# PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF SONIFICATION CONCEPT FOR DYSLEXIA

# **YEOH PUI YENG**

PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAN

# THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

# FACULTY OF COMPUTING AND INFORMATICS UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2016



## UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

#### JUDUL: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF SONIFICATION CONCEPT FOR DYSLEXIA

### LIAZAH: MASTER OF SCIENCE (MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY)

Saya <u>YEOHIPUI YENG.</u> Sesi Pengajian <u>2010-2016</u>, mengaku membenarkan tesis Doktor Falsafah ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Univesiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syaratsyarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-

- 1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
- 3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. Sila tandakan ( / )

	SULIT	(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972)
	TERHAD	(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)
1	TIDAK TERHAD	

Disahkan oleh,

NURULAIN BINTI ISMAI LIBRARIAN SITI MALAYSIA SABAH (Tandatangan Pustakawan)

YEOH PUI YENG PI20108134

Tarikh: 28 Ogos2016

(Prof. Madya Dr. Ag. Asri Ag. Ibrahim) Penyelia



ALAYSIA SASA

PERPUSTAKAAN

### DECLARATION

I hereby to declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, excepts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

12 August 2015

Yeoh Pui Yeng PI20108134



### CONFIRMATION

NAMA	: YEOH PUI YENG
NO. MATRIK	: PI20108134
TAJUK	: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF SONIFICATION CONCEPT FOR DYSLEXIA
IJAZAH	: MASTER OF SCIENCE (MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY)
TARIKH VIVA	: 21 MEI 2015

### **DISAHKAN OLEH:**

1. PENYELIA

Prof. Madya Dr. Ag. Asri Ag. Ibrahim

÷

Tandatangan



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Many thanks to all the participants in this study for their helps, patience, and kindness in this research. I learned a lot from you. Especially, I would like to thank the staffs of the Association Dyslexia Malaysia and Labuan International School who opened their doors to me and supported this work.

Special thanks go to for my lecturers, Mrs. Laura Jack Penny, Mr. Jonathan Likoh and Mr. Ryan Macdonell Andrias for the assistance, advice, and time that they unselfishly provided from the beginning of this study to its end.

Finally, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my project supervisor, Prof. Madya Dr. Ag. Asri for always believing in me and guiding me with endless patience and incredible kindness throughout this process. It was an honor working with you and learning from you.

I also hope that this research will provide mutual benefits, to other researchers and could provide a new dimension in sonification and dyslexia research fields.

Yeoh Pui Yeng 12 August 2015



### ABSTRACT

Sonification is merely a new research field. It is defined as the representation of data or information using non-speech sound. Dyslexia is a specific learning difficulty that causes a person who has dyslexia to have problems in reading, writing, spelling or manipulating numbers even though they have normal intelligence and were exposed to sufficient education and training. This research aims to find out whether sonification concept can be used in the design of assistive tools for dyslexic students. Before any sonification based assistive tools can be designed, it is important to understand the fundamental issues, which are the listening performances of dyslexic peoples. Based on the tasks used in measuring usability properties of sonification applications, a listening test experimental design was developed and the performance results were analyzed. The overall results show that people who have dyslexia can be concluded as equivalent to normal people in performing general tasks in sonification concepts. However, there are some interesting results that might need to be taken into consideration for future research enhancements.



## ABSTRAK

### PENILAIAN PRESTASI KONSEP SONIFIKASI UNTUK DISLEXIA

Sonifikasi merupakan satu bidang yang agak baru. Ianya didefinisikan sebagai persembahan data atau maklumat dengan menggunakan bunyi bukan percakapan. Dislexia pula merupakan masalah yang berkaitan dengan pembelajaran yang menyebabkan seseorang yang mempunyai dislexia menghadapi masalah untuk membaca, menulis, mengeja atau memanuipulasi nombor walaupun mereka mempunyai kebijaksanaan yang normal dan didedahkan dengan kaedah pendidikan yang normal. Penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji samada konsep sonifikasi boleh digunakan sebagai alat untuk membantu masalah mereka. Walaubagaimana pun, sebelum sebarang alat bantuan berasaskan sonifikasi direka, adalah penting untuk memahami isu-isu asas yang berkaitan dengan kebolehan mendengar bagi mereka yang mengalami dislexia ini. Berdasarkan kepada kerja dan ujian yang pernah digunakan sebelum ini untuk mengukur elemen-elemen kebolehgunaan suatu aplikasi sonfikasi, ujian mendengar telah direkabentuk dan dijalankan dan keputusan telah dianalysis. Secara keseluruhan, keputusan analisis menunjukkan bahawa mereka yang mempunyai dislexia ini telah menunjukan prestasi yang lebih kurang sama dengan orang normal dalam melaksanakan tugas-tugas umum dalam konsep sonifikasi. Walaubagaimana pun, terdapat juga keputusan yang menarik yang perlu diberikan pertimbangan untuk dijadikan penyelidikan baru dimasa hadapan.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
TITLE	L	i
DECL	ARATION	ï
CERT	IFICATION	111
ACKN	IOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABST	RACT	v
ABST	TRAK	vi
LIST	OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST	OF TABLES	xi
LIST	OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST	OF PHOTOS	xiv
LIST	OF EQUATIONS	XV
LIST	OF APPENDIX	xvi
CHA	PTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Sonification	1
1.3	Assistive Technology	2
1.4	Dyslexia	2
1.5	Research Questions	4
1.6	Research Objectives	6
1.7	Expected Contribution	6
1.8	Hypothesis	6
1.9	Overview of Research Methodology	8
CH/	<b>PTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	9
2.1	Definition of Sonification	9
2.2	Concept of Sonification	9
2.3	Importance of Sound to Dyslexic	11
2.4	Classifications and Functions of Sonification	11



