural Development*, Tropentag. 7th – 9th October 2008
- Barnett, A. J. G. 1954. Silage Fermentation. London: Butterworth Science Publication
- Batistoti, C., Lempp, B., Jank, L., Morais, M. das G., Cubas, A.C., Gomes, R.A., Ferreira, M.V.B. 2012. Correlations among anatomical, morphological, chemical and agronomic characteristics of leaf blades in Panicum maximum genotypes. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **171**: 173–180
- Buchanan-Smith, J. G. & Yao, Y. T. (1981). Effect of additives containing lactic acid bacteria and/or hydrolytic enzymes with an antioxidant upon the preservation of corn or alfalfa silage. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* **61**: 669-80
- Budiono, R.S. 1986. Utilization of *Setaria sphacela*ta variety *splendida* by Kedah-Kelantan Cattle. Doctoral Thesis. Universiti Putra Malaysia
- Burton, G.W., Millot, J.C. and Monson, W.G., 1973. Breeding procedures for *Panicum maximum* Jacq. suggested by plant variability and mode of reproduction. *Crop Sciences* **13**: 717--720.
- Carpintero, C. M., Holding, A. J. and McDonald, P. 1969. Fermentation Studies on Lucerne. *Journal of the Science Food and Agriculture* **20**: 677 681
- Chamberlain, D. G., Thomas, P. C. & Quig, J. (1986). Utilization of silage nitrogen in sheep and cows: Amino acid composition of duodenal digesta and rumen microbes. *Grass and Forage Science* **41**: 31-38.
- Chen, C. P. 1985. The Research and Development of Pastures in Peninsular Malaysia.
 In: *Proceedings of a symposium, Pastures in the tropics and subtropics*. TARC, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (Eds.). 2 – 6 October 1984. Tsukuba, Japan. Tarc Series 18: 33 – 51
- Chen, C.P. & Hutton, E.M., 1992. *Panicum maximum* Jacq. In Mannetje, L.'t and Jones, R.M. (Eds.). *Plant Resources of South-East Asia No. 4: Forages*. Wageningen: Pudoc
- Church, D. C. 1991. Silage. In: Stone, J. L., Kenselaar, B., Dietrick, D., McCartney, M. and O'Dougherty, E (Eds.). *Livestock Feeds and Feeding*. 3rd Edition. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall
- CIAT (International Centre for Tropical Agriculture. 1979. Annual Report of the Tropical Pastures Program. Cali, Colombia: CIAT
- CIAT (International Centre for Tropical Agriculture. 1992. Annual Report of the Tropical Pastures Program. Cali, Colombia: CIAT
- Clavero, T. and Razz, R. 2002. Effects of Biological Additives on Silage Composition of Mott Elephantgrass and Animal Performance. *Revista Cientiffica* **10(4)**: 313-316
- Clayon, A. M. 1983. Large Scale Commercial Cattle Operation in DARABIF. In; Devendra, C. (Eds.). Proceedings of 7th Annual Conference MSAP. 1 – 2 April 1983. Port Dickson, Malaysia. 7-15
- Cone, J. W., A. H. van Gelder, Soliman, I. A., H. de Visser and A. M. van Vuuren. 1999. Different Techniques to Study Rumen Fermentation Characteristics of Maturing Grass and Grass Silage. *Journal of Dairy Science* **82**: 957-966
- Cowan, T. 1999. Use of Ensiled Forages in Large Scale Animal Production Systems. In: Proceedings FAO e-conference on Tropical Silage. FAO Plant Production and Protection. Rome. Paper 161: 31-39



- Delgado, C. 2005. Rising demand for meat and milk in developing countries: implications for grasslands-based livestock production. In *Grassland: a global resource*, pp. 29–39. The Netherlands: Wageningen Academic Publishers
- Edye, L.A. and Miles, J.F., 1976. A comparison of sixty *Panicum* introductions in South-Eastern Queensland. *Tropical Grassland* **10**: 79–87
- Ely, L. O., Sudweeks, E. M. & Moon, N. J. (1981). Inoculation with *Lactobacillus plantarum* of alfalfa, corn, sorghum, and wheat silages. *Journal of Dairy Science* **64**: 2378-2387.
