COMPARISON OF NUTRITIONAL VALUES OF SMALL-SCALE PROCESSED AND COMMERCIAL FISHMEAL FOR MARINE FISH CULTURE IN SABAH, MALAYSIA

CHUN KIA HUEY

PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER

BORNEO MARINE RESEARCH INSTITUTE UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2007



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS @

JUDUL: COMPARISON OF NUTRITIONAL VALUES OF SMALL-SCALE PROCESSED AND COMMERCIAL FISHMEAL FOR MARINE FISH CULTURE IN SABAH, MALAYSIA

IJAZAH: SARJANA SAINS (AKUAKULTUR)

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2004-2007

Saya, CHUN KIA HUEY, mengaku membenarkan tesis Sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

- 1. Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Malaysia Sabah
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian saya
- Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi
- 4. TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan oleh

| (Penulis: CHUN KIA HUEY) Alamat: 610, Lorong Merak 6/3, Taman Thivy Jaya, 70300 Seremban, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia. | (TANDATANGAN PUSTAKAWAN) (Prof Dr. Shigeharu Senoo) |
|---|--|
| Tarikh: 13 Jul 2007 | Tarikh: |

CATATAN: [®] Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana secara penyelidikan atau disertasi bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan penyelidikan, atau laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (LPSM)



DECLARATION

The materials in this thesis are original except for quotations, excerpts, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

PS04-004-011
13 JANUARY 2007



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere grateful acknowledgement to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Shigeharu Senoo, and lecturer, Dr. Yukinori Mukai from Borneo Marine Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah for their invaluable suggestions, guidance and encouragement throughout the study period.

I thank Universiti Malaysia Sabah for the assistantship. I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Saleem Mustafa, Director of Borneo Marine Research Institute, Prof. Dr. Ridzwan Abdul Rahman, Director of Centre for Research Management and Conference (Former Director of Borneo Marine Research Institute), Prof. Datin Dr. Maryati Mohamed, Dean of Centre for Postgraduate Studies and Prof. Datuk Dr. Mohd. Noh Dalimin, Vice-chancellor of Universiti Malaysia Sabah, for their encouragement and assistance.

My sincere appreciation is also extended to Kinki University for supporting me to complete the study. Special thanks to Prof. Hidemi Kumai, Director of Fisheries Department, Kinki University for allowing me to carry out my experiment in the Uragami Experimental Station, Fisheries Laboratory of Kinki University, Japan. Also, special thanks to Prof. Takii, for his invaluable suggestions, guidance and encouragement throughout the three months experimental period in the Uragami experimental Station. A special acknowledgement should be dedicated to Dr. Manabu Seoka, Ms. Annita Yong Seok Kian for their meaningful comments and advice, to all the researchers, students and staffs in Uragami Experimental Station for their encouragement and assistance.

I extend heartfelt thanks to Dr. Sahbudin Saad, Dr. Siti Raehana, Mr. Julian Ransangan, Mr. Abentin Estim, Mr. Kennedy Aaron Agoul, Mr. Motinius Guntalib, Mrs. Rossita Shapawi, and all the staff of Borneo Marine Research Institute for their co-operation. I wish to heartily express my indebtedness to Mr. Norazmi Osman, Mr. Henry Lipan, Mr. Ha Hou Chew, Ms. Sow Sok Hui, Ms. Nguang Siew Ing, Ms. Mok Wen Jye, Ms. Audrey Tuzan, Ms. Ching Fui Fui, Mr. Fadzil, Ms. Anuradha a/p Karunakaram Oorthy, Ms. Kamala a/p Mariappan and all the staff at the Marine Hatchery of Borneo Marine Research Institute for their assistance, to my friends, colleagues and well-wishers for their valuable inputs directly or indirectly for the successful completion of the thesis.

Last but not the least, a special note of thanks is extended to my family for their patience, encouragement, support and understanding in my absence during my study period in Universiti Malaysia Sabah.



ABSTRAK

PERBANDINGAN NILAI NUTRISI BAGI SERBUK IKAN PEMPROSESAN SKALA-KECIL DAN SERBUK IKAN KOMERSIL UNTUK TERNAKAN IKAN AIR MASIN DI SABAH, MALAYSIA

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk menyelesajkan masalah kekurangan bekalan serbuk ikan bagi ternakan ikan air masin di Sabah, Malaysia. Serbuk ikan pemprosesan skalakecil dinilai sebagai sumber protein bagi ikan air masin dengan membandingkan nilai nutrisinya dengan serbuk ikan komersil. Empat spesies ikan baja (Decapterus macarellus, Rastrelliger kanagurta, Selar crumenopthalmus dan Nemipterus thosaporni) telah dipilih dan diproses menjadi serbuk ikan di hatceri Institut Penyelidikan Marin Borneo, Universiti Malaysia Sabah. Selain itu, empat jenis serbuk ikan komersil (serbuk ikan Malaysia, Peru, Denmark dan serbuk ikan Chile yang telah dirawat dengan enzim) dari pasaran telah digunakan. Kandungan nutrien (jisim kering, protein, lipid, abu dan tenaga kasar serta komposisi asid lemak) bagi serbuk ikan pemprosesan skala-kecil dibandingkan dengan kandungan nutrien dalam serbuk ikan komersil. Komposisi nutrien bagi serbuk ikan pemprosesan skala-kecil terdiri daripada 92-93% jisim kering, 70-74% protein kasar, 8-10% lipid kasar, 14-19% abu kasar dan 19-21 kJ per g kandugan tenaga kasar. Komposisi asid lemak bagi serbuk ikan pemprosesan skala-kecil terdiri daripada 58-67% asid lemak tepu, diikuti dengan 21-31% asid lemak monotaktepu dan 4-6% asid lemak politaktepu. Komposisi nutrien bagi serbuk ikan komersil terdiri daripada 93-97% jisim kering, 56-74% protein kasar, 10-21% lipid kasar, 5-28% abu kasar dan 20-25 kJ per g kandugan tenaga kasar. Komposisi asid lemak bagi serbuk ikan komersil terdiri daripada 22-42% asid lemak tepu, 22-32% asid lemak monotaktepu dan 26-35% asid lemak politaktepu. Selepas itu, nilai nutrisi bagi serbuk ikan pemprosesan skala-kecil yang diproses dari D. macarellus telah dibandingkan dengan serbuk ikan komersil dari Peru melalui ujian biologi. Serbuk ikan dari Chile yang dirawat dengan enzim ditetapkan sebagai kawalan. Pertumbuhan, koefisien pencernaan dan 'nutrient retention' bagi juvenil Pagrus major yang diberi tiga jenis makanan berlainan dibandingkan. Tiga jenis makanan itu ialah F.ETC (makanan mengandungi 65% serbuk ikan Chile yang dirawat enzim), F.DM (makanan mengandungi 65% serbuk ikan D. macarellus) dan F.PRN (makanan mengandungi 65% serbuk ikan Peru). Juvenil P. major yang diberi makan F.DM menunjukan kadar kemandinan dan kadar pemakanan harian yang tertinggi. Efisiensi pemakanan, 'nutrient retention' dan koefisien pencernaan protein bagi ikan yang diberi F.DM adalah lebih tinggi berbanding dengan ikan yang diberi F.PRN tetapi lebih rendah berbanding dengan ikan yang diberi F.ETC. Koefisien pencernaan tenaga adalah paling rendah bagi juvenil P. major yang diberi makan F.DM. Tiada perbezaan bermakna (P>0.05) bagi koefisien pencernaan lipid antara ikan yang diberi makan F.DM, F.PRN dan F.ETC. Keputusan kajian ini menunjuk serbuk ikan pemprosesan skala-kecil boleh digunakan sebagai sumber protein bagi ternakan ikan air masin.



ABSTRACT

This study was conducted in order to solve the problems of insufficient fishmeal supply for marine fish culture in Sabah, Malaysia. The nutritional values of smallscale processed fishmeal were evaluated as protein source for marine fish culture in comparison with commercial fishmeal. Four species of trash fish (Decapterus macarellus, Rastrelliger kanagurta, Selar crumenopthalmus and Nemipterus thosaporni) were selected and processed into fishmeal in the marine hatchery of Borneo Marine Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah. Another four types of commercial fishmeal (Malaysian, Peruvian, Danish and enzyme treated Chilean fishmeal) were collected from the market. Dry matter, crude protein, lipid, ash, gross energy and fatty acid compositions of small-scale processed fishmeal were compared with those of commercial fishmeal. The nutrient composition of the small-scale processed fishmeal consisted of 92-93% of dry matter, 70-74% of crude protein, 8-10% of crude lipid, 14-19% of crude ash and 19-21 kJ per g of gross energy. The fatty acid composition of small-scale processed fishmeal mainly consisted of 58-67% of saturated fatty acids, followed by 21-31% of monounsaturated fatty acids and 4-6% of polyunsaturated fatty acids. For commercial fishmeal, the nutrient compositions consisted of 93-97% of dry matter, 56-74% of crude protein, 10-21% of crude lipid, 5-28% of crude ash and 20-25 kJ per g of gross energy. The fatty acid composition consisted of 22-42% of saturated fatty acids, 22-32% of monounsaturated fatty acids and 26-35% of polyunsaturated fatty acids. Nutritional value of small-scale processed fishmeal made from D. macarellus was then compared to Peruvian fishmeal through biological assays. Enzyme treated Chilean fishmeal was set as a control. Growth performance, apparent digestibility coefficients and nutrient retentions were compared on Pagrus major juveniles fed with three different feeds. The feeds were F.DM (feed containing 65% of D. macarellus meal), F.PRN (feed containing 65% of Peruvian fishmeal) and F.ETC (feed containing 65% of enzyme treated Chilean fishmeal). The P. major juveniles fed with F.DM showed the highest survival and daily feeding rates. Feed efficiency, nutrient retention and protein digestibility were higher than those fed with F.PRN but lower than those fed with F.ETC. Gross energy digestibility was the lowest in those fed with F.DM and crude lipid digestibility was not significantly different (P>0.05) among the three feeds. The results on nutrient contents and nutrient and energy utilization indicated that small-scale processed fishmeal can be used as a protein source for marine fish culture.