PERFUSTAKAAR ~ Umiyepsiti malaysia sarah

	2.4.1	Alert Functions	12
	2.4.2	Status and Process Indication Functions	12
	2.4.3	Data Exploration Functions	13
	2.4.4	Art and Entertainment Functions	13
2.5	Sonificati	on Systems	14
	2.5.1	Exploratory Visualization (EXVIS)	14
	2.5.2	Scaletti: Developing Prototype Sonification Tools Using Kyma	15
2.6	Sonificati	on Techniques and Approaches	16
	2.6.1	Event-based Sonification	16
	2.6.2	Model-based Approaches	17
	2.6.3	Continuous Sonification	17
2.7	Sonificat	ion and Auditory Display	17
	2.7.1	Earcons	19
	2.7.2	Auditory Icons	20
	2.7.3	Parameter Mapping	21
2.8	Applicati	ons of Sonification	21
2.9	Data Pro	operties and Task Dependency	25
2.10	Data Ty	pes	26
2.11	Taxonor	nic Description of Auditory Display and Sonification	27
2.12	Model of	f Interaction in Sonification	28
2.13	Limitatio	ons of Sonification	28
	2.13.1	Aesthetics and Musicality	29
	2.13.2	Individual Differences and Training	29
2.14	Dyslexia	a: An International Perspective	30
	2.14.1	International Definition of Dyslexia	31
	2.14.2	Causes and Characteristics of Dyslexia	35
2.15	Assistiv	e Technology: What is it all about?	40
2.16	Usabilit	y Evaluation of Sonification	41
	2.16.1	Learnability	41
	2.16.2	Efficiency	42
	2.16.3	Memorability	42
	2.16.4	Errors	42
	2.16.5	Satisfaction	43



2.17	Key Findings from Literature Review		43
2.18	Tasks in	Evaluation	44
	2.18.1	Matching Task	44
	2.18.2	Comparison Task	45
	2.18.3	Classification Task	45
	2.18.4	Ordering Task	45
	2.18.5	Association Task	46
	2.18.6	Prediction Task	46
	2.18.7	Finding Task	47
	2.18.8	Memorization Task	47
	2.18.9	Navigation Task	48
	2.18.10	Identification Task	48
2.19	Summar	У	49
CHA	PTER 3:	METHODOLOGY	50
3.1	Introduc	tion	50
3.2	Researc	h Framework	50
3.3	Argument on the Developed Framework		51
3.4	Objectives of Evaluation		52
3.5	Type of	Tasks	53
3.6	Experim	nental Design	55
	3.6.1	Independent and Dependent Variables	55
	3.6.2	Subjects	55
	3.6.3	Questionnaire Development	56
	3.6.4	Stimuli and Experiment Materials	56
3.7	Summa	ary	65
СН		ANALYSTS	66
4.1	IAPTER 4: ANALYSIS		66
4.2		ng, Comparison and Classification Tasks	66
	4.2.1	Matching Task	67
	4.2.2	Comparison Task	72
	4.2.3	Classification Task	72
	1.4.3		70



.

4.3	Ordering	g, Association and Prediction Tasks	80
	4.3.1	Ordering Task	81
	4.3.2	Association Task	85
	4.3.3	Prediction Task	89
4.4	Finding,	, Memorization, Navigation and Identification Tasks	93
	4.4.1	Finding Task	94
	4.4.2	Memorization Task	98
	4.4.3	Navigation Task	102
	4.4.4	Identification Task	106
4.5	Discuss	ion	110
4.6	Summa	ry	110
CHA	PTER 5:	CONCLUSION	113
5.1	Conclus	sion	113
5.2	Researc	ch Contribution	113
5.3	Experin	nent Results	113
5.4	Resear	ch Implication	114
5.5	Resear	ch Limitation	114
5.6	Future	Research	114
5.7	Summa	ary	114
REF	ERENCE	S	116
ACH	IIEVEME	INT	148



## LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 4.1:	Actual observation for Matching Task	67
Table 4.2:	Probability table for Matching Task	68
Table 4.3:	Result findings for Matching Task	69
Table 4.4:	Actual observations for Comparison Task	72
Table 4.5:	Probability table for Comparison Task	72
Table 4.6:	Result findings for Comparison Task	73
Table 4.7:	Actual observations for Classification Task	76
Table 4.8:	New Actual observations for Classification Task	76
Table 4.9:	Probability table for Classification Task	76
Table 4.10:	Result findings for Classification Task	77
Table 4.11:	Actual observations for Ordering Task	81
Table 4.12:	Probability table for Ordering Task	81
Table 4.13:	Result findings for Ordering Task	82
Table 4.14:	Actual observations for Association Task	85
Table 4.15:	Probability table for Association Task	85
Table 4.16:	Result findings for Association Task	86
Table 4.17:	Actual observations for Prediction Task	89
Table 4.18:	Probability table for Prediction Task	89
Table 4.19:	Result findings for Prediction Task	90
Table 4.20:	Actual observations for Finding Task	94
Table 4.21:	Probability table for Finding Task	94
Table 4.22:	Actual observations for Finding Task	94
Table 4.23	Actual observations for Memorization Task	98
Table 4.24	Probability table for Memorization Task	98
Table 4.25	: Result findings for Memorization Task	99
Table 4.26	: Actual observations for Navigation Task	102
Table 4.27	: Probability table for Navigation Task	102
Table 4.28	: Result findings for Navigation Task	103
Table 4.29	: Actual observations for Identification Task	106



Table 4.30:	Probability table for Identication Task	107
Table 4.31:	Result findings for Identification Task	107



.

## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1:	Overview of research methodology.	8
Figure 2.2:	General process in communication system.	18
Figure 2.3:	The process of sonification.	24
Figure 3.4:	Framework of research.	50
Figure 3.5:	Questionnaires for Matching Task.	58
Figure 3.6:	Questionnaires for Comparison Task.	59
Figure 3.7:	Questionaires for Classification Task.	60
Figure 3.8:	Questionnaires for Ordering Task.	61
Figure 3.9:	Questionnaires for Association Task.	62
Figure 4.1:	Performance for Matching, Comparison and Classification Tasks.	. 66
Figure 4.2:	Histograms for Matching Task.	71
Figure 4.3:	Histograms for Comparison Task.	75
Figure 4.4:	Histograms for Classification Task.	80
Figure 4.5:	Performance for Ordering, Association and Prediction Tasks.	80
Figure 4.6:	Histograms for Ordering Task.	85
Figure 4.7:	Histograms for Association Task.	89
Figure 4.8:	Histograms for Prediction Task.	93
Figure 4.9:	Performance for Finding, Memorization, Navigation and I	93
	Dentification Tasks.	
Figure 4.10	: Histograms for Finding Task.	102
Figure 4.11	: Histograms for Memorization Task.	102
Figure 4.12	2: Histograms for Navigation Task.	106
Figure 4.13	3: Histograms for Identification Task.	109
Figure 4.14	<ol> <li>Summary of listening sound based on ten tasks.</li> </ol>	112



