See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/336685179

FTIR Analysis on Phase Transformation of Rust In The Presence of Gambir

Article · January 2018

CITATIONS		READS	
0		106	
6 authors, including:			
	Eddy F. Yusslee		Jain Noordin Kassim
	Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS)	8	Universiti Sains Malaysia
	7 PUBLICATIONS 2 CITATIONS		53 PUBLICATIONS 1,776 CITATIONS
	SEE PROFILE		SEE PROFILE
Q	Nur Hazwani Dahon		
	Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS)		
	5 PUBLICATIONS 81 CITATIONS		
	SEE PROFILE		
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:			

Ion Exchanged Bentonite Based Zeolite NaX and A View project

Temperature and molarity dependency parameters in synthesize optimization of titanium oxide from ilmenite waste via molten salt route View project



www.gsj-pub.com Journal of Global Scientific Research 1 (2018) 54-62 ISSN 2523-9376 Journal of Global Scientific Research

www.gsj-pub.com/JGSR

FTIR Analysis on Phase Transformation of Rust In The Presence of Gambir

Nur Hazwani Dahon¹, Mohd Jain Kassim², Nurul Nu'Aim Razali², Eddy Mohd Farid Mohd Yuslee¹, Ayu Afiqah Nasrullah¹, Nur Fadzilah Basri¹

¹Preparatory Centre for Science & Technology, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Malaysia ²School of Chemical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia

Email address:

nurhazwani@ums.edu.my (Nur Hazwani Dahon), mjain@ums.my (Mohd Jain Kassim)

Abstract

The performance of gambir reacted with rust by means of FTIR was evaluated. The effects of gambir concentration, contact time and the addition of phosphoric acid in phase transformation of rust were studied. The FTIR spectra showed that the conversion of lepidocrocite (γ -FeOOH) was the fastest, followed by magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and goethite (α -FeOOH) was the slowest. 10% gambir with 3 h contact time was found to be the optimum condition for the phase transformation. Addition of phosphoric acid gave a better performance in phase transformation of rust.

Keywords: FTIR; Gambir; Phase Transformation; Rust

1. Introduction

Rust products are complex materials that change continuously as the complex develops through the precipitation, evolution and transformation of chemical species in the iron-oxygen-water system. Under ambient condition, lepidocrocite (γ -FeOOH), geothite (α -FeOOH) and magnetite (Fe₃O₄) are the main constituents of rust and the specific composition each depends on the pH, temperature and the presence or absence of atmospheric pollutants [1]. Rust converter is one of the efforts in controlling corrosion, preventing corrosion from further occuring. It can be applied on the steel surface, serves as barrier to protect the steel as well as a base for paint.

Recently, few studies had been conducted in order to evaluate commercial rust converter. Several factors affect the mechanisms of the conversion including the components of the converter, pH, and reaction time of the converter with the rust as well as the method of application [2]. Besides rust converters that are based on phosphoric acid and chromate, researchers are now more interested into the study of natural inhibitors as more ecofriendly and biodegradable rust converters such as gallic acid and tannic acid [3],[4]. The naturally occurring polyphenols mostly in plants can react with iron ions lead to more stable, insoluble iron complexes so that no further reaction can occur between rust and the corrosive agents [5].

Gambir (*Uncaria gambir*) consists of catechin as the most abundant polyphenolic constituent [6]. It was found that due to its high catechin contents, gambir possesses high antioxidant capacities [7], [8]. Other researchers found other applications of gambir plant including inhibitors in preventing corrosion of mild steel in acidic solution [9] as adsorbent of selected dye adsorption [10] and one of the components in mouth antiseptic [11]. Catechin polyphenols have been demonstrated to act directly as radical scavengers of oxygen and nitrogen species besides having well established metal chelating properties [12].

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Preparation of gambir extract

The gambir cubes were purchased from a local market in Penang, Malaysia. The cubes were ground into powder form with the mesh size of 250 μ m. Approximately 5 g of defatted gambir was mixed with ethyl acetate (1:10 m/v) incubated for 3 h at 200 rpm under maceration and at room temperature. Then, the extracts were concentrated by rotary evaporation under reduced pressure (Heidolph Instruments, Germany) and the resulting solution was dried and kept in closed container for further use.

