A LANDSCAPE DESIGN RECOMMENDATION FOR CAR PARK AND LAY-BY AREA IN SANDAKAN AIRPORT

KOH EVA

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR
OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE WITH HONOURS

HORTICULTURE AND LANDSCAPING PROGRAMME
SCHOOL OF SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH
2014



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

| BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS |
|---|
| JUDUL: A LANDSAPE LANDSCAPE DESIGN RECOMMENDATION FOR CAR PARK |
| AND LAY-BY AREA IN SANDAKAN AIRPORT |
| |
| HAZAH: DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE WITH HONOURS |
| SAYA: KOH EVA SESI PENGAJIAN: 2013/2014 (HURUF BESAR) |
| Mengaku membenarkan tesis * (LPSM/Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:- |
| Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Malaysia Sabah. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi. Sila tandakan (/) |
| SULIT (Mengandungi maklumta yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972) |
| TERHAD (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana Penyelidikan dijalankan) |
| TIDAK TERHAD |
| ANUBCIAAN BINTI ISMAIL |
| LIBRARIAN |
| Disahkan Oleh: UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SAB |
| M. Tall . |
| (TANDATANGAN PENULIS) (TANDATANGAN PENYELIA) SHAHIDA BINTI MOHD. SHARIF |
| Alamat Tetap: NO. 30, JALAN UST 20/6C. LECTURER/ACADEMIC ADVISOR |
| SCHOOL OF SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE |
| UNIVERSITA MALAYSIA SABAH) |
| Tarikh: 17 JANUARY 2014 . Tarikh: 21 1 2014 |
| Catatan: - * Potong yang tidak berkenaan. ** Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak yang berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD. Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana Secara penyelidikan atau disertasi bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (LPSM) |



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is based on my original work except for citations and quotations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that no part of this dissertation has been previously or concurrently submitted for a degree at this or any other university.

Koh Eva //
BR 10110039
9 December 2013

VERIFICATION

VERIFIED BY

 Miss Shahida Mohd Sharif SUPERVISOR

- Signature and stamp

 SHAHIDA BINTI MOHD. SHARIF
 LECTURER/ACADEMIC ADVISOR
 SCUCIO OF SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE
 1595ITI MALAYSIA SABAH
- 2. Miss Izyan Ayuni Mohamad Selamat EXAMINER 1

Signature and stamp

3. Mr. Assis Kamu EXAMINER 2

- PENSYARAH / PENASHAT AKADEMIK
 SEKOLAH PERTANIAN LESTARI
 UMB KAMPUS SANDAKAN
 Signature and stamp
- 4. Dr Siti Raehanah binti Muhammad Saleh DEAN of SSA
- Signature and stamp



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Miss Shahida for guiding me in finishing my final year project. I also owe a debt of gratitude to Encik Assis guided me in completing my survey methodology.

I am also particularly indebted to my friends who inspired and accompanied me in finishing during the period of completing m final year project. I am really thankful to my roommate, Joanna Joen who fully supported me when I was feeling stress when doing my final year project. And to all my friends who helped me when i needed help the most, I am really grateful for what was given to me. I could never repay my debts just by saying just thank you to Joanna, An Nee, Alvin Lai and Lee Wei Hong. I felt very blessed with all the loves and supports I received.

A special credit also goes to Yong Sun Nee who helped me in editing my mistakes while writing this thesis.

I would also like to thank the workers of Sandakan Airport who aided and gave me full support in completing my survey on preferences of Sandakan Airport users.



ABSTRACT

Sandakan airport is an airport that has been operating domestically for 28 years. The landscape design and safety approach in Sandakan Airport can no longer provide the basic necessities to airport users. The location of study was at the car park and lay by area of Sandakan Airport. The objectives of this research were to identify Sandakan Airport users' landscape preferences and develop into a design proposal for the landscape design and safety issues at the car park and lay by area in Sandakan Airport; and to improve the first impression, sense of place and welcome to airport users. Survey, site analysis and landscape planning were carried out to upgrade the car park and lay by area in Sandakan Airport. Survey questionnaires were used to understand the preferences of Sandakan Airport users on the hardscape element, design element, theme preference and knowledge on landscape, supplementary data such as site inventory analysis were also collected. Site inventory and analysis were also done to aid in the synthesis of sustainable landscape design such as selection of plants that suits the intrinsic characteristics of certain area such as planting of shade tolerant plants at shady area and drought tolerant plants at dry soil area. The landscape design proposed had incorporate sustainable elements to lower the maintenance of the design, improvements on standards of safety for airport users and aesthetic elements to improve the appearance and quality of Sandakan Airport. It was found out the existing facilities of Sandakan Airport were getting old and thus needed a major upgrade plan to renew the area.



