THE ROLE OF SENSORY ORGANS ON THE FEEDING ACTIVITY OF *Penaeus vannamei* UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITIONS

NOORSYARINAH BINTI SANUDIN

PERPUSTAKAAN

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORNEO MARINE RESEARCH INSTITUTE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

2015

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL: THE ROLE OF SENSORY ORGANS ON THE FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITIONS

IJAZAH: SARJANA SAINS dalam bidang AKUAKULTUR

Saya <u>NOORSYARINAH SANUDIN</u>, Sesi Pengajian <u>2012-2015</u>, mengaku membenarkan tesis Sarjana Sains ini disimpan di perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-

- 1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan penyelidikan sahaja.
- 3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. Sila tandakan (/)



(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)



TIDAK TERHAD

(Tandatangan Penulis)

Tarikh: 26 Ogos 2015

Disahkan oleh,

IONAZLYNNE MOHD. JOHAN @ JACKLYNE Pustakawan Niversiti Malaysia Sabah

(Tandatangan Pustakawan)

DR. ANNITA YONG SEOK KIAN Senior Lecturer eo Marine Research Institute Malaysia Sabah

(DR. ANNITA YONG SEOK KIAN Penvelia

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is based on my original and independent work except for quotations, citations, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

26 AUGUST 2015

Noorsyaninah binti Sanudin PO20118320



CERTIFICATION

NAME	:	NOORSYARINAH BINTI SANUDIN
MATRIC NO	:	P020118320
TITLE	:	THE ROLE OF SENSORY ORGANS ON THE FEEDING
		ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK
		CONDITIONS
DEGREE	:	MASTER OF SCIENCE (AQUACULTURE)
VIVA DATE		30 JUNE 2015

CERTIFIED BY

1. SUPERVISOR Dr. Annita Yong Seok Kian

Signature

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

2. CO-SUPERVISOR Prof. Dr. Gunzo Kawamura

山村事意

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I owe my deepest gratitude to Allah s.w.t for allowing me to complete my study successfully. I would like to express my sincere grateful acknowledgement to my supervisor, Dr. Annita Yong Seok Kian for her guidance, constructive advices to my work, valuable suggestions, support and continuous encouragement throughout the completion of this dissertation. My gratitude also goes to my co-supervisors, Miss Audrey Daning Tuzan and Prof. Dr. Gunzo Kawamura who had imparted me with their knowledge in my work.

I thank Universiti Malaysia Sabah and Ministry of Higher Education for providing me the scholarship to support my study. I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Dr. Saleem Mustafa, Director of Borneo Marine Research Institute and Prof. Datin Dr. Mariam Abd. Latip, Dean of Centre for Postgraduate Studies for their encouragement and assistance.

My sincere appreciation is also extended to Borneo Marine Research Institute and Centre for Research and Innovation for allowing me to use the equipment in the institute during my experiment. I extend my heartfelt thanks to all lecturers and staffs of Borneo Marine Research Institute for their co-operation.

I wish to heartily express my indebtedness to my dear colleagues and friends: Mr. Lim Leong Seng, Mr. Tamrin Mohamad Lal, Ms. Asrazitah Abd Razak, Mr. Najamuddin Abd Basri, Ms. Afizah Taib, Ms. Noor Amalia Shaiful Kahar, Ms. Ooi Shing Yau, Ms. Nurul Ain Mohd Shariff, Ms. Suraini Lajimin, and all staff of Shrimp Hatchery, Borneo Marine Research Institute for their assistance and valuable inputs directly or indirectly for the successful completion of this dissertation.

Last but not least, I would like to express my sincere gratefulness to my family and Mr. Azaharie Anuar for their love, encouragement, patience and emotional support throughout my difficult period in completing this study.