- Eng, P.K. 2004. Forage Development and Research in Malaysia. FAO.
- Everist, S.L. 1974. Poisonous Plants of Australia. Sydney: Angus and Robertson
- Flieg, O. 1938. A Key for The Evaluation of Silage Samples. *Futterbauund Giirfutterbereitung* 1: 112-128
- Grof, B., Harding, W.A.T., 1970. Dry matter yields and animal production of Guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*) on the humid tropical coast of north Queensland. *Tropical Grasslands* **4**: 85–95.
- Gul, S. and Safdar, M. 2009. Proximate Composition and Mineral Analysis of Cinnamon. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 8(9): 1456 – 1460
- Hacker, J. B. and Jones, R. J. 1969. The *Setaria Spahcelata* Complex A Review. *Tropical Grasslands* **3(1)**:13-34
- Hacker, J.B., 1992. Setaria sphacelata (Schumach.) Stapf & Hubbard ex M.B. Moss. In Mannetje, L.'t and Jones, R.M. (Eds.). Plant Resources of South-East Asia No. 4: Forages. Wageningen: Pudoc
- Haigh, P.M. and Parker, J. W. G. 1985. Effect of Silage Additices and Wilting on Silage Fermentation, Digestibility and Intake and on Liveweight Change of Young Cattle. *Grass Forage Science* **40**: 429-436
- Higa, T. 1991. Effective Microorganisms: Their role in Kyusei Nature Farming and Sustaible Agriculture. In: Parr, J. F. *et al.* (Eds.). *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Kyusei Nature Farming*. USDA, Washington, USA: 20-24
- Hong, Y. Y., Xiao, F. W., Jian, B. L., Li, J. G, Ishii, M., Igarashi, Y. and Zong, J. C. 2006. Effect of water-soluble carbohydrate content on silage fermentation of wheat straw. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* **101(3)**:232 – 237
- Humphreys, L.R., 1987. Tropical Pastures and Fodder Crops, 2nd edn. *Intermediate Tropical Agriculture Series*. New York: Longman Scientific and Technical.
- Jacobs, J. L., Morris, R. J. and Zorilla-Rios, J. 1995. Effect of Ensiling Whole Barley Grain with Pasture on Silage Quality and Effluent Production and the Performance of Growing Cattle. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **35**: 731-738
- Jalc, D., Laukova, A. Simonova, M., Varadyova, Z. and Homolka, P. 2009. The use of Bacterial Inoculants for Grass Silage: Their Effects on Nutrient Composition and Fermentation Parameters in Grass Silages. *Czech Journal of Animal Science* 54(2): 89-91
- Jelan, Z. A. 2010. Development and Utilization of Forages for Improving Ruminant Farming in Malaysia. *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference of Malaysia Society of Animal Production*. 6-8 June 2010. Kota Bharu, Kelantan, Malaysia. 27-31



- Kaya, I., Unal, Y., and Sahin, T. 2009. The Effects of Certain Additives on the Grass Silage Quality, Digestibility and Rumen parameters in Rams. *Journal of Animal* and Veterinary Advances 8(9): 1780-1783
- Kerridge, P.C. and Tham, K.C. 1974. Nutrient requirements for establishment legumegrass pastures on inland soils of Peninsular Malaysia. Paper presented at the Symposium on Classification and Management of Malaysian Soils, Sabah, Malaysia. November 1974.