CONTENTS

| | | | PAGE |
|-----------|-------|---|-------|
| DECLARAT | ΓΙΟΝ | | ii |
| ACKNOWL | EDGE | EMENTS | iii |
| ABSTRAK. | | | iv |
| ABSTRAC | Γ | | V |
| LIST OF C | ONTE | NTS | vi |
| LIST OF T | ABLE | S | X |
| LIST OF F | IGURE | ES | xii |
| LIST OF S | YMBO | DLS | xv |
| LIST OF A | BBRE | VIATIONS | xvi |
| KEY WOR | DS | | xviii |
| | | | |
| CHAPTER | | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | | Aquaculture in Sabah, Malaysia | 1 |
| | 1.2. | Problems of Feed Supply for Development of Marine Fish | |
| | | Culture in Sabah | 2 |
| | | 1.2.1. Trash Fish | 3 |
| | | 1.2.2. Artificial Feeds | 6 |
| | 1.3. | Problems of Fishmeal Supply | 8 |
| | 1.4. | Production of Fishmeal using Small-Scale Processed | |
| | | Method | 9 |
| | 1.5. | Introduction to Pagrus major Juveniles for Fishmeal | |
| | | Evaluation | 12 |
| | 1.6. | Objectives | 13 |
| | | | |
| CHAPTER | 2: | LITERATURE REVIEW | 15 |
| | 2.1. | Artificial Feeds for Marine Fish Culture | 15 |
| | | 2.1.1. Advantages and Disadvantages of using Artificial | |
| | | Feeds | 16 |
| | 2.2. | Artificial Feed Industry in Malaysia | 19 |
| | 2.3. | Nutritional Requirements of Marine Fish | 21 |

| 0001::1 | in and Amino Acid | 21 |
|--|---|--|
| 2.3.2. Lipid | and Fatty Acid | 23 |
| 2.4. Fishmeal as | s Main Ingredient in Artificial Feeds for Marine | |
| Fish | | 25 |
| 2.4.1. Introd | luction to Fishmeal | 25 |
| 2.4.2. Facto | rs Affecting the Quality of Fishmeal | 27 |
| 2.4.3. Manu | facture of Fishmeal | 30 |
| a. Co | oking and Pressing | 31 |
| b. Dr | /ing | 31 |
| c. Gr | nding | 33 |
| 2.4.4. Prob | ems of Fishmeal Supply | 33 |
| 2.4.5. Alter | native Protein Sources in Artificial Feeds for | |
| Marine Fish | 1 | 34 |
| a. Pla | ant By-Product Meal | 34 |
| b. Fis | sheries By-Catch and By-Product Meal | 37 |
| c. An | imal By-Product Meal | 38 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 3: MATERIAL | S AND METHODS | 39 |
| 3.1 Eyporimont | 1: Analysis of Dry Matter, Crude Protein, Lipid, | |
| 3.1. Experiment | , e.e e. e., matter, erade , retern, e.p.a, | |
| | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale | |
| Ash, Gros | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale | 39 |
| Ash, Gros Processed | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 39 |
| Ash, Gros Processed 3.1.1. Smal | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | |
| Ash, Gros Processed 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 |
| Ash, Gros Processed 3 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 |
| Ash, Gros Processed a 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc a. Dr | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 42 |
| Ash, Gros Processed a 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc a. Dry b. Cr | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 42 43 |
| Ash, Gros Processed a 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc a. Dry b. Cr c. Cr | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 42 43 43 |
| Ash, Gros Processed a 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc a. Dri b. Cr c. Cr d. As | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 42 43 43 46 |
| Ash, Gros Processed a 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc a. Dr b. Cr c. Cr d. As e. Gr | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 42 43 43 46 46 |
| Ash, Gros Processed a 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc a. Dry b. Cr c. Cr d. As e. Gr f. Fa | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 42 43 43 46 46 47 |
| Ash, Gros Processed a 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc a. Dr b. Cr c. Cr d. As e. Gr f. Fa 3.2. Experiment | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 42 43 43 46 46 47 |
| Ash, Gros Processed 3 3.1.1. Small 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc a. Dry b. Cr c. Cr d. As e. Gr f. Far 3.2. Experiment Rate, Fee | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 42 43 43 46 46 47 |
| Ash, Gros Processed a 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc a. Dr b. Cr c. Cr d. As e. Gr f. Fa 3.2. Experiment Rate, Fee Digestibility | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 42 43 43 46 46 47 |
| Ash, Gros Processed a 3.1.1. Smal 3.1.2. Com 3.1.3. Bioc a. Dr b. Cr c. Cr d. As e. Gr f. Fa 3.2. Experiment Rate, Fee Digestibility major Juve | s Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale and Commercial Fishmeal | 39 42 42 43 43 46 46 47 |



| 3.2.2. Rearing System | 55 |
|--|----|
| 3.2.3. Specific Growth Rate (%), Survival (%), Daily Feeding | |
| Rate (%), Feed and Protein Efficiency Ratios | 56 |
| 3.2.4. Apparent Digestibility Coefficients (%) | 57 |
| 3.2.5. Whole Body Composition and Nutrient Retention (%) | 60 |
| 3.2.6. Biochemical Analysis | 61 |
| a. Sugar (%) | 61 |
| b. Chromic Oxide (%) | 62 |
| 3.3. Statistical Analysis | 63 |
| CHAPTER 4: RESULTS | 64 |
| 4.1. Experiment 1: Analysis of Dry Matter, Crude Protein, Lipid, | |
| Ash, Gross Energy and Fatty Acids in Small-Scale | |
| Processed and Commercial Fishmeal | 64 |
| 4.1.1. Dry Matter (%), Crude Protein (%), Lipid (%), Ash | |
| (%) and Gross Energy (kJ per g) | 64 |
| a. Dry Matter (%) | 66 |
| b. Crude Protein (%) | 68 |
| c. Crude Lipid (%) | 71 |
| d. Ash (%) | 72 |
| e. Gross Energy (kJ per g) | 74 |
| 4.1.2. Fatty Acid Composition (%) | 76 |
| a. Saturated Fatty Acids (%) | 79 |
| b. Monounsaturated Fatty Acids (%) | 81 |
| c. Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids (%) | 83 |
| d. n-3 per n-6 Ratio | 86 |
| e. PUFA per SFA Ratio | 86 |
| 4.2 Experiment 2: Specific Growth Rate, Survival, Daily Feeding | |
| Rate, Feed and Protein Efficiency Ratios, Apparent | |
| Digestibility Coefficient and Nutrient Retention in Pagrus | |
| major Juveniles Fed with Artificial Feeds Containing Small- | |
| Scale Processed and Commercial Fishmeal | 86 |
| 4.2.1. Specific Growth Rate (%) | 87 |
| 4.2.2. Survival (%) | 88 |
| 4.2.3. Daily Feeding Rate (%) | 89 |
| 4.2.4. Feed Efficiency Ratio | 89 |



| | | 4.2.5. Protein Efficiency Ratio | 90 |
|---------|-------|--|-----|
| | | 4.2.6. Apparent Digestibility Coefficient (%) | 91 |
| | | a. Crude Protein Digestibility (%) | 92 |
| | | b. Crude Lipid Digestibility (%) | 93 |
| | | c. Gross Energy Digestibility (%) | 94 |
| | | | |
| | | 4.2.3. Whole Body Composition and Nutrient Retention (%) | 94 |
| | | a. Crude Protein Retention Efficiency (%) | 95 |
| | | b. Crude Lipid Retention Efficiency (%) | 96 |
| | | | |
| CHAPTER | 5: | DISCUSSION | 98 |
| | 5.1. | Biochemical Analysis and Biological Evaluation | 98 |
| | | 5.1.1. Biochemical Composition | 98 |
| | | 5.1.2. Specific Growth Rate, Survival, Daily Feeding Rate, | |
| | | Feed and Protein Efficiency Ratios | 101 |
| | | 5.1.3. Apparent Digestibility Coefficient | 102 |
| | | 5.1.4. Whole Body Composition and Nutrient Retention | 104 |
| | 5.2. | Factors Affecting the Current Results | 104 |
| | | 5.2.1. Biochemical Composition of Raw Materials | 104 |
| | | 5.2.2. Dietary Protein and Lipid | 105 |
| | | 5.2.3. Quality of Fishmeal | 107 |
| | | a. Raw Material Freshness | 108 |
| | | b. Processing Method | 110 |
| | | 5.2.4. Faecal Collection Method | 112 |
| CHAPTER | 8 6: | CONCLUSION | 114 |
| | 6.1. | General | 114 |
| | 6.2. | Recommendation | 115 |
| | | | |
| REFEREN | ICES. | | 116 |
| APPENDI | CES | | 129 |



