GROWTH PERFORMANCE, SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND PROTEOME ANALYSIS OF TR-8 PADDY VARIETY UNDER DIFFERENT APPLICATION RATE OF CHITOSAN AND NPK FERTILIZER IN SILABUKAN SOIL

WOO MUN KIT

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE WITH HONOURS

PERPUSTAKAAN UMIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

CROP PRODUCTION PROGRAMME FACULTY OF SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2016



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN TESIS

JUDUL: Growth Performance, S Different Application Rate of C	oil Chemical Properties and Proteame hitosan and NPK Fertilizer in Silabukan	Analysis of TR-8 Boldy Variety under Sont.
UAZAH: Ijazah Sarjana Muo	la Sains Pertanian Dengan Kepujia	n (Pengeluaran Tanaman)
SAYA : WOO MUN KIT (HURUF BESAR)	SESI PENGAJIAN :	2012 2016
Mengaku membenarkan tesis *{L Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegui	.PSM/Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini disi naan seperti berikut:-	npan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia
 Tesis adalah hak milik Un Perpustakaan Universiti N Perpustakaan dibenarkar tinggi. Sila tandakan (/) 	iversiti Malaysia Sabah. Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat si n membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai ba	alinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja. Ihan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian
SULIT (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarja seperti yang termaktub di AKTA RAHSIA	h keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia RASMI 1972)
TERHAD (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang mana penyelidikan dijalankan)	telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di
TIDAK TERHAD (TANDATANGAN PENULI Alamat Tetap: <u>125 Q, JALAN</u> LEMBAH RIA, 11500 AIR ITAM. PULAU PINANG TARIKH: <u>11 JANUARN 201</u> Catatan: *Potong yang tidak berken *Jika tesis ini SULIT dan TEH menyatakan sekali sebab d *Tesis dimaksudkan sebagi bagi pengajian secara kerja	PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSISI MALAJEWA SABAH (5) (6) (6) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7	Disahkan oleh: URULAIN BINTI ISMAIL UBRARIAN UBRARIAN (TANDATANGAN PUSTAKAWAN) PROF. MADYA DR. AZWANAWANG TIMB, AN DEMANANAAD IN S. HEP. FAMIL HERRTAN U. (NAMASPENYELI'A) TARIKH: U. (NAMASPENYELI'A) TARIKH: Derkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan ai SULIT dan TERHAD. ana Secara Penyelidikan atau disertai (LPSM).
		UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA S

I hereby declare that this dissertation is based on my original work except for citations and quotations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that no part of this dissertation has been previously or concurrently submitted for a degree at this or any other university.

WOO MUN KIT BR 12110150 11th JANUARY 2016



VERIFIED BY

1. Associate Professor Dr. Azwan bin Awang SUPERVISOR



PROF. MADYA DR. AZWAN AWANG TIMBALAN DEKAN (AKADEMIK & HEP) FAKULTI PERTANIAN LESTARI UMS KAMPUS SANDAKAN

2. Datuk Haji Mohd. Dandan @ Ame bin Hj. Alidin CO-SUPERVISOR

PROF. MADYA DATUK HU MOHO, DANDAN & AME HU ALDIN B.S.K.; A.D.K.; A.S.D.K.; P.G.D.K. FELO KANAN Fakuft: Pertanian Lestari Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Sandakan



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I am grateful to the God for the blessing of good health and wellbeing throughout the completion of this thesis.

I would like to express my sincere thanks and gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azwan bin Awang for all his guidance on my research throughout this Final Year Project. His willingness to take his precious time during office hour and even after work especially on the weekends despite being busy with his own work is much appreciated. I am very appreciated for his kindness and his tolerance on me during lab session when I have done mistakes. Yet he is still willingly to teach me and provide me with proper explanation in order to correct my mistakes. He has guided me a lot in writing my thesis by providing many relevant articles and research journals for my references. Without him, I could not able to achieve my wish to conduct a research on proteomic which this is my interest to do in Final Year Project.

Secondly, I would like to show my deep gratitude to my co-supervisor, Datuk Haji Mohd. Dandan @ Ame bin Hj. Alidin in guiding me and providing me with a vast knowledge especially on agronomic of paddy in my research. I am touched by his willingness to have meeting every week even at the field under the hot weather just to have an update on my progress. I have learnt a lot of new knowledge and experience from him regarding on paddy cultivation.

Next, I would like to offer my special thanks to two lab assistants, Mr. Rohizan and Miss Nurul for their assistance in providing me with all the necessary apparatus and materials when I am conducting my laboratory work. I am grateful as they have used their free time after work and during weekends to come to open the laboratory for me to complete my lab work without any delay. My special gratitude is also expressed to Mrs. Anika and Mrs. Ahjia in guiding me on using the equipment and apparatus for molecular works.

I would also like to take this opportunity to thank all the staffs in Makmal Ladang for their help and assistance in constructing the rainshelter, transporting the materials required in planting of paddy and providing all the equipment and tools throughout the process.

Besides that, I wish to thank both of my parents for giving me advice and encouraging me whenever I am in difficult situation. Although they live very far away from my campus, their strong mental support and encouragement have being fully felt by me.