2.2 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis

All samples were prepared by mixing 5 mg of sample with 100 mg of potassium bromide (KBr, Merck) and pressed to form a thin transparent pellet. The investigation was carried out within the wavenumber ranging from 4000 cm⁻¹ to 400 cm⁻¹ by using Perkin-Elmer System 2000 Spectroscopy (Sigma Aldrich, USA). All the rust standards (lepidocrocite, goethite, and magnetite) were commercially purchased (Sigma Adlrich).

2.3 Preparation of rust powder

Mild steel plates with chemical compositions (wt %) of 0.08 C, 0.01 Si, 1.26 Mn, 0.02 P and remaining Fe were used. The plates (6 cm x 4 cm x 1 mm) were cleaned with sand paper, rinsed with distilled water and isopropyl alcohol and allowed to dry at room temperature. The plates were then exposed in salt spray chamber (Standard Salt Spray Tester ST-BZ-7, Elite Test Instrument Co. Ltd, Taiwan) containing of 5% NaCl (Merck) for 24 h according to ASTM B117 standard. After that, the plates were rinsed with distilled water and were dried in an oven at 40°C for 24 h. The rust that was produced on the steel was removed with a scrapper, ground into powder form and kept in closed container for further studies.

2.4 The effect of concentration of gambir solution on rust treatment

A 50 mg of rust powder was mixed with 10 mL of gambir extract in methanol solution. The concentrations of gambir extract solution were 1%, 5%, 7%, 10%, 15% and 20% (w/v). The solutions were at pH 5, which is the natural pH for gambir solution. The mixtures were mixed and were allowed to react at room temperature for 1 h. After that, the mixtures were filtered and the filtered residues were dried in an oven at 40°C for 1 h. The dried samples were collected and analyzed by FTIR.

2.5 The effect of reaction time of gambir solution on rust treatment

A set of 5 solutions of 10 mL of gambir extract in methanol was prepared. The concentration (10% (w/v)) and pH (pH 5) of the solution were kept constant. 50 mg of rust powder was mixed into each solution and allowed to react at room temperature. The reaction times studied were at 1 h, 2 h, 3 h, 6 h and 12 h. The samples were then filtered, dried in oven and analyzed with FTIR.

2.6 The effect of addition of phosphoric acid on rust treatment

A set of 10 mL solution of gambir extract in methanol mixed with phosphoric acid (1:1, v/v) was prepared. The concentration of gambir solutions were kept constant at 10% (w/v) while the concentration phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄, R&M Chemicals) solutions were varied from 3% to 15% (w/v). 50 mg of rust powder was mixed into each solution and allowed to react at room temperature for 3 h. The samples were then filtered, dried in oven and analyzed with FTIR.

2.7 Surface analysis

Surface analyses were performed using scanning electron microscopes (SEM, Leo Supra 50VP Field) at 3000X magnification equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX, Oxford INCA 400) to observe the physical changes on the samples.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Characterization of rust by FTIR

Fig. 1 depicts the FTIR spectra of rust standards; lepidocrocite (γ -FeOOH), goethite (α -FeOOH) and magnetite (Fe₃O₄). Adsorption band around 1650 cm⁻¹ indicated the adsorbed H₂O in almost all samples [1].



Fig. 1 FTIR spectra of rust standards; (a) lepidocrocite, (b) goethite and (c) magnetite.

Lepidocrocite has bands in its FTIR spectrum at 1158 cm⁻¹ (medium), 1021 cm⁻¹ (strong), 892 cm⁻¹ (medium), 748 cm⁻¹ (medium) and 473 cm⁻¹ (strong). Thus, 1021 cm⁻¹ is the strongest and could be considered as lepidocrocite's major band. According to [1], well crystalline lepidocrocite has bands in its spectrum at 1150, 1020 and 750 cm⁻¹ for O-H bending.

The observed goethite FTIR spectrum has peaks located at 1121 cm⁻¹ (weak), 903 cm⁻¹ (strong), 797 cm⁻¹ (strong), 616 cm⁻¹ (medium) and 491 cm⁻¹ (weak). The peaks located at 903 cm⁻¹ and 797 cm⁻¹ are very strong and sharp. These are considered as major characteristic peaks for goethite. In its most stable state, goethite has adsorption peaks at 1644, 1386, 890, 769 and 650 cm⁻¹ [1].