## LIST OF PHOTOS

Photo 3.1: Briefing before experiment

 $_{\rm e}$  ,  $\delta$ 

Page 57



# LIST OF EQUATIONS

Equation 4.1: Two sample proportion test

Page 69



## LIST OF APPENDIX

		Page
Appendix A	Version 1 Questionnaires	12828
Appendix B	Version 2 Questionnaires	12833
Appendix C	Version 3 Questionnaires	12838
Appendix D	Version 4 Questionnaires	12843

1



### **CHAPTER 1**

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Overview

This chapter gives overview about sonification, assistive tools and dyslexia.

#### 1.2 Sonification

Based on NSF report (Kramer et al., 1999) by the International Community for Auditory Display (ICAD), sonification is defined as the process of using non-verbal sound to convey information. For instance, auditory icons (Hermann, 2002) are used for display sound information through an automatic process that adopts commonly held meaning for everyday sounds. Let's consider the sound of a bottle filling up, which can be used to indicate a progressing file download in the environment where the filling up is taking place.

Sonification concept is a branch of auditory display. Auditory display can generally be defined as any form of display that makes use of non-verbal sounds to communicate information. Sonification is a type of auditory display that adopts nonspeech audio to represent information. Kramer et al. (1999) further broadened the concept by elaborating that sonification as the conversion of data relations into perceived relations in a non-speech sound signal to help facilitate communication or interpretation. Thus, the main objective of sonification is to translate the relationship in a data into non-speech sound(s), and make use of human beings auditory perceptual abilities to make the data relationship comprehensible.

There are several exsiting sonification techniques that currently available e.g., audification (Dombois, 2001), parameter mapping (Kramer, 1994), model based sonification (Hermann, 2002), earcons, auditory icons etc. These techniques are normally guided by the type of data to be presented and the required user tasks that the sonification can support such as programming debugging (Vickers,



1999), multi-channel display (pauletto, 2004), stock market prediction (Janata et al., 2004) etc.

### 1.3 Assistive Technology

Assistive technology is a technology used by individuals or persons with disabilities to accomplish their tasks. Examples of assistive tools are mobility devices such as walkers and wheelchairs. Individuals with restricted hand purpose can make use of a keyboard with large keys or a separate mouse to work on computer. Blind people can also use software that recognize text on the screen to computer-generated voice, people with low vision can use software that increase the size of screen words, deaf people can use a TTY (text telephone), or individuals with speech impairments can use a tool that speaks out loud when they typing the text on keyboard (Boyle et al., 2005).

In this research, assistive technology for dyslexia is defined as any technology that can be used to support people with dyslexia. Such technology includes hearing aids, visual aids, sound aids etc. However, this paper will focus on the idea of adopting sonification as an assistive technology to help dyslexic students. Previous researches have shown that assistive technology can recover certain skill deficits (e.g., reading and spelling) (Raskind and Higgins, 1999; Higgins and Raskind, 2000).

### 1.4 Dyslexia

Although dyslexia was officially recognized in the UK as a disability under the Disability Discrimination Act of 1995, there have been widespread of knowledge of the problems associated with such hidden disabilities (Dale and Taylor, 2001). Dyslexia is a serious disability across the globe, and affects a huge number of people. In the UK alone, it was reported that about 4 per cent of the country's population is severely dyslexic, with another 6 per cent being moderately dyslexic (BDA, 2006). Therefore, the total numbers of people that suffer from dyslexia in the UK make up 10 per cent of the country's population. In such an advanced country where access to quality health care and medications is assured, it must be



worrying to estimate the number of people suffering the same problem in developing and underdeveloped countries.

Taylor et al. (2007) stated the possible difficulties dyslexic patients to be: reading hesitantly; misreading, making understanding difficult; difficulty in clearly organizing thoughts; poor time management and planning; and erratic spelling.

The first issue of dyslexia was reported by Pringle-Morgan in 1896 (Pringle-Morgan, 1896). Pringle-Morgan and Hinshelwood (an ophthalmologist) made speculations that the issue of difficulty with reading and writing is caused by "congenital word blindness" (Hinshelwood, 1917), and it was widely believed that dyslexia is caused by visual processing difficulties.

While this view is not generally acceptable in the modern world, some current literatures still maintain that dyslexia is caused by a disorder in visual processing. Stein and Talcott (1999) reported on visual search difficulties that are caused by reduced ability of a person to correctly control ocular movement. Additionally, individuals who suffer from dyslexia are less sensitive to certain variables like contrast sensitivity and visual persistence when compared with normal people (Lovegrove, 1993). Notwithstanding that these literatures try to link dyslexia with visual difficulties, it is widely believed by researchers that dyslexia is a linguistic disorder, and on a more precise note it's caused by a disorder in phonological processing (Vicari et al., 2005). People who suffer from dyslexia normally experience difficulty with analysis and processing phonological elements of spoken words (Snowling, 1987; Snow et al., 1998). For instance, a dyslexic patient might have problem with subdividing words into their single phonemes (Shaywitz, 1998; Pennington et al., 1990). Thus, it can be stated that there is a possibility of some individuals having "linguistic" causes of dyslexia, while other having "visual" causes of dyslexia or some of them might be caused by both factors. As such, it is important that researchers appreciate the differences that exist between these numbers of causing agents. To be precise, dyslexic readers differ in relation to the extent of their ability to make use of phonological reading and spelling strategies. Research has shown these differences in the seriousness of dyslexic individual's



3

phonological disabilities can determine their level of reading abilities (Snowling, 2001). Simmons and Singleton (2000) also commented that dyslexic students tend to experience difficulties with reading comprehension that are not usually accounted for by their inability to understand words individually in a page of text, but this difficulty can be accounted for in their construction of references when processing passage of text.