ABSTRACT

Penaeus vannamei is one of the important shrimp species in aquaculture. The use of light during the P. vannamei post larval rearing is not well documented. Thus different hatcheries applying different lighting conditions during the post larval rearing. This study was conducted to examine the effects of light or dark condition on the feeding activity and the effect of extended light or dark condition on the growth and survival of P. vannamei. To achieve these objectives, ingestion experiments were conducted using different sizes of shrimps; 0.5cm total length, TL (PL5), 1.0cm TL (PL10), 1.5cm TL (PL20) and 2.0cm TL (PL30) by providing them live or frozen Artemia under light or dark condition. After the ingestion experiment, the shrimps were preserved in Bouin's solution for histological observation of the eye and setae. Besides that, shrimps (1.0cm TL~PL10) were subjected to different lighting conditions 24 hours light (24L), 24 hours dark (24D) and a natural diurnal cycle (12LD) to examine the effect of extended lighting conditions on the growth performance for three weeks. The ingestion experiments revealed that the 0.5cm TL shrimp showed high preference in ingesting frozen Artemia under light condition compared to dark condition. However, the ingestion of live Artemia showed no significant difference (P>0.05) under both lighting conditions. Histological observation showed that the optical properties of the 0.5cm TL shrimp comprises of crystalline cone, rhabdom and fasciculated zone. However, it was incomplete due to the lack of clear zone which indicates that the shrimps are unable to adapt to dark conditions. On the contrary, the ingestion rate of live and frozen Artemia under both lighting conditions by bigger sizes of shrimps (1.0, 1.5 and 2.0cm TL) showed no significant differences (P>0.05). Histological observation showed that shrimps from the size of 1.0cm TL (PL10) onwards, have a complete eye structure with the appearance of clear zone which indicates the ability of the shrimp to adapt in dark condition. The width of clear zone increased proportionally with the growth of the shrimps. Through setae observation, it was found that setae densities were different at each appendage of shrimp. The highest density of setae was recorded at the antennules while the lowest density at the antenna and nonchelae pereiopod. The setae density of the appendage did not increase with the shrimp size (0.5cm to 2.0cm TL) except at the maxilliped where increased of density was observed from 0.5cm TL to 1.5cm TL shrimp. Result of the rearing experiment, found that the shrimps were not affected by the extended period of dark or light condition as the growth, feed utilization and survival rate were not differed significantly (P>0.05) among the treatments. Overall, this study found that 0.5cm TL shrimp~PL5 depend more on the visual receptor in searching food. Providing the shrimps with frozen Artemia under dark condition minimized the function of the visual and mechanoreceptor. However, the ability of the shrimps (PL5-PL30) to detect food under these conditions indicating that other sensory organs are playing role in detecting food. In practical, this study would suggest that brighter condition to be used in the rearing of 0.5cm TL shrimp and from 1.0cm TL onwards (>PL10) any lighting regimes can be used.