- Kiliç, A. 1986. Silage (Suggestion for Education, Instruction and Application). *Bilgehan Basimevi Izmir* 1:327
- Kung, L., Jr., Grieve, D. B., Thomas, J. W. & Huber, J. T. (1984). Added ammonia or microbial inocula for fermentation and nitrogenous compounds of alfalfa ensiled at various percents of dry matter. *Journal of Dairy Science* **67**: 299-306
- Langston, C. W., Irvin, H., Gordin, C. H., Bouma, C., Wiseman, H. G., Melin, C. G., Moore, L. A., and McCalmont, J. R. 1958. Microbiology and Chemistry of Grass Silage. USDA Technical Buletin 1187
- Leibensperger, R. Y. & Pitt, R. E. (1987). The Effectiveness of Silage Inoculants: A Systems Approach. *Agricultural Systems* **25**: 27-49
- Loch, D.S., 1977. *Brachiaria decumbens* (Signal grass). A review with particular reference to Australia. *Tropical Grasslands* **11(2)**: 144–157
- Loh, T. C. 2002. Livestock production and the feed industry in Malaysia. In: *Proceedings of Protein Sources for the Animal Feed Industry*. FAO Animal Production and Health, Expert Consultation and Workshop. 29 April – 3 May 2002. Bangkok. Thailand
- Madubuike, F. N. 1993. Increasing animal protein supply in Nigeria under changing economic sititaions. In: A.V Anyanwu and B.E.B Nwoke (Eds.). development strategies for Nigeria. AVN Publishers. P 211-218
- McDonald, P. (1981). The biochemistry of silage. New York: John Wiley and Sons
- Meeske, R., Basson, H. M., Pienaar, J. P. and Cruywagen, C.W. 2000. A comparison of the yield, nutritional value and predicted production potential of different maize hybrids for silage production. *South African Journal of Animal Science* **30(1)**: 18-21
- Midddleton, C.H. and Barry, G. A. 1978. A study of oxalate concentration in five grasses in the wet tropics of Queensland. *Tropical Grasslands* **12(1)**:28 35
- Moon, N. J., Ely, L. O. & Sudweeks, E. M. (1981). Fermentation of wheat, corn, and alfalfa silages inoculated with *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Candida* sp. At ensiling. *Journal of Dairy Science* **64**: 807-813
- Moore, K. J., Lemenager, R. P., Lechtenberg, V. L., Hendrix, K. S. and Risk, J. E. 1986. Digestion and Utiliztion of Ammoniatied Grass-legume Silage. *Journal or Animal Science* **62**: 235-245
- Moran, J. 1945. Feeding Management for Smallholder Dairy Farmers in the Humid Tropics. Tropical Dairy Farming. Landlinks Press. 83-96
- Muck, R. 2013. Silage inoculant effects on milk production. Progressive Dairyman site. http://www.progressivedairy.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article &id=11204:silageinoculant-effects-on-milk-production&catid=46:feed-andnutrition&Itemid=72 .Accessed on 24 September 2013. Verified on 5 December 2013.
- Mushtaque, M., Ishaque, M. and Bukhsh, M.A. 2010. Growth and Herbage Yield of Setaria Sphacelata grass in response to varying clipping stages. The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences 20(4): 261-265



- Naginene, A.C. and Abdullah, O.S. 1992. Chemical Composition of some fodder grass of the Dares Salaam. *Tropical Agriculture (Trinidad)* **60(3)**: 152 – 153
- Najib, M. A. 2001 Production and Conservation of Forages in Smallhodler Sytem in Malaysia. *Malaysia Journal Animal Science* **7(1)**: 51-57
- Najib, M. A., Aminah, A. and Idris, A. B. 1993. Forage Conservation for livestock smallholders in Malaysia. In: Strategies for suitable forage-based livestock production in Southeast Asia. *Proceedings of the Third Meeting of the Regional Working Group on Grazing and Feed Resources of Southeast Asia*. 31 January – 6 February 1993, Khon Kaen, Tahiland. 103-109
- Narayanan, T. R. and Dabadghao, P. N. 1972. Forage Crops of India. New Delhi: ICAR
- Ndyanabo, W. K., 1974. Oxalate content of some commonly grazed pasture forages of Lango and Acholi Districts of Uganda. *East African Agricultural and Forestry Journal* **39**: 210-214
- Nikkhah, A., Ghaempour, A., Khorvash, M. and Ghorbani, G. R. 2010. Inoculants for Ensiling Low-dry matter Corn Crop: A Midlactation Cow Perspective. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition* **10**