Magnetite's FTIR spectrum contained a strong peak at 569 cm⁻¹ and a small shoulder peak at 475 cm⁻¹ (weak). The observed peaks matched with the study found by [1] and [13], that magnetite contained two major characteristic peaks at 568 and 473 cm⁻¹. Ref. [4] and [14] reported that these bands can be assigned to Fe-O stretch.

Fig. 2 shows the spectrum of rust generated by salt spray. It was observed that the major products of steel rust were γ -FeOOH, α -FeOOH and Fe₃O₄. It is in agreement with the study by [13] and [15] who reported that those products were the major constituents of any rust system formed on steel surfaces under normal ambient conditions. Ref. [4] also reported that the rust components depend on the method of preparation.



Fig. 2 FTIR spectrum of rust generated by salt spray (L = lepidocrocite, G = goethite, M = magnetite).

Peak at 1620 cm⁻¹ belongs to the adsorbed H₂O. The peaks at 1021, 745 and 470 cm⁻¹ belong to lepidocrocite. These peaks showed the same intensity absorption with the standard, but with slightly different frequencies, that may be due to purity of the sample and different crystallinity of γ -FeOOH. Two major characteristic peaks of goethite were located at 890 and 796 cm⁻¹, both with medium intensity. The presence of magnetite was identified at 547 cm⁻¹ with strong intensity.

3.1 The effect of concentration of gambir solution

The rate of transformation (% RT) of rust was calculated according to the following formula:

Rate of transformation,
$$\% = \frac{\ell_0 - \ell_1}{\ell_0} \times 100$$
 (1.0)

Where ℓ_0 is the intensity of the control peak (rust before treatment) and ℓ_1 is the intensity of the sample peak (rust after treatment).

The FTIR spectra of rust powder treated with gambir extract of varied concentration (1% to 20%) are shown in Fig. 3. It was observed that the intensity of each of the rust constituent was reduced after 1 h immersion in gambir solution at pH 5. The intensity of lepidocrocite major peak located at 1021 cm⁻¹ reduced significantly up to 77.6% RT for 20% gambir. The other peaks of lepidocrocite at 745 and 470 cm⁻¹ were 80.0% transformed and shifted starting from 10% gambir solution. The peaks of goethite at 890 and 797 cm⁻¹ were slightly reduced and seem to be transforming slower than lepidocrocite peaks. The RT was increased up to 67.5% and 69.2% for peaks 870 cm⁻¹ and 797 cm⁻¹, respectively. The peak of magnetite had become broader and the frequency was shifted from 547 cm⁻¹ to 616 cm⁻¹ in 15% and 20% gambir with RT was up to 50.0%. Based on the spectra and RT, 10% gambir concentration was selected as optimum concentration for rust powder treatment.



Fig. 3 FTIR spectra of (a) rust before treatment and rust after 1 h treatment with (b) 1%, (c) 5%, (d) 7%, (e) 10%, (f) 15% and (g) 20% gambir.

3.2 The effect of reaction time

The time selected in this study was 1 h, 2 h, 3 h, 6 h and 12 h. Fig. 4 depicts the spectra of rust after treated with 10% gambir at pH 5 for various time immersions. The spectra show obvious changes in the intensity of each rust constituent as the time of immersion increased.

It was observed that beginning 3 h of contact time with the solution, the peak of 1021 cm⁻¹ of lepidocrocite disappeared and became broader after 6 h immersion. New peaks at 1156 and 1116 cm⁻¹ are referred to the formation of ferric tannate [1], [16]. The peak at 745 cm⁻¹ was completely removed after 3 h while the peak at 470 cm⁻¹ remained the same throughout the time, which shifted after 1 h immersion. Both goethite peaks at 890 and 796 cm⁻¹ show a comparable rate of transformation. The peak at 890 cm⁻¹ start to transforms with 64.0% to 84.6% RT while the peak at 796 cm⁻¹ was transformed from 61.5% to 84.6% RT after 12 h treatment. The adsorption band of magnetite located at 574 cm⁻¹ was transformed with 50.0% RT up to 65.4% after 3 h immersion. It was observed that after 6 h of contact time, the magnetite peak was shifted from 574 cm⁻¹ to 618 cm⁻¹. It can be concluded that after 3 h of reaction time with 10% gambir, the rust can substantially form an iron complex [17]. The gambir reaction with rust was faster with lepidocrocite, slower with magnetite and slowest with goethite. This was in agreement with [1], [4] and [13]. Ref. [16] stated that in the presence of tannins, lepidocrocite undergoes a reductive dissolution under formation of ferrous complex, which oxidized to precipitate insoluble ferric tannate.

Ref. [18] proposed three ways of tannin reaction with iron: (1) the tannins can complex with Fe^{2+} ions to form ferrous-tannates which can easily oxidized into ferric-tannates when in contact with oxygen, (2) the tannin can react directly with the Fe^{3+} ions to form ferric-tannates, and (3) tannin can reduce Fe^{3+} oxides into Fe^{2+} ions, then the tannin will complex with Fe^{2+} ions, thus oxidized into ferric-tannates in the presence of oxygen. The Fe^{3+} in equilibrium with FeOOH are reduced to Fe^{2+} ions when in contact with iron metal in the pores of the rust layer and these Fe^{2+} compounds can be easily re-oxidised into Fe^{3+} oxides.



Fig. 4 FTIR spectra of (a) rust before treatment and rust after treatment with 10% gambir for (b) 1 h, (c) 2 h, (d) 3 h, (e) 6 h and (f) 12 h contact time

3.3 The effect of addition of phosphoric acid

Phosphoric acid, H_3PO_4 , was selected in combination with gambir because it is known as one of the oldest types of rust converters. Fig. 5 shows and the spectra of rust when different concentrations of H_3PO_4 (3% to 15%, v/v) were added into the treatment solution. It was observed from the spectra, as compared to rust treated in 10% gambir alone, the addition of H_3PO_4 reduced the intensity of rust significantly better after 3 h immersion.

Lepidocrocite peak at 1021 cm^{-1} become broader in addition of H₃PO₄. This was due to the formation ferric phosphate [1], [19]. The adsorption band of lepidocrocite at 745

cm⁻¹ was completely removed. The other peak at 470 cm⁻¹ was slightly shifted to 480 cm⁻¹. The same pattern was observed with magnetite adsorption band at 574 cm⁻¹ which shifted to 617 cm⁻¹. However, there were not much changes observed with goethite peaks. Both goethite peaks had more than 80.0% RT. The reduction of rust intensity basically showed the same behaviour from additional of 3% to 15% H₃PO₄.

Therefore, it can be concluded that the addition of H_3PO_4 into the solution treatment can increase the degree of transformation of rust. Other authors also concluded that the addition of H_3PO_4 in conjunction with tannin can perform better conversion [1], [13], [20].



Fig. 5 FTIR spectra of (a) rust before treatment and rust after 3 h treatment with (b) 10% gambir, (c) 10% gambir + 3% H_3PO_4 , (d) 10% gambir + 5% H_3PO_4 and (e) 10% gambir + 15% H_3PO_4

3.4 Comparison of rust powder treatment

Fig. 6 shows the comparison of the rust's spectra treated with 5% H₃PO₄, 10% gambir and mixed solution of 10% gambir + 5% H₃PO₄ after 3 h and 24 h reaction time. It was noticed that after 3 h immersion, the treatment of 5% H₃PO₄ did not give much effect in reducing the intensity of rust constituents as compared to 10% gambir and mixture of 10% gambir + 5% H₃PO₄. After 24 h treatment, the 5% H₃PO₄ infrared spectrum shows the magnetite peak at 547 cm⁻¹ shifted to 617 cm⁻¹ and lepidocrocite peak at 470 cm⁻¹ shifted to 481 cm⁻¹. No peak seems to disappear. The peak at 1021 cm⁻¹ became broader and there's a new peak appeared due to the formation of ferric phosphate [1], [19]. Other rust peaks (890, 796, 745 cm⁻¹) basically reduced in intensity.

The infrared spectrum of 10% gambir shows the ap-

pearance of peaks at 1117, 1084 and 670 cm⁻¹ after 24 h contact time. The other peaks seem to intensify as compared to 3 h contact time. The FTIR spectrum of the mixture of 10% gambir + 5% H₃PO₄ showed the appearances of adsorption bands after 24 h treatment at 1112, 1054, 1004 and 670 cm⁻¹ due to the ferric tannate and ferric phosphate complexes. Ref. [1] reported that the ferric phosphate complexes caused the broadening of the band between 1000 and 1200 cm⁻¹. The shifted and intensified magnetite peak as well as the visible band at 670 cm⁻¹ is believed to be overlapping with catechin, confirming the formation of ferric tannate.

Therefore, it can be concluded that the treatment of rust with H_3PO_4 alone does not significantly transform the rust as supported by the findings of [19]. Gambir mixed with H_3PO_4 clearly seem to be a better rust converter.



Fig. 6 FTIR spectra of rust before treatment and rust after treatment with 5% H_3PO_4 , 10% gambir and 10% gambir + 5% H_3PO_4 for 3 h and 24 h reaction time.

3.5 Surface analysis

Fig. 7 shows the results of SEM with EDX of rust before and after 3 h treatment with rust converter. The morphology of rust before treatment was significantly changed into more homogeneous and compact surface after treated with gambir and mixture of 10% gambir + 5% H₃PO₄. Ref. [21] reported that the morphologies of rust were cactus/spike shapes and granular shapes indicating the presence of lepidocrocite and goethite. Hence, the application of the converters to the rusted steel surface forms an amorphous compact layer. However, when the rust was treated with 5% H₃PO₄ alone, the surface is in irregular shape and there were still traces of cactus shapes as in the rust before treatment.

The EDX analysis of rust shows traces of the peaks of chloride ions, which are originated from NaCl that was used for generating the rust sample by salt spray chamber. After treatment with rust converter, the carbon and phosphorus peak increased indicating the presence of gambir and phosphate, respectively.



Fig. 7 SEM-EDX analyses of (a) rust before treatment and rust after treatment with (b) 10% gambir, (c) 10% gambir + 5% H₃PO₄ and (d) 5% H₃PO₄

4. Conclusion

The treatment of rust powder with gambir extract showed that after 3 h treatment in 10% gambir solution, the rust constituent reduced significantly. There were also new peaks indicating the presence or formation of ferric tannate. The addition of phosphoric acid into the solution gave a better degree of conversion as depicted in the FTIR with a broader peaks and the appearance of new adsorption bands. This also indicated the formation of ferric phosphate besides ferric tannates. The order of transformation of rust from fast to slow: lepidocrocite > magnetite > goethite. H₃PO₄ alone was not convenient in transforming rust after 3 h treatment. Combining gambir and phosphoric acid gave a significant phase transformation of rust. The surface analysis of SEM showed a more compact and homogeneous layer of rust after reacted with gambir and phosphoric acid.

5. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the Universiti Sains Malaysia for the financial support given through the RU-USM-Postgraduate Research Grant Scheme (RU-PRGS 1001/PKIMIA/833023) and USM Fellowship during the term of this study.

6. References

- S. Nasrazadani. The application of infrared spectroscopy to a study of phosphoric and tannic acids interactions with magnetite (Fe₃O₄), goethite (α-FeOOH) and lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH), *Corrosion Science*, *Volume 39*, (Issue 10-11), *October-November 1997*, *Pages* 1845–1859.
- [2] L.M. Ocampo, I.C.P., Margarit, O.R., Mattos, S.I., Cordoba-De-Torresi & F.L. Fragata, Performance of rust converter based on phosphoric and tannic acids. *Corrosion Science*, *Volume 46* (Issue 6), *June 2004, Pages 1515-1525.*
- [3] M. Favre, D. Landolt, K. Hoffman & M. Stratmann, Influence of gallic acid on the phase transformation in iron oxide layers below organic coatings studied with Moessbauer spectroscopy, *Corrosion Science, Volume 40* (Issues 4–5), *April–May 1998, Pages 793-803*.
- [4] A.A. Rahim, M.J. Kassim, E. Rocca & J. Steinmetz, Mangrove (Rhizophora apiculata) tannins: an eco-friendly rust converter. *Corrosion Engineering, Science and Technology, Volume 46* (4), *June 2011, Pages 425-431.*
- [5] A.J. Seavell, The preparation of an iron tannate anticorrosive pigment of commercial potential. *Journal of Oil Colour Chemistry Association, Volume 8, 1994, Pages 293-306.*
- [6] S. Taniguchi, K. Kuroda, K. Doi, M. Tanabe, T. Shibata, T. Yoshida, & T. Hatano, Revised Structures of Gambiriins A1, A2, B1, and B2,