ABSTRAK

PERANAN ORGAN DERIA TERHADAP AKTIVITI PEMAKANAN *Penaeus vannamei* DI DALAM KEADAAN TERANG DAN GELAP

Penaeus vannamei ialah spesies udang yang popular dalam bidang akuakultur. Walau bagaimanapun, tidak ada dokumentasi lengkap tentang penggunaan cahaya dalam pengkulturan larva ini. Oleh itu penggunaan cahaya untuk untuk setiap hatceri adalah berlainan. Kajian ini dijalankan bagi mengkaji kesan keadaan terang atau gelap terhadap aktiviti pemakanan dan kesan pencahayaan yang panjang terhadap tumbesaran dan kemandirian udang. Bagi mencapai objektif ini, eksperimen pemakanan dijalankan menggunakan udang yang berlainan saiz iaitu 0.5cm (PL5), 1.0cm (PL10), 1.5cm (PL20) dan 2.0cm (PL30) dan udang tersebut diberi makan Artemia hidup atau beku di dalam keadaan terang atau gelap. Setelah itu, udang diawet di dalam larutan Bouin's bagi tujuan pemerhatian histologi terhadap mata dan seta. Selain itu, bagi mengkaji kesan pencahayaan yang panjang terhadap tumbesaran udang, udang bersaiz 1.0cm telah dikultur dalam keadaan pencahayaan yang berbeza iaitu 24 jam bercahaya (24L), 24 jam gelap (24D) dan di dalam keadaan ritma diurnal (12LD) selama tiga minggu. Hasil eksperimen pemakanan mendapati bahawa udang bersaiz 0.5cm, menunjukkan kecenderungan yang tinggi memakan Artemia beku di dalam keadaan terang berbanding gelap (P<0.05). Tetapi, kadar pemakanan Artemia hidup di dalam keadaan terang dan gelap tidak menunjukkan perbezaan bererti (P>0.05). Pemerhatian histologi mendapati bahawa struktur optik udang yang bersaiz 0.5cm merangkumi kon berhablur, rabdom dan zon fasikulat. Tetapi struktur optik ini adalah kurang lengkap kerana ketiadaan zon terang yang menunjukkan udang pada peringkat ini tidak dapat beradaptasi di dalam kedaan gelap. Hal ini berbeza dengan udang bersaiz lebih besar (1.0, 1.5 dan 2.0cm) kerana kadar pemakanan Artemia hidup dan beku tidak menunjukkan perbezaan bererti (P>0.05). Pemerhatian histologi mendapati udang bersaiz besar mempunyai struktur optik vang lengkap dengan kehadiran zon terang, Ini menunjukkan udang berkebolehan beradaptasi di dalam keadaan gelap. Kelebaran zon terang didapati meningkat sejajar dengan tumbesaran udang. Pemerhatian terhadap seta mendapati kepadatan seta adalah berbeza di setiap anggota badan udang. Kepadatan seta vang tinggi direkodkan di antenul manakala seta yang terdapat di sensungut antena dan pereiopod yang tidak bersepit mencatatkan kepadatan yang terendah. Walaupun udang membesar, kepadatan seta pada setiap anggota badan udang adalah sama kecuali di bahagian maksiliped di mana, kepadatan seta meningkat ketika udang membesar dari saiz 0.5cm kepada 1.5cm. Hasil kajian pengkulturan udang mendapati kesan pencahayaan yang panjang tidak mempengaruhi udang kerana kadar tumbesaran dan kemandirian tidak menunjukkan perbezaan bererti (P>0.05). Secara keseluruhannya, kajian ini mendapati udang bersaiz 0.5cm~PL5 lebih bergantung kepada mata dalam pencarian makanan. Pembekalan Artemia beku di dalam keadaan gelap telah meminimumkan fungsi deria penglihatan dan mekanoreseptor udang. Tetapi, udang (PL5-PL30) masih mampu mengesan makanan di dalam kedaan gelap, menunjukkan bahawa terdapat organ deria lain yang memainkan peranan dalam pengesanan makanan. Secara praktikal kajian ini mencadangkan agar keadaan pengkulturan udang bersaiz 0.5cm (<PL5) dijalankan di dalam keadaan terang dan udang bersaiz 1.0cm dan seterusnya (>PL10) boleh dikultur di dalam kedaan terang mahupun gelap.