Lastly, I would like to thank my coursemates and friends who contribute in giving suggestions and ideas as well as helping me in this project. Nevertheless, my special thanks to Ooi Pei Ning for her help in collecting data in the field and to Poey Shao Jiann, Lee Wei Shin and Liew Xi Yun who assist me during field and lab work. I also place on record, my sense of gratitude to one and all, who directly or indirectly, have lent their hand in this project.



ABSTRACT

A study on the effect of different application rate of chitosan and NPK to the growth performance and proteome pattern of TR-8 paddy variety was conducted. NPK fertilizer was applied at (0 kg N ha⁻¹, 0 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹, 0 kg K_2O ha⁻¹), (90 kg N ha⁻¹, 60 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹, 70 kg K₂O ha⁻¹), (130 kg N ha⁻¹, 70 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 110 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) and (170 kg N ha⁻¹, 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 150 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) in 3 split applications. Chitosan was applied in the soil at 0%, 0.1%, 0.2% and 0.3% (w/w) of soil respectively. Agronomic parameters and soil samples were analyzed at harvesting stage while proteome analysis was conducted using sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide ael electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) on 2 different root samples at the age of 90 days. The results showed that chitosan and NPK fertilizer did not show any interaction on the vegetative growth and yield components in paddy and also soil chemical properties. The effect of chitosan alone did not contribute to the growth and yield of paddy. NPK fertilizer, on the other hand, did affect the growth and yield significantly when applied to those without fertilization. The soil nitrogen and soil pH was not affected by NPK fertilizer while soil available phosphorus was significantly affected by NPK fertilizer. This showed that NPK fertilizer played an important role on the growth performance in paddy. Based on SDS-PAGE analysis, there were total of 14 protein bands detected. Two of the bands showed two-folds in protein expression in roots with and without treatments. The expression could be plant response to nutrient stress and defense mechanisms. Overall, fertilization at 170 kg N ha⁻¹, 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 150 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ gave the best growth performance. However, application at 130 kg N ha-1, 70 kg P2O5 ha⁻¹ and 110 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ could have similar effect and this could help farmers to reduce input cost on fertilizer.



PRESTASI PERTUMBUHAN, SIFAT-SIFAT KIMIA TANAH DAN ANALISI PROTEOME VARIETI PADI TR-8 DI BAWAH KADAR APLIKASI KITOSAN DAN BAJA NPK YANG BERBEZA DALAM TANAH SILABUKAN

ABSTRAK

Satu kajian tentang kesan kitosan dan baja NPK dalam kadar yang berbeza terhadap prestasi pertumbuhan dan corak proteome padi varieti TR-8 telah dijalankan. Baja NPK telah digunakan pada kadar (0 kg N ha⁻¹, 0 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹, 0 kg K_2O ha⁻¹), (90 kg N ha⁻¹, $60 \text{ kg } P_2O_5 \text{ ha}^1$, 70 kg K₂O ha¹), (130 kg N ha¹, 70 kg P₂O₅ ha¹, 110 kg K₂O ha¹) dan (170 kg N ha⁻¹, 80 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹, 150 kg K_2O ha⁻¹) dalam 3 pecahan. Kitosan diaplikasikan dalam tanah pada kadar 0%, 0.1%, 0.2% dan 0.3% berat tanah. Parameter agronomik dan sampel tanah telah dianalisis pada tahap kematangan sementara analisis proteome telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) ke atas 2 sampel akar yang berbeza pada usia 90 hari. Keputusan menunjukkan kitosan dan baja NPK tidak menunjukkan interaksi atas pertumbuhan vegetatif dan komponen hasil padi dan juga sifat-sifat kimia tanah. Kitosan dengan sendirinya tidak menyumbang kepada pertumbuhan vegetatif dan hasil padi. Manakala, baja NPK memberi kesan perbezaan ketara antara tumbuhan yang dibaja dengan yang tidak dibaja. Nitrogen dan pH tanah tidak dipengaruhi manakala fosforus tanah dipengaruhi secara ketara oleh baja NPK. Ini menunjukkan baja NPK memainkan peranan yang penting terhadap prestasi pertumbuhan padi. Berdasarkan analisis SDS-PAGE, sejumlah 14 band protein telah dikesan. Dua band daripada jumlah band tersebut menunjukkan 2 kali ganda dalam ekspresi protein akar dengan dan tiada rawatan. Ekspresi tersebut mungkin adalah respons tumbuhan ke atas stres nutrisi dan mekanisasi pertahanan. Secara keseluruhannya, pembajaan pada 170 kg N ha⁻¹, 80 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ dan 150 kg K_2O ha⁻¹ memberikan prestasi pertumbuhan yang terbaik. Walaubagaimanapun, aplikasi pada 130 kg N ha⁻¹, 70 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ dan 110 kg K_2O ha⁻¹ boleh memberikan kesan yang sama dan ini akan membantu para petani untuk mengurangkan kos input baja.



.