Another survey by the UK Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA, 2006) revealed that in the academic year of 2003/2004, the number of first year undergraduate students in the UK with a stated disability of dyslexia was 15,600. Hatcher et al. (2002) stated that the number of students with dyslexia has been growing rapidly in recent years. Richardson and Wydell (2003) found that university students with dyslexia are more likely to drop from school during their first year of study and less likely to complete their course fully, but appropriate support for students can increase completion rate of students with dyslexia and it can equal that of students without disabilities. Some of the famous people with dyslexia include: Thomas Edison, Albert Einstein, Michael Faraday (Dyslexia.com, 2013). See Appendix 1 for full more information about some of the famous and talented people with dyslexia.

In that notion, it is important for dyslexic students to be assisted with any form of technology that can help booster their cognitive competence and encourage them not to drop out from school. The reason being that, they will be able to acquire necessary skills that will be used to contribute towards the development of the society they live in.

#### **1.5** Research Questions

The main aim of this research is to investigate and understand the potential of sonification concepts to be used in helping people with dyslexia to overcome their learning disabilities.



People who have dyslexia might get so frustrated and sad as reading and spelling are so hard to them. For kids, they might not like of being separated with their friends during reading class or having to see a special reading inscructors. However, helping them is important to ensure they can go on and do great things in their life. Some successful people have dyslexia, but it did not stop them from achiving their goals. As a results, many applications with assistive technologies have been developed purposely to help this kind of people.

Graphical respresentation currently dominates the fields of external representation, but sound is now seen as alternative and its complement. Previous research has shown the success of using sound in several areas, especially for blind or visually impared users or in situations where the users eyes are occupied with other tasks such as looking at a patient in medical dignosis or something that is difficult to represent using graphics such as multidimentional data.

An example of application for people with learning disabilities like dyslexia is text-to-speech application such as AB-Web (Roth et al., 1998), which is a browser that generates a virtual sound of the information including text and images. Another example is VoiceXML by Teppo et al. (2001) which is design for creating audio dialogs that features synthesised speech; digitised audio, recognition of spoken input, recording of spoken input etc. This is not only good for blind people but also for people with learing disabilities as it brings a better and more convenient text reading and writing experience especially with foreign language texts. Most of these existing applications are using speech sound.

However, this research will look into the potential of 'non-speech sound representation' of data, or also known as sonification, to be implemented as part of assistive technologies to aid people with dyslexia to potentially solve their problem. To the best of our knowledge, there is no current research of sonification concept for dyslexia. As a result, this research aims to find out whether sonification concept can be used in the design of assistive tools for dyslexic students. Before any sonification based assistive tools can be designed, it is important to understand the following fundamental issues and questions:



- a. **How to measure or evaluate** the performance of dyslexia peoples in using sonification applications.
- b. What are the listening performances between both dyslexic and normal people.

### 1.6 Research Objectives

The main objectives of this research are as below:

- 1. To introduce a general performance evaluation of sonification concepts.
- 2. To evaluate the performance of dyslexic students in sonification concepts.

### 1.7 Expected Contribution

The contributions of this research are:

- 1. A new general performance evaluation of sonification concepts.
- 2. Empirical results of general performance evaluation of sonification concepts for both normal and dyslexia people.

### 1.8 Hypothesis

Below are the hypotheses of this research:

### Hypothesis 1

- H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant difference between control group and dyslexic students in terms of matching task.
- H<sub>1</sub>: There is significant difference between control group and dyslexic students in terms of matching task.

### Hypothesis 2

- $H_0$ : There is no significant difference between control group and dyslexic students in terms of comparison task.
- H<sub>1</sub>: There is significant difference between control group and dyslexic students in terms of comparison task.

### Hypothesis 3

H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant difference between control group and dyslexic students in terms of classification task.



- Bonebright T. L. 1996. Vocal affect expression: A comparison of multidimensional scaling solutions for paired comparisons and computer sorting tasks using perceptual and acoustic measures.Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Nebraska.
- Bovermann, T., Hermann, T., & Ritter, H. (2006). Tangible data scanning sonification model. Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Auditory Display (ICAD06) (pp. 77-82), London, UK.
- Boyle, B et al., 2005. A Model for Training in Trans-European Assistive Technology Projects, in Pruski & Knopps, Assistive Technology: From Virtuality to Reality, IOS Press, 2005, Amsterdam, pp. – 705 – 710.
- Bregman, A. S. (1990). Auditory scene analysis: The perceptual organization of sound. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Brewster S.A, Wright P.C and Edwards A.D.N, 1994. "A detailed investigation into the effectiveness of earcons,", In Auditory Display: Sonification, Audification and Auditory Interfaces. Proc. of the first Int. Conf. on Auditory Display (ICAD). Santa Fe Institute Studies in the Sciences of Complexity, vol.**18**, pp. 471-498.
- Brewster, S. (1997). Using non-speech sound to overcome information overload. Displays, **17**, 179-189.
- Brewster, S., & Murray, R. (2000). Presenting dynamic information on mobile computers. Personal Technologies, **4**(4), 209-212.
- Bright Solutions for Dyslexia. (date unknown). Symptoms of Dyslexia, <u>http://www.dys-add.com/symptoms.html</u>
- British Psychological Society. (1999). Dyslexia, Literacy and Psychological Assessment.
- Brown, E. E.; Eliez, S.; Menon, V.; Rumsey, J. M.; White, C. D.; Reiss, A. L. (2001). Preliminary Evidence of Widespread Morphological Variations of the Brain in Dyslexia, Neurology, 56, 781-783.
- Brown, J., Culkin, N. and Fletcher, J. (2001), "Human factors in business-tobusiness research over the internet", International Journal of Market Research, Vol. **43** No. 4, pp. 425-40.
- Brown, L. M., & Brewster, S. A. (2003). Drawing by ear: Interpreting sonified line graphs. Proceedings of the International Conference on Auditory Display (ICAD2003) (pp. 152-156), Boston, MA.
- Brown, M. L., Newsome, S. L., & Glinert, E. P. (1989). An experiment into the use of auditory cues to reduce visual workload. Proceedings of the ACM CHI 89 Human Factors in Computing Systems Conference (CHI 89) (pp. 339-346).