LIST OF TABLES

| | | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| Table 1.1 | Estimated production of major aquaculture species, which are | |
| | fed trash fish in Malaysia, 2003 | 4 |
| Table 1.2 | Fishmeal production in Sabah, 2001 | 8 |
| Table 1.3 | Fishmeal production in Sabah, 2002 | 9 |
| Table 2.1 | Ingredients and proximate composition of the Gondol artificial | |
| | feed for mouse grouper juveniles | 17 |
| Table 2.2 | Results of a four-month feed comparison experiment with mouse | |
| | grouper juveniles by Surwiya & Giri (2005) | 17 |
| Table 2.3 | Important antinutrients present in some commonly used | |
| | alternative plant proteins in fish feeds | 36 |
| Table 3.1 | Mean body weight (g) and total length (cm) of raw fish used for | |
| | making fishmeal in UMS hatchery | 40 |
| Table 3.2 | Mean initial weight (g) of raw materials, mean final weight (g) of | |
| | fishmeal and percentage of fishmeal yielded from four different | |
| | species of fish | 42 |
| Table 3.3 | Ingredients and biochemical composition of feed (%) containing | |
| | DM, PRN and ETC for <i>P. major</i> juveniles | 51 |
| Table 3.4 | Fatty acid composition (%) of feed containing DM, PRN and | |
| | ETC for <i>P. major</i> juveniles | 52 |
| Table 3.5 | Fatty acid composition (%) of pollock liver oil | 53 |
| Table 3.6 | Halver vitamin mixture | 54 |
| Table 4.1 | Dry matter (%), crude protein (%), lipid (%), ash (%) and gross | |
| | energy (kJ per g) in small-scale processed fishmeal (calculated | |
| | on dry weight basis) | 65 |
| Table 4.2 | Dry matter (%), crude protein (%), lipid (%), ash (%) and gross | |
| | energy (kJ per g) in commercial fishmeal (calculated on dry | |
| | weight basis) | 66 |
| Table 4.3 | Fatty acid composition of small-scale processed fishmeal | |
| | expressed as percentage of total fatty acids | 77 |
| Table 4.4 | Fatty acid composition of commercial fishmeal expressed as | |
| | percentage of total fatty acids | 78 |



| Table 4.5 | Crude protein (%), lipid (%), gross energy (kJ per g) and chromic | |
|-----------|---|----|
| | oxide (%) content in F.DM, F.PRN, F.ETC and the faeces | |
| | collected from the P. major juveniles in rearing experiment | 92 |
| Table 4.6 | Whole body composition (%) of P. major juveniles fed with | |
| | F.DM, F.PRN and F.ETC (calculated on dry weight basis) | 95 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| | | PAGE |
|------------|--|------|
| Figure 1.1 | Decapterus macarellus (DM), family Carangidae. Common | |
| | names are basung (Malay); tumoh (Bajau); bot-chun-chee | |
| | (Chinese); round scad (English). It is one of the most popular | |
| | and abundant food fish in Sabah. Decapterus macarellus is a | |
| | pelagic species that lives in large schools in coastal waters to a | |
| | depth of 40-200 metres. Abundant from January to June but | |
| | can be caught throughout the year. It is mainly caught by purse | |
| | seines, gill-nets and lift-nets, and also by trawls (Chin, 1998; | |
| | Mohsin & Ambak, 1996). Bar: 2 cm | 10 |
| Figure 1.2 | Rastrelliger kanagurta (RK), family Scombridae. Common | |
| | names are rumahan, kembong, temenong (Malay, Bajau, | |
| | Brunei); fa-chee (Chinese); Indian mackerel and round scad | |
| | (English). It is the most popular food fish in both Malaysia and | |
| | Sabah. Rastrelliger kanagurta is a pelagic species that lives in | |
| | coastal waters. Abundant from January to June but can be | |
| | caught throughout the year. It is mainly caught by purse seines | |
| | and gill-nets and small quantities by trawls (Chin, 1998; Mohsin | |
| | & Ambak, 1996; Osman et al., 2001). Bar: 2 cm | 11 |
| Figure 1.3 | Selar crumenophthalmus (SC), family Carangidae. Common | |
| | names are selar, tulai (Malay); basung, lampudok, sanglar | |
| | (Bajau); dai-ngan-chee (Chinese); bigeye scad, selar scad | |
| | (English). It is one of the most popular food fishes in the West | |
| | coast of Sabah. Selar crumenophthalmus is a pelagic fish that | |
| | lives in coastal areas and oceanic waters to a depth of 80 | |
| | metres. Fishing season is from January to July. It is mainly | |
| | caught by purse-seines and less by life-nets and gill-nets | |
| | (Source: Chin 1998: Mohsin & Amhak 1996) Bar: 2 cm | 11 |



| Figure 1.4 | Nemipterus thosaporni (NT), family Nemipteridae. Common | |
|------------|--|----|
| | names are kerisi (Malay); kurusi (Bajau); hung-sam (Chinese); | |
| | threadfin bream (English). It is an important commercial | |
| | species in Malaysia. It commonly sold fresh in the market. | |
| | Nemipterus thosaporni is a demersal fish that lives in coastal | |
| | waters. No distinguished fishing seasons and mainly caught by | |
| | trawls in shallow waters around 30-100 m depth (Chin, 1998; | |
| | Mohsin & Ambak, 1996). Bar: 2 cm | 12 |
| Figure 1.5 | Pagrus major juvenile. Bar: 1 cm | 12 |
| Figure 3.1 | Schematic diagram of fishmeal processed in UMS hatchery. A, | |
| | raw fish used for making fishmeal. B, fish was cooked in boiling | |
| | water. C, cooked fish was pressed using a piece of cotton | |
| | cloth. D, fish pieces were dried in direct sunlight. E, blender | |
| | (Panasonic MX-798S) used for blending the dry fish pieces into | |
| | powder form. F, blended fishmeal was sieved to remove big | |
| | particles. G, final product (fishmeal) | 41 |
| Figure 3.2 | Schematic diagram of feed preparation for experiment. A, all | |
| | dry feedstuffs and chromic oxide were weighed accordingly | |
| | and mixed well, then, pollock liver oil and tap water were | |
| | added. B, feeds were pressure pelleted through a 2-mm die | |
| | using a meat grinder. C, feeds were further mixed by hand in | |
| | order to break up the feeds into smaller pieces. D, feeds were | |
| | freeze-dried prior to analysis and feeding experiment | 55 |
| Figure 3.3 | A, experiment tank with faecal collector used for rearing | |
| | experiment of P. major juveniles. B, diagram of a tank structure | |
| | with faecal collector used for rearing experiment of P. major | |
| | juveniles. I, a 400-L circular Guelph tank equipped with a | |
| | faecal collector for collecting faeces. II, faeces were sinking to | |
| | the bottom tip of the faeces collector | 59 |
| Figure 3.4 | Faecal collection system of the rearing experiment. A, faeces | |
| | sank down and collected at the bottom tip of the faeces | |
| | collector. B, faeces were collected from the bottom tip of the | |
| | column at 0800 h before feeding | 60 |
| Figure 4.1 | Percentage of dry matter in small-scale processed and | |
| | commercial fishmeal | 67 |



| Figure 4.2 | Percentage of crude protein in small-scale processed and commercial fishmeal | 69 |
|---------------|--|----|
| Figure 4.2 | | 03 |
| Figure 4.3 | Percentage of crude lipid in small-scale processed and commercial fishmeal | 71 |
| Fi 4 4 | | 71 |
| Figure 4.4 | Percentage of ash in small-scale processed and commercial | 70 |
| | fishmeal | 73 |
| Figure 4.5 | Content of gross energy (kJ per g) in small-scale processed | |
| | and commercial fishmeal | 74 |
| Figure 4.6 | Distribution of saturated, monounsaturated and | |
| | polyunsaturated fatty acids (%) in small-scale processed | |
| | fishmeal | 79 |
| Figure 4.7 | Distribution of saturated, monounsaturated and | |
| | polyunsaturated fatty acids (%) in commercial fishmeal | 79 |
| Figure 4.8 | Mean initial and final body weight (g) of P. major juveniles fed | |
| | with three different feeds in rearing experiment | 87 |
| Figure 4.9 | SGR of P. major juveniles fed with three different feeds in | |
| | rearing experiment | 88 |
| Figure 4.10 | Survival of P. major juveniles fed with three different feeds in | |
| | rearing experiment | 88 |
| Figure 4.11 | DFR of P. major juveniles fed with three different feeds in | |
| | rearing experiment | 89 |
| Figure 4.12 | FER of P. major juveniles fed with three different feeds in | |
| | rearing experiment | 90 |
| Figure 4.13 | PER of P. major juveniles fed with three different feeds in | |
| | rearing experiment | 91 |
| Figure 4.14 | ADC of crude protein of P. major juveniles fed with three | |
| | different feeds in rearing experiment | 93 |
| Figure 4.15 | ADC of crude lipid of <i>P. major</i> juveniles fed with three different | |
| | feeds in rearing experiment | 93 |
| Figure 4.16 | ADC of gross energy of <i>P. major</i> juveniles fed with three | |
| | different feeds in rearing experiment | 94 |
| Figure 4.17 | Crude protein retention efficiency of <i>P. major</i> juveniles fed with | |
| riguio 4.17 | three different feeds in rearing experiment | 96 |
| Figure 4.18 | Crude lipid retention efficiency of <i>P. major</i> juveniles fed with | 30 |
| 1 igule 4. 10 | three different feeds in rearing experiment | 07 |
| | three unrelent reeds in realing experiment | 97 |



LIST OF SYMBOLS

% percentage

& and

°C degree celsius



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA arachidonic acid

ACIAR Australian Centre for International Agricultural

Research

ADC apparent digestibility coefficient

ADCP Aguaculture Development and Coordination

Programme

AOAC Association of Official Analytical Chemists

BV biological value

BW body weight

cm centimetre

DFR daily feeding rate

DHA docosahexaenoic acid

ed. edited, edition, editor

EPA eicosapentaenoic acid

et al. and others, and the rest

FA fatty acid

FAME fatty acid methyl ester

FAO Food and Agriculture Organisation

FCR feed conversion ratio

FER feed efficiency ratio

g gram

GC gas chromatography

h hour

kg kilogram

L litre

m metre

mg milligram

min minute

mL millilitre

mm millimetre

MUFA monounsaturated fatty acid

n-3 omega-3

n-6 omega-6



N normality

NACA Network of Aquaculture Centres in Asia-Pacific

nm nanometre

No. number

NPU net protein utilisation

OPPS Sabah Outline Perspective Plan

PER protein efficiency ratio

pg page

pH potantra of hydogeni (power of hydrogen)

ppm part per million

PUFA polyunsaturated fatty acid

RM Ringgit Malaysia

rpm revolutions per minute

s second

SD standard deviation
SFA saturated fatty acid
SGR specific growth rate

sp. species

SPSS Statistical Package for Social Science

UMS Universiti Malaysia Sabah

USA United States of America

μg microgram
μL microlitre
μm micrometre



KEY WORDS

Fishmeal, Marine fish culture, Nutritional value, Biochemical composition, Biological evaluation.