Content	Page
DECLARATION	ii
VERIFICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS, UNITS AND ABBREVIATIONS	XV
LIST OF FORMULAE	XVI

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background	1
1.2	Justification	2
1.3	Significance of Study	3
1.4	Objectives	4
1.5	Hypotheses	4

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Paddy	5
	2.1.1 Paddy Morphology	5
2.2	Paddy Growth and Development	7
	2.2.1 Vegetative Phase	8
	2.2.2 Reproductive Phase	9
	2.2.3 Ripening Phase	10
2.3	TR-8 Paddy Variety	10
2.4	Silabukan Association Soil	11
2.5	Chitosan	12
	2.5.1 Physical and Chemical Properties of Chitosan	12
	2.5.2 Chitosan as Growth and Yield Enhancer	13
	2.5.3 Chitosan as a Potential Elicitor	14
	2.5.4 Methods of Application of Chitosan	14
2.6	Effect of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium on Paddy Performance	14
2.7	Effect of Chitosan and NPK Fertilizer Combination on Paddy	16
	Performance	16
2.8	Proteomic	16
	2.8.1 Proteomic in Plants	17
	2.8.2 Proteomic in Paddy	17
2.9	Proteome Analysis	18
	2.9.1 Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)	18
	2.9.2 Common Protocols in Proteome Analysis in Plant	18



4.3	 4.2.4 Weight of 1000-grains 4.2.5 Total Yield in One Hill of Paddy 4.2.6 Extrapolated Yield Soil Chemical Properties 4.3.1 Soil Total Nitrogen 4.3.2 Soil Available Phosphorus 4.3.3 Soil pH 	49 50 52 54 54 56 58
4.4	Proteome Analysis of TR-8 Paddy	60
CHAI	PTER 5 DISCUSSION	
5.1	Vegetative Growth Pattern of TR-8 Paddy	63
5.2	Effect of Different Rate of Chitosan and NPK Fertilizer on Vegetative Growth of TR-8 Paddy	64
5.3	Effect of Different Rate of Chitosan and NPK Fertilizer on Soil Chemical Properties in Silabukan Soil	65
5.4	Effect of Chitosan Alone on TR-8 Paddy	67
5.5	Effect of Different Rate of Chitosan and NPK Fertilizer on Soil Chemical Properties in Silabukan Soil	68
5.6	Effect of Different Rate of Chitosan and NPK Fertilizer on Protein Pattern in TR-8 Paddy	69
5.7	Pest Problems during the Experiment	70
CHA	PTER 6 CONCLUSION	71

REFERENCES

APPEN	DICES
-------	-------



73

77

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Treatment of the Study	22
3.2	Experimental Layout for Agronomic and Proteomic Analysis	23
4.1	The Soil Chemical Properties in Silabukan Soil Before and After Applying with Treatments.	54



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Morphology of paddy	Page 7
2.2	Growth development of paddy	8
2.3	The distribution of Silabukan assiociation (label number 25) in Sandakan	11
2.4	Comparison of structural formula of chitin, chitosan and cellulose	13
3.1	Planting pot size	21
3.2	Preparation of nursery pot	24
3.3	Preparation of planting pot	26
4.1	Growth development pattern of TR-8 paddy in all treatments based on mean plant height from Week 1 to Week 12.	34
4.2	Effect of different chitosan rates on plant height of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).	35
4.3	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on plant height of TR-8 paddy in at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	36
4.4	Development of tiller number of TR-8 paddy in all treatments from Week 1 to Week 12.	37
4.5	Effect of different chitosan rates on number of tillers of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).	38
4.6	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on number of tillers of TR- 8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	39
4.7	Effect of different chitosan rates on culm height of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).	40
4.8	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on culm height of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	41



4.9	Effect of different chitosan rates on panicle length of IR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).	42
4.10	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on panicle length of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	42
4.11	Effect of different chitosan rates on number of panicles of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).	43
4.12	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on number of panicles of TR-8 paddy in at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	44
4.13	Effect of different chitosan rates on total number of spikelets of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).	45
4.14	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on total number of spikelets of TR-8 paddy in at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	46
4.15	Effect of different chitosan rates on percentage of filled grains of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).	47
4.16	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on percentage of filled grains of TR-8 paddy in at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	47
4.17	Effect of different chitosan rates on percentage of unfilled grains of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).	48
4.18	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on percentage of unfilled grains of TR-8 paddy in at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	48
4.19	Effect of different chitosan rates on thousand-grain weight of	40

TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).





4.20	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on thousand-grain weight of TR-8 paddy in at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	50
4.21	Effect of different chitosan rates on total yield per hill of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).	51
4.22	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on total yield per hill of TR- 8 paddy in at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P_2O_5 ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P_2O_5 ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P_2O_5 ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P_2O_5 ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	52
4.23	Effect of different chitosan rates on extrapolated yield of TR-8 paddy at maturity stage (Week 12).	53
4.24	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on extrapolated yield of TR-8 paddy in at maturity stage (Week 12). (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	53
4.25	Effect of different rate of chitosan on soil total nitrogen in Silabukan soil.	55
4.26	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on soil total nitrogen in Silabukan soil. (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	56
4.27	Effect of different rate of chitosan on concentration of soil available phosphorus.	57
4.28	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on concentration of soil available phosphorus. (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	58
4.29	Effect of different rate of chitosan on soil pH.	59
4.30	Effect of different NPK fertilizer rates on soil pH. (F1= 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 0 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F2= 90 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 60 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ , F3= 130 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 70 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 110 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹ and F4= 170 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 80 kg P ₂ O ₅ ha ⁻¹ , 150 kg K ₂ O ha ⁻¹).	59



- 4.31 SDS-PAGE of root protein sample in TR-8 paddy in two different treatments with protein marker (PM= Protein marker, C1F1= chitosan at 0% (w/w) of soil and NPK fertilizer at 0 kg N ha⁻¹, 0 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 0 kg K₂O ha⁻¹, C4F4= chitosan at 0.3% (w/w) of soil and NPK fertilizer at 170 kg N ha⁻¹, 80 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹, 150 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). The protein bands are labelled as B1 until B6.
- 4.32 Mean comparison of percentage relative intensity of protein bands between treatment C1F1 and C4F4.