CADANGAN REKA BENTUK LANDSKAP DI KAWASAN TEMPAT LETAK KERETA SERTA KAWASAN MENYAMBUT DAN MENGHANTAR PENUMPANG DI LAPANGAN TERBANG SANDAKAN

ABSTRAK

Sandakan lapangan terbang merupakan lapangan terbang yang beroperasi secara domestik selama 28 tahun. Keadaan reka bentuk landskap dan pendekatan keselamatan di Lapangan Terbang Sandakan sekarang sudah tidak berupaya untuk menampung keperluan asas pengguna lapangan terbang. Lokasi kajian terletak di tempat letak kenderaan, tempat menurun dan mengambil penumpang di kawasan Lapangan Terbang Sandakan. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji dan menggabungkan kecenderungan pengguna lapangan terbang ke dalam projek menaik taraf reka bentuk landskap dan isu-isu keselamatan di tempat letak kenderaan, tempat menurunkan dan mengambil penumpang di kawasan Lapangan Terbang Sandakan dan untuk memberi tanggapan pertama yang baik, rasa tempat dan dialu-alukan kepada pengguna Lapangan Terbang Sandakan. Kajian soal selidik, analisis tapak dan perancangan landskap telah dijalankan untuk menaik taraf tempat letak kenderaan, tempat menurunkan dan mengambil penumpang di kawasan Lapangan Terbang Sandakan. Soal selidik kajian telah digunakan untuk memahami cita rasa atau kecenderungan pengguna Lapangan Terbang Sandakan terhadap elemen keras, elemen reka bentuk, tema lanskap dan pengetahuan mengenai lanskap. Pada masa yang sama, data tambahan seperti analisis inventori tapak juga dikumpul. Data yang diperoleh dari kajian tapak telah digunakan untuk membantu dalam sintesis reka bentuk landskap yang mapan, contohanya pemilihan tumbuhan yang sesuai dengan ciri-ciri semula jadi kawasan tertentu seperti penanaman tumbuhan yang tahan teduh di kawasan yang teduh dan tumbuhan yang tahan kemarau di kawasan tanah kering. Reka bentuk landskap yang dicadangkan telah menggabungkan elemen-elemen yang mapan untuk mengurangkan penyelenggaraan reka bentuk landskap, meningkatkan piawaian keselamatan untuk pengguna lapangan terbang dan unsur-unsur estetik untuk meningkatkan penampilan dan qualiti Lapangan Terbang Sandakan. Ia didapati bahawa kemudahan yang sedia ada sudah lama tidak dinaik taraf dan justeru memerlukan pelan penaikan taraf untuk memperbaharui kawasan Lanpangan Terbang Sandakan.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ACKNON ABSTRA ABSTRA TABLE LIST O | RATION CATION WLEDGEMENT ACT | Page ii iii iv v vi vii x xii |
|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | F SYMBOLS, UNITS AND ABBREVIATIONS | xiv |
| 1.1 1.2 1.3 | Introduction Problem Statement Justification Objectives Research Questions | 1 1 3 3 4 5 |
| CHAP | TER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| 2.1 | Introduction to Landscape Design | 6 |
| 2.2 | Sense of Place | 6 |
| 2.3 | Perception and Preference | 7 |
| | 2.3.1 Landscape preferences 2.3.2 Visual Stimuli | 8 8 |
| 2.4 | Landscape Preference Research Methodology by Taylor, J., Zube, E. | |
| 2.7 | and Sell, J (1987) | 9 |
| 2.5 | Preference Matrix | 9 |
| 2.5 | 2.5.1 Coherence | 10 |
| | 2.5.2 Complexity | 10 |
| | 2.5.3 Legibility | 10 |
| | 2.5.4 Mystery | 11 |
| 2.6 | The Importance of Upgrading the Landscape Design in an Airport | 11 |
| 2.7 | Car park area | 12 |
| | 2.7.1 Space Requirements | 12 |
| | 2.7.2 Planting Distance | 14 |
| | 2.7.3 Plants Selection | 15 |
| | 2.7.4 Examples of Plants to be Planted at Car Park Area | 16 |
| 2.0 | 2.7.5 Parking for Disabled People | 17 |
| 2.8 | Pedestrian Walkway | 17 |
| | 2.8.1 Space Requirements2.8.2 Planting Distance | 17 |
| | 2.8.3 Plants Selection | 19 19 |
| | 2.8.4 Examples of Plants to be Planted at Pedestrian Walkway | 20 |
| 2.9 | Public Buildings | 20 |
| | 2.9.1 Space Requirements | 2: |
| | 2.9.2 Planting Distance | 2: |
| | 2.9.3 Plants Selection | 2: |
| | 2.9.4 Examples of Plants to be Planted at Public Buildings | 2 |