- Nilsson, R. and Rydin, C. (1960). The Effect of malt enzymes on the biochemical changes occurring during ensilage. *Proceedings of the 8th International Grassland Congress, Reading*, pp. 493 497
- O'Mara, F. P., Fitzgerald, J. J., Murphy, J. J. and Rath, M. 1998. The Effect on Milk Production of Replacing Grass Silage with Corn Silage in the Diet of Dairy Cows. *Livestock Production Science* **55**: 79-87
- Pathak, N. N. and Jakhmola, R. C. 1986. *Forages and Livestock Production: Forage conservation (Silage making)*. Vikas Publication
- Poland, J.S., Schnabel, J.A., 1980. Mineral composition of *Digitaria decumbens* and *Brachiaria decumbens* in Jamaica. *Tropical Agriculture* **57(3)**: 259–264
- Radotra, S. and Katoch, B.S. 2004. Indian Journal of Agricultural Resources 38(4): 262 267
- Ram, S. N. and Trivedi, B. K. 2012. Response of Guinea Grass (*Panicum maximum* Jacq.) to Nitrogen, Farm Yard Manure and Harvest Intervals. Forage Research 38(1): 49-52
- Rao, I. M., Miles, J.W., Granobles, J.C. Differences in tolerance to infertile acid soil stress among germplasm accessions and genetic recombinants of the tropical forage grass genus, Brachiaria. *Field Crops Research* **59**: 43-52
- Roche, R., Menendez, J., Hernandez, J.E., 1990. Carater'isticas morfolo'gicas indispensables para la clasificacio'n del ge'nero *Brachiaria*. *Pastos y Forrajes* 13, 205–222
- Rooke, J. A., Bell, S. L. & Armstrong, D. G. 1985. The chemical composition of grass silages prepared with and without pre-treatment with inoculants containing *Lactobacillus plantarum. Animal Feed Science and Technology* **13**:269-79
- Rydin, C. 1961. Studies on fermentation processes in silage. Malt as a supplement in biological ensiling. *Archiv fur Mikrobiologie* **38**: 156-170
- San Jose', J. J., Bracho, R., Nikonova, N. 1998. Comparison of water transfer as a component of the energy balance in a cultivated grass (*Brachiaria decumbens* Stapf.) field and a savanna during the wet season of the Orinoco Llanos. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **90**: 65–79
- San Jose', J.J., Montes, R., 1989. An assessment of regional productivity: the *Trachypogon* savannas at the Orinoco Llanos. *Natural Resources* **25(1)**: 5–18



- San Jose', J.J., Montes, R., Garc'ıa-Miragaya, J., Orihuela, B. 1985. Bioproduction of *Trachypogon* savannas in a latitudinal cross-section of the Orinoco Llanos, Venezuela. *Acta Oeco-logica* **6(1)**: 25–43
- Schultze-Kraft, R. & Teitzel, J.K., 1992. *Brachiaria decumbens* Stapf. In Mannetje, L.'t and Jones, R.M. (Eds.). *Plant Resources of South-East Asia No. 4: Forages*. Wageningen: Pudoc
- Seale, D. R., Henderson, A. R., Pettersson, K. O. & Lowe, J. F. (1986). The effect of addition of sugar and inoculation with two commercial inoculants on the fermentation of lucerne silage in laboratory silos. *Grass and Forage Science* **41**: 61-70
- Seglar, B. 2003. Fermentation analysis and silage quality testing. Proceedings of the Minnesota Dairy Health Conference, Univ. Minnesota
- Silanikove, N., Brosh, A., Holzer, Z. and Levy D., 1990. Urea treatment of green panic: effect on in vivo digestibility and on digesta mean retention time. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **31**: 117-124
- Silanikove, N., Cohen, O., Levanon, D., Kipnis, T. and Gugenheim, Y., 1988. Preservation and storage of green panic *(Panicum maximum)* as moist hay with urea. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **20**: 87-96
- Silva, J.M., Arrabaça, M. C. 2004. Contributions of soluble carbohydrates to the osmotic adjustment in the C4 grass Setaria sphacelata: A comparison between rapidly and slowly imposed water stress. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **161**: 551–555
- Stirling, A. C. 1954. Studies in Silage Fermentation at Edinburgh. *Proceedings of the European Grassland Conference*, Paris. The European Productivity Agency of the Organization for European Economic Co-operation, Project no. 224: 251-253
- Stu["]r, W.W., Humphreys, C.R., 1988. Burning and cutting management and the formation of seed yield in *Brachiaria decumbens. J. Agric. Sci. Camb.* **110**: 669–672.