- Buxton, W. (1989). Introduction to this special issue on nonspeech audio. Humancomputer Interaction, **4**, 1-9.
- Cardon, L. R.; Smith, S. D.; Fulker, D. W.; Kimberling, W. J.; Pennington, B. F.; DeFries, J. C. (1994). Quantitative Trait Locus for Reading Disability on Chromosome 6. Science, **266**, 276-279.
- Chapman, J. W.; Tunmer, W. E. (2003). Reading Difficulties, Reading-Related Self-Perceptions, and Strategies for Overcoming Negative Self-Beliefs. Reading and Writing Quarterly, **19**, 5-24.
- Clay, M. M. (2001). Change over Time of Children's Literacy Achievement. Portsmouth, NH: Heinermann.
- D.R. Worrall, "An introduction to data sonification," in R. T. Dean (ed.), The Oxford Handbook of Computer Music and Digital Sound Culture, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Dale, M. and Taylor, B. (2001), "How adult learners make sense of their dyslexia", Disability and Society, Vol. **16** No. 7, pp. 997-1008.
- Davis, R. D.; Braun, E. M. (1994). The Gift of Dyslexia. Perigee: New York.
- de Campo, A. (2006). Data sonification design space map. Unpublished manuscript.
- Department of Education and Skills. (2001.) Special Education Needs Code of Practice.
- Department of Education and Skills. (2004). Removing Barriers to Achievement.
- Dombois, F. (2002). Auditory seismology On free oscillations, focal mechanisms, explosions, and synthetic seismograms. Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Auditory Display (pp. 27-30), Kyoto, Japan.
- Dyslexia.com (2013), "Famous people with the gift of dyslexia." Available at: <u>http://www.dyslexia.com/famous.htm</u> [Accessed on: 10/03/2013].
- Edworthy, J. (1998). Does sound help us to work better with machines? A commentary on Rautenberg's paper 'About the importance of auditory Principles of Sonification: An Introduction to Auditory Display and Sonification Page 26 of 32 alarms during the operation of a plant simulator'. Interacting with Computers, **10**, 401-409.
- Fawcett, A. J. (2001). Dyslexia: Theory and Good Practice. Whurr: London.
- Fawcett, A. J.; Nicolson, R. I. (1999). Performance of Dyslexic Children on Cerebellar and Cognitive Tests. Journal of Motor Behaviour, **31**, 68-78.



- Fawcett, A. J.; Nicolson, R. I.; Dean, P. (1996). Impaired Performance of Children with Dyslexia on a range of Cerebellar Tasks. Annals of Dyslexia, 46, 259-283.
- Fe, NM: International Community for Auditory Display (ICAD).
- Field, L. L.; Kaplan, B. J. (1998). Absence of Linkage of Phonological Coding Dyslexia to Chromosome 6p23-p21.3 in a Large Family Data Set. The American Journal of Human Genetics, **63**, 1448-1456.
- Fitch WT, Kramer G (1994) Sonifying ther Body Electric: Superiority of an Auditory over a Visual Display in a Complex Multivariate System. In: Kramer G (ed) Auditory Display: Sonification, Audification and Auditory Interfaces. SFI Studies in the Sciences of Complexity, Proceedings Volume XVIII. Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass., Web proceedings <http://www.santafe.edu/~icad>
- Fitch, W. T., & Kramer, G. (1994). Sonifying the body electric: Superiority of an auditory over a visual display in a complex, multivariate system. In G.
- Flowers, J. H., & Hauer, T. A. (1992). The ear's versus the eye's potential to assess characteristics of numeric data: Are we too visuocentric? Behavior Research Methods, Instruments & Computers, **24**(2), 258-264.
- Flowers, J. H., & Hauer, T. A. (1993). "Sound" alternatives to visual graphics for exploratory data analysis. Behavior Research Methods, Instruments & Computers, **25**(2), 242-249.
- Flowers, J. H., & Hauer, T. A. (1995). Musical versus visual graphs: Crossmodal equivalence in perception of time series data. Human Factors, **37**(3), 553-569.
- Flowers, J. H., Buhman, D. C., & Turnage, K. D. (1997). Cross-modal equivalence of visual and auditory scatterplots for exploring bivariate data samples. Human Factors, **39**(3), 341-351.
- Flowers, J. H. 2005. Thirteen years of reflection on auditory graphing: Promises, pitfalls, and potential new directions, presented at International Conference on Auditory Display (ICAD 2005), Limerick, Ireland.
- Franklin, K. M., & Roberts, J. C. (2004). A path based model for sonification. Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Information Visualization (IV '04) (pp. 865-870).
- Fricker, R.D. Jr and Schonlau, M. (2002), "Advantages and disadvantages of internet research surveys: evidence from the literature", Field Methods, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 347-67.



- Frith, U. (1997). Brain, Mind and Behaviour in Dyslexia. In Hulme, C.; Snowling, M. Dyslexia: Biology, Cognition and Intervention. Whurr: London.
- Frysinger, S. P. (2005). A brief history of auditory data representation to the 1980s. Proceedings of the International Conference on Auditory Display (ICAD 2005), Limerick, Ireland.
- Fulbright, R. K.; Jenner, A. R.; Mencl, W. E.; Pugh, K. R.; Shaywitz, B. A.; Shaywitz, S. E.; Frost, S. J.; Skudlarski, P.; Constable, R. T.; Lacadie, C. M.; Marchione, K. E.; Gore, J. C. (1999). The Cerebellum's role in reading: A Functional MR Imaging Study. American Journal of Neuroradiology, 20, 1925-1930.
- Furrer, O. and Sudharshan, D. (2001), "Internet marketing research: opportunities and problems", Qualitative Marketing Research, Vol. **4** No. 3, pp. 123-9.
- Garner, W. R., & Gottwald, R. L. (1968). The perception and learning of temporal patterns. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 20(2).
- Gaver WW (1994) Using and Creating Auditory Icons. In: Kramer G (ed) (1994) Auditory Display: Sonification, Audification and Auditory Interfaces. SFI Studies in the Sciences of Complexity, Proceedings Volume XVIII. Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass., pp 417–446.
- Gaver, W. W., Smith, R. B., & O'Shea, T. (1991). Effective sounds in complex systems: The ARKola simulation. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems CHI'91, New Orleans.
- Gee, J. P. (2001). Reading as Situated Language: A Sociocognitive Perspective. Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, **44**, 714-725.
- Greenspan, R. (2003), "Google gains overall, competition builds niches," June 2, available at: <a href="https://www.clickz.com/stats/sectors/software/article.php/3362591">www.clickz.com/stats/sectors/software/article.php/3362591</a>
- Grigorenko, E. L.; Wood, F. B.; Meyer, M. S.; Hart, L. A.; Speed, W. C.; Shuster, A.; Pauls, D. L. (1997). Susceptibility Loci for Distinct Components of Developmental Dyslexia on Chromosomes 6 and 15. American Journal of Human Genetics, 60, 27-39.
- Grohn M, LokkiT, and TakalaT., 2003. Comparison of auditory, visual, and audiovisual navigation in a 3D space, in Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Auditory Display, Boston, USA.
- Grossnickle, J. and Raskin, O. (2001), "What's ahead on the internet", Marketing Research, No. Summer, pp. 9-13.
- Haas, E., & Edworthy, J. (2006). An introduction to auditory warnings and alarms. In M. S. Wogalter (Ed.), Handbook of Warnings (pp. 189-198).