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Aquaculture in Sabah, Malaysia

The government of Malaysia wants to develop and expand the aquaculture industry in Sabah (Galid, 2003). The government has formulated several policies and plans to encourage its citizens to be involved in the aquaculture field (Galid, 2003). Under the National Agriculture Policy, 1984-2000 and Sabah Outline Perspective Plan (OPPS), 1995-2010, the government has been improving marketing facilities and offering other attractive incentives such as granting pioneer status, labour institutional relief and institutional tax credit for those involved in fisheries and aquaculture (Galid, 2003). Recently, under the Ninth Malaysia Plan, a national aquaculture centre will be built in Tawau, Sabah to spur the development of the fisheries and aquaculture sector (Bernama, 2006a).

One of the reasons that the government of Malaysia wants to develop aquaculture in Sabah is due to the geological and morphological advantages of the state (Galid, 2003). Sabah is a maritime state, which is rich in many and various marine resources (Galid, 2003; Bernama, 2006a). More than three quarters of its boundaries is surrounded by the sea. It has a coastline of approximately 1,600 km and total territorial waters of approximately 100,000 square nautical miles (Senoo, 1997, 2001). It also has a tropical climate, with its temperature ranging from 22-34°C, which is suitable for spawning of fish throughout the whole year (Senoo, 1997, 2001).



Thus, Sabah has immense potential for research and development in the breeding of various species of marine fish that are nearly extinct or possess high market value.

Besides the advantages in geological and morphological features, the government of Malaysia also wants to raise the living standard of poor citizens through the development of aquaculture (Bernama, 2006b). The government wants to increase protein intake, provide employment opportunities, increase the income of farmers, and extend the export market for foreign exchange earning (Ang, 1990; Chua & Tech, 1990). Under the Ninth Malaysia Plan, the government of Sabah will also gives special attention to raise the living standard of more than 20,000 poor fishermen in Sabah (Bernama, 2006b). In order to achieve these objectives, the government is planning to increase national aquaculture production from the current 196,874 metric tons (Department of Fisheries Malaysia, 2004) to 600,000 metric tons with an estimated value of RM 6.40 billion annually by the year 2010 (Utama & Nuruddin, 2005).

Sabah has extensive land areas deemed suitable for particular types of aquaculture operations (Senoo, 1997, 2001, 2005; Galid, 2003). Furthermore, fish is one of the highly traded aquaculture commodities and thus has a very good potential for national and regional market development (Galid, 2003). With strong support from the government, the availability of suitable land resources and established technology, the aquaculture industry in Sabah is primed for expansion (Galid, 2003).

1.2. Problems of Feed Supply for Development of Marine Fish Culture in Sabah

Insufficient feed supply is restricting aquaculture development in Malaysia (Utama & Nuruddin, 2005). Utama & Nuruddin (2005) estimated that 590,000 metric tons of aquaculture feeds will need to be imported into Malaysia by the year 2010. This same



problem of insufficient feed supply is also constraining the development of aquaculture in Sabah, which is one of the largest contributors to aquaculture production in Malaysia (Department of Fisheries Malaysia, 2004; Utama & Nuruddin, 2005).

Because of the insufficient supply of aquaculture feed, its price keeps increasing. Furthermore, when feed is imported from foreign countries, there are added costs of transportation and tax. Besides this, the nutritional value of feed may spoil during transportation (Sim et al., 2005). All these factors will lead to an increase in the total production cost.

1.2.1. Trash Fish

Currently, the vast majority of marine fish culture in Sabah is based on the non-supplemental feed system where artificial feeds are not used (Utama & Nuruddin, 2005; Sim & Williams, 2005), but instead only trash fish is fed to the fish (Utama & Nuruddin, 2005; Sim & Williams, 2005). Farmers are relying on trash fish as a single feed source especially for marine carnivorous fishes such as groupers (Giri *et al.*, 2004; Utama & Nuruddin, 2005) and snappers (Utama & Nuruddin, 2005). According to Stobutzki *et al.* (2005), approximately 85% of cultured marine fish are dependent on trash fish as the sole feed. The trash fish commonly used in aquaculture are mainly scad, mackerel, selar and nemipterid (Stobutzki *et al.*, 2005; Utama & Nuruddin, 2005). According to Utama and Nuruddin (2005), Malaysia used about 94,000 metric tons of trash fish to produce 9,235 metric tons of marine fishes in 2003 (Table 1.1).



Table1.1: Estimated production of major aquaculture species, which are fed trash fish in Malaysia, 2003.

| Scientific name | Common name | Production |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | | (metric tons) |
| Lates calcarifer | Sea bass | 3,489 |
| Lutjanus griseus | Mangrove snapper | 2,173 |
| Epinephelus sp. | Grouper | 1,637 |
| Lutjanus malabaricus | Red snapper | 1,229 |
| Lutjanus argentimaculatus | Mangrove red snapper | 707 |
| | Total | 9,235 |

Source: Utama & Nuruddin (2005).

Many problems occur in using trash fish as the sole feed in aquaculture (Sim & Williams, 2005; Utama & Nuruddin, 2005). Although artificial feeds are the most suitable alternative feed that can be used as a substitute for trash fish, the problems of availability, price and nutritional values are restricting their usage in aquaculture.

The main problem of using trash fish for fish culture is the inconsistent supply (Heng et al., 2004; Sugiyama et al., 2004; Sim et al., 2005). The availability of trash fish is often highly variable and seasonal (Biusing, 1995; Chin, 1998). For example, during the monsoon season limited fishing is carried out because of the rough sea conditions (Biusing, 1995; Chin, 1998). At this time, the supply of trash fish will decrease. Many farmers try to compensate for the problem by stocking or drying the trash fish in the sun during the peak fishing season (Heng et al., 2004; Sim et al., 2005). However, this traditional method may result in dried fish that are decomposing and contaminated with sand, which will decrease the nutritional value of the feed (Early et al., 2001).

The second major problem is the inconsistent price (Stobutzki *et al.*, 2005). As a result of inconsistent trash fish supply, the market price of trash fish also fluctuates



depending on the fishing season (Biusing, 1995; Funge-Smith *et al.*, 2005; Stobutzki *et al.*, 2005). During the peak fishing season, trash fish can be easily obtained from the fish market at the cheap price of approximately RM 0.26 per kg (Stobutzki *et al.*, 2005). Conversely, the price of trash fish can fetch up to RM 5.00 per kg during the low fishing season.

The third major problem is the difficulty in maintaining the quality and freshness of the trash fish (Sim *et al.*, 2005). The storage life of trash fish is short and it is difficult to retain the quality of the fish, especially in tropical countries (Edwards, 2004; Edwards *et al.*, 2004; Sim *et al.*, 2005). Even with refrigeration, the nutritional value of fish will decline within a few weeks (Sim *et al.*, 2005). Farmers will need freezers for storage to avoid rapid spoilage. Poor storage will lead to deterioration of feed quality, which in turn will result in poor growth, malnutrition, health problems and possibly mortality of the fish (Thongrod *et al.*, 2004). All these will decrease farm profitability (Edwards, 2004; Edwards *et al.*, 2004).

In addition, feeding trash fish may also result in the transmission of parasites and diseases (Thongrod *et al.*, 2004; Sim *et al.*, 2005). Freezing may kill some parasites, but most bacteria and viruses that cause diseases in fish will be preserved in the frozen trash fish, which can potentially infect the cultured fish and cause outbreaks of disease. As trash fish is readily broken up into small pieces when eaten, as much as 30-50% of the trash fish fed to fish can be lost during the feeding process (Sim *et al.*, 2005). The small pieces of trash fish that are lost during feeding may decompose at the bottom of the cage, leading to localized pollution and water quality degradation (Rimmer, 2004).