60

62

LIST OF SYMBOLS, UNITS AND ABBREVIATIONS

%	Percent
μ	Micro
AcDP	Acetone Dried Powder
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
АТР	Adenosine triphosphate
BSA	Bovine Serum Albumin
CHAPS	3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate
DTT	Dithiothreitol
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
К	Potassium
kDa	Kilodalton
kg	Kilogram
kg ha ⁻¹	Kilogram Per Hectare
L	Litre
LC-MS	Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry
M	Molar
m²	Square Meter
mg	Milligram
mL	Millilitre
mM	Millimolar
MOP	Muriate of Potash
Ν	Nitrogen
nm	Nanometer
°C	Degree Celcius
Ρ	Phosphorus
ppm	Part Per Million
rpm	Rotation Per Minute
SAS	Statistical Analysis System
SDS-PAGE	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis
TSP	Triple Super Phosphate
V	Volt
V/V	Volume Over Volume
V/W	Volume Over Weight
w/w	Weight Over Weight



LIST OF FORMULAE

Formula		Page)
3.1	Percentage of seed germination (%)	24	ł
	$= \frac{\text{Number of germinated seeds}}{\text{Total seeds}} \times 100$		
3.2	Amount of fertilizer required per hectare (I = $\frac{\text{Fertilizer rate per hectare}}{\text{Percentage of N/P_2O_5/K_2O}}$	kg ha ⁻¹) 2!	5
33	Amount of fertilizer required per pot (g)	2	5
	= Amount of fertilizer required per hectare x Pot area x 1000		
3.4	Percentage nitrogen (%)	2	8
	$=\frac{(V-B)\times M\times R\times 14.01}{Wt\times 1000}\times 100$		
	where: V = Volume of 0.01 M HCl (mL)	titrated for the sample	
	B = Digested blank titratio	n volume (mL)	
	M = Molarity of HCl solution	n	
	R = Ratio between total v	olume of the digest and	
	the digest volume use	ed for distillation	
	Wt = Weight of air-dry so	il (g)	
3.5	Concentration of phosphorus (ppm)= Concentration of P obtained from standard curve x Dilution	n factor x Additional dilution factor	29
	Weight of soil (g)	0	
	Additional dilution factor $= 1$	v	



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Paddy is one of the most cultivated crops as staple food in most part of the world especially in various regions in Asia. According to Hays *et al.* (2005), paddy areas in Southeast Asia (SEA) comprise about 30% of the world total rice production in which rice serves as a food crop and export commodity in the region. In Malaysia, cultivation of wetland paddy uses approximately 674,000 hectares of land with total paddy production of 2.6 million metric tonnes in 2013 (Department of Agriculture, 2014). By comparing with the total paddy production of 2.5 million metric tonnes in 2011, the production of paddy is indeed increasing every year. Despite the increase in paddy production, Malaysia's rice yield per capita declines every year (Sung, 2011). This demands better performances of the paddy crops in terms of growth and yield in order to secure self-sufficient of rice to people.

Since then, various different conventional approaches have been used to improve the performance of paddy. Although there is significant improvement of paddy production through increase in fertilizer application rate, it is costly and may be a burden to small holders and farmers. Non-conventional approaches such as biotechnology and genetic engineering to produce many new varieties have been studied in the last decade. Furthermore, application of plant growth regulator has been one of the important practices as it is convenience, labour and cost efficiency (Mondal *et al.*, 2012). It is reported that plant growth regulator is used for better growth and yield of the crops.

The effects of chitosan on growth performances and development in many different plant species have been studied recently. Chitosan is a natural amino polysaccharides derived from chitin which is extracted from the main structural

LINIVERSITI MALAYSIA

component found in most exoskeleton of crustaceans such as shrimps, crab and lobster as well as in fungal cell walls (Deepmala *et al.*, 2014). Chitin and chitosan are co-polymers found together naturally. They are environmentally friendly and easily degradable. Chitosan has a wide range of application and benefits in agriculture. A few studies have reported that application of chitosan is able to improve the growth performances and yield of paddy (Boonlertnirun *et al.*, 2007; Hadwiger, 2013). According to Boonlertnirun *et al.*, (2008), chitosan greatly helps in agriculture even without using chemical fertilizer by increasing the microbes in soil in large scale and converts organic form of nutrients into inorganic forms through mineralization in which can be easily absorbed by the plant roots, so that the more nutrients can be absorbed by the plant for growth.

However, research works of chitosan as a soil amendment on the growth and yield of paddy are rare compared to those in which chitosan is used as foliar application for growth performance, yield, plant protection and environmental stresses resistance in crops such as paddy (Boonlertnirun *et al.*, 2007; Boonlertnirun *et al.*, 2008), okra (Mondal *et al.*, 2012) and mung bean (Mondal *et al.*, 2013). This study was to investigate the potential of chitosan as soil amendment to the growth performance and yield of paddy in combination of NPK chemical fertilizer. Furthermore, proteome analysis can provide information which assists the improvement of growth performance and yield of paddy when applied with both treatments (Barh, 2014).