- T. W. Downing, T. W., Buyserie, A., Gamroth, M., and French, P. 2008. Effect of Water Soluble Carbohydrates on Fermentation Characteristics of Ensiled Perennial Ryegrass. *Professional Animal Scientist* **24(1)**: 35-39
- Tham, K.C. 1980. Nitrogen responses of Napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) on an Ultisol formed from sandstone in Peninsular Malaysia. *MARDI Research Bulletin* **8(1)**: 49-60
- Tham, K.C. and Kerridge, P.C. 1982. Responses to lime, K, Mo and Cu by grass-legume pasture on some Ultisols and Oxisols of Peninsular Malaysia. *MARDI Research Bulletin* **10**:350-369
- Thomas, D., Grof, B., 1986. Some pastures species for the tropical savannas of South America: III. *Andropogon gayanus*, *Brachiaria* spp. and *Panicus maximum*. *Herbage Abstracts* **56(12)**: 557–565
- Usberti, J. A. and Subodh K. J., 1978. Variation in *Panicum maximum:* a comparison of sexual and asexual populations. *Bot. Gaz.*, **139**: 112–116
- Van Soest, P. J. 1994. *Nutritional Ecology of the Ruminant*. 2nd Edition. Ithaca: Cornell University Press. 476
- Vivas, N.P., 1978. Braquiaria. In Bogot'a, J.A. (Eds.). Establecimiento y manejo de pastos y forrajes, pp. 101–103
- Warmke, H.E., 1954. Apomixis in Panicum maximum. Am. J. Bot. 41: 5--11.



- Watson, S. J., and Ferguson, W. S. 1937. The Losses of Dry Matter and Digestible Nutrients in Low-Temperature Silage with and without Added Molasses or Mineral Acids. *Journal of Agriculture Science* **27**: 67
- Wieringa, G. W., 1961. The influence of green forages on fermentation. *Futterkonservierung* **7**: 27-35
- Wilson, J. K., and Webb, H. J. 1937. Water-Soluble Carbohydrates in Forage Crops and Their Relation to the Production of Silage. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **20**: 247
- Wong, C. C. and Chen, C. P. 1998. Malaysia Pasture and Forage Resource Profiles. Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI)
- Wong, C. C. and Chen, C. P. and Ajit, S. S. 1982. A report on pasture and fodder introduction in Mardi. *MARDI Report* **76**: 35
- Wong, C. C. and Najib, M. A. 1988. Forage Selection, Screening Evaluation and Production. In: MARDI/IDRC/Asian Rice Farming Systems Network, IRRI. *Proceedings of Crop-Animal Systems Research Workshop*. August 25-29 1988. Serdang, Malaysia. 635-650
- Woolford, M. K. & Sawczyc, M. K. (1984). An investigation into the effect of cultures of lactic acid bacteria on fermentation in silage. 2. Use of selected strains in laboratory-scale silages. *Grass and Forage Science* **39**: 149-58
- Woolford, M. K. 1984. Silage Quality and Nutritional Considerations. The Silage Fermentation. Marcel Dekker Incorporation. 190
- Zerpa, H., Villalobos, H. 1952. Graminea forrajera nueva en Venezuela para la instalacio n de potreros *Brachiaria decumbens*. *Agric. Trop.* **11(2)**: 117–121
- Zimmer, E. 1966. A new appraisal of the silage key after Flieg. *Das Wirtschaftseigene Futter* **12**: 299-303