REFERENCES

- Abu Hassan, I. 1988. Asas-asas Perumusan dan Penyediaan Makanan untuk Udang/Ikan Air Payau. Risalah Perikanan Bil. 27. Kuala Lumpur: Jabatan Perikanan Kementerian Pertanian Malaysia.
- Akiyama, D. M. 1991. The Use of Soy Products and Other Plant Protein Supplements in Aquaculture Feeds. *Presented at the VICTAM-ASIA '91 Conference, held in Bangkok, Thailand*. January, 1991. Singapore: American Soybean Association.
- Akiyama, D. M. 1992. Future Considerations for Shrimp Nutrition and the Aquaculture Feed Industry. *In* Wyban, J. (ed.). *Proceedings of the Special Session on Shrimp Farming*. May, 1992. World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA. pp. 198-205.
- Aksnes, A. & Mundheim, H. 1997. The Impact of Raw Material Freshness and Processing Temperature for Fish Meal on Growth, Feed Efficiency and Chemical Composition of Atlantic Halibut (Hippoglossus hippoglossus). Aquaculture. 149(1-2): 87-106.
- Aksnes, A., Izquierdo, M. S., Robaina, L., Vergara, J. M. & Montero, D. 1997. Influence of Fish Meal Quality and Feed Pellet on Growth, Feed Efficiency and Muscle Composition in Gilthead Seabream (*Sparus aurata*). Aquaculture. 153(3-4): 251-261.
- Albrektsen, S., Mundheim, H. & Aksnes, A. 2006. Growth, Feed Efficiency, Digestibility and Nutrient Distribution in Atlantic Cod (*Gadus morhua*) Fed Two Different Fish Meal Qualities at Three Dietary Levels of Vegetable Protein Sources. *Aquaculture*. **261**(2): 626-640.
- Allan, G. L. 2004. Review of Fish Nutrition and Feeding. In Edwards, P. & Allan, G. L. (eds.). Feeds and feeding for inland aquaculture in Mekong region countries. ACIAR Technical Reports No. 56. Canberra: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research. pp. 37-48.
- Anderson, J. S., Lall, S. P., Anderson, D. M. & McNiven, M. A. 1993. Evaluation of Protein Quality in Fish Meals by Chemical and Biological Assays. *Aquaculture*. 115(3-4): 305-325.
- Anderson, J. S., Lall, S. P., Anderson, D. M. & McNiven, M. A. 1995. Availability of Amino Acids from Various Fish Meals Fed to Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar). Aquaculture. 138(1-4): 291-301.
- Ang, K. J. 1990. Status of Aquaculture in Malaysia. In Joseph, M. M. (ed.). Aquaculture in Asia. (1st edition). Karnataka: Asian Fisheries Society, Indian Branch. pp. 265-279.
- AOAC. 1999. Cunniff, P. (ed.). Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International, Volume 1, 5th Revision. (16th Edition). Washington: Association of Office Analytical Chemists International.



- Austreng, E. 1978. Digestibility Determination in Fish using Chromic Oxide Marking and Analysis of Contents from Different Segments of the Gastrointestinal Tract. *Aquaculture*. **13**(3): 265-272.
- Baker, A. & Allen, E. 2006. Feed Outlook. In *Economic Research Service*. 15 August 2006. New York: United States Department of Agriculture. http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/ers/FDS//2000s/2006/FDS-08-15-2006.pdf.
- Bardach, J. E. 1997. Fish as Food and the Case for Aquaculture. *In* Bardach, J. E. (ed.). *Sustainable Aquaculture*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. pp. 1-14.
- Barlow, S. M. & Pike, I. H. 1977. The Role of Fat in Fish Meal in Pig and Poultry Nutrition. *International Association of Fish Meal Manufacturers, Technical Bulletin.* No. 4, August 1977.
- Behnke, K. C., Fahrenholz, C., Bortone, E. J., Eisenberg, S. & Dominy, W. G. 1992. Feed Mixing and Mixer Testing of Shrimp Feeds. *In* Wyban, J. (ed.). *Proceedings of the Special Session on Shrimp Farming*. May, 1992. World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA. pp. 206-211.
- Belal, I. E. H. 2005. A Review of Some Fish Nutrition Methodologies. *Bioresource Technology.* **96**(4): 395-402.
- Bernama. 2006a. National Aquaculture Centre to Be Built In Sabah. *Malaysian National News Agency*. 5 May. http://www.bernama.com.my/bernama/state_news/news.php?id=195550&cat=sbe.
- Bernama. 2006b. Attention to Improve Poor Fishermen. Daily Express.6 July: 5.
- Biswas, A. K., Seoka, M., Inoue, Y., Takii, K. & Kumai, H. 2005. Photoperiod Influences the Growth, Food Intake, Feed Efficiency and Digestibility of Red Sea Bream (*Pagrus major*). Aquaculture. **250**(3-4): 666-673.
- Biusing, E. R. 1995. Development and Management of Fisheries Resources in Malaysia: Status of the Coastal Fisheries Resources of Sabah, Malaysia. In Ti, T. C., Mustapha Othman & Fung, S. (ed.). Proceedings of the Seminar on Sustainable Development of Fisheries Resources in Malaysia. 12-13 September 1995. Kota Kinabalu: Institute for Development Studies (Sabah). pp. 31-80.
- Bragadóttir, M., Pálmadóttir, H. & Kristbergsson, K. 2004. Composition and Chemical Changes during Storage of Fish Meal from Capelin (*Mallotus villosus*). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry.* **52**(6): 1572-1580.
- Brinker, C. D., Kerr, M. & Rayner, C. 2002. *Investigation of Biogenic Amines in Fish and Fish Products*. (1st edition). Victoria: Public Health Division, Victorian Government Department of Human Services. http://www.health.vic.gov.au.
- Çelik, M., Diler, A. & Küçükgülmez, A. 2005. A Comparison of the Proximate Compositions and Fatty Acid Profiles of Zander (Sander lucioperca) from two different regions and climatic conditions. Food Chemistry. 92: 637-641.

UNIVERSITI MALTY/SIA SABAH

- Chin, P. K. 1998. Marine Food Fishes and Fisheries of Sabah. Sabah: Science and Technology Unit.
- Chong, K. C. 1993. Economics of On-farm Aquafeed Preparation and Use. *In New, M. B.*, Tacon, A. G. J. & Csavas, I. (eds.). *Proceedings of the FAO/AADCP Regional Expert Consultation on Farm-Made Aquafeeds*. 14-18 December 1992, Bangkok, Thailand. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No. 343. Rome. pp. 148-160.
- Chow, K. W. 1984. Diet Development for Sea Bass, Grouper and Penaeid Shrimp Culture in Malaysia. *In Malaysia Coastal Aquaculture Development*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome. http://www.fao.org/docrep/field/003/R1060E/R1060E00.htm.
- Chua, T. E. & Tech, E. 1990. Aquaculture in Asia: Quo vadis. *In Joseph, M. M.* (ed.). *Aquaculture in Asia.* (1st edition). Karnataka: *Asian Fisheries Society*, Indian Branch. pp. 13-30.
- Copeman, L. A., Parrish, C. C., Brown, J. A. & Harel, M. 2002. Effects of Docosahexaenoic, Eicosapentaenoic and Arachidonic Acids on the Early Growth, Survival, Lipid Composition and Pigmentation of Yellowtail Flounder (*Limanda ferruginea*): A Live Food Enrichment Experiment. *Aquaculture*. 210(1-4): 285-304.
- Cowey, C. B. & Cho, C. Y. 1992. Failure of Dietary Putrescine to Enhance the Growth of Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. **49**: 2469-2473.
- Cruz-Suarez, L. E., Ricque, D. & AQUACOP. 1992. Effect of Squid Meal on Growth of *Penaeus monodon* Juveniles Reared in Pond Pens and Tanks. *Aquaculture*. **106**(3-4): 293-299.
- Department of Fisheries Sabah. 2002. Annual Fisheries Statistics 2000. Department of Fisheries Sabah.
- Department of Fisheries Sabah. 2003. Annual Fisheries Statistics 2001. Department of Fisheries Sabah.
- Department of Fisheries Malaysia. 2004. Annual Fisheries Statistics 2003, Volume 1. Department of Fisheries Malaysia.
- Department of Fisheries Sabah. 2004. Annual Fisheries Statistics 2002. Department of Fisheries Sabah.
- Early, R. J., Mahgoub, O., Lu, C. D., Ritchie, A., Al-Halhali, A. S. & Annamalai, K. 2001. Nutritional Evaluation of Solar Dried Sardines as a Ruminant Protein Supplement. *Small Ruminant Research.* **41**(1): 39-49.
- Edwards, P. 2004. Review of Feeds and Feeding in Mekong Countries. *In* Edwards, P. & Allan, G. L. (eds.). *Feeds and Feeding for Inland Aquaculture in Mekong Region Countries*. ACIAR Technical Reports No. 56. Canberra: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research. pp. 13-35.