1.2 Justification

Paddy is the most important staple food in Malaysia. As the population increases, the demand is also increases and hence improvement of the paddy production is necessary to meet the market demand. Due to the limitation in increasing the planted land area, farmers tend to increase the fertilizer application rate in order to increase the yield. This causes the cost of input to be increase drastically and may be a burden to most of the farmers. Furthermore, excessive fertilizer application especially nitrogen promotes lodging and diseases in paddy. In fact, excessive nitrogen can result in yield reduction as much as applying too little nitrogen (Stevens and Dunn, n.d.). Therefore, other alternative is needed to improve the production of paddy while avoiding excessive fertilizer application to the crop. TR-8 paddy variety was used for this study due to its commercial value and it is widely consumed by people in Sabah.



Meanwhile, chitosan is readily available either in processed form or in raw material. For instance, in Sabah where it is one of the major in sea production in Malaysia especially in shrimp farming which accounting for 42% of total shrimp farming areas (Hashim and Kathamuthu, 2005) has abundant raw materials for chitosan production, which is a way to create an added value to the materials. Furthermore, readily made chitosan is not very costly. Since it is eco-friendly and easily degradable, this can be a new research platform to improve the performance of the crop without harming the environment.

Silabukan soil is an unfertile soil, thus it is suitable to be tested with various soil amendments and fertilizers on the growth performance of paddy. Furthermore, the result data obtained from this study can be referred and used in Faculty of Sustainable Agriculture on the paddy project as well as in the local areas around since the soil series in this area is Silabukan association series. Thus, this study would be carried out to determine the optimum application rate of chitosan incorporated with NPK fertilizer in the soil and the result could be used as reference to improve the paddy production. Proteome analysis could be used to identify protein related to growth performance. Root was used as it is the main part of plant in nutrient absorption and is the first part of the plant to contact with the nutrient sources from NPK fertilizer and chitosan in the soil.

1.3 Significance of Study

This study would provide information on the growth performance and yield of TR-8 paddy variety planted in Silabukan soil using chitosan together with NPK fertilizer. The result of this study could be used as reference for any paddy project in Faculty of Sustainable Agriculture and also to farmers who are planting paddy in Silabukan soil. This study aimed to reduce the input of NPK fertilizer by adding with chitosan which is cheap and easily available. The proteome analysis would help researchers to study on proteins that are affected in response to chitosan that related to growth.



1.4 Objectives

The objectives for this study are:

1) To determine the effect of different application rate of chitosan and NPK fertilizer on the growth performances and yield of TR-8 paddy variety in Silabukan soil.

2) To determine the effect of different application rate of chitosan and NPK fertilizer on the soil chemical properties in Silabukan soil.

3) To investigate the proteome expression pattern of different levels of chitosan and NPK fertilizer rate on TR-8 paddy variety in Silabukan soil.

1.5 Hypotheses

H₀₁: There is no significant effect in different rate of chitosan and NPK fertilizer on the growth performances and yield of TR-8 paddy variety in Silabukan soil.

 H_{A1} : There is significant effect in different rate chitosan and NPK fertilizer on the growth performances and yield of TR-8 paddy variety in Silabukan soil.

 H_{02} : There is no significant effect in different rate chitosan and NPK fertilizer on the soil chemical properties in Silabukan soil.

 H_{A2} : There is significant effect in different chitosan and NPK fertilizer rates on the soil chemical properties in Silabukan soil.

 H_{03} : There is no significant difference in proteome expression under different application rate of chitosan and NPK fertilizer on the growth performances and yield of TR-8 paddy variety in Silabukan soil.

 H_{A3} : There is a significant difference in proteome expression under different application rate of chitosan and NPK fertilizer on the growth performances and yield of TR-8 paddy variety in Silabukan soil.



CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Paddy

Paddy is a grass plant that belongs to the family Gramineae, subfamily Oryzoideae, tribe Oryzeae and genus *Oryza*. There are two cultivated species of paddy; *Oryza sativa* (L) and *Oryza glaberrima* (steud). *Oryza sativa* is widely grown in most part of the world including Asia, parts of Europe and America whereas *Oryza glaberrima* is restricted in Africa (Panda, 2010). *Oryza glaberrima* differs from *Oryza sativa* mainly in a lack of secondary branching on the primary branches of the panicle and in minor differences related to pubescence on the lemmas and length of the ligule (Chang and Bardenas, 1965).The cultivated species of *Oryza sativa* can be further divided into three subspecies; *indica, japonica* and *javanica* based on the morphological and physiological characteristics. *Oryza sativa* is a diploid species with 24 chromosomes (2n=24).

2.1.1 Paddy Morphology

The cultivated paddy is generally characterized as a semiaquatic annual grass with round, hollow, narrow and jointed culms together with rather flat leaves and sessile leaf blades and a terminal panicle. The roots are fibrous with rootlets and root hairs. At maturity, the paddy plant has a main stem and a number of tillers. The paddy culm (stem) consists of a series of nodes and internodes arranged in alternate orders. Tillers which are the side shoots are produced from the basal nodes on the main culm known as primary tillers which give out secondary tillers and then secondary tillers branch into tertiary tillers. The leaves consist of blade and the leaf sheath which wraps the culms and are borne at an angle of every node. The uppermost leaf below the panicle is



known as flag leaf and the number of leaves on the stem decreases from main culm to primary tillers and to secondary tillers and then to tertiary tillers. Each panicle has spikelets (grains).