- Hatcher, J., Snowling, M. and Griffiths, Y. (2002), "Cognitive assessment of dyslexic students in higher education", British Journal of Educational Psychology, Vol. 72 No. 1, pp. 119-33.
- Heiervang, E.; Stevenson, J.; Hugdahl, K. (2002). Auditory Processing in Children with Dyslexia. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, **43**, 931-938.
- Hermann, T. (2002), "Sonification for exploratory data analysis", dissertation thesis, available at: http://sonification.de/publications/media/Hermann2002-SFE.pdf (accessed April 27, 2008).
- Hermann, T., & Hunt, A. (2005). An introduction to interactive sonification. IEEE Multimedia, **12**(2), 20-24.
- Higgins, E. L. and Raskind, M. H, 2000. Speaking to Read: The Effects of Continuous vs. Discrete Speech Recognition Systems on the Reading and Spelling of Children With Learning Disabilities. Journal of Special Education Technology, **15** (1), 19-30.

Hinshelwood, J. (1917), Congenital Word Blindness, H.K. Lewis, London.

- Ilieva, J., Baron, S. and Healey, N.M. (2002), "Online surveys in marketing research: pros and cons", International Journal of Marketing Research, Vol. **44** No. 3, pp. 361-76.
- J.H. Flowers, "Thirteen years of reflection on auditory graphing: Promises, pitfalls, and potential new directions," in Proceedings of the First Symposium on Auditory Graphs, Limerick, Ireland, July 10, 2005
- J.H. Flowers, D.C. Buhman and K.D. Turnage, "Crossmodal equivalence of visual and auditory scatterplots for exploring bivariate data samples," in Human Factors, Volume **39**, 1997, pp. 341-351.
- Johannsen, G. (2004). Auditory displays in human-machine interfaces. Proceedings of the IEEE, **92**(4), 742-758.
- K. Hemenway, "Psychological issues in the use of icons in command menus," presented at CHI'82 Conference on Human Factors in Computer Systems, New York, 1982.
- Kennel AR (1996) AudioGraf: A Diagram reader for Blind People. In: Proceedings of ASSETS'96 Second Annual ACM Conference on Assistive Technologies, April 11–12, 1996, Vancouver, Canada. ACM Press, New York, pp 51–56.
- Klassen, R. M. (2002). The Changing Landscape of Learning Disabilities in Canada: Definitions and Practice from 1989-2000. School Psychology International, 23, 1-21.



- Kortum, P., Peres, S. C., Knott, B., & Bushey, R. (2005). The effect of auditory progress bars on consumer's estimation of telephone wait time. Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 49th Annual Meeting (pp. 628-632), Orlando, FL.
- Kramer G (1994a) Auditory Display: Sonification, Audification and Auditory Interfaces. SFI Studies in the Sciences of Complexity, Proceedings Volume XVIII. Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass.
- Kramer, G. (1994). An introduction to auditory display. In G. Kramer (Ed.), Auditory display: Sonification, audification, and auditory interfaces (pp. 1-78). Reading, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Kramer, G., Walker, B. N., Bonebright, T., Cook, P., Flowers, J., Miner, N., et al. (1999). The Sonification Report: Status of the Field and Research Agenda. Report prepared for the National Science Foundation by members of the International Community for Auditory Display. Santa
- Kramer, G., Walker, B., Bonebright, T., Cook, P., Flowers, J., Miner, N. and Neuhoff, J. (1999), "Sonification report: status of the field and research agenda", technical report, International Community for Auditory Display, Santa Fe, NM, available at: www.icad.org/node/400 (accessed April 27, 2008).
- Levitin, D. J. (1999). Memory for musical attributes. In P. Cook (Ed.), Music, Cognition, and Computerized Sound: An Introduction to Psychoacoustics. (pp. 209-227). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Loomis JM, Gollege RG, Klatzky RL, Spiegle JM, Teitz J (1994) Personal Guidance System for the Visually Impaired. In: Proceedings of ASSETS'94 First Annual ACM Conference on Assistive Technologies, Oct 31–Nov 1, 1994. Los Angeles, Calif. ACM Press, New York, pp 85–91.
- Lovegrove, W. (1993), "Weakness in the transient visual system: a causal factor in dyslexia", in Tallal, P. and Galaburda, A.M. (Eds), Temporal Information Processing in the Nervous System: Special Reference to Dyslexia and Dysphasia, Academy of Sciences, New York, NY, pp. 57-69.
- Lyon, R. G.; Shaywitz, S. E.; Shaywitz, B. A. (2003). Defining Dyslexia, Comorbidity, Teachers Knowledge of Language and Reading. Annals of Dyslexia, **53**, 1-14.
- M. M. Blattner, D. A. Sumikawa, and R. M. Greenberg, "Earcons and icons: Their structure and common design principles," Human-Computer Interaction, vol. 4, pp. 11-44, 1989.
- Malhotra, N.K. (2004), Marketing Research: An Applied Orientation, 4th ed., Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Marshall, A. (2003). Brain Scans show Dyslexics Read Better with Alternative Strategies. <u>www.dyslexia.com/science/different\_pathways.htm</u>