- Edwards, P., Tuan, L. A. & Allan, G. L. 2004. A Survey of Marine Trash Fish and Fish Meal as Aquaculture Feed Ingredients in Vietnam. ACIAR Working Paper No. 57. Canberra: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research.
- Espe, M., Lemme, A., Petri, A. & El-Mowafi, A. 2006. Can Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar) Grow on Diets Devoid of Fish Meal? Aquaculture. 255(1-4): 255-262.
- Fairgrieve, W. T., Myers, M. S., Hardy, R. W., Dong, F. M. 1994. Gastric Abnormalities in Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Fed Amine-Supplemented Diets or Chicken Gizzard-Erosion-Positive Fish Meal. *Aquaculture*. **127**(2-3): 219–232.
- FAO. 1983. Fish Feeds and Feeding in Developing Countries An Interim Report on the ADCP Feed Development Programme. ADCP/REP/83/18. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome. http://www.fao.org/docrep/q3567e/q3567e00.htm.
- FAO. 1986. The Production of Fish Meal and Fish Oil. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 142. ISBN 92-5-102464-2. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Rome. http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/X6899E/X6899E00.htm.
- FAO Fisheries Department. 2001. Aquaculture Development: 1. Good Aquaculture Feed Manufacturing Practice. FAO Technical Guidelines for Responsible Fisheries. No. 5. Rome.
- FAO GLOBEFISH. 2006. Fishmeal Market Reports: June 2006. http://www.globefish.org/index.php?id=3015
- Feeley, R. M., Criner, D. E. C. & Watt, B. K. 1972. Cholesterol Content of Foods. Journal of American Dietetic Association. 61: 134-148.
- Fernández, F., Miquel, A. G., Cumplido, L. R., Guinea, J. & Ros, E. 1996. Comparisons of Faecal Collection Methods for Digestibility Determinations in Gilthead Sea Bream. *Journal of Fish Biology.* **49**: 735-738.
- Fernández, F., Miquel, A. G., Guinea, J. & Martínez, R. 1998. Digestion and Digestibility in Gilthead Sea Bream (*Sparus aurata*): The Effect of Diet Composition and Ration Size. *Aquaculture*. **166**(1-2): 67-84.
- Folch, J., Lees, M., Sloane-Stanley, G. H. 1957. A Simple Method for the Isolation and Purification of Total Lipids from Animal Tissues. *Journal of Biological Chemistry.* **22**: 497-509.
- Forster, I. P., Dominy, W., Obaldo, L. & Tacon, A. G. J. 2003. Rendered Meat and Bone Meals as Ingredients of Diets for Shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone, 1931). *Aquaculture*. **219**(1-4): 655-670.
- Forster, I. P. & Ogata, H. 1996. Growth and Whole-Body Lipid Content of Juvenile Red Sea Bream Reared under Different Conditions of Exercise Training and Dietary Lipid. *Fisheries Science*. **62**(3): 404-409.



- Fournier, E. 2004. Determination of Reducing and Non-Reducing Sugars Using the Phenol-Sulfuric Acid Assay. *In* Wrolstad, R. E., Acree, T. E., Decker, E. A., Penner, M. H., Reid, D. S., Schwartz, S. T., Shoemaker, C. F., Smith, D. M. & Sporns, P. (eds.). *Handbook of Food Analytical Chemistry*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. pp. 653-655.
- Francis, G., Makkar, H. P. S. & Becker, K. 2001. Antinutritional Factors Present in Plant-Derived Alternate Fish Feed Ingredients and Their Effects in Fish. *Aquaculture*. **199**(3-4): 197-227.
- Funge-Smith, S., Lindebo, E. & Staples, D. 2005. Asian Fisheries Today: The Production and Use of Low Value/Trash Fish from Marine Fisheries in the Asia-Pacific Region. RAP Publication 2005/16. FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok.
- Furukawa, A. & Tsukahara, H. 1966. On the Acid Digestion Method for the Determination of Chromic Oxide as an Index Substance in the Study of Digestibility of Fish Feed. *Bulletin of Japanese Society of Scientific Fisheries*. **32**(6): 502-506.
- Galid, R. S. 2003. Investment Opportunities in the Aquaculture Industry in Sabah, Malaysia. Paper Presented at the 7th BIMPEAGA Working Group on Fisheries Cooperation Meeting. 10-12 June 2003. Palawan, Philippines. http://www.fishdept.sabah.gov.my/download/INVESTMENTOPPORTUNITIES %20.pdf.
- Gatlin III, D. M. 2002. Nutrition and Fish Health. In Halver, J. E., & Hardy, R. W. (eds.). Fish Nutrition. (3rd edition). California: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier Science. pp. 671-702.
- Giri, N. A., Suwirya, K. & Marzuqi, M. 2004. Grow-Out Diet Development: Optimum Level of Dietary Protein and Lipid for Rearing Juvenile Tiger Grouper (Epninephelus fuscoguttatus). In Rimmer, M. A., McBride, S. & Williams, K. C. (eds.). Advances in Grouper Aquaculture. ACIAR Monograph 110. Canberra: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research. pp. 92-94.
- Glencross, B., Hawkins, W., Evans, D., Rutherford, N., Dods, K., Maas, R., McCafferty, P. & Sipsas, S. 2006. Evaluation of the Nutritional Value of Prototype Lupin Protein Concentrates when Fed to Rainbow Trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquaculture. 251(1): 66-77.
- Göhl, B. 1981. Tropical Feeds. FAO Animal Production and Health Series, No. 12. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Rome.
- Gökçe, M. A., Taşbozan, O., Çelik, M. & Tabakoğlu, S. S. 2004. Seasonal Variations in Proximate and Fatty Acid Compositions of Female Common Sole (*Solea solea*). *Food Chemistry.* **88**(3): 419-423.
- Halver, J. E. 1957. Nutrition of Salmonid Fish-III. Water-Soluble Vitamin Requirements of Chinook Salmon. *Journal of Nutrition*. **62**: 225-243.
- Hardy, R. W. & Barrows, F. T. 2002. Diet Formulation and Manufacture. *In Halver*, J. E. & Hardy, R. W. (eds.). *Fish Nutrition*. (3rd edition). California: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier Science. pp. 505-600.

- Hardy, R. W. & Tacon, A. G. J. 2002. Fish Meal: Historical Uses, Production Trends and Future Outlook for Sustainable Supplies. In Stickney, R. R. & McVey, J. P. (eds.). Responsible Marine Aquaculture. New York: CABI Publishing. pp. 311-325.
- Hendricks, J. D. 2002. Adventitious Toxins. In Halver, J. E. & Hardy, R. W. (eds.). Fish Nutrition. (3rd edition). California: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier Science. pp. 597-641.
- Heng, N., Song, L. S., Borin, C., Viseth, H. & Vibol, O. 2004. Feed and Feeding Constraints in Inland Aquaculture in Cambodia. In Edwards, P. & Allan, G. L. (eds.). Feeds and Feeding for Inland Aquaculture in Mekong Region Countries. ACIAR Technical Reports No. 56. Canberra: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research. pp. 49–52.
- Hevrøy, E. M., Sandnes, K. & Hemre, G. -I. 2004. Growth, Feed Utilisation, Appetite and Health in Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) Fed a New Type of High Lipid Fish Meal, Sea Grain, Processed from Various Pelagic Marine Fish Species. *Aquaculture*. **235**(1-4): 371-392.
- Hoh, R. 2003. Malaysia Oilseeds and Products Annual 2003. Global Agriculture Information Network. GAIN report MY3008. Kuala Lumpur: US Embassy. www.fas.usda.gov/gainfiles/200305/145885476.pdf.
- Horner, W. F. A. 1997. Preservation of Fish by Curing (Drying, Salting and Smoking). In Hall, G. M. (ed.). Fish Processing Technology (2nd edition). London: Blackie Academic & Professional, an imprint of Chapman & Hall. pp. 32-73.
- Huss, H. H. 1995. Quality and Quality Changes in Fresh Fish. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 348. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Rome.
- Irving, D. O. & Watson, K. 1976. Mitochondrial enzymes of tropical fish: a comparison with fish from cold-waters. (abstract). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. **54**(1): 81-92.
- Izquierdo, M. S., Obach, A., Arantzamendi, L., Montero, D., Robaina, L. & Rosenlund, G. Dietary Lipid Sources for Seabream and Seabass: Growth Performance, Tissue Composition and Flesh Quality. 2003. *Aquaculture Nutrition*. **9**: 397-407.
- Kanazawa, A. 2003. Nutrition of Marine Fish Larvae. *In* Jana, B. B. & Webster, C. D. (eds.). *Sustainable Aquaculture: Global Perspectives.* New York: Food Products Press, an imprint of The Haworth Press, Inc. pp. 103-143.
- Kaushik, S. J., Covès, D., Dutto, G. & Blanc, D. 2004. Almost Total Replacement of Fish Meal by Plant Protein Sources in the Diet of a Marine Teleost, the European Seabass, *Dicentrarchus labrax. Aquaculture.* 230: 391-404.
- Kennedy, B., Karunasagar, I. & Karunasagar, I. 2004. Histamine Level in Fishmeal and Shrimp Feed Marketed in India. *Asian Fisheries Science*. **17**: 9-19.