The grain, known as caryopsis is a dry one-seeded fruit, with its pericarp fused with the seed coat. The seed consists mainly of husk, pericarp, endosperm and embryo. The surface contains several thin layers of differentiated tissues that enclose the embryo and endosperm. Studies have described the morphological nature of each part in the paddy embryo (Panda, 2010). The cotyledon (scutellum) is a fleshy, shield-shaped which provide food for the germinating embryo. The face of the scutellum is differentiated into a columnar epithelium with elongated cells in contact with endosperm which absorb food from the cotyledon. A poorly developed vascular system consisting of procambial stands is at the middle of the scutellum. The endosperm made up the major part of the seed. The aleurone layer serves as the outer layer of the cells of the endosperm and in cross section, these cells are irregularly hexagonial to polygonal (Panda, 2010). The protein of the seed mostly contained in the aleurone layer. A paddy grain weighs about 10 to 45 mg at 0% moisture content. The grain length, width and thickness vary widely among varieties. The husk weight constitutes about 20% of the total grain weight.





Figure 2.1Morphology of paddySource:Polato, from http://archive.gramene.org/

2.2 Paddy Growth and Development

The growth of paddy can be physiologically divided into three phases; vegetative phase, reproductive phase and ripening phase (Moldenhauer and Slaton, 2001; Panda, 2010).





Figure 2.2	Growth development of paddy
Source:	Moldenhauer and Slaton, 2001

2.2.1 Vegetative Phase

Vegetative phase is characterized by a gradual increase in plant height and leaf emergence at regular intervals (Moldenhauer and Slaton, 2001). It is divided into four stages known as seedling stage, transplanting stage, tillering stage and vegetative lag



REFERENCES

- Abdel Rahman, F. M. A. 1997. *The effect of phosphorus and time of nitrogen application on growth and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum).* M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Sudan
- Ahmed, Q. N. 2006. Influence of Different Cultivation Methods on Growth and Yield of Hybrid and Inbred Rice. Master of Science Dissertation. Sher-E-Bangla Agricultural University
- Alam, M. M., Ali, M. H., Amin, A. K. M. R. and Hasanuzzaman, M. 2009. Yield attributes, yield and harvest index of three irrigated rice varieties under different levels of phosphorus. *Advances in Biological Research* **3(3-4)**: 132-139
- Artacho, P., Bonomelli, C. and Meza, F. 2009. Nitrogen application in irrigated rice grown in mediterranean conditions: Effects on grain yield, dry matter production, nitrogen uptake, and nitrogen use efficiency. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **32(9)**: 1574-1593
- Awok, S. A. 1995. Effect of nitrogen and weeding on yield and yield components of irrigated rice (Oryza sativa L.). M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Khartoum, Sudan
- Barh, D. 2014. Omics: Application in Crop Science. USA: CRC Press
- Boonlertnirun, S., Boonraung, C. and Suvanasara, R. 2008. Application of chitosan in rice production. *Journal of Metals, Materials and Minerals* **18(2)**: 47-52
- Boonlertnirun, S., Sarobol, E. and Sooksathan, I. 2006. Effects of Molecular Weight of Chitosan on Yield Potential of Rice Cultivar Suphan Buri. *Kasetsart Journal: Natural Science* **40**: 854 – 861
- Boonlertnirun, S., Sarobol, E. D., Meechoui, S. and Sooksathan, I. 2007. Drought Recovery and Grain Yield Potential of Rice after Chitosan Application. *Kasetsart Journal: Natural Science* **41**: 1-6
- Bradford, M. M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry* **72(1)**: 248-254

Caprette, D. 1996. Introduction to SDS-PAGE. Rice University, 14

- Carpentier, S. C., Witters, E., Laukens, K., Deckers, P., Swennen, R. and Panis, B. 2005. Preparation of protein extracts from recalcitrant plant tissues: an evaluation of different methods for two-dimensional gel electrophoresis analysis. *Proteomics*, 5(10): 2497-2507
- Carter, M. R. and Gregorich, E. G. 2007. *Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, Second Edition*. USA: CRC Press
- Chandramouli, K. and Qian, P. Y. 2009. Proteomics: challenges, techniques and possibilities to overcome biological sample complexity. *Human Genomics and Proteomics* **1(1)**: 239204
- Chang, W. W., Huang, L., Shen, M., Webster, C., Burlingame, A. L. and Roberts, J. K. 2000. Patterns of protein synthesis and tolerance of anoxia in root tips of maize seedlings acclimated to a low-oxygen environment, and identification of proteins by mass spectrometry. *Plant Physiology* **122(2)**: 295-318
- Chaturvedi, I. 2005. Effect of nitrogen fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Journal of Central European Agriculture* **6(4)**: 611-618
- Deepmala, K., Hemantaranjan, A., Bharti, S. and Nishant Bhanu, A. 2014. A Future Perspective in Crop Protection: Chitosan and Its Oligosaccharides. *Advances in Plants & Agriculture Research* **1(1)**: 00006

Department of Agriculture. 2014. Paddy Statistics of Malaysia 2013.