| 2.10 | Safety Management 2.10.1 Design 2.10.2 Sightlines 2.10.3 Lighting 2.10.4 Traffic Calming | 23 23 24 24 25 |
|------|--|----------------------------|
| 2.11 | 300 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V | 30 30 31 31 31 |
| CHAP | PTER 3 METHODOLOGY | 32 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 32 |
| 3.2 | Exploratory Research | 32 |
| | 3.2.1 Problem Statement | 33 |
| 3.3 | Descriptive Research | 33 |
| 3.4 | Materials and Tools | 33 |
| 3.5 | Location of Proposed Landscape Design | 34 |
| 3.6 | Data Collection | 34 |
| | 3.6.1 Simple Random Sampling | 35 35 |
| | 3.6.2 Survey Strategy and Sample Size3.6.3 Quantitative Research and Qualitative Research | 35 35 |
| | 3.6.4 Primary and Secondary Data | 36 |
| | 3.6.5 Formation of Questionnaires | 36 |
| | 3.6.6a Part A: Respondent's background | 37 |
| | 3.6.6b Part B: Preference on Landscape Design | 38 |
| | 3.6.6c Part C: Preference on Design Themes | 39 |
| | 3.6.6d Part D: Functions of Landscape Design | 40 |
| | 3.6.7 Likert Scale | 41 |
| | 3.6.8 Ranking | 41 |
| | 3.6.9 Hypotheses for Kruskal-Wallis test | 41 |
| | 3.6.10 Validity | 42 |
| | 3.6.11 Reliability | 42 |
| | 3.6.12 Pilot Test | 43 |
| | 3.6.13 Improvement of Questionnaires | 43 |
| | 3.6.14 Interview with Key Informant, Mr. Mali | 44 |
| 2.7 | 3.6.15 Site Inventory | 44 |
| 3.7 | Survey Data Transformation and Data Analysis | 45 |
| 3.8 | Development of Car Park and Lay-By Area Landscape Design | 45 |
| | 3.8.1 Site Inventory and Analysis Plan 3.8.2 Master Plan | 45 |
| | 3.8.3 Perspective and Sectional Views | 46 46 |
| | 3.0.5 Terspective and Sectional Views | 40 |
| | APTER 4 RESULTS | 47 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 47 |
| 4.2 | Descriptive Analysis | 47 |
| | 4.2.1 Part A Demographic Background Analysis | 48 |
| | 4.2.2 Part B Preference on Landscape Design | 49 |
| | 4.2.3 Part B Ranking of Preferred Color | 61 |
| | 4.2.4 Part C Preference on Landscape Design Theme 4.2.5 Part D Knowledge on Landscape Functions | 61 |
| 4.3 | Site Analysis | 65 |
| | one rulalysis | 167 |

| CHAP | PTER 5 DISCUSSION | 77 |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.1 | Questionnaire Findings | 77 |
| | 5.1.1 Part B Preference on Landscape Design | 77 |
| | 5.1.2 Part B Ranking of Preferred Color | 81 |
| | 5.1.3 Part C Preference on Landscape Design Theme | 81 |
| | 5.1.4 Part D Knowledge on Landscape Functions | 81 |
| 5.2 | Landscape Design Recommendation | 81 |
| 5.3 | Master Plan | 93 |
| 5.4 | Plant Palette Recommendation | 94 |
| CHAI | PTER 6 CONCLUSION | 96 |
| 6.1 | Conclusion | 96 |
| 6.2 | Landscape Design Recommendation | 97 |
| 6.3 | Master Plan | 97 |
| REFE | ERENCES | 99 |
| APPI | ENDICES | 102 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Preference matrix | 9 |
| 2.2 | Examples of plants to be planted at car park area | 16 |
| 2.3 | Examples of plants to be planted at pedestrian walkway | 20 |
| 2.4 | Examples of plants to be planted at public building | 22 |
| 3.1 | The items and response format in Part A of the questionnaire | 37 |
| 3.2 | The items and response format in Part B of the questionnaire | 38 |
| 3.3 | The items and response format in Part C of the questionnaire | 39 |
| 3.4 | The items and response format in Part D of the questionnaire | 40 |
| 3.5 | Cronbach alpha coefficient and number of items of dimensions of the questionnaire | 42 |
| 4.1 | The frequency (N) and percentage (%) in Part A of the survey questionnaire which represented the respondents' demographic background information | 48 |
| 4.2a | The frequency (N) and percentage (%) in Part A of the survey questionnaire which represented the respondents' demographic background information | 50 |
| 4.2b | The frequency (N) and percentage (%) of the responses given by respondents in Sandakan Airport using Likert scale in Part B of the survey questionnaire which represented the preference on landscape design | 53 |
| 4.2c | The frequency (N) and percentage (%) of the responses given by respondents in Sandakan Airport using Likert scale in Part B of the survey questionnaire which represented the preference on landscape design | 56 |
| 4.2d | The frequency (N) and percentage (%) of the responses given by respondents in Sandakan Airport using Likert scale in Part B of the survey questionnaire which represented the preference on landscape design | |
| 4.3 | Analysis using Kruskal-Wallis test on the ranking of color preference of respondents using mean rank | 61 |
| 4.4 | Chi-squared test, χ^2 test | 61 |
| 4.5 | The frequency (N) and percentage (%) of the responses given by | 6 |