- Khan, M. A., Jafri, A. K., Chadha, N. K. & Usmani, N. 2003. Growth and Body Composition of Rohu (*Labeo rohita*) Fed Diets Containing Oilseed Meals: Partial or Total Replacement of Fish Meal with Soybean Meal. *Aquaculture Nutrition*. **9**(6): 391-396.
- Koshio, S. 2002. Red Sea Bream, *Pagrus major. In Webster*, C. D. & Lim, C. E. (eds.). *Nutrient Requirements and Feeding of Finfish for Aquaculture*. London: CABI Publishing. pp. 51-63.
- Lanari, D. & D'Agaro, E. 2005. Alternative Plant Protein Sources in Sea Bass Diets. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*. **4**: 365-374.
- Lemos, D., Navarrete del Toro, A., Córdova-Murueta, J. H. & Garcia-Carreño, F. 2004. Testing Feeds and Feed Ingredients for Juvenile Pink Shrimp Farfantepenaeus paulensis: In Vitro Determination of Protein Digestibility and Proteinase Inhibition. Aquaculture. 239: 307-321.
- Li, P., Wang, X. X., Hardy, R. W. & Gatlin III, D. M. 2004. Nutritional Value of Fisheries By-Catch and By-Product Meals in the Diet of Red Drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*). *Aquaculture*. **236**: 485-496.
- Love, R. M. 1997. Biochemical Dynamics and the Quality of Fresh and Frozen Fish. In Hall, G. M. (ed.). Fish Processing Technology (2nd edition). London: Blackie Academic & Professional, an imprint of Chapman & Hall. pp. 1-26.
- Lovell, T. 1989a. The Nutrients. *In Nutrition and Feeding of Fish.* New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold. pp. 11-71.
- Lovell, T. 1989b. Nonnutrient Diet Components. *In Nutrition and Feeding of Fish.* New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold. pp. 93-106.
- Lovell, T. 1989c. Feed Formulation and Processing. *In Nutrition and Feeding of Fish.*New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold. pp. 107-127.
- Macan, J., Turk, R., Vukušić, J., Kipćić, D. & Milković-Kraus, S. 2006. Long-Term Follow-Up of Histamine Levels in a Stored Fish Meal Sample. *Animal Feed Science and Technology.* **127**: 169-174.
- McDonald, P., Edwards, R. A., Greenhalgh, J. F. D. & Morgan, C. A. 2002a. Evaluation of Foods (A) Digestibility. *In Animal Nutrition.* (6th edition). London: Prentice Hall, an imprint of Pearson Education, Inc. pp. 245-261.
- McDonald, P., Edwards, R. A., Greenhalgh, J. F. D. & Morgan, C. A. 2002b. Evaluation of Foods (D) Protein. *In Animal Nutrition.* (6th edition). London: Prentice Hall, an imprint of Pearson Education. pp. 313-347.
- McDonald, P., Edwards, R. A., Greenhalgh, J. F. D. & Morgan, C. A. 2002c. Protein Concentrates. *In Animal Nutrition*. (6th edition). London: Prentice Hall, an imprint of Pearson Education. pp. 583-615.
- Michael, A., David, A. & Jaworski, C. 1994. Evaluation of Artificial Diets for Cultured Fish. Northeastern Regional Aquaculture Centre, University of Massachusetts. NRAC Fact Sheet, No. 222.



- Millamena, O. M. 2002. Replacement of Fish Meal by Animal By-Product Meals in a Practical Diet for Grow-Out Culture of Grouper *Epinephelus coioides*. *Aquaculture*. **204**: 75-84.
- Mohsin, A. K. M. & Ambak, M. A. 1996. Marine Fishes & Fisheries of Malaysia and Neighbouring Countries. Selangor: Universiti Pertanian Malaysia.
- Moren, M. Suontama, J., Hemre G. -I., Karlsen, Ø., Olsen, R. E., Mundheim, H. & Julshamn, K. 2006. Element Concentrations in Meals from Krill and Amphipods, Possible Alternative Protein Sources in Complete Diets for Farmed Fish. *Aquaculture*. **261**(1): 174-181.
- Murata, O., Harada, T., Miyashita, S., Izumi, K., Maeda, S., Kato, K. & Kumai, H. 1996. Selective Breeding for Growth in Red Sea Bream. *Fisheries Science*. **62**(6): 845–849.
- Nwanna, L. C. 2003. Nutritional Value and Digestibility of Fermented Shrimp Head Waste Meal by African Catfish Clarias gariepinus. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. **2**(6): 339-345.
- Ogata, H. Y. & Shearer, K. D. 2000. Influence of Dietary Fat and Adiposity on Feed Intake of Juvenile Red Sea Bream *Pagrus major. Aquaculture.* **189**: 237–249.
- Økland, H. M. W., Stoknes, I. S., Remme, J. F., Kjerstad, M. & Synnes, M. 2005. Proximate Composition, Fatty Acid and Lipid Class Composition of the Muscle from Deep-Sea Teleosts and Elasmobranchs. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology.* **140**(3): 437-443.
- Opstvedt, J., Mundheim, H., Nygård, E., Aase, H. & Pike, I. H. 2000. Reduced Growth and Feed Consumption of Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) Fed Fish Meal Made from Stale Fish is Not Due to Increased Content of Biogenic Amines. *Aquaculture*. **188**: 323-337.
- Osman, H., Suriah, A. R. & Law, E. C. 2001. Fatty Acid Composition and Cholesterol Content of Selected Marine Fish in Malaysian Waters. *Food Chemistry.* **73**(1): 55-60.
- Palmer-Jones, R. & Halliday, D. 1971. The Small-Scale Manufacture of Compound Animal Feed. Report No. G67. London: Tropical Products Institute.
- Parker, R. 2002a. Fundamental of Nutrition in Aquaculture. *In Aquaculture Science*. (2nd edition.). Columbia: Delmar Thomson Learning, Inc. pp. 233-257.
- Parker, R. 2002b. Feeds and Feeding. *In Aquaculture Science*. (2nd edition.). Columbia: Delmar Thomson Learning, Inc. pp. 258-285.
- Peres, H., Lim, C. & Klesius, P. H. 2003. Nutritional Value of Heat-Treated Soybean Meal for Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). *Aquaculture*. **225**: 67-82.
- Peres, H. & Teles, A. O. 2006. Effect of the Dietary Essential to Non-Essential Amino Acid Ratio on Growth, Feed Utilization and Nitrogen Metabolism of European Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Aquaculture. **256**: 395-402.



- Perry, T. W., Cullison, A. E. & Lowrey, R. S. 2003a. Principles of Animal Nutrition and Physiology. *In Feeds and Feeding*. (6th Edition). New Jersey: Prentice Hall, an imprint of Pearson Education, Inc. pp. 1-194.
- Perry, T. W., Cullison, A. E. & Lowrey, R. S. 2003b. Feedstuffs. *In Feeds and Feeding*. (6th Edition). New Jersey: Prentice Hall, an imprint of Pearson Education, Inc. pp. 195-324.
- Phillips, M. J. 1998. Tropical Mariculture and Coastal Environment Integrity. *In De Silva*, S. S. (ed.). *Tropical Mariculture*. London: Academic Press. pp. 17-70.
- Phuc, B. H. N., Ogle, R. B., Lindberg, J. E. & Preston, T. R. 1996. The Nutritive Value of Sun-Dried and Ensiled Cassava Leaves for Growing Pigs. *National Seminar Workshop on Sustainable Livestock Production on Local Feed Resources*. 10-14 September. Ho Chi Minh City: University of Agriculture and Forestry. 8(3).
- Pigott, G. M. & Tucker, B. W. 2002. Special Feeds. *In* Halver, J. E., & Hardy, R. W. (eds.). *Fish Nutrition*. (3rd edition). California: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier Science. pp. 651-669.
- Pike, I. H. 1990. The Role of Fish Oil in Feeds for Farmed Fish Estimated Current and Potential Use. *International Association of Fish Meal Manufacturers*, *Technical Bulletin*. No. 25, March 1990.
- Pike, I. H., Andorsdóttir, G. & Mundheim, H. 1990. The Role of Fish Meal in Diets for Salmonids. *International Association of Fish Meal Manufacturers, Technical Bulletin*. No. 24, March 1990.
- Pillay, T. V. R. & Kutty, M. N. (ed.) 2005. Nutrition and Feeds. *In Aquaculture Principles and Practices.* (2nd edition). Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd. pp. 105-173.
- Ricque-Marie, D., Abdo-de La Parra, M. I., Cruz-Suarez, L. E., Cuzon, G., Cousin, M., AQUACOP & Pike, I. H. 1998. Raw Material Freshness, a Quality Criterion for Fish Meal Fed to Shrimp. *Aquaculture*. **165**: 95-109.
- Rimmer, M. A. 2004. Introduction. *In Rimmer, M. A., McBride, S. & Williams, K. C.* (eds.). *Advances in Grouper Aquaculture*. ACIAR Monograph 110. Canberra: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research. pp. 1-6.
- Roberts, R. J. 2002. Nutritional Pathology. *In* Halver, J. E., & Hardy, R. W. (eds.). *Fish Nutrition*. (3rd edition). California: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier Science. pp. 454-500.
- Romarheim, O. H., Skrede, A., Gao, Y., Krogdahl, Å., Denstadli, V., Lilleeng, E. & Storebakken, T. 2006. Comparison of White Flakes and Toasted Soybean Meal Partly Replacing Fish Meal as Protein Source in Extruded Feed for Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*. **256**: 354-364.
- Romero, J. J., Castro, E., Diaz, A. M., Reveco, M. & Zaldívar, J. 1994. Evaluation of Methods to Certify the "Premium" Quality of Chilean Fish Meals. *Aquaculture*. **124**: 351-358.