- Martins ACG, Rangayyan RM, Portelo LA, Amaro E, Ruschioni RA (1996) Auditory Display and Sonification of Textured Images. In: Frysinger S, Kramer G (eds) Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Auditory Display ICAD'96, Palo Alto, Calif. Web proceedings <a href="http://www.santafe.edu/~icad">http://www.santafe.edu/~icad</a>
- McAdams, S., & Bigand, E. (1993). Thinking in sound: the cognitive psychology of human audition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- McDaniel, C. and Gates, R. (2005), Marketing Research, 6th ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.
- McEneaney, J. E.; Lose, M. K.; Schwartz, R. M. (2006). A Transactional Perspective on Reading Difficulties and Response to Intervention. Reading Research Quarterly, 41, 117-128.
- Meijer, P. (2000) Sensory substitution, http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/ Peter\_Meijer/sensub.htm.
- Miles, T. R.; Haslum, M. N; Wheeler, T. J. (1998). Gender Ratios in Dyslexia. Annals of Dyslexia, **48**, 27-55.
- Miller, T.W. (2001), "Can we trust the data of online research?", Marketing Research, Vol. **13**, Summer, pp. 26-32.
- Moore, B. C. J. (1997). An introduction to the psychology of hearing (4th ed.). San Diego, Calif.: Academic Press.
- Nesbitt, K.V. & Barrass, S. (2002). Evaluation of A Multimodal Sonification and Visualisation of Depth of Market Stock Data. Proceedings of the 2002 International Conference on Auditory Display. Japan. July 2002. pp.233.
- Nicolson, R.; Fawcett, A. J.; Dean, P. (2001). Dyslexia, Development and the Cerebellum. Trends in Neurosciences, **24**, 515-516.
- P. Keller and C. Stevens, "Meaning from environmental sounds: Types of signalreferent relations and their effect on recognizing auditory icons," Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, vol. **10**, pp. 3-12, 2004.
- P. Kolers, "Some formal characteristics of pictograms," American Scientist, vol. **57**, pp. 348- 363, 1969.
- Padget, S. Y. (1998). Lessons from Research on Dyslexia: Implications for a Classification System for Learning Disabilities, Learning Disability Quarterly, 21, 167-178.
- Pammer, K.; Vidyasagar, T. R. (2005). Integration of the Visual and Auditory Networks in Dyslexia: A Theoretical Perspective. Journal of Research in Reading, 28, 320-331.



- Pennington, B., Orden, G. and Smith, S. (1990), "Phonological processing skills and deficits in adult dyslexics", Child Development, Vol. **61** No. 6, pp. 1753-78.
- Pringle-Morgan, W. (1896), "A case of congenital word blindness", British Medical Journal, Vol. 2, p. 178.
- Ramus, R.; Rosen, S.; Dakin, S.; Day, B.; Castellote, J.; White, S.; Frith, U. (2003). Theories of Developmental Dyslexia: Insights from a Multiple Case Study of Dyslexic Adults. Brain, **126**, 841-865.
- Raskind, M. H. and Higgins, E. L, 1999. Speaking to Read: The Effects of Speech Recognition Technology on the Reading and Spelling Performance of Children with Learning Disabilities. Annals of Dyslexia, **49**, 251-281.
- Ray, N.M. and Tabor, S.W. (2003), "Cyber surveys come of age", Marketing Research, Spring, pp. 32-7.
- Richardson, J. and Wydell, T. (2003), "The representation and attainment of students with dyslexia in UK higher education", Reading and Writing, Vol. **16** No. 5, pp. 475-503.
- S. Brewster, P. C. Wright, and A. D. N. Edwards, "A detailed investigation into the effectiveness of earcons," presented at First International Conference on Auditory Display, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 1992.
- Salvendy, G. (1997). Handbook of human factors and ergonomics (2nd ed.). New York: Wiley.
- Sanders, M. S., & McCormick, E. J. (1993). Human factors in engineering and design (7th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Scholl, N., Mulders, S. and Drent, R. (2002), "Online qualitative market research: interviewing the world at a fingertip", Qualitative Market Research, Vol. 5 No. 3, pp. 210-23.
- Shannon, C. E. (1998/1949). Communication in the presence of noise. Proceedings of the IEEE, 86(2), 447-457. Smith, D. R., & Walker, B. N. (2005). Effects of auditory context cues and training on performance of a point estimation sonification task. Journal of Applied Cognitive Psychology, 19(8), 1065-1087.
- Shaw, S.; Cullen, J.; McGuire, J.; Brinckerhoff, L. (1995). Operationalising a Definition of Learning Disabilities. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 28, 586-597.
- Shaywitz, S. (1998), "Current concepts: dyslexia", New England Journal of Medicine, Vol. **338**, pp. 307-12.