| | respondents in Sandakan Airport using Likert scale in Part C of the survey questionnaire which represented the preference of landscape design theme | |
|------|---|----|
| 4.6 | The frequency (N) and percentage (%) of the responses given by respondents in Sandakan Airport using Likert scale in Part D of the survey questionnaire which represented the knowledge of respondents on landscape functions | 65 |
| 4.7 | Site Inventory in Site A (Entrance) | 69 |
| 4.8 | Site Inventory in Site B (Car Park Area) | 71 |
| 4.9 | Site Inventory in Site C (Lay-By Area) | 73 |
| 4.10 | Site Inventory in Site D (Exit) | 75 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|--------|
| 2.1 | Planting space preparation and grass crete | 13 |
| 2.2 | At least 15% of the car park parking space must be used for landscaping | 13 |
| 2.3 | Planting box and pergola in car parking area | 14 |
| 2.4 | Tree roots damages the asphalt road surface in a parking area | 15 |
| 2.5 | Planting space along the pedestrian walkway | 18 |
| 2.6 | Example of planting box in pedestrian walkway area | 18 |
| 2.7 | Combination of small hill and planting as a barrier | 19 |
| 2.8 | Tree that damages the structure of wall in Ta Prohm, Cambodia | 22 |
| 2.9 | Plan view of speed bump | 26 |
| 2.10 | Sight view of standard sized speed bump | 27 |
| 2.11 | Sight view of speed bump signage | 28 |
| 2.12 | Speed bump signage | 29 |
| 3.1 | Map view of Sandakan Airport | 34 |
| 4.1 | Site inventory – existing master plan of Sandakan Airport at car park and lay-by area (Refer to APPENDIX B-Table 1 for legend) | 68 |
| 5.2.1 | Existing condition of Site A (Entrance) | 84 |
| 5.2.2 | Recommended design of Site A (Entrance) - Perspective Drawing 2 | 84 |
| 5.2.3 | Existing condition of Site B (Car park area) – Existing master plan | 86 |
| 5.2.4 | Recommended design of Site B (Car park area) – Recommended master plan | d 86 |
| 5.2.5 | Existing condition of Site B (Car park area) | 87 |
| 5.2.6 | Recommended design of Site B (Car park area) – Perspective Drawing 2 | e 87 |
| 5.2.7 | Existing condition of Site C (Lay-by area) | 89 |
| 5.2.8 | Recommended design of Site C (Lay-by area) - Perspective | 1 78 |

Drawing 3

| 5.2.9 | Existing condition of Site D (Exit) – 1 | 91 |
|--------|--|----|
| 5.2.10 | Recommended design of Site Site D (Exit) – Recommended master plan | 91 |
| 5.2.11 | Existing condition of Site D (Exit) - 2 | 92 |
| 5.2.12 | Recommended design of Site D (Exit) - Perspective Drawing 1 | 92 |
| 5.3 | Recommended master plan (Refer to APPENDIX C-Table 2 for legends) | 93 |



LIST OF SYMBOLS, UNITS AND ABBREVIATIONS

% Percentage ± Plus – Minus

ACT Australian Capital Territory
CCTV Closed-Circuit Television

E East etc. Et cetera

km/h Kilometer per hour MSA Multi Sports Arena

N North Sp. Species



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Sandakan Airport is a public domestic airport owned by the Malaysia Government (Malaysia Airports Holdings Berhad, 2013). The Sandakan Airport has runways and buildings that regulate the takeoff, landing, maintenance of civil plane and provide various facilities to passengers (Malaysia Airports Holdings Berhad, 2013). The airport is located in Sandakan, Sabah, in East Malaysia. Sandakan Airport is operated by Malaysia Airports Holdings Berhad. The area of Sandakan Airport is 8,645 m² (Malaysia Airports Holdings Berhad, 2013). The airport has the ability to cater 1.5 million passengers with approximately 13,000 aircraft movements (Malaysia Airports Holdings Berhad, 2013). Airasia, MAS (Malaysia Airlines), MASWings are the commercial airlines that have aircraft operations in Sandakan Airport (Malaysia Airports Holdings Berhad, 2013). The numbers of passenger annually has increased steadily from 1998 to 2011 which the number of passengers in 1998 was 377, 843 and the number of passengers in 2011 was 788, 515 (Malaysia Airports Holdings Berhad, 2013).

Airport should be able to provide a well maintained network of airports that can ensure the safety and mobility of all airport users (Kansas Aviation, 2009). Sandakan Airport used to be an airbase that was built to serve military purposes. This explains the simplicity and lack of attractiveness of landscape design in the airport. Furthermore the airport also lacks of safety management that may bring danger or discomfort to airport users (Mali, 2013). This justifies the need to upgrade the landscape design and safety management of Sandakan Airport.



The importance of an airport is to act as a city's gateway and a pit stop for aircraft landing and take-off. An airport also facilitates the movement of public or goods from place to place (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003; Cristureanu *et al.*, 2007; Kansas Aviation, 2009). The connectivity and accessibility among countries provided by airports flourishes the economy and society of a country (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003; Cristureanu *et al.*, 2007; Kansas Aviation, 2009).

The social importance of an airport is to act as a gateway for public to travel and expand their knowledge in other parts of the world (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003; Cristureanu *et al.*, 2007). It gives open opportunities to different cities and countries to exchange information especially in promoting local culture and knowledge (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003). Besides that, airport also acts as a gateway for air transport that enables crucial social functions to be carried out, such as, transporting doctors or patients from country to country for better healing treatment (Cristureanu *et al.*, 2007).

An airport is important to national economic development as it is able to increase the transportation speed and quantity of trades among countries and cities (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003; Cristureanu *et al.*, 2007). Due to the ability of aircraft to transport goods within a short time which is known as air freight, it increases the types of goods that can be imported or exported such as high value, low weight goods, transient food or goods that require immediate delivery (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003; Cristureanu *et al.*, 2007). An airport is also able to increases the inflow and outflow of public in a city or country (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003; Cristureanu *et al.*, 2007). These public include tourists, traders and local citizen that shall in turn increase the economic activities and create more job opportunities and income in an area (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003; Cristureanu *et al.*, 2007; Kansas Aviation, 2009). This also increases the urbanization of a city as an increase mobility of public in and out of a city increases development of land around the airport (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003; Cristureanu *et al.*, 2007; Kansas Aviation, 2009).

Politically, an airport gives the first and last impression of a place to ambassadors from other countries. An airport is also able to serve political liberty when there is a continuous exchange of cultural and political influences among western and

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

eastern countries (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003). An airport is also important in military and economical operations such as the setting up of military air base and flying school to train more pilots for both domestic flights and army operations (The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2003; Kansas Aviation, 2009).

In concern with sustainability of the landscape in Sandakan Airport, the landscape within the airport must be well planned and designed. One of the solutions to this goal is to choose plants that require low maintenance effort such as plants that require low irrigation, fertilization, pest control and thrive best with the climate, environment and soil condition in Sandakan Airport area (The O'Hare Modernization Program, 2008; Ministry of Housing and Local Government, 2011; ACT Planning & Land Authority, 2012).

1.2 Problem Statement

It was acknowledged that car park and lay-by area of Sandakan Airport is in need of proper landscape treatment and usage maximization during the peak hours (Mali, 2013). Thus, this study was conducted to facilitate landscape upgrading recommendation maximizing the usage of Sandakan Airport's car park and lay-by area.

1.3 Justification

Sandakan Airport has been opened to commercial flights for 28 years since 1985. Since then, the original facilities and appearance of Sandakan Airport has not been upgraded and improved (Mali, 2013). The appearance and airport facilities such as traffic routes, pedestrian pathways, and landscape designs have not undergone any major change since the starting of commercial use of the airport (Mali, 2013). The appearance of an airport represents the image and pride of a city. The old and outdated facilities of Sandakan Airport will simply ruin the first impression of Sandakan. The landscape design within and around the airport is simple and lack of attractiveness. Furthermore it also lacks of safety management. Therefore there is a necessity to upgrade of the facilities and landscape designs in Sandakan Airport. Since all air travellers pay their airport taxes, the fundamental services that should be provided to them is a comfortable, safe and convenient airport (Mali, 2013).



This study will focus on the car park and lay by area. The landscape appearances of Sandakan Airport car park area that need improvements are the asphalt layered road that has many holes, ruined speed bumps, road surface marking and ornamental plants decorations. The lighting around the car park area must be increased to enhance the safety and comforts of airport users because it is dangerous for airport users to walk or drive around when the area is dark. The speed bump located at the lay by area need to be replaced to enhance traffic calming.

Airport users such as tourists, airport workers and locals often need to wait before boarding the airplane after checking in and/or wait for friends or family to pick them up from the airport after landing. During that period, they shall be delighted to have a beautiful view of the airport to be appreciated during waiting time instead of on waiting aimlessly. The other reason Sandakan Airport should be upgraded is because the city's airport was built to fit the capacity of airport users 28 years ago. In fact, the amount of Sandakan Airport users has increased vastly within these years as Sandakan has become a tourist attraction. The tourist attraction spots in Sandakan are the Turtle Island Park, Orang Utan Sanctuary, Agnes Newton Keith Home, Sandakan Rainforest Park, Lankayan Island, Gomantong Cave, etc. Sandakan Airport will run out of capacity sooner or later if the amount of air travellers increases every year. Thus the appearance and capacity of Sandakan Airport should be improved to provide a more attractive and new appearance by redesigning the landscape and plants arrangements within and around Sandakan Airport to sustain future needs (Mali, 2013).

1.4 Objectives

The objectives of this research are:

- To identify users' landscape preferences on Sandakan Airport's car park and lay by area.
- ii. To develop a landscape design proposal for Sandakan Airport's car park and lay by area based on site existing condition and preferences of Sandakan Airport users.
- iii. To identify the existing condition of the site to use as a reference to design the landscape of Sandakan Airport car park and lay by area.



1.5 Research Question

The research questions of this study are:

- i. What are the landscape preferences of users on Sandakan Airport's car park and lay by area?
- ii. What is the suitable landscape design for Sandakan Airport's car park and lay by area based on site existing condition and preferences of Sandakan Airport users?
- iii. What is the existing condition of the site?



CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction to Landscape Design

Landscape design defines the action of organization or modification of a landscape feature for aesthetic or practical purposes (Motloch, 2001). A functional and aesthetically pleasing extension of indoor living to the outdoors landscape may be formed by combining science and arts elements.

2.2 Sense of Place

The definition of sense of place is the quality of relationship between a human and a place (Najafi *et al.*, 2011). The existence of the sense of place is crucial in preserving the integrity of human life together with the quality of environment (Najafi *et al.*, 2011).

A sense of place can be sensed through experiences and perceptions of public from place with specific or unique features (Planning Department of Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, 2010). A sense of place can also be intensified by creating the built fabric and nature, creating connections between people and places, movement and urban form (Planning Department of Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, 2010). A landscape design that has a strong sense of place is associated with characteristics such as openness; good, convenient vehicular circulation; general organization of elements that promote a logical, organized, pleasant environment that emphasizes the preservation and conservation of nature; and incorporation of



hardscapes to create focal points (Planning Department of Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, 2010; Brisbane Airport Corporation Pty. Ltd., 2012).

2.3 Perception and Preference

According to Kaplan *et al.* (1989), preference and perception are closely related. The measurement of preference allows the analysis and understanding of perception (Kaplan, 1989). Perception is an important element in preference which is also influence by previous experience which causes different individuals to have different perception (Kaplan *et al.*, 1989).

Perception can be grouped into two basic modes which are the autocentric senses and allocentric sences (Porteous, 1996). Autocentric senses are subject centred which are the combination of pleasure and sensory quality of an individual while allocentric senses are object centred which are based on knowledge and objectification of an individual involving attention and directionality (Porteous, 1996; Kaymaz, 2012). Examples of autocentric are vision except color perception, sound and smell (Porteous, 1996). However, the perception of an individual is not only affected by that individual's physiology but also their experiences, social and culture factor (Zube, 1987; Kaymaz, 2012). In terms of landscape, different individuals may have similar landscape preference despite their social and culture influences and differences; it is rather influenced by the concept of novelty and familiarity (Kaplan et al., 1989; Kaymaz, 2012). The sense of familiarity and novelty must be balanced in a landscape design. Although familiarity can make people feel comfortable and relaxed by looking at the familiarized environment. However, too much of familiarity will lose the essence of excitement and this is when people will start to search for novelty (Kaymaz, 2012). It is undeniable that there is a mutual relationship between human and their physical environment, thus it is one of the important aspects that the landscape planning and design should be based on the perception of the users of the landscape (Zube, 1987; Karameris, 2001; Kaymaz, 2012).



2.3.1 Landscape Preferences

As mentioned above, studies of preferences are closely associated to perception as the rating in preference provides an understanding of perception (Kaplan et al., 1989). Landscape preference studies are carried out to investigate the degree of preference and reason of preference of certain landscape elements. Evaluations are carried out by the respondents based on processed stimuli received from the environment or landscape given or by personal experience (Taylor et al., 1987; Kaplan et al., 1989). Generally, individual elements are not the main focus of evaluation but the organization of space in a landscape (Kaplan et al., 1989). Thus landscape designers should blend in and mix varieties of landscape elements to create a landscape design with appropriate organized space which is preferred and accepted widely by landscape users. Generally, presence of diverse and large number of natural elements such as plants and natural environmental features are preferred by public as they are basic human needs compare to man-made elements such as buildings (Kaplan et al., 1989). Waterscapes; large open visible area such as lawn, water, flowering meadow; structurally more complex scenes are also preferred by public from the finding of Simonic (2003). The landscape preference can be investigated using questionnaires in surveys (Kaplan et al., 1989; Karameris, 2001; Yunos, 2012; Silva et al., 2013).

2.3.2 Visual Stimuli

The stimulus human receive the most is sight where it relies on the reflection of light onto space, distance, colour, texture contrast, shape, light quality, gradient and many more (Porteous, 1996; Kaymaz, 2012). One of the important considerations of landscape design is the evaluation of visual character through landscape assessment which determines the landscape quality, analysis of landscape and finds the most suitable solution or design for the landscape (Kaplan *et al.*, 1989; Karameris, 2001; Yunos, 2012; Silva *et al.*, 2013; Kaymaz, 2012). The common target of landscape preference studies are public or users of the landscape to be planned and designed which uses rating, ranking and verbal stimuli to understand or measure the degree of landscape preferences of the public (Yunos, 2012; Kaymaz, 2012).



2.4 Landscape Preference Research Methodology by Taylor, J., Zube, E. and Sell, J. (1987)

Four research paradigms on landscape assessment and perception were discussed by Taylor et al. (1987). These paradigms included the expert, psycho-physical, cognitive and experiential paradigms (Taylor et al., 1987). It was stated that the evaluation of experts are objective and therefore is more reliable compared to evaluations of public or users who have more subjective perception (Taylor et al., 1987). Compared to experts, even trained public who have no intrinsic capacity for judging value cannot judge as objective as experts who have been trained through principles of art, design, ecology and resource management (Taylor et al., 1987). However, the opinions of the public must be taken into account while designing a landscape as the public are the main users of the landscape instead of the experts (Karameris, 2001). The psychophysical paradigm is the careful controlled experiment that used to stimulate measurable reaction in subjects. Is it different from expert paradigm which uses only perceptions of expert (Taylor et al., 1987). The psycho-physical paradigm involves the aesthetic evaluation by public and special interest groups (Taylor et al., 1987). The major assumption in psycho-physical paradigm is the landscape elements in a landscape are treated as stimuli in evaluation of observers on the first thought or without conscious thinking (Taylor et al., 1987). In this paradigm, the model of humanlandscape interaction can be applied where the landscape elements acts as the dominant role to provide stimuli to the human who are passive observers to give responses such as perception or preference on the landscape (Taylor et al., 1987).

2.5 Preference Matrix

It is suggested that if all components in the preference matrix such as coherence, complexity, legibility and mystery are combined in a landscape design, it will attract and increase the preference of public users of the particular landscape. The two domains of human preference can be summarized by the 2X2 matrix below, Table 2.1.

| Table 2.1 | Preference matrix |
|-----------|-------------------|
| Table 2.1 | Preference mains |

| Level of Interpretation | Making Sense | Involvement |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| The Visual Array – 2D | Coherence | Complexity |
| Three Dimensional Space - 3D | Legibility | Mystery |

Source:

Kaplan (1979)



REFERENCES

- ACT Planning & Land Authority. 2012. Parking and Vehicular Access General Code.

 Australia: ACT Parliamentary Counsel
- Adelaide Airport. 2009. Adelaide Airport Limited Landscape Guidelines. Australia: Oxigen Pty Ltd.
- Adnan Zulkiple. 2009. Speed Hump Specification for Materials, Design and Installation. Standards & Quality News 16(3): 14-16
- Baez, J. 2011. February 21, 2011. Diary February 2011 http://math.ucr.edu/home/baez/diary/february_2011.html. Access on 30 March 2013. Verified on 30 March 2013
- Brisbane Airport Corporation Pty. Ltd. 2012. *Brisbane Airport 2009 Landscape Master Plan: July 2009 (Revised January 2012) version 3.* Australia: Brisbane Airport Corporation Pty. Ltd.
- Brown, J. 2011. Likert items and scales of measurement. *JALT Testing & Evaluation SIG Newsletter.* **15(1):** 10-14
- Conover, W. 1998. Practical nonparametric statistics. New York: Wiley. 294-302
- Cristureanu, C. and Bobirca, A. 2007. Airports Driving Economic and Tourism Development. *The Romanian Economic Journal* **10(25)**: 31-44
- Dell, O. 2009. Sustainable Landscaping for Dummies. Wiley Publishing International.
- Department of Standards Malaysia. 2013. Speed bump Specification for materials, design and installation. *Draft Malaysian Standard*. Malaysia: Department of Standards Malaysia
- Doelp, G. and Moser, P. 2009. *Paving Systems Over Plaze Waterproofing Mmbranes:* The Importance of Membrane-Level Drainage. RCI, Inc.
- Field, A. 2009. Discovering Statistics Using SPSS, Third Edition. SAGE Publications
- Google maps. 2013. Sandakan Airport. Scale 1:20. http://maps.google.com.my/. Access on 30 March 2013. Verified on 30 March 2013
- Forest, M. 2006. Landscape Trees and Shrubs. London: CAB International
- Grass Concrete. 2008. Grasscrete: Cast insitu paving system design and specification quide. England: Grass Concrete Limited
- Haydar El Hadi Babikir, Ali Babikir Ali and Mabuo M Abed elWahab. 2009. Research Methodology Step By Step Guide For Graduate Students. *Sudanese Journal of Paediatrics* **9**: 9-22
- Ingels, J. 2009. *Landscaping Principles and Practices*. New York: Delmar Cengage Learning
- Iskandar Regional Development Authority (IRDA). 2011. Road Layout Design: Blueprint for Iskandar Malaysia. Iskandar Regional Development Authority (IRDA)
- Motlock, J. 2001. *Introduction to Landscape Design, 2nd ed.* Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Kane, P. 2011. Lighting Against Crime: A Guide For Crime Reduction Professionals. United Kingdom: Association of Chief Police Officers, Crime Prevention Initiatives (ACPO CPI)
- Kansas Aviation. 2009. Chapter 4: Airport Role Analysis. *Kansas Airport System Plan*. Kansas Department of Transportation: Division of Aviation Home
- Kaplan, R. and Kaplan, S. 1989. *The Experience Of Nature: A Psychological Perspective*. USA: Cambridge University press
- Kaplan, S. 1979. Perception and landscape: Conceptions and misconceptions. In Proceedings of Our National Landscape Conference. *USDA Forest Service General Technical Report Pacific South West.* **35**: 241-248
- Karameris, A. 2001. Lanscape Planning and Public's preference survey: A Case Study In Greece. *Medit* **12(2)**: 58-63