- Sargent, J. R., Tocher, D. R. & Bell, J. G. 2002. The Lipids. In Halver, J. E., & Hardy, R. W. (eds.). Fish Nutrition. (3rd edition). California: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier Science. pp. 181-257.
- Senoo, S. 1997. Aquaculture Status in Malaysia (I, Sabah). *Aqua Culture Magazine*. **8**: 86-90. (In Japanese).
- Senoo, S. 2001. Aquaculture Status in Sabah I (Fish Culture in Southeast Asia 4). AquaNet. **7**:66-71. (In Japanese).
- Senoo, S. 2005. Considerations of Fish Seed Production I (Fish Culture in Southeast Asia 47). *AquaNet.* **5**: 44-49. (In Japanese).
- Shang, Y. C. & Tisdell, C. A. 1997. Economic Decision Making in Sustainable Aquacultural Development. *In* Bardach, J. E. (ed.). *Sustainable Aquaculture*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. pp. 127-148.
- Sim, S. Y., Rimmer, M., Williams, K., Toledo, J. D., Sugama, K., Remengan, I. & Philips, M. J. 2005. A Practical Guide to Feeds and Feed Management for Cultured Groupers. Publication No. 2005–02 of the Asia-Pacific Marine Finfish Aquaculture Network. NACA, Bangkok.
- Sim, S. Y. & Williams, K. 2005. Feed and Feeding Practices at Farm Level for Marine Finfish Aquaculture in Asia-Pacific. *In* Wilkinson, S. (ed.). *Aquaculture Asia Magazine*. January-March 2005. **X** (1): 25-46.
- Stobutzki, I., Garces, L., Fatan, N. A., French, S., Khemakorn, P., Kongprom, A., Dechboon, W., Supongpan, M., Nuruddin, A. A., Ismail, M. S. & Daud, N. 2005. Regional Synthesis on the Analysis of 'Trawl Base' Data for Low Value/"Trash Fish" Species and Their Utilization: The Status of Low Value and "Trash Fish" Resources in Coastal Fisheries of Thailand and Malaysia. Regional Workshop on Low Value and "Trash Fish" in the Asia-Pacific Region, 7-9 June. Hanoi, Viet Nam. FAO Regional Officer for Asia and the Pacific, Bangkok.
- Subhadra, B., Lochmann, R., Rawles, S. & Chen, R. 2006. Effect of Fish-Meal Replacement with Poultry By-Product Meal on the Growth, Tissue Composition and Hematological Parameters of Largemouth Bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) Fed Diets Containing Different Lipids. *Aquaculture*. 260: 221-231.
- Sugiyama, S., Staples, D. & Funge-Smith, S. 2004. Status and Potential of Fisheries and Aquaculture in Asia and the Pacific. RAP Publication 2004/05. FAO Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific. Bangkok.
- Suwirya, K. & Giri, N. A. 2005. Feed Development and Application for Juvenile Grouper. *In Wilkinson*, S. (ed.). *Aquaculture Asia Magazine*. January-March 2005. **X** (1): 34-35, 46.
- Tacon, A. G. J. 1994. Feed Ingredients for Carnivorous Fish Species: Alternatives to Fishmeal and Other Fishery Resources. FAO Fisheries Circular No. 881. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, Rome. September 1994.



- Tacon, A. G. J. 1995. Aquaculture Feeds and Feeding in the Next Millennium: Major Challenges and Issues. *FAO Aquaculture Newsletter*. **10**:2-8.
- Tacon, A. G. J. 2004. Use of Fish Meal and Fish Oil in Aquaculture: A Global Perspective. Aquatic Resources, Culture and Development. 1(1): 3-14.
- Takaoka, O., Takii, K., Nakamura, M., Kumai, H. & Takeda, M. 1995. Identification of Feeding Stimulants for Tiger Puffer. *Fisheries Science*. **61**(5): 833-836.
- Takeuchi, T., Toyota, M., Satoh, S. & Watanabe, T. 1990. Requirement of Juvenile Red Sea Bream *Pagrus major* for Eicosapentaenoic and Docosahexaenoic Acids. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi*. **56**(8): 1263-1269.
- Takeuchi, T., Shiina, Y. & Watanabe, T. 1991. Suitable Protein and Lipid Levels in Diet for Fingerlings of Red Sea Bream *Pagrus major. Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi.* **57**(2): 293-299.
- Takeuchi, T., Shiina, Y. & Watanabe, T. 1992. Suitable Levels of n-3 Highly Unsaturated Fatty Acids in Diet for Fingerlings of Red Sea Bream. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi*. **58**(3): 509-514.
- Takii, K., Ukawa, M., Nakamura, M. & Kumai, H. 1995a. Suitable Sugar Level in Brown Fish Meal Diet for Tiger Puffer. *Fisheries Science*. **61**(5): 837-840.
- Takii, K., Ukawa, M., Nakamura, M. & Kumai, H. 1995b. Suitable Lipid Level in Brown Fish Meal Diet for Tiger Puffer. *Fisheries Science*. **61**(5): 841-844.
- Takii, K., Konishi, K., Ukawa, M., Nakamura, M. & Kumai. H. 1997a. Comparison of Digestive and Absorptive Functions between Tiger Puffer and Red Sea Bream. *Fisheries Science.* **63**(3): 349-354.
- Takii, K., Konishi, K., Ukawa, M., Nakamura, M. & Kumai. H. 1997b. Influence of Feeding Rates on Digestive and Energy Flow in Tiger Puffer and Red Sea Bream. *Fisheries Science*. **63**(3): 355-360.
- Thongrod, S., Jintasataporn, O. & Boonyaratpalin, M. 2004. Feed and Feeding Constraints in Inland Aquaculture in Thailand. *In* Edwards, P. & Allan, G. L. (eds.). *Feeds and Feeding for Inland Aquaculture in Mekong River Countries*. ACIAR Technical Paper No. 56. Canberra: Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research. pp. 60–70.
- Tudor, K. W., Rosati, R. R. O'Rourke, P. D., Wu, Y. V., Sessa, D. & Brown, P. 1996. Technical and Economical Feasibility of On-farm Fish Feed Production Using Fishmeal Analogs. *Aquacultural Engineering.* **15**(1): 53-65.
- Tzikas, Z., Amvrosiadis, I., Soultos, N. & Georgakis, S. 2007. Seasonal Variation in the Chemical Composition and Microbial Condition of Mediterranean Horse Mackerel (*Trachurus meditertaneus*) muscle from the North Aegean Sea (Greece). Food Control. **18**(3): 251-257.
- Usman, Rachmansyah, Laining, A. & Ahmad, T. 2005. Grouper Grow-Out Feeds Research at Maros Research Institute for Coastal Aquaculture, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. *In* Wilkinson, S. (ed.). *Aquaculture Asia Magazine*. January–March 2005. **X**(1): 42-45.

- Utama, C. M. 1993. Aquafeeds and Feeding Strategies in Malaysia. *In New, M. B., Tacon, A. G. J. & Csavas, I. (eds.). Proceedings of the FAO/AADCP Regional Expert Consultation on Farm-Made Aquafeeds.* 14-18 December 1992, Bangkok, Thailand. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper. No. 343. Rome. pp. 282-296.
- Utama, C. M. & Nuruddin, A. A. 2005. Trash Fish Production and National Fish Feed Requirement in Malaysia. *Paper presented at Regional Workshop on Low Value and "Trash Fish" in the Asia-Pacific Region.* 7-9 June. Hanoi, Viet Nam.
- Vergara, J. M., López-Calero, G., Robaina, L., Caballero, M. J., Montero, D., Izquierdo, M. S. & Aksnes, A. 1999. Growth, Feed Utilization and Body Lipid Content of Gilthead Seabream (*Sparus aurata*) Fed Increasing Lipid Levels and Fish Meals of Different Quality. *Aquaculture*. **179**:35-44.
- Watanabe, T. 1992. Nutrition and Growth. *In* Shepherd, C. J. & Bromage, N. R. (eds.). *Intensive Fish Farming.* Oxford: Blackwell Science Ltd. pp. 154-197.
- Webster, C. D. & Lim, C. 2002. Introduction to Fish Nutrition. *In* Webster, C. D. & Lim, C. E. (eds.). *Nutrient Requirements and Feeding of Finfish for Aquaculture*. London: CABI Publishing. pp. 1-27.
- Williams, K. C. & Barlow, C. G. 1996. Nutritional research in Australia to improve pelleted diets for grow-out barramundi. *In* Kongkeo, H., Cabanban, A.S.Ž. (eds.). *Aquaculture of Coral Fishes and Sustainable Reef Fisheries*. NACA and Pacific, Bangkok.
- Williams, K. C., Smith, D. M., Williams, I. H., Irvin, S. J. & Barclay, M. 2005. ACIAR Grouper Grow-Out Feeds Program and Related CSIRO Research. *In* Wilkinson, S. (ed.). *Aquaculture Asia Magazine*. Volume X, No.1. pp. 29–34.
- Wilson, R. P. 2002. Amino Acids and Proteins. *In* Halver, J. E., & Hardy, R. W. (eds.). *Fish Nutrition*. (3rd edition). California: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier Science. pp. 144-171.
- Windsor, M. L. 2001. Fish Meal. FAO in partnership with Support unit for International Fisheries and Aquatic Research, SIFAR, 2001. Department of Trade and Industry, Torry Research Station, Torry Advisory Note No. 49.
- Yang, Y., Xie, S., Cui, Y., Lei, W., Zhu, X., Yang, Y. & Yu, Y. 2004. Effect of Replacement of Dietary Fish Meal by Meat and Bone Meal and Poultry By-Product Meal on Growth and Feed Utilization of Gibel Carp, Carassius auratus gibelio. Aquaculture Nutrition. 10(5): 289-294.
- Yoshinaka, R. & Satoh, M. 1989. Methods of Fishery Chemistry. *In* Kohseisya, Kohseikan, 1st edition. Tokyo. pp. 77-81.
- Yoshitomi, B., Aoki, M., Oshima, S. & Hata, K. 2006. Evaluation of Krill (*Euphausia superba*) Meal as a Partial Replacement for Fish Meal in Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) diets. *Aquaculture*. **261**(1): 440-446.



- Zugarramurdi, A., Parin, M. A., Carrizo, G. A., Gadaleta, L. & Lupin, H. M. 2002. Investment and Production Costs for Fishmeal Plants in Developing and Developed Countries. *International Journal of Production Economics*. **76**(1): 53-59.
- Zuraini, A., Somchit, M. N., Solihah, M. H., Goh, Y. M., Arifah, A. K., Zakaria, M. S., Somchit, N., Rajion, M. A., Zakaria, Z. A. & Mat Jais, A. M. 2006. Fatty Acid and Amino Acid Composition of Three Local Malaysian *Channa* spp. Fish. *Food Chemistry.* **97**(4): 674-678.