Dominguez-Puigjaner, E., Vendrell, M. and Ludevid, M. D. 1992. Differential protein accumulation in banana fruit during ripening. *Plant Physiology* **98(1)**: 157

Fageria, N. K. 2009. *The Use of Nutrients in Crop Plants*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press Fageria, N. K. 2014. *Mineral Nutrition of Rice*. USA: CRC Press

- Fageria, N. K., and Baligar, V. C. 2001. Lowland rice response to nitrogen fertilization. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **32(9-10)**: 1405-1429
- Fageria, N. K., Slaton, N. A. and Baligar, V. C. 2003. Nutrient management for improving lowland rice productivity and sustainability. *Advances in Agronomy* 80: 63-152
- Falcón-Rodrígueza, A., Costalesa, D., Cabrerab, J. C. and Martínez-Téllezc, M. A. 2011. Chitosan Physic-Chemical Properties Modulate Defense Responses and Resistance in Tobacco Plants Against the Oomycete *Phytophthora Nicotianae*. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* **100**: 221–228
- Faurobert, M., Pelpoir, E. and Chaib, J. 2007. Phenol extraction of proteins for proteomic studies of recalcitrant plant tissues. *In Plant Proteomics* (pp. 9-14). Humana Press
- Gauci, V. J., Wright, E. P. and Coorssen, J. R. 2011. Quantitative proteomics: assessing the spectrum of in-gel protein detection methods. *Journal of Chemical Biology* **4(1)**: 3-29
- Gebrekidan, H. and Seyoum, M. 2006. Effects of mineral N and P fertilizers on yield and yield components of flooded lowland rice on vertisols of Fogera Plain, Ethiopia. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics* **107(2)**: 161-176
- Gooday, G. W. 1998. Aggressive and defensive roles for chitinases. Exs 87: 157-169
- Hadwiger, L. A. 2013. Multiple Effects of Chitosan on Plant Systems: Solid Science or Hype. *Plant Science* **208**: 42–49
- Hamid, R., Khan, M. A., Ahmad, M., Ahmad, M. M., Abdin, M. Z., Musarrat, J. and
- Javed, S. 2013. Chitinases: an update. *Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences* **5(1)**: 21
- Hashim, M. and Kathamuthu, S. 2005. Shrimp farming in Malaysia. In: Regional Technical Consultation on the Aquaculture of *P. vannamei* and Other Exotic Shrimps in Southeast Asia, Manila, Philippines. SEAFDEC Aquaculture Department
- Havlin, J. L., Tisdale, S. L., Beaton, J. D. and Nelson, W. L. 2005. *Soil Fertility and Fertilizers: An Introduction to Nutrient Management*. 7th edition. USA: Pearson Education, Inc
- Hays, M. D., Fine, P. M., Geron, C. D., Kleeman, M. J. and Gullett, B. K. 2005. Open Burning of Agricultural Biomass: Physical and Chemical Properties of Particle-Phase Emissions. *Atmospheric Environment* **39**: 6747–6764
- ISO. 2005. ISO10390: Soil Quality-Determination of pH. International Organization for Standardization
- Kim, S. K. (Ed.). 2010. *Chitin, Chitosan, Oligosaccharides and Their Derivatives: Biological Activities and Applications*. CRC Press.
- Komatsu, S. and Tanaka, N. 2005. Rice proteome analysis: a step toward functional analysis of the rice genome. *Proteomics* **5(4)**: 938-949
- Kulik, A., Wawer, I., Krzywińska, E., Bucholc, M. and Dobrowolska, G. 2011. SnRK2 protein kinases-key regulators of plant response to abiotic stresses. *Omics: A Journal of Integrative Biology* **15(12)**: 859-872
- Kumar, M. N. R. 2000. A review of chitin and chitosan applications. *Reactive and Functional Polymers* **46(1)**: 1-27
- Laurie, S. and Halford, N. G. 2001. The role of protein kinases in the regulation of plant growth and development. *Plant Growth Regulation* **34(3)**: 253-265