LIST OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION iii DECLARATION iiii CERTIFICATION iiii ACKNOWLEDGEMENT iv ABSTRACT v ABSTRAK vi LIST OF CONTENTS vii LIST OF CONTENTS vii LIST OF FIGURES x LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF SYMBOLS xiii CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 2 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2 1 2.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 6 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 8 2 2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 10 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24	ттті		Page
DECLARATION III CERTIFICATION IIII ACKNOWLEDGEMENT IV ABSTRACT V ABSTRAK VI LIST OF CONTENTS VI LIST OF CONTENTS VI LIST OF FABLES ix LIST OF FABLES ix LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF SYMBOLS xiii CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 2 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2 1 2.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 5 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 8 2 2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 10 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4 Pe	DEC		
CERTIFICATION iii ACKNOWLEDGEMENT iv ABSTRACT v ABSTRAK vi LIST OF CONTENTS vii LIST OF CONTENTS vii LIST OF FIGURES ix LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xiii LIST OF SYMBOLS xiiii CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 2 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2 4 2.1 Biology of Penaeus vannamei 5 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 6 2.1.2 Life Cycle of Penaeus vannamei 8 2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Steeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21	DEC	LARATION	11
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT iv ABSTRACT vv ABSTRAK vi LIST OF CONTENTS vi LIST OF CONTENTS vi LIST OF TABLES ix LIST OF FIGURES x LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF SYMBOLS villi CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Biology of Penaeus vannamei 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 2.2.2 Setae 13 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 27 3.2 Materials and Methods 28 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 28 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 34 3.2.4 Data Analysis 37 3.3 Results 38	CER	TIFICATION	m
ABSTRACT v ABSTRAK vii LIST OF CONTENTS viii LIST OF TABLES ix LIST OF TABLES ix LIST OF FIGURES x LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xiii LIST OF SYMBOLS xiiii CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 2 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2 2.1 Biology of Penaeus vannamei 5 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 8 2.2.2 Setae 10 2.2.2 Setae 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER 27 3.1 Introduction 27 3.2.1 Source of Post Larv	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRAK vi LIST OF CONTENTS vii LIST OF CONTENTS ix LIST OF TABLES ix LIST OF FIGURES x LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF SYMBOLS xiiii CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 2 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2 2.1 Biology of Penaeus vannamei 2 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 6 2.1.2 Life Cycle of Penaeus vannamei 8 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 2.2.2 Setae 13 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING	ABS	TRACT	v
LIST OF CONTENTS vii LIST OF TABLES ix LIST OF FIGURES x LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF SYMBOLS xiii LIST OF SYMBOLS xiii CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Biology of Penaeus vannamei 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 2.2.2 Setae 13 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 27 3.1 Introduction 27 3.2 Materials and Methods 28 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 28 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 28 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 34 3.2.4 Data Analysis 37 3.3 Results 38	ABS	TRAK	vi
LIST OF TABLES ix LIST OF FIGURES x LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF SYMBOLS xiii CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Biology of Penaeus vannamei 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 2.1.2 Life Cycle of Penaeus vannamei 2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 2.2.2 Setae 13 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 27 3.1 Introduction 27 3.2 Materials and Methods 28 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 28 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 28 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 34 3.2.4 Data Analysis 37 3.3 Results 78	LIST	OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES x LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF SYMBOLS xiii CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Biology of Penaeus vannamei 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 2.1.2 Life Cycle of Penaeus vannamei 2.1.2 Life Cycle of Penaeus vannamei 2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 2.2.2 Setae 13 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 27 3.1 Introduction 27 3.2 Materials and Methods 28 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 28 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 28 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 34 3.2.4 Data Analysis 37 3.3 Results 38	LIST	OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xii LIST OF SYMBOLS xiii CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Biology of <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> 2.1.1 External Anatomy of <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> 2.1.2 Life Cycle of <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> 2.2 Sensory Organs 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 2.3.1 Light 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 2.3.3 Photoperiod 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 2.5 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 3.1 Introduction 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 3.2.4 Data Analysis 3.3 Results 38	LIST	OF FIGURES	х
LIST OF SYMBOLS xiii CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Biology of Penaeus vannamei 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 2.1.2 Life Cycle of Penaeus vannamei 2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 2.2.2 Setae 13 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 27 3.1 Introduction 27 3.2 Materials and Methods 28 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 28 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 28 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 34 3.2.4 Data Analysis 37 3.3 Results 38	LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1 1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei 1 1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements 2 1.3 Objectives 4 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Biology of Penaeus vannamei 5 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 6 2.1.2 Life Cycle of Penaeus vannamei 8 2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 2.2.2 Setae 13 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 27 3.1 Introduction 27 3.2 Materials and Methods 28 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 28 <td>LIST</td> <td>OF SYMBOLS</td> <td>xiii</td>	LIST	OF SYