See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327420076

## Land-use change alters the mechanisms assembling rainforest mammal communities in Borneo

Article *in* Journal of Animal Ecology · September 2018 DOI: 10.1111/1365-2656.12903

citations 3		READS 379	
6 autho	rs, including:		
P	Oliver R Wearn Zoological Society of London 60 PUBLICATIONS 1,364 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE		Chris Carbone Zoological Society of London 137 PUBLICATIONS 8,378 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE
	Marcus Rowcliffe Zoological Society of London 218 PUBLICATIONS 8,060 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE		Marion Pfeifer Newcastle University 72 PUBLICATIONS 1,965 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Advancing camera-trap methodology View project

Forest Restoration and Climate Experiment (FoRCE) View project

DOI: 10.1111/1365-2656.12903

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Land-use change alters the mechanisms assembling rainforest mammal communities in Borneo

Oliver R. Wearn<sup>1,2</sup> | Chris Carbone<sup>1</sup> | J. Marcus Rowcliffe<sup>1</sup> | Marion Pfeifer<sup>2,3</sup> | Henry Bernard<sup>4</sup> | Robert M. Ewers<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, London, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Ascot, UK

<sup>3</sup>School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle, UK

<sup>4</sup>Institute for Tropical Biology and Conservation, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia

Correspondence Oliver R. Wearn Email: oliver.wearn@ioz.ac.uk

**Funding information** Sime Darby Foundation

Handling Editor: Mariano Rodriguez-Cabal

### Abstract

- The assembly of species communities at local scales is thought to be driven by environmental filtering, species interactions and spatial processes such as dispersal limitation. Little is known about how the relative balance of these drivers of community assembly changes along environmental gradients, especially manmade environmental gradients associated with land-use change.
- 2. Using concurrent camera- and live-trapping, we investigated the local-scale assembly of mammal communities along a gradient of land-use intensity (old-growth forest, logged forest and oil palm plantations) in Borneo. We hypothesised that increasing land-use intensity would lead to an increasing dominance of environmental control over spatial processes in community assembly. Additionally, we hypothesised that competitive interactions among species might reduce in concert with declines in  $\alpha$ -diversity (previously documented) along the land-use gradient.
- 3. To test our first hypothesis, we partitioned community variance into the fractions explained by environmental and spatial variables. To test our second hypothesis, we used probabilistic models of expected species co-occurrence patterns, in particular focussing on the prevalence of spatial avoidance between species. Spatial avoidance might indicate competition, but might also be due to divergent habitat preferences.
- 4. We found patterns that are consistent with a shift in the fundamental mechanics governing local community assembly. In support of our first hypothesis, the importance of spatial processes (dispersal limitation and fine-scale patterns of home-ranging) appeared to decrease from low to high intensity land-uses, whilst environmental control increased in importance (in particular due to fine-scale habitat structure). Support for our second hypothesis was weak: whilst we found that the prevalence of spatial avoidance decreased along the land-use gradient, in particular between congeneric species pairs most likely to be in competition, few instances of spatial avoidance were detected in any land-use, and most were likely due to divergent habitat preferences.
- 5. The widespread changes in land-use occurring in the tropics might be altering not just the biodiversity found in landscapes, but also the fundamental mechanics

governing the local assembly of communities. A better understanding of these mechanics, for a range of taxa, could underpin more effective conservation and management of threatened tropical landscapes.

#### KEYWORDS

 $\beta$ -diversity, community assembly, environmental filtering, land-use change, mammals, oil palm agriculture, selective logging, species co-occurrence

### 1 | INTRODUCTION

An understanding of how species assemble into communities is a central goal of contemporary ecology (HilleRisLambers, Adler, Harpole, Levine, & Mayfield, 2012). Significant advances have been made since the turn of the century, with new conceptual and mathematical models of the mechanisms of assembly, including better integration of scale (Leibold et al., 2004), niche differentiation (Tilman, 2004), dispersal (Gravel, Canham, Beaudet, & Messier, 2006), and community drift (Hubbell, 2001). At the same time, natural habitats across the globe, and in particular in the tropics, have been subjected to unprecedented rates of clearance and disturbance (Asner, Rudel, Aide, Defries, & Emerson, 2009), but we know very little about the drivers of community assembly in these novel, man-made systems. In turn, this means we have a poor capacity to predict the consequences of land-use change on diversity and to devise useful management interventions that deal directly with the fundamental mechanisms that create and maintain local-scale diversity in man-made landscapes.

Two contrasting drivers of community assembly are generally thought to be dominant at the local scale: niche assembly and dispersal assembly. Niche assembly mechanisms have a century-long pedigree in ecology (e.g., Elton, 1927; Grinnell, 1917) and involve selection of species according to their fundamental environmental niche (the "abiotic filter"), as well as small-scale interactions with competitors, mutualists and consumers (the "biotic filter"). Dispersal assembly refers to the stochastic assembly of a local community by dispersal, i.e., by the movement of organisms across space (Vellend, 2010). Local communities are said to be "dispersal limited" whenever immigration is restricted, and they are therefore isolated to some degree. Although dispersal was recognised in some of the earliest models of community assembly (e.g., Macarthur & Wilson, 1967), it has since become associated with the unified neutral theory (Hubbell, 2001), in which dispersal is conceptually from the metacommunity (Leibold et al., 2004). Contemporary assembly theory recognises that niche and dispersal assembly are not mutually exclusive and that both may operate concurrently (Mutshinda & O'Hara, 2011). The challenge, therefore, is to identify the relative importance of these two assembly mechanisms, and under what circumstances the balance might be altered.

Land-use change represents the principal threat to biodiversity in the tropics (Laurance, Sayer, & Cassman, 2014), and a vast body of literature has accumulated on changes in diversity along manmade environmental gradients. From this, we know that  $\alpha$ -diversity (site-level species richness within a land-use) often declines along gradients of land-use intensity (Gibson et al., 2011), but  $\beta$ -diversity (site-to-site variation in community composition within a land-use) does not appear to change in a consistent direction (Dormann et al., 2007; Newbold et al., 2016). For tropical forests which are selectively logged or converted to agriculture,  $\beta$ -diversity may increase (Berry, Phillips, Ong, & Hamer, 2008), decrease (Kitching, Ashton, Nakamura, Whitaker, & Khen, 2013; Solar et al., 2015), or show a grain-dependent response (Wearn, Carbone, Rowcliffe, Bernard, & Ewers, 2016). Whilst an understanding of  $\beta$ -diversity patterns can inform reserve design, little insight is gained about the underlying drivers of assembly. Indeed the same patterns in  $\beta$ -diversity can be produced by vastly different drivers of assembly (Myers et al., 2013). The drivers of assembly matter in the case of management because they might inform what steps are appropriate to restore biodiversity in a given degraded area. For example, if environmental control is the dominant driver of assembly, then steps to restore habitat guality may be important. Alternatively, if dispersal limitation dominates assembly, then restoring landscape connectivity might be more important.

For a better understanding of the impacts of land-use change on species communities, it will be necessary to uncover the dominant drivers of assembly along gradients of land-use intensity. One hypothesis is that increasing levels of disturbance along gradients of land-use intensity may lead to the breakdown of spatial structure-created by dispersal limitation and broad gradients in, for example, climate or elevation-in the occurrence of species. At the same time, environmental control may assume a more dominant role in assembly as land-use intensity increases. For example, logging greatly increases the frequency and area of edge habitat in forests, a micro-habitat which forest species often show strong responses to (Pfeifer et al., 2017). The importance of environmental control may be even more pronounced in plantation habitats, which drastically differ from the forests that species are adapted to-in terms of structure, resources and microclimate-and are often subject to intense management (Luskin & Potts, 2011; Styring et al., 2011).

It might be expected that the biotic filter, in particular the strength of species interactions, will also be affected by landuse change (Tylianakis, Didham, Bascompte, & Wardle, 2008). Reductions in  $\alpha$ -diversity along gradients of land-use intensity may be hypothesised to weaken competitive interactions and, all else being equal, may lead to communities which are more assembled by neutral processes (Weiher et al., 2011). In tropical forest, logging and conversion to plantation habitats have previously been shown to reduce  $\alpha$ -diversity in a range of taxonomic groups (e.g., Gibson et al., 2011), but the potential for a concomitant weakening in species interactions relative to intact habitat remains poorly explored.

The last decade has seen the development of new analytical tools to investigate the mechanisms of community assembly (e.g., Chase & Myers, 2011; Dray et al., 2012). In particular, β-diversity can be dissected into its environmental and spatial components, using canonical ordination (Dray et al., 2012). The importance of niche assembly can be inferred from the explanatory power of environmental variables thought to control the occurrence of species, whilst the importance of spatially contagious processes such as dispersal and home-ranging can be inferred from the explanatory power of surrogate spatial variables. β-diversity left unexplained by environmental control and space is likely due to random community drift, as well as any unmeasured environmental variables (which are not structured in space) and measurement error (Legendre et al., 2009). Although species interactions are generally thought to operate at smaller spatial scales than environmental filtering (Weiher et al., 2011), the two components to niche assembly are difficult to separate on the basis of spatial modelling alone (Kraft et al., 2015). Competitive interactions can however be expected to leave a signature of negative co-occurrence patterns between pairs of species (Veech, 2006). Competition is especially likely among species which share more traits, such as congeneric species (assuming phylogenetic conservatism in traits). Negative co-occurrences, though, are also consistent with divergent habitat preferences, and therefore sound knowledge of a study system is needed in order for useful inferences to be made. In order to conclusively demonstrate competition, experimental work is needed.

Recent technological advances have opened up the possibility of collecting community-wide biodiversity data at higher spatial and temporal resolutions than has been possible before (Turner, 2014), even for highly mobile taxa, such as mammals. This is an important development, since the majority of studies investigating community assembly have focussed on sessile organisms (e.g., De Cáceres et al., 2012; Myers et al., 2013; Siefert, Ravenscroft, Weiser, & Swenson, 2013; Vellend et al., 2007). High mobility likely has a number of effects on community dynamics, for example by allowing species to more effectively partition themselves in space and time, and may therefore be expected to alter the dominant drivers of community assembly. It is now acknowledged that contemporary theory in community ecology must be confronted with empirical data from a wide a range of organisms in order to advance the field (Weiher et al., 2011).

Here we investigate the local-scale drivers assembling mammal communities along a gradient of land-use intensity which is ubiquitous in Southeast Asia: old-growth forest, logged forest and oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) plantations. Much of the remaining forest in Southeast Asia has been logged or degraded (Gaveau et al., 2014; Margono, Potapov, Turubanova, Stolle, & Hansen, 2014), and conversion to oil palm has been occurring at an unprecedented rate over recent decades (Wilcove, Giam, Edwards, Fisher, & Koh, 2013). Mammals are highly mobile and rare, making them a challenge to sample. To overcome this, we used concurrent networks of camera traps and live traps to sample almost the entire terrestrial mammal assemblage, and expended much higher sampling efforts than would be typical for other taxonomic groups. We have previously shown that mammal communities in our study sites exhibit a decline in  $\alpha$ -diversity along the land-use gradient and are assembled in a significantly non-random manner (Wearn et al., 2016). In this study, we test two specific hypotheses about the drivers of community assembly: (a) that increased landuse intensity results in an increasing dominance of environmental control over spatial processes and (b) that reductions in  $\alpha$ -richness along a gradient of land-use intensity result in a reduced role for competitive interactions.

### 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Sampling design across the land-use gradient

We sampled mammals along a gradient of lan-use intensity in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo, taking advantage of the experimental design of the Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystems (SAFE) Project (Ewers et al., 2011). The gradient consists of old-growth forest within the Maliau Basin Conservation Area, repeatedly-logged forest within the Kalabakan Forest Reserve and two adjacent oil palm plantations straddling the Kalabakan Forest Reserve boundary (Wearn et al., 2016; Wearn, Rowcliffe et al., 2017).

We employed a clustered hierarchical sampling design, with individual sampling points clustered together into 1.75 ha plots, and 3–6 plots in turn clustered into blocks (Figure 1). This multi-scale approach allowed for the investigation of fine-scale drivers of assembly, such as competition between species, whilst also allowing for the investigation of larger-scale gradients in community composition within a study site. Plots consisted of 48 potential sampling points, separated by 23 m, of which a random subset were chosen for sampling (mean number of points per plot = 14). Separation distances between plots (170–290 m) and between blocks (0.6–3 km) were similar across the land-uses. The SAFE Project has been deliberately designed to minimise confounding factors across the land-use gradient, including latitude, slope and elevation (Ewers et al., 2011), and this applied equally to our sampling design for mammals.

Across the study sites, 430 points were sampled using both camera-trapping and live-trapping. These were nested within 31 plots and eight blocks (nine plots in three blocks for old-growth forest; 16 plots in three blocks for logged forest; and six plots in two blocks for oil palm). We excluded 12 points which had been camera-trapped for <7 days, giving a total sampling effort of 9,430 live trap nights and 19,116 camera trap nights (after correcting for camera



**FIGURE 1** Sampling design across logged forest (a), oil palm (b) and old-growth forest (c), showing the sampling points (in red) sampled using both camera traps and live traps. Clusters of sampling plots, i.e., sampling blocks, were arranged in the same spatial configuration in old-growth forest and oil palm, but were arranged to coincide with future experimental forest fragments in logged forest. Separation between points, plots and blocks was nonetheless similar across land-uses. Shaded areas (in a) lie outside the Kalabakan Forest Reserve, consisting of a 2,200 ha Virgin Jungle Reserve (Brantian-Tatulit) to the south and an extensive (>1 million ha) area of logged forest to the north (Mount Louisa Forest Reserve and other connecting reserves)

failures). The sampling intensity was similar across land-uses (mean trap nights per sampling point: 60 in old-growth forest; 78 in logged forest; and 58 in oil palm).

### 2.2 | Mammal community sampling

Medium and large mammals were sampled using camera traps (Reconyx HC500), deployed strictly within 5 m of each randomly chosen sampling point (following methods previously outlined in Wearn, Rowcliffe, Carbone, Bernard, & Ewers, 2013). Our deployment of cameras at random locations, not just along trails, is a departure from traditional camera-trapping protocols, and uniquely allows us to uncover fine-scale patterns of  $\beta$ -diversity in medium and large mammals, driven by species habitat-use and occupancy. Since camera traps are continuous-time detectors, we considered photographic capture events to be independent if they (a) contained different individuals or (b) were separated by >12 hours, which matched the approximate minimum separation between live trap events. Camera traps were active between May 2011 and April 2014, during which most plots (28 of 31) were sampled multiple times (mean effort per plot = 617 trap nights).

Small mammal trapping was conducted at the plot level, with two locally made steel-mesh traps  $(18 \times 10-13 \times 28 \text{ cm})$  placed near ground level (0–1.5 m) within 10 m of each of the 48 sampling

points and baited with oil palm fruit (see Wearn et al., 2016 for further details on the trapping and handling protocols). Here we only use data from the 418 points which were also sufficiently sampled using camera traps. Each session consisted of seven consecutive trapping days, and some plots (15 of 31) were sampled for multiple sessions over the course of the study (mean effort per plot in this study = 304 trap nights). Trapping was carried out between May 2011 and July 2014, during which there were no major mast-fruiting events.

### 2.3 | Environmental and spatial components of β-diversity across land-use

We dissected mammal  $\beta$ -diversity within each land-use into its environmental and spatial components, using distance-based redundancy analysis (Peres-Neto, Legendre, Dray, & Borcard, 2006), hereafter RDA. This involves partitioning community variance into the fractions explained by environmental and spatial variables, using the model Y = f[E + S] + R, where Y is the community response matrix, *E* and *S* are matrices of environmental and spatial covariates, respectively, and *R* is a matrix of residuals (Dray et al., 2012).

Environmental variables were composed of three sets: (a) finescale habitat structure variables (canopy closure, canopy height, mean tree diameter-at-breast height (DBH), tree density, a habitat disturbance score, presence of a logging road, vegetation cover in four height strata, and deadwood volume); (b) topographical variables (slope, elevation and flow accumulation), and (c) landscape context within a 500-m radius (above-ground live tree biomass, forest cover and distance from the nearest forest). Polynomial functions of the DBH, habitat score and topographical variables were also included, to allow for non-linear responses. Full details of the environmental variables used are provided in Appendix S1 (Supporting information).

The spatial component of  $\beta$ -diversity was modelled using surrogate variables representing positive spatial correlation. This positive spatial correlation is the signature of the dispersal limitation and home-ranging we were interested in. We emphasise that we are not here investigating the large-scale dispersal limitation that determines species ranges, rather the dispersal limitation (and ranging) occurring at small-scales that determines the stochastic, "lottery-like" occurrence patterns within a species range. We thought dispersal limitation would be weak for the largest and best-dispersing mammal species in our dataset, but that barriers to large-scale movement might nonetheless exist for these species (e.g., caused by steep mountain tops, rivers, large landslides, or highly degraded areas that some species might be less likely to cross). We thought dispersal limitation might be more important for many of the medium- and small-sized mammal species in our dataset, likely with limited capacity to disperse at will across our study landscapes, and also for the rarer species in our dataset (producing few propagules).

Surrogate variables to model the positive spatial correlation were based on the geographic coordinates of sampling points, and included (a) distance-based Moran's eigenvector maps (db-MEMs), and (b) trend surfaces (Dray et al., 2012). MEMs represent a spectral decomposition of the distances among sampling points and can potentially model spatial patterns at all scales perceivable in the sampling design (Borcard, Legendre, Avois-Jacquet, & Tuomisto, 2004). We used db-MEMs to model fine-scale spatial patterns present within sampling blocks (Figure S2.1, Supporting information), creating a separate set of db-MEMs for each sampling block (Declerck, Coronel, Legendre, & Brendonck, 2011). We filled the widest gaps between sampling points in a block with a small number of supplementary points (between 5 and 10 per block; 12% of the total), which were then removed before RDA modelling (Borcard et al., 2004). This will have caused a slight loss of orthogonality between db-MEM variables, but allowed for the modelling of spatial patterns down to a scale of between 67 and 76 m. We selected only the db-MEMs with positive eigenvalues, which model positive spatial correlations. We visualised the fine-scale spatial patterns of community variation as a function of geographic distance using multivariate Mantel correlograms (Legendre & Legendre, 2012). To model broader-scale spatial patterns occurring across sampling blocks, we created first- and second-order polynomial functions of the geographic coordinates (i.e., trend surfaces), to represent both linear and saddle-shaped patterns in space (Borcard, Legendre, & Drapeau, 1992).

For the medium- and large-sized mammal species in our dataset, within-block patterns (i.e., those modelled using db-MEMs) will primarily reflect habitat-use and home-ranging, but may also represent dispersal limitation for the smaller species. Broader-scale patterns (i.e., those modelled using trend surfaces) will primarily reflect dispersal limitation, as well as home-ranging in the most mobile species in the dataset, such as the Sunda clouded leopard (*Neofelis diardi*).

We applied the modified forward-selection method (Blanchet, Legendre, & Borcard, 2008), based on the adjusted coefficient of multiple determination ( $R_{adj}^2$ ), to select a parsimonious set of environmental and spatial variables for the final RDA models in each land-use (see Table S2.1. and Figure S2.2, Supporting information). This was done separately for each of the three sets of environmental variables (habitat structure, topography and landscape context variables) and two sets of spatial variables (db-MEMs and trend-surface variables). For the final, parsimonious RDA models in each land-use, we quantified the variance explained by environment, space, and jointly by both environment and space, using variation partitioning (Peres-Neto et al., 2006). We tested for significant differences between land-uses in the variation explained (overall, by environment and by space) using a bootstrap procedure (Peres-Neto et al., 2006).

We also repeated the above steps (selection of parsimonious sets of variables, and variation partitioning) for the combined dataset across all three land-uses, fitting a "global" RDA model. This allowed us to add land-use into the RDA as a categorical variable, and determine if there was important community variation across land-use which our environmental and spatial variables had failed to capture.

Redundancy analysis models were fitted to Hellinger-transformed community matrices, with mammal detections per 7 days summed over the camera- and live-trapping protocols. The Hellinger transformation divides by the total abundance at a site and then takes the square root (therefore dampening the effect of extremely abundant species), and has previously been shown to have desirable properties in the context of RDA (Legendre & Gallagher, 2001). Detection probabilities likely vary across species and across the two protocols, but we do not expect that this will substantially affect the relative sizes of the variance fractions explained by environment and space, although imperfect detection could inflate the unexplained variance in all cases. The Hellinger transformation is asymmetrical, meaning that species absences, which could be a result of non-detection rather than lack of presence, have a lower influence on the coefficient than presences. Our sampling points were not independent, in the sense that individual animals may have been detected across multiple points. This will mean that the precision associated with our environmental RDA models will likely be inflated, but we here focus on the variation explained, rather than on the results of specific hypothesis tests using these models (which would require unbiased estimates of uncertainty). We also note that variation partitioning represents a very effective way of assessing environmental control after controlling for spatial non-independence (Peres-Neto & Legendre, 2010), as we have done.

#### 2.4 | Species co-occurrence

We investigated co-occurrence patterns among species within each land-use using probabilistic models (Veech, 2013). These models use the hypergeometric distribution to calculate the probability that two species spatially co-occur either less or more often than expected based on their mean incidence probabilities (Griffith, Veech, & Marsh, 2014). Using the observed co-occurrence frequencies and a specified alpha level (in this case,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ), species cooccurrences were classified as significantly positive or negative, or occurring at random. Random co-occurrence patterns can potentially be generated both by genuine non-association between species or by a lack of statistical power. We had a relatively large number of sites within each land-use (56-213) and high sampling effort, with simulations suggesting that in this case models would typically have very high power to detect deviations from random co-occurrence as small as 5% of the total number of sites. We did not analyse species pairs with expected co-occurrence frequencies <1 (following Veech, 2013).

We were particularly interested in negative species cooccurrences, which will primarily be due to either (a) competition driving spatial niche separation, or (b) divergent habitat preferences. We are unable to conclusively determine which of these mechanisms is responsible for negative co-occurrences, and capture both possible explanations under the term "spatial avoidance" (e.g., Davis et al., 2018). We did, however, investigate whether the number of congeneric pairs among the negative co-occurrences was greater than expected by chance, which would lend more support to competition as an explanation. Spatial co-occurrence analyses are unable to detect temporal niche separation and we are here focussed only on the spatial assembly of communities.

All analyses were done in R version 3.1.0 (R Development Core Team 2014), using the additional packages vegan 2.0-10 (Oksanen et al., 2013), PCNM 2.1-2 (Legendre, Borcard, Blanchet, & Dray, 2013) and co-occur 1.0 (Griffith et al., 2014).

### 3 | RESULTS

We obtained 1,237 captures of 20 species from live-trapping and 10,464 photo-captures of 56 species from camera-trapping, giving a total of 61 mammal species detected (15 species were captured using both methods). Overall trapping rates (live-trapping and camera-trapping combined) were similar for old-growth and logged forest (0.47 and 0.38 captures per trap night, respectively), but lower in oil palm (0.19).

### 3.1 | How much community variation is explained by environment and space?

In old-growth forest, space explained significantly more of the community variation than environment (95% CI of the difference: 5%– 14%, p < 0.001). Most of the variation explained by environmental

variables was spatially structured (90%; Figure 2), and only a small, albeit significant, fraction of the variation was explained by pure environmental control ( $F_{(12, 112)} = 1.34, p < 0.01$ ). Mammal communities in logged forest and oil palm were less structured in space than oldgrowth forest (Figure 2; 95% CI of the variance explained for oldgrowth forest: 26%-37%; 95% CI for logged forest: 5%-15%; 95% CI for oil palm: -5% to 24%). In logged forest, just 14% (95% CI: 10-19%) of the total variance was explained by environmental and spatial variables together, much lower than for old-growth forest (33%, 95% CI: 27%-39%) and oil palm (30%, 95% CI: 15%-46%). However, the independent environmental and spatial components were still significant (environment:  $F_{(10, 179)} = 1.90$ , p < 0.001; space:  $F_{(16, 179)} = 1.91$ , p < 0.001). The variance explained by environmental and spatial variables was not significantly different for logged forest communities (95% CI of the difference: -6% to 1%, p = 0.17). In oil palm, 86% of the explained variation was related to environmental control (79% independently) and the spatial component was significantly smaller (95% CI of the difference: 2%-30%, p = 0.02). Despite the contrasting variation partitioning results across the land-use gradient, total community variation (i.e., site-to-site  $\beta$ -diversity within a land-use) was broadly similar across the land-uses:  $Var(Y_{Old-growth}) = 0.57;$  $Var(Y_{logged}) = 0.58$ , and  $Var(Y_{Oil palm}) = 0.53$ .

### 3.2 | The relative importance of habitat structure, topography and landscape context

The relative importance of each set of environmental variables for structuring communities within land-uses differed markedly (Figure 2). Fine-scale habitat structure was an important independent component in all land-uses (old-growth forest:  $F_{(6, 143)} = 2.35$ , p < 0.001; logged forest:  $F_{(7, 195)} = 2.83$ , p < 0.001; oil palm:  $F_{(6, 45)} = 3.08$ , p < 0.001). At coarser-scales, landscape context was important in old-growth forest, both in combination with fine-scale habitat structure and also independently ( $F_{(6, 143)} = 2.35$ , p < 0.001), but was only important in oil palm in combination with topography ( $F_{(4, 45)} = 1.72$ , p = 0.01) and not independently ( $F_{(3, 45)} = 1.29$ , p = 0.16). Landscape context was found to be entirely unimportant for logged forest communities ( $F_{(1, 204)} = 1.25$ , p = 0.31). Topography was important mostly in combination with other variables, but was also important in its own right in old-growth forest ( $F_{(5, 143)} = 3.03$ , p < 0.001).

### 3.3 | Broad- and fine-scale structuring of communities in space

Broad- and fine-scale spatial processes were equally important in old-growth forest (95% CI of the difference: -4% to 8%, p = 0.43) and oil palm (95% CI of the difference: -11% to 13%, p = 0.90), but there was a trend for the dominance of fine-scale space in logged forest (95% CI of the difference: -6% to 0%, p = 0.09). We calculated *post-hoc* that broad-scale space, independent of environment, explained 6%, 3% and 1% of the community variation in old-growth forest, logged forest and oil palm, respectively. The same portions



**FIGURE 2** Variation partitioning of mammal community composition data across a tropical land-use gradient, represented with Euler diagrams. Community variation was partitioned using redundancy analyses (RDA) according to: three sets of environmental control variables (2nd column); broad- and fine-scale spatial processes (3rd column), and environmental control and space overall (1st column). A parsimonious set of environmental and spatial variables were chosen separately for each land-use. Percentage values represent the adjusted coefficient of multiple determination ( $R_{adj}^2$ ) and values lying outside the area of the Euler diagrams represent the percentage variation left unexplained in each case. The landscape context RDA for oil palm could not be represented in full using a Euler diagram, and a small fraction (1.2%) shared between habitat structure and topography was omitted in order to allow for plotting

for fine-scale space were 9%, 4% and 3%, respectively. Mantel correlations between community distances and geographic distances were weak in all cases (r < 0.12). However, in old-growth forest, there was a signal of positive correlation at distances <100 m, which was apparently absent in the two anthropogenic land-uses (Figure S2.3, Supporting information).

### 3.4 | Is the effect of land-use on community assembly explained by our covariates?

The RDA model for the combined dataset across all three land-uses (global model) showed that land-use explained just 1.8% of the variance independently (Figure S2.4, Supporting information), suggesting that our measured environmental variables successfully captured the environmental gradient. In addition, the ordination tri-plot of the environmental component of this model showed clear separation of

sampling points across the three land-uses (Figure 3), with the first and second RDA axes explaining 7.2% and 3.9% of the community variation, respectively. Environmental and spatial variables in the global model, each explaining a similar portion of the community variance (95% CI of the difference: -3% to 3%, p = 0.776), together explained a total of 33% of the variance (Figure S2.4, Supporting information).

### 3.5 | Co-occurrence patterns across the land-use gradient

Co-occurrence patterns became increasingly random along the landuse gradient, with 26%, 14% and 7% of analysed species pairs classified as non-random in old-growth forest (n = 392 species pairs), logged forest (n = 627 pairs) and oil palm (n = 43 pairs), respectively. Of these non-random associations, most were positive (Figure 4)





FIGURE 3 Ordination tri-plot depicting the position of sampling points (coloured circles), species (blue crosses) and environmental variables (black arrows) along the first two axes of a redundancy analysis (RDA) of the mammal community composition data (combined across land-use). Land-use was not included as a variable in this model. The first and second axes were both significant in permutation tests (1st axis:  $F_{(1, 399)}$  = 65.1, p < 0.001; 2nd axis:  $F_{(1, 399)} = 35.2, p < 0.001$ ). Four species which were characteristic of old-growth forest (greater mouse-deer, T. napu), logged forest (bearded pig, S. barbatus and red spiny rat, M. surifer) and oil palm (Malay civet, V. tangalunga) are individually named

and only in old-growth forest was there any substantial evidence of negative co-occurrences, i.e., spatial avoidance (13% of non-random associations). However, it should be noted that Type II errors are a possibility for oil palm, in which 25% of associations classified as random represented deviations of >3% of the total number of sites (this was the case for just 1% and 0% for old-growth and logged forest, respectively).

Three of the 13 instances of spatial avoidance in old-growth forest were of congeneric pairs. A simple randomisation test in which 13 species pairs were selected at random 10,000 times, showed that this pattern was highly unlikely (p < 0.001, 95% CI of the number of expected congeners: 0–1). There were too few instances of spatial avoidance in the other land-uses to run this test.

### 4 | DISCUSSION

We found patterns that are consistent with a shift under land-use change in the fundamental mechanics governing local community assembly. We found that across the land-use gradient: (a) environmental control was less important (old-growth forest), equally as important (logged forest) and more important (oil palm) than spatial processes in explaining community variation; (b) mammal communities were increasingly less spatially structured (the share of the explained variation within the pure spatial component, and in the component jointly explained with habitat, decreased), and (c) the

prevalence of spatial avoidance between species decreased, particular among congeneric species pairs. Results (a) and (b) lend support to the first of our initial hypotheses that increases in land-use intensity lead to an increasing dominance of environmental control over spatial processes (spatially correlated dispersal and ranging) in assembly. Result (c) is consistent with the second of our initial hypotheses, that competitive interactions play a reduced role with increasing land-use intensity, but the support is weak given that few instances of spatial avoidance were detected in any land-use. In addition, more evidence is required, for example from experimental work, in order to conclusively demonstrate competition. Our study adds to a small body of research which has begun to investigate the impacts of land-use change on community assembly (Danneyrolles, Arseneault, & Bergeron, 2018; Ding, Zang, Letcher, Liu, & He, 2012; Döbert, Webber, Sugau, Dickinson, & Didham, 2017; Pakeman, 2011; Vellend et al., 2007). To our knowledge, this is the first time that land-use change has been associated with altering the drivers of community assembly in non-sessile organisms.

### 4.1 | Increased environmental control under land-use change

The patterns in our data suggest that the importance of environmental filtering compared to spatial processes was stronger along the land-use gradient. These results support previous work on plant communities indicating an increasing role for



**FIGURE 4** Observed and expected species co-occurrences between species for each land-use type. Significant positive co-occurrences (blue points) lie above the 1:1 line and significant negative co-occurrences (orange points) lie below it. Effects sizes were calculated by standardising the difference between observed and expected co-occurrences by the number of sampling points in each land-use [Correction added after online publication on 12 October 2018: Figure 4 replaced with correct figure]

environmental control under disturbance, as indicated by increased phylogenetic and functional trait clustering (Ding et al., 2012; Pakeman, 2011) and by variance partitioning analyses similar to those undertaken here (Danneyrolles et al., 2018). Contrary to this trend, one study of understorey plant communities (also conducted within the SAFE experimental design) found evidence that environmental control at fine scales decreased due to selective logging, as indicated by increased phylogenetic and functional dispersion (Döbert et al., 2017). Comparisons across studies are hampered by differences in spatial scale and analytical methods, but more studies of non-sessile taxa are especially needed in order to make broader generalisations about the impacts of land-use change on assembly.

Of the three land-uses in our study, environmental control was apparently strongest in oil palm (both in absolute terms and relative to spatial processes), particularly due to variation in habitat at fine scales (Figure 2). Oil palm plantations often exhibit substantial heterogeneity (Luskin & Potts, 2011), for example in the age and height of palms, the amount of scrub vegetation in the understorev and the presence of access roads, and indeed we found these to be important fine-scale environmental filters (Table S2.1). This echoes findings more generally in plantations (e.g., Greenberg, Bichier, Angon, & Reitsma, 1997; Lantschner, Rusch, & Hayes, 2012; Peh et al., 2006; Styring et al., 2011) and may indeed be a robust pattern in these highly modified habitats. This sensitivity to fine-scale environmental control could be because species in plantations are persisting closer to their biological tolerances (e.g., for food resources, microclimate or cover from predators). Our finding that mammal communities in oil palm were strongly assembled by environmental control suggests that there is at least the potential for ameliorating the impacts of oil palm by altering management practices. For example, the ground and understorey layer, typically cleared in plantations using herbicides, could be maintained in some areas (Foster et al., 2014).

### 4.2 | Spatial processes assembling communities across the land-use gradient

Old-growth communities were much more spatially structured than communities in logged forest and oil palm (Figure 2). The spatial processes involved in assembling old-growth communities at the local scale are likely to have included broad-scale dispersal limitation between sampling blocks, and finer-scale home-ranging movements. The latter is the most likely explanation for the stronger positive correlation in old-growth communities seen at distances below 100 m (Figure S2.3, Supporting information). One hypothesis for the weaker positive correlation signal evident in the two anthropogenic habitats might be that these habitats favour species with high dispersal capacity, or facultative behaviours which mean that individuals range more widely, in order to access resources more effectively in a heterogeneous environment. In old-growth forest, there was also a large portion of the variance explained by space and environment together. This fraction likely represents a combination of spatially structured environmental control, for example along topographical gradients (which we have shown were important in old-growth forest), and spatial processes which happen to correlate with environmental gradients.

### 4.3 | Does land-use change weaken the biotic filter?

We found that the prevalence of spatial avoidance among species pairs decreased along the gradient of land-use intensity, which might suggest a weakening of the biotic filter. However, we consider that divergent habitat preferences, rather than competition, is the likely explanation for most of the instances of spatial avoidance. Indeed, 69% of the spatial avoidance pairs involved the greater mouse-deer (*Tragulus napu*), which our environmental RDA identified as an oldgrowth forest specialist (Figure 3). We did detect three instances of spatial avoidance among congeneric species (Figure S2.5, Supporting Information), a result which we found to be highly unlikely by chance. It is possible, therefore, that competition is driving spatial niche separation in two morphologically very similar and abundant *Maxomys* rats (*M. surifer* and *M. rajah*), the greater and lesser (*T. kanchil*) mousedeer, and the thick-spined and Malay porcupines (*Hystrix crassispinis* and *H. brachyura*). Overall though, co-occurrence analyses provided only weak evidence that competition weakens along the gradient of land-use intensity.

Few instances of spatial avoidance were detected in any landuse, suggesting that competition is unlikely to be a dominant assembly process in our study system. This would perhaps be expected for a trophically diverse mammal assemblage, able to avoid competition through resource-partitioning. It is also in agreement with a broader set of studies on a range of taxa, all suggesting the primacy of environmental control over competition in determining the occurrence and abundance of species (Houlahan et al., 2007; Mutshinda, O'Hara, & Woiwod, 2009; Veech, 2006, 2013). However, it is also possible that species are segregated in time or vertically in space (e.g., in the forest canopy), neither of which our co-occurrence analyses would detect. We are also not suggesting that competition is absent, only that it does not appear to be a dominant force in assembly on the basis of our co-occurrence analyses.

#### 4.4 | Random assembly under selective logging

The overall explanatory power of our models for logged forest communities was low compared to the other land-uses. We have already noted the reduced spatial structure of community variation in logged forest, but environmental variables also explained only a small amount of the variation. In particular, from old-growth to logged forest, there was a marked reduction in the variance explained by the local landscape context and topographical variables. This could be due to a destruction by logging of the heterogeneity in forest structure and species composition which is ordinarily present across local landscapes and along topographical gradients in old-growth forests of the region (Newbery, Campbell, Proctor, & Still, 1996). We note that our logged forest sites, which had been subjected to a very high intensity of logging (Appendix S1, Supporting information), were uniformly dominated by a single pioneer tree species, Macaranga pearsonii (~10% of basal area; M. Khoo, personal communication). An alternative, but less likely, explanation for the reduced ability of our models to explain community variation in logged forest would be that the environmental variables we used were inappropriate for logged forest. We did specifically design the variables to capture the structural dimensions affected by logging (Appendix S1, Supporting information), but we did not have finescale information available to us on tree species composition or direct measures of resource abundance, such as the availability

of fruit. It remains the case, however, that spatial variables performed poorly in logged forest, indicating that, if there were key environmental variables missing from the analysis, they were not spatially structured.

With a reduction in the importance of environment and space, this may mean that random community drift plays a more important role in logged forest compared to old-growth forest, which could represent a pervasive, but as-yet-undocumented, legacy of logging. A similar finding of randomly assembled communities was found in the same landscape for understorey plants, particularly at high logging intensities (Döbert et al., 2017). If this is a general finding across the region, this could call into question the prospects for large-scale, unassisted restoration of ecosystem processes in Southeast Asian logged-over forests, and may mean that more active management interventions, targeted at species of particular conservation concern, may be a more appropriate management target (Lamb, Erskine, & Parrotta, 2005).

### 5 | CONCLUSIONS

The widespread creation of man-made environmental gradients in the tropics may be leading to novel mechanics governing the local assembly of communities. This is a poorly appreciated facet of land-use change that needs further investigation: that it might alter not just the biodiversity found in a landscape (the focus of most studies), but the mechanisms which create and maintain this biodiversity at the local scale. More broadly, we found patterns that support the niche-neutrality continuum model of community assembly, in which dispersal, drift and environmental control all combine variously to create communities at the local scale (Mutshinda & O'Hara, 2011). A better understanding of this continuum model along environmental gradients, which are arguably now a defining feature of tropical forest landscapes, could underpin more effective conservation and management of biodiversity in these highly threatened systems.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Yayasan Sabah, Benta Wawasan, Sabah Softwoods, the Sabah Forestry Department and the Maliau Basin Management Committee for allowing access to field sites, and to the Economic Planning Unit of Malaysia and Sabah Biodiversity Council for providing research permission. Fieldwork would not have been possible without the efforts of a great number of people and institutions, in particular the SAFE Project field staff, the Royal Society South East Asia Rainforest Research Programme, Glen Reynolds, Edgar Turner, MinSheng Khoo, Leah Findlay, Jeremy Cusack, Robin Loveridge, Matthew Holmes, Faye Thompson, Jack Thorley and Jessica Haysom. The European Space Agency provided satellite imagery under a Category 1 user agreement to MP (C1P 13735). We also thank the peer reviewers for their very helpful comments on the manuscript.

### AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

O.R.W., C.C., J.M.R. and R.M.E. conceived and designed the study. O.R.W. collected and analysed the biodiversity data. M.P. processed the satellite data and created the models of above-ground biomass. All authors contributed to the writing of the paper and gave final approval for publication.

#### DATA ACCESSIBILITY

The data used in this study are available at: https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.877217 (Wearn et al., 2017).

### ORCID

 Oliver R. Wearn
 http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8258-3534

 Chris Carbone
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9253-3765

 J. Marcus Rowcliffe
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4286-6887

 Marion Pfeifer
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6775-3141

#### REFERENCES

- Asner, G. P., Rudel, T. K., Aide, T. M., Defries, R., & Emerson, R. (2009). A contemporary assessment of change in humid tropical forests. *Conservation Biology*, 23, 1386–1395. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2009.01333.x
- Berry, N. J., Phillips, O. L., Ong, R. C., & Hamer, K. C. (2008). Impacts of selective logging on tree diversity across a rainforest landscape: The importance of spatial scale. *Landscape Ecology*, 23, 915–929.
- Blanchet, F., Legendre, P., & Borcard, D. (2008). Forward selection of explanatory variables. *Ecology*, 89, 2623–2632. https://doi. org/10.1890/07-0986.1
- Borcard, D., Legendre, P., Avois-Jacquet, C., & Tuomisto, H. (2004). Dissecting the spatial structure of ecological data at multiple scales. *Ecology*, 85, 1826–1832. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-3111
- Borcard, D., Legendre, P., & Drapeau, P. (1992). Partialling out the spatial component of ecological variation. *Ecology*, 73, 1045–1055. https:// doi.org/10.2307/1940179
- Chase, J. M., & Myers, J. A. (2011). Disentangling the importance of ecological niches from stochastic processes across scales. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B, Biological sciences,* 366, 2351–2363. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0063
- Danneyrolles, V., Arseneault, D., & Bergeron, Y. (2018). Anthropogenic disturbances strengthened tree community-environment relationships at the temperate-boreal interface. *Landscape Ecology*, 33, 213– 224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-017-0591-y
- Davis, C. L., Rich, L. N., Farris, Z. J., Kelly, M. J., Di Bitetti, M. S., Blanco, Y. D., ... Miller, D. A. W. (2018). Ecological correlates of the spatial cooccurrence of sympatric mammalian carnivores worldwide. *Ecology Letters*, 21, 1401–1412. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13124
- De Cáceres, M., Legendre, P., Valencia, R., Cao, M., Chang, L.-W., Chuyong, G., ... He, F. (2012). The variation of tree beta diversity across a global network of forest plots. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 21, 1191– 1202. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2012.00770.x
- Declerck, S. A. J., Coronel, J. S., Legendre, P., & Brendonck, L. (2011). Scale dependency of processes structuring metacommunities of cladocerans in temporary pools of High-Andes wetlands. *Ecography*, 34, 296–305. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587. 2010.06462.x

- Ding, Y., Zang, R., Letcher, S. G., Liu, S., & He, F. (2012). Disturbance regime changes the trait distribution, phylogenetic structure and community assembly of tropical rain forests. *Oikos*, 121, 1263–1270. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19992.x
- Döbert, T. F., Webber, B. L., Sugau, J. B., Dickinson, K. J. M., & Didham, R. K. (2017). Logging increases the functional and phylogenetic dispersion of understorey plant communities in tropical lowland rain forest. *Journal of Ecology*, 105, 1235–1245. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2745.12794
- Dormann, C. F., Schweiger, O., Augenstein, I., Bailey, D., Billeter, R., de Blust, G., ... Zobel, M. (2007). Effects of landscape structure and land-use intensity on similarity of plant and animal communities. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 16, 774–787. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2007.00344.x
- Dray, S., Pélissier, R., Couteron, P., Fortin, M.-J., Legendre, P., Peres-Neto, P. R., ... Wagner, H. H. (2012). Community ecology in the age of multivariate multiscale spatial analysis. *Ecological Monographs*, 82, 257-275. https://doi.org/10.1890/11-1183.1
- Elton, C. (1927). Animal ecology. London, UK: Sedgwick and Jackson.
- Ewers, R. M., Didham, R. K., Fahrig, L., Ferraz, G., Hector, A., Holt, R. D., ... Turner, E. C. (2011). A large-scale forest fragmentation experiment: The Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystems Project. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B, Biological Sciences*, 366, 3292–3302. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rstb.2011.0049
- Foster, W. A., Snaddon, J. L., Advento, A. D., Agung, A. A., Barclay, H., Caliman, J. P., ... Pujianto, D. P. (2014). The Biodiversity and Ecosystem Function in Tropical Agriculture (BEFTA) project. *The Planter*, 90, 581–591.
- Gaveau, D. L. A., Sloan, S., Molidena, E., Yaen, H., Sheil, D., Abram, N. K., ... Meijaard, E. (2014). Four decades of forest persistence, clearance and logging on Borneo. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e101654. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101654
- Gibson, L., Lee, T. M., Koh, L. P., Brook, B. W., Gardner, T. A., Barlow, J., ... Sodhi, N. S. (2011). Primary forests are irreplaceable for sustaining tropical biodiversity. *Nature*, 478, 378–381. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature10425
- Gravel, D., Canham, C. D., Beaudet, M., & Messier, C. (2006). Reconciling niche and neutrality: The continuum hypothesis. *Ecology Letters*, 9, 399–409. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00884.x
- Greenberg, R., Bichier, P., Angon, A. C., & Reitsma, R. (1997). Bird populations in shade and sun coffee plantations in central Guatemala. *Conservation Biology*, 11, 448-459. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.1997.95464.x
- Griffith, D. M., Veech, J. A., & Marsh, C. J. (2014). cooccur: Probabilistic species co-occurrence analysis in R. Retrieved from http://cran.r-project.org/package=cooccur
- Grinnell, J. (1917). Field tests of theories concerning distributional control. *The American Naturalist*, 51, 115–128. https://doi.org/10.1086/ 279591
- HilleRisLambers, J., Adler, P. B., Harpole, W. S., Levine, J. M., & Mayfield, M. M. (2012). Rethinking community assembly through the lens of coexistence theory. *Annual Review of Ecology*, *Evolution, and Systematics*, 43, 227–248. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-ecolsys-110411-160411
- Houlahan, J. E., Currie, D. J., Cottenie, K., Cumming, G. S., Ernest, S. K. M., Findlay, C. S., ... Wondzell, S. M. (2007). Compensatory dynamics are rare in natural ecological communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104, 3273–3277. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0603798104
- Hubbell, S. P. (2001) The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Kitching, R. L., Ashton, L. A., Nakamura, A., Whitaker, T., & Khen, C. V. (2013). Distance-driven species turnover in Bornean rainforests: Homogeneity and heterogeneity in primary and

post-logging forests. *Ecography*, 36, 675–682. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.00023.x

- Kraft, N. J. B., Adler, P. B., Godoy, O., James, E. C., Fuller, S., & Levine, J. M. (2015). Community assembly, coexistence and the environmental filtering metaphor. *Functional Ecology*, *29*, 592–599. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2435.12345
- Lamb, D., Erskine, P. D., & Parrotta, J. A. (2005). Restoration of degraded tropical forest landscapes. *Science*, 310, 1628–1632. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.1111773
- Lantschner, M. V., Rusch, V., & Hayes, J. P. (2012). Habitat use by carnivores at different spatial scales in a plantation forest landscape in Patagonia, Argentina. Forest Ecology and Management, 269, 271–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.12.045
- Laurance, W. F., Sayer, J., & Cassman, K. G. (2014). Agricultural expansion and its impacts on tropical nature. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 29, 107–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2013.12.001
- Legendre, P., Borcard, D., Blanchet, F. G., & Dray, S. (2013). PCNM: MEM spatial eigenfunction and principal coordinate analyses. R package version 2.1-2. Retrieved from http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/ sedar/
- Legendre, P., & Gallagher, E. (2001). Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of species data. *Oecologia*, 129, 271–280. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s004420100716
- Legendre, P., & Legendre, L. (2012). *Numerical ecology*, 3rd ed. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier Science BV.
- Legendre, P., Mi, X., Ren, H., Ma, K., Yu, M., Sun, I.-F., & He, F. (2009). Partitioning beta diversity in a subtropical broad-leaved forest of China. *Ecology*, 90, 663–674. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1880.1
- Leibold, M. A., Holyoak, M., Mouquet, N., Amarasekare, P., Chase, J. M., Hoopes, M. F., ... Gonzalez, A. (2004). The metacommunity concept: A framework for multi-scale community ecology. *Ecology Letters*, 7, 601–613. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00608.x
- Luskin, M. S., & Potts, M. D. (2011). Microclimate and habitat heterogeneity through the oil palm lifecycle. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 12, 540–551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2011.06.004
- Macarthur, R. H., & Wilson, E. O. (1967) *The theory of island biogeography.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Margono, B. A., Potapov, P. V., Turubanova, S., Stolle, F., & Hansen, M. C. (2014). Primary forest cover loss in Indonesia over 2000–2012. *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 730–735. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nclimate2277
- Mutshinda, C. M., & O'Hara, R. B. (2011). Integrating the niche and neutral perspectives on community structure and dynamics. *Oecologia*, 166, 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1831-x
- Mutshinda, C. M., O'Hara, R. B., & Woiwod, I. P. (2009). What drives community dynamics? Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B, Biological Sciences, 276, 2923–2929. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2009.0523
- Myers, J. A., Chase, J. M., Jiménez, I., Jørgensen, P. M., Araujo-Murakami, A., Paniagua-Zambrana, N., & Seidel, R. (2013). Beta-diversity in temperate and tropical forests reflects dissimilar mechanisms of community assembly. *Ecology Letters*, 16, 151–157. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ele.12021
- Newbery, D. M., Campbell, E. J. F., Proctor, J., & Still, M. J. (1996). Primary lowland dipterocarp forest at Danum Valley, Sabah, Malaysia. Species composition and patterns in the understorey. *Vegetatio*, 122, 193–220. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00044700
- Newbold, T., Hudson, L. N., Hill, S. L. L., Contu, S., Gray, C. L., Scharlemann, J. P. W., ... Purvis, A. (2016). Global patterns of terrestrial assemblage turnover within and among land uses. *Ecography*, *39*, 1151–1163. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.01932
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'Hara, R. B., ... Wagner, H. (2013). vegan: Community ecology package. R package version 2.0-10. Retrieved from http://cran.r-project. org/package=vegan

- Pakeman, R. J. (2011). Functional diversity indices reveal the impacts of land use intensification on plant community assembly. *Journal of Ecology*, 99, 1143–1151. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01853.x
- Peh, K. S.-H., Sodhi, N. S., de Jong, J., Sekercioglu, C. H., Yap, C. A.-M., & Lim, S. L.-H. (2006). Conservation value of degraded habitats for forest birds in southern Peninsular Malaysia. *Diversity and Distributions*, 12, 572–581. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1366-9516.2006.00257.x
- Peres-Neto, P. R., & Legendre, P. (2010). Estimating and controlling for spatial structure in the study of ecological communities. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 19, 174–184. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00506.x
- Peres-Neto, P., Legendre, P., Dray, S., & Borcard, D. (2006). Variation partitioning of species data matrices: Estimation and comparison of fractions. *Ecology*, 87, 2614–2625. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-96 58(2006)87[2614:VPOSDM]2.0.CO;2
- Pfeifer, M., Lefebvre, V., Peres, C. A., Banks-Leite, C., Wearn, O. R., Marsh, C. J., ... Ewers, R. M. (2017). Creation of forest edges has a global impact on forest vertebrates. *Nature*, 551, 187–191.
- R Development Core Team. (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Development Core Team.
- Siefert, A., Ravenscroft, C., Weiser, M. D., & Swenson, N. G. (2013). Functional beta-diversity patterns reveal deterministic community assembly processes in eastern North American trees. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 22, 682–691. https://doi.org/10.1111/ geb.12030
- Solar, R. R. de. C., Barlow, J., Ferreira, J., Berenguer, E., Lees, A. C., Thomson, J. R., ... Gardner, T. A. (2015). How pervasive is biotic homogenization in human-modified tropical forest landscapes? *Ecology Letters*, 18, 1108–1118. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12494
- Styring, A. R., Ragai, R., Unggang, J., Stuebing, R., Hosner, P. A., & Sheldon, F. H. (2011). Bird community assembly in Bornean industrial tree plantations: Effects of forest age and structure. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 261, 531–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. foreco.2010.11.003
- Tilman, D. (2004). Niche tradeoffs, neutrality, and community structure: A stochastic theory of resource competition, invasion, and community assembly. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101, 10854–10861. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.0403458101
- Turner, W. (2014). Sensing biodiversity. Science, 346, 301–302. https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1256014
- Tylianakis, J. M., Didham, R. K., Bascompte, J., & Wardle, D. A. (2008). Global change and species interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecology Letters*, 11, 1351–1363. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01250.x
- Veech, J. A. (2006). A probability-based analysis of temporal and spatial co-occurrence in grassland birds. *Journal of Biogeography*, 33, 2145– 2153. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01571.x
- Veech, J. A. (2013). A probabilistic model for analysing species cooccurrence (ed P Peres-Neto). Global Ecology and Biogeography, 22, 252–260. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2012.00789.x
- Vellend, M. (2010). Conceptual synthesis in community ecology. The Quarterly Review of Biology, 85, 183–206. https://doi. org/10.1086/652373
- Vellend, M., Verheyen, K., Flinn, K. M., Jacquemyn, H., Kolb, A., Van Calster, H., ... Hermy, M. (2007). Homogenization of forest plant communities and weakening of species-environment relationships via agricultural land use. *Journal of Ecology*, 95, 565–573. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2007.01233.x
- Wearn, O. R., Carbone, C., Rowcliffe, J. M., Bernard, H., & Ewers, R. M. (2016). Grain-dependent responses of mammalian diversity to land-use and the implications for conservation set-aside. *Ecological Applications*, 26, 1409–1420. https://doi.org/10.1890/15-1363
- Wearn, O. R., Carbone, C., Rowcliffe, J. M., Pfeifer, M., Bernard, H., & Ewers, R. M. (2017). Data from: Land-use change alters the

mechanisms assembling rainforest mammal communities in Borneo. Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.877217

- Wearn, O. R., Rowcliffe, J. M., Carbone, C., Bernard, H., & Ewers, R. M. (2013). Assessing the status of wild felids in a highly-disturbed commercial forest reserve in Borneo and the implications for camera trap survey design. *PLoS ONE*, *8*, e77598. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077598
- Wearn, O. R., Rowcliffe, J. M., Carbone, C., Pfeifer, M., Bernard, H., & Ewers, R. M. (2017). Mammalian species abundance across a gradient of tropical land-use intensity: A hierarchical multi-species modelling approach. *Biological Conservation*, 212, 162–171. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.05.007
- Weiher, E., Freund, D., Bunton, T., Stefanski, A., Lee, T., & Bentivenga, S. (2011). Advances, challenges and a developing synthesis of ecological community assembly theory. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B, Biological Sciences, 366,* 2403–2413. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0056
- Wilcove, D. S., Giam, X., Edwards, D. P., Fisher, B., & Koh, L. P. (2013). Navjot's nightmare revisited: Logging, agriculture, and biodiversity

in Southeast Asia. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 28, 531–540. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2013.04.005

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Wearn OR, Carbone C, Rowcliffe JM, Pfeifer M, Bernard H, Ewers RM. Land-use change alters the mechanisms assembling rainforest mammal communities in Borneo. J Anim Ecol. 2018;00:1–13. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1111/1365-2656.12903