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

- Kaymaz, I. 2012. Landscape Planning. Europe: InTech
- Kothari, C. 2004. Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques (Second Revise Edition). India: New Age International Publishers
- Lancaster, G., Dodd, S. and Williamson, P.R. 2004. Design and analysis of pilot studies: recommendations for good practice. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* **10(2)**: 307-12
- Laws of Malaysia. 2006. *Act 333: Road Transport Act 1987*. The Commissioner of Law Revision
- Likert, R. 1932. A Technique for the Measurement of Attitudes. *Archives of Psychology* **22(140)**: 1932-1933
- Malaysia Airports Holdings Berhad. 2013. Sandakan Airport. http://www.malaysiaairports.com.my/index.php/component/content/article/7. Access on 10 March 2013. Verified on 30 March 2013
- Mali @ Awang Mali Bin Awang Anak. Airport Manager of Sandakan Airport, Malaysia Airports Sdn. Bhd., Sandakan, Sabah. 15 January 2013. Personal communication
- Maas, C. and Hox, J. 2005. Sufficient Sample Sizes for Multilevel Modeling. *Methodology.* **1(3**): 86–92
- Mark, P. 2010. New Build Car Park Guidelines: For Car Park Designers, Operators and Owners. United Kingdom: Safer Parking Scheme
- Midway International Airport. 2010. Airport Landscaping Resource Guide for Property Owners, Businesses and Neighbors near Chicago Midway International Airport ("Midway"). Airport Landscaping Resource Guide. United States: Chicago Department of Aviation
- Ministry of Housing and Local Government. 2011. *Garis Panduan Perancangan Tempat Letak Kenderaan.* Malaysia: Ministry of Housing and Local Government
- Ministry of Housing and Local Government. 1995. *Garis Panduan Landskap Negara.*Malaysia: Ministry of Housing and Local Government
- Mohd Noor Awang. 2003. *Car Parking Provision Analysis Based on the Land Use Types for Malaysian Towns.* Jabatan Perancang Bandar dan Wilayah
- Muhammad Marizwan, A., Eng, P. and Poi, A. 2009. A Survey in the Klang Valley, Malaysia. *Traffic Calming Scheme Around the Vicinity of Schools*. Malaysia: Malaysian Institude of Road Safety Research.
- Namorong, A. 2012. Seeing the Darkness of Neon Lights in Sydney. http://namorong.blogspot.com/2012_05_01_archive.html. Access on 30 March 2013. Verified on 30 March 2013
- Nor Izzah, Z., Muhammad Akram, A., Mohd Yusof A. and Jezan, M. 2010. Improvised Engineering Specification Design for Road Hump: A Case Study in Residential Street Shah Alam. *Malaysian Universities Transportation Research Forum And Conference 2010.* Malaysia: University Tenaga Nasional, Putrajaya
- Office of Crime Prevention. 2008. *Designing Out Crime: Lighting For Crime Prevention*. Australia: Government of Western Australia
- Pallant, J. 2010. SPSS Survival Manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS, 4th edition. McGraw-Hill Professional Publishing.
- Planning Department of Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport. 2010. Airport Image Elements & Baseline Leasehold Development Criteria: August 2010. United States: Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport
- Porteous, J. 1996. *Environmental aesthetics: Ideas, politics and planning.* London: Routledge, an International Thomson Publishing company
- Rugg, G. and Petre, M. 2007. *A Gentle Guide to Research Methods.* United Kingdom: Open University Press



- Ruxton, G. and Colegrave N. 2006. *Experimental Design for the Life Sciences (2nd edition)*. United Kingdom: Oxford University Press
- Saving Our Trees. 2010. Are trees worth spending money on to keep them alive? http://savingourtrees.wordpress.com/tag/tree-roots/. Access on 30 March 2013. Verified on 30 March 2013
- Silva, R. and Biondi, D. 2013. Assessment of Landscape Preference In The Botanical Garden Of Curitiba, Parana, Brazil. *Revista Da Sociedade Brasileira De Arborização Urbana (REVSBAU), Piracicaba SP* **8(1)**: 37-50
- Simonic, T. 2003. Preference and perceived naturalness in visual perception of naturalistic landscapes, Zb. *Bioteh.Fak.Univ. Ljunblj. Kmet* **81(2)**:369-387
- Statistics Division of Economic and Social Commission for Asia Pacific Region. 2010.

 Guidelines for cognitive and pilot testing of questions for use in surveys: ESCAP
 Project on Improving Disability Measurement and Statistics in the Asia Pacific
 Region. Statistics Division of Economic and Social Commission for Asia Pacific
 Region
- Taylor, J., Zube, E. and Sell, J. 1987. Landscape Assessment and Perception Research Methods. *Methods in Environmental and Behavioral Research* 12: 361-393
- Texas Department of Transportation. 2012. *Landscape and Aesthetics Design Manual* United States: Texas Department of Transportation
- The Borneo Post Online. 2012. *Guidelines on planning of car parks almost ready.* http://www.theborneopost.com/2012/07/10/guidelines-on-planning-of-car-parks-almost-ready/#ixzz2O9sbJvMv]. Access on 10 March 2013. Verified on 30 March 2013
- The Chinese University of Hong Kong. 2003. *Importance of an Airport In City/Country (e.g. HONG KONG)*. The Chinese University of Hong Kong Faculty of Education. http://www.fed.cuhk.edu.hk/history/history2003/0315d.pdf. Access on 10 March 2013. Verified on 30 March 2013
- The O'Hare Modernization Program. 2008. Sustainable Airport Landscaping. O'Hare Modernization Program Master Specification 3A(6): 5-6
- The Star Online. 2012. *Guidelines on car park safety soon, says Chor.* http://thestar.com.my/news/story.asp?file=/2012/7/10/nation/11632883&sec= nation. Access on 16 March 2013. Verified on 30 March 2013
- The Star Online. 2013. RM70mil allocation to upgrade Sandakan airport. http://thestar.com.my/news/story.asp?file=/2013/1/13/nation/12569658&sec=nation. Access on 14 March 2013. Verified on 30 March 2013
- University of Houston. 2012. Campus Design Guidelines and Standards Parking Lot Design Standards. United States: University of Houston
- Walliman, N and Baiche, B. 2001. *Your Research Project: a step-by-step guide for the first-time researcher.* London: SAGE Publications
- Wolf, K. 2004. *Trees, Parking and Green Law: Strategies for Sustainability*. Stone Mountain, GA: Georgia Forestry Commission, Urban and Community Forestry
- Yunos, M. & Md. Saring, Z. 2012. Perception of Urban Residential Landscapes: Role of Plants in Enhancing Outdoor Thermal Comfort.
- Zube, E. 1987. Perceived land use patterns and landscape values. *Landscape Ecology* **1(1)**: 37-45