Chalcane-Flavan Dimers from Gambir (Uncaria gambir Extract), Chemical & Pharmaceutical Bulletin, Volume 55 (2), February 2007, Pages 268–272.

- [7] T. Anggraini, A. Tai, T. Yoshino, & T. Itani, Antioxidative activity and catechin content of four kinds of *Uncaria gambir* extracts from West Sumatra Indonesia, *African Journal of Biochemistry Research*, *Volume 5* (1), *January 2011, Pages 33-38.*
- [8] F.B. Apea-Bah, M. Hanafi, R.T. Dewi, S. Fajriah, A. Darwaman, N. Artanti, P. Lotulung, P. Ngadymang & B. Minarti, Assessment of the DPPH and α-glucosidase inhibitory potential of gambier and qualitative identification of major bioactive compound, *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, Volume 3* (1), *October 2009, Pages 736-757.*
- M.H. Hussin, Uncaria gambir as natural corrosion inhibitor for mild steel in acidic solution, Master's thesis, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia, 2010.
- [10] A. Achmad, Adsorption of selected dyes by chemically modified Uncaria gambir extract, Master's thesis, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia, 2012.
- [11] H. Lucida, A. Bakhtiar, & W.A. Putri, Formulasi Sediaan Antiseptik Mulut dari Katekin Gambir, Jurnal Sains dan Teknologi Farmasi, Volume 12, February 2007, Pages 25-31.
- [12] S. Mandel, O. Weinreb, L. Reznichenko, L. Kalfon, & T. Amit, Green tea catechins as brain-permeable, non toxic iron chelators to "iron out iron" from the brain, *Journal of Neural Transmission*, *Volume 71*, 2006, Pages 249–257.
- [13] J. Gust (1991). Application of infrared spectroscopy for investigation of rust phase component conversion by agents containing oak tannin and phosphoric acid, *Corrosion NACE*, *Volume 47, June 1991, Pages* 453-457.
- [14] M. Ishii, M. Nakahira & T.Yamanaka, Infrared absorption spectra and cation distributions in (Mn, Fe)₃O₄, *Solid State Communications*, *Volume 11* (Issue 1), *July 1972, Pages 209-212.*
- [15] P.J. Deslauriers, Rust conversion coatings. Materials Performance, Volume 26, 1987, Pages 35-39.
- [16] M. Favre, & D. Landolt, The influence of gallic acid on the reduction of rust on painted steel surfaces. *Corrosion Science*, *Volume 34* (Issue 9), *September 1993, Pages 1481-1494*.
- [17] J. Gust & J. Suwalski, Use of Mossbauer Spectroscopy to study reaction products of polyphenols and iron compounds. *Corrosion Science, Volume 50* (5), *May 1994, Pages 355-365.*
- [18] A.A. Rahim, E. Rocca, J. Steinmetz, M.J. Kassim, R. Adnan & M.S. Ibrahim, Mangrove tannins and their flavanoid monomers as alternative steel corrosion inhibitors in acidic medium. *Corrosion Science*, *Volume 49* (Issue 2), *February 2007, Pages 402-417*.
- [19] G. Matamala, W. Smeltzer, & G. Droguett, Use of tannin anticorrosive reaction primer to improve traditional coating systems. *Corro*sion NACE, Volume 50 (4), April 1994, Pages 270-275.

[21] C.A. Barrero, L.M. Ocampo, & C.E. Arroyave, Possible improvements in the action of some rust converters. *Corrosion Science*, *Volume 43* (Issue 6), *June 2001, Pages 1003-1018*