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAI

- Maldonado, A. M., Echevarría-Zomeño, S., Jean-Baptiste, S., Hernández, M. and Jorrín-Novo, J. V. 2008. Evaluation of three different protocols of protein extraction for Arabidopsis thaliana leaf proteome analysis by two-dimensional electrophoresis. *Journal of Proteomics* **71(4)**: 461-472
- Manzoor, Z., Awan, T. H., Safdar, M. E., Ali, R. I., Ashraf, M. M. and Ahmad, M. 2006. Effect of nitrogen levels on yield and yield components of Basmati 2000. *Journal Agriculture Resource* **44(2)**: 115-120
- Mengel, K., Kirkby, A., Kosegarten, H. and Appel, T. 2001. *Principles of Plant Nutrition*, 5th edition. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic
- Moldenhauer, K. and Slaton, N. n.d. Rice Growth and Development.
- Mondal, M. M. A., Malek, M. A., Puteh, A. B. and Ismail, M. R. 2013. Foliar Application of Chitosan on Growth and Yield Attributes of Mungbean (*Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek). Bangladesh Journal of Botany* **42(1)**: 179-183
- Mondal, M. M. A., Malek, M. A., Puteh, A. B., Ismail, M. R., Ashrafuzzaman, M. and Naher, L. 2012. Effect of Foliar Application of Chitosan on Growth and Yield in Okra. *Australian Journal of Crop Science* 6(5): 918-921
- Mursal, E. A. D. 2015. Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Rice (Oryza Sativa) In White Nile State-Sudan (Doctoral dissertation, UOFK)
- Neilson, K. A., George, I. S., Emery, S. J., Muralidharan, S., Mirzaei, M. and Haynes, P.
 A. 2014. Analysis of rice proteins using SDS-PAGE shotgun proteomics. *In Plant Proteomics* (pp. 289-302). Humana Press
- Panda, S. C. 2010. Rice Crop Science. India: Agrobios.
- Panfoli, I., Calzia, D., Santucci, L., Ravera, S., Bruschi, M. and Candiano, G. 2012. A blue dive: from 'blue fingers' to 'blue silver'. A comparative overview of staining methods for in-gel proteomics. *Expert Review of Proteomics* **9(6)**: 627-634
- Pavoković, D., Križnik, B. and Krsnik-Rasol, M. 2012. Evaluation of protein extraction methods for proteomic analysis of non-model recalcitrant plant tissues. *Croatica Chemica Acta* **85(2)**: 177-183
- Pittman, J. J., Zhang, H., Schroder, J. L. and Payton, M. E. 2005. Differences of phosphorus in Mehlich 3 extracts determined by colorimetric and spectroscopic methods. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 36(11-12): 1641-1659
- Rabilloud, T., Vuillard, L., Gilly, C., and Lawrence, J. J. 1994. Silver-staining of proteins in polyacrylamide gels: A general overview. *Cellular and Molecular Biology (Noisy-le-Grand, France)* **40(1)**: 57-75
- Ramagli, L. S. and Rodriguez, L. V. 1985. Quantitation of microgram amounts of protein in two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis sample buffer. *Electrophoresis* 6(11): 559-563
- Rinaudo, M. 2006. Chitin and chitosan: properties and applications. *Progress in Polymer Science* **31(7)**: 603-632
- Romanazzi, G., Feliziani, E., Santini, M. and Landi, L. 2012. Effectiveness of postharvest treatment with chitosan and other resistance inducers in the control of storage decay of strawberry. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* **75**: 24–27
- Romanazzi, G., Gabler, F. M., Margosan, D., Mackey, B. E., and Smilanick, J. L. 2009. Effect of chitosan dissolved in different acids on its ability to control postharvest gray mold of table grape. *Phytopathology* **99(9)**: 1028-1036
- Shahruddin, S., Puteh, A. and Juraimi, A. S. 2014. Responses of Source and Sink Manipulations on Yield of Selected Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Varieties. *Journal of Advanced Agricultural Technologies* **1(2)**



- Stevens, G. and Dunn, D. n.d. *Rice Nitrogen Management Rates and Timing of Urea Fertilizer*. University of Missouri-Delta Research Center
- Sung, T. B 2011. Will Malaysia achieve 100% self-sufficiency in rice by 2015? http://christopherteh.com/blog/2010/07/will-malaysia-achieve-100-selfsufficiency-in-rice-by-2015/. Accessed on 20 March 2015. Verified on 22 March 2015.
- Takahashi, N. and Isobe, T. 2008. *Proteomic Biology Using LC-MS: Large Scale Analysis of Cellular Dynamics and Function*. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc
- Tayefe, M., Gerayzade, A. and Zade, A. N. 2014. Effect of nitrogen on rice yield, yield components and quality parameters. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **13(1)**: 91
- Thomason, W. 2002. Understanding Phosphorus Behavior in Soils. http://www.noble.org/ag/soils/phosphorusbehavior/. Accessed on 20 November 2015. Verified on 27 November 2015
- Weinberga, T. and Fallika, E. 2014. Effects of a composite chitosan–gelatin edible coating on postharvest quality and storability of red bell peppers. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* **96**: 106–109
- Wu, X., Xiong, E., Wang, W., Scali, M., and Cresti, M. 2014. Universal sample preparation method integrating trichloroacetic acid/acetone precipitation with phenol extraction for crop proteomic analysis. *Nature Protocols* 9(2): 362-374
- Xiang, X., Ning, S., Jiang, X., Gong, X., Zhu, R., Zhu, L. and Wei, D. 2010. Protein extraction from rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) root for two-dimensional electrophresis. *Frontiers of Agriculture in China* **4(4)**: 416-421
- Xie, H., Pan, S., Liu, S., Ye, K. and Huo, K. 2007. A novel method of protein extraction from perennial *Bupleurum* root for 2-DE. *Electrophoresis* **28(5)**: 871-875
- Xu, C., Xu, Y. and Huang, B. 2008. Protein extraction for two-dimensional gel electrophoresis of proteomic profiling in turfgrass. *Crop Science* 48(4): 1608-1614
- Yosef Tabar, S. 2012. Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Fertilizer on Growth and Yield Rice (*Oryza sativa* L). *International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production* **3(12)**: 579-584
- Yosef Tabar, S. 2013. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer on spikelet structure and yield in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences* **5(11)**: 1204
- Yoshida, S. 1981. *Fundamentals of Rice Crop Science*. Philippines: The International Rice Research Institute