- Shaywitz, S. E.; Fletcher, J. M.; Holahan, J. M.; Shneider, A. E.; Marchione, K. E.; Stuebing, K. K.; Francis, D. J.; Pugh, K. R.; Shaywitz, B. A. (1999). Persistence of Dyslexia: The Connecticut Longitudinal Study at Adolescence. Pediatrics, **104**, 1351-1359.
- Shaywitz, S. E.; Shaywitz, B. A.; Fletcher, J. M.; Escobar, M. D. (1990). Prevalence of Reading Disability in Boys and Girls. Journal of the American Medical Association, **264**, 998-1002.
- Shepherd, I.D.H. (1995) Multi-sensory GIS: mapping out the research frontier, in: Waugh, T. (Ed.) Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium on Spatial Data Handling, pp.356- 410 (London: Taylor & Francis).
- Simmons, F. and Singleton, C. (2000), "The reading comprehension abilities of dyslexic students in higher education", Dyslexia, Vol. **6** No. 3, pp. 178-92.
- Snow, C., Burns, M. and Griffin, P. (1998), Preventing Reading Difficulties in Young Children, National Academy Press, Washington, DC.
- Snowling, M. (1987), Dyslexia: A Cognitive Developmental Perspective, Basil Blackwell, Oxford.
- Snowling, M. (2001), Dyslexia, Blackwell, Oxford.
- Snowling, M. J. (2000). Dyslexia. 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Sorkin, R. D. (1987). Design of auditory and tactile displays. In G. Salvendy (Ed.), Handbook of human factors (pp. 549-576). New York: Wiley & Sons.
- Spence, C., & Driver, J. (1997). Audiovisual links in attention: Implications for interface design. In D. Harris (Ed.), Engineering Psychology and Cognitive Ergonomics Vol. 2: Job Design and Product Design (pp. 185- 192). Hampshire: Ashgate Publishing.
- Stanovich, K. E. (1998). Refining the Phonological Core Deficit Model. Child Psychology and Psychiatry Review, **3**, 17-21.
- Stanovich, K. E. (1999). The Sociopsychometrics of Learning Disabilities. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 22, 350-361.
- Stein, J. (2001). The Magnocellular Theory of Dyslexia. Dyslexia, 7, 12-36.
- Stein, J. and Talcott, J. (1999), "Impaired neuronal timing in developmental dyslexia: the magnocellular hypothesis", Dyslexia, Vol. **5** No. 1, pp. 56-77.
- Stein, J.; Walsh, V. (1997). To See but not to Read: The Magnocellular Theory of Dyslexia. Trends in Neuroscience, **20**, 147-152.



- Stevens, R.D., Brewster, S.A., Wright, P.C. and Edwards, A.D.N. 1994. Design and Evaluation of an Auditory Glance at Algebra for Blind Readers. In: Kramer, G. (Ed.), Auditory display, sonification, audification and auditory interfaces. Proceeding of the First International Conference on Auditory Display. Santa Fe Institute. 1994. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company. pp.21-30.
- Stokes, A., Wickens, C. D., & Kite, K. (1990). Display Technology: Human Factors Concepts. Warrendale, PA: Society of Automotive Engineers.
- Swan N (1996) Ways of Seeing. The Health Report. Radio National Transcripts, Monday, 19 February 1996; <u>http://www.abc.net.au/rn/talks/8.30</u> /helthrpt/hstories/hr190201.htm
- Tallal, P.; Merzenich, M. M.; Miller, S.; Jenkins, W. (1998). Language Learning Impairments: Integrating Basic Science, Technology, and Remediation. Experimental Brain Research, **123**, 210-219.
- Taylor, M., Duffy, S., and Hughes, G. (2007), "The use of animation in higher education teaching to support students with dyslexia." Education b Training Vol. **49** No. 1, 2007 pp. 25-35.
- Temple, E.; Poldrack, R. A.; Salidis, J.; Deutsch, G. K.; Tallal, P.; Merzenich, M. M. (2001). Disrupted Neural Responses to Phonological and Orthographical Processing in Dyslexic Children: an fMRI Study. Neuroreport, **12**, 299-307.
- The Experimental Psychology, 2009. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, Pg.6
- Thomas, H. (2008), "Taxonomy and definitions for sonification and auditory display." Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Auditory Display, Paris, France June 24 27, 2008. Available at: http://pub.uni-bielefeld.de/download/2017235/2280244 [Accessed on: 3-3-2013).
- Tingling, P., Parent, M. and Wade, M. (2003), "Extending the capabilities of internet-based research: lessons from the field", Internet Research, Vol. **13** No. 3, pp. 223-35.
- UK Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) (2006), "First year UK domiciled HE students with a disability", available at: <a href="http://www.hesa.ac.uk/">www.hesa.ac.uk/</a>
- US Department of Education. (2001). No Child Left Behind Act.
- US Department of Education. (2004). Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.
- van Ingelghem, M.; van Wieringen, A.; Wouters, J.; Vandenbussche, E.; Onghena, P.; Ghesquière, P. (2001). Psychophysical Evidence for a General Temporal Processing Deficit in Children with Dyslexia. Neuroreport, 12, 3603-3607.



- Vellutino, F. R.; Fletcher, J. M.; Snowling, M. J.; Scanlon, D. M. (2004). Specific Reading Disability (Dyslexia): What have we Learned in the Past Four Decades? Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, **45**, 2-40.
- Vicari, S., Finzi, A., Menghini, D., Marotta, L., Baldi, S. and Petrosini, L. (2005), "Do children with developmental dyslexia have an implicit learning deficit?", Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, Vol. **76** No. 10, pp. 1392-7.
- W. W. Gaver, "Auditory icons: Using sound in computer interfaces," Human-Computer Interaction, vol. 2, pp. 167-177, 1986.
- Wadswoth, S. J.; DeFries, J. C.; Stevenson, J.; Gilger, J. W.; Pennington, B. F. (1992). Gender Ratios among Reading Disabled Children and their Siblings as a Function of Parental Impairment. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, **33**, 1229-1239.
- Walker, B. N. (2002). Magnitude estimation of conceptual data dimensions for use in sonification. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 8, 211-221.
- Walker, B. N., & Kramer, G. (2004). Ecological psychoacoustics and auditory displays: Hearing, grouping, and meaning making. In J. Neuhoff (Ed.), Ecological psychoacoustics (pp. 150-175). New York: Academic Press.
- Walker, B. N., & Kramer, G. (2005). Mappings and metaphors in auditory displays: An experimental assessment. ACM Transactions on Applied Perception, **2**(4), 407-412.
- Watson, M. (2006). Scalable earcons: Bridging the gap between intermittent and continuous auditory displays. Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Auditory Display (ICAD06), London, UK.
- Wickens, C. D., & Liu, Y. (1988). Codes and modalities in multiple resources: A success and a qualification. Human Factors, **30**(5), 599-616.
- Wickens, C. D., Gordon, S. E., & Liu, Y. (1998). An introduction to human factors engineering. New York: Longman.
- Wickens, C. D., Sandry, D. L., & Vidulich, M. (1983). Compatibility and resource competition between modalities of input, central processing, and output. Human Factors, **25**(2), 227-248.
- Wilson, A. and Laskey, N. (2003), "Internet-based marketing research: a serious alternative to traditional research methods?", Marketing Intelligence & Planning, Vol. **21** No. 2, pp. 79-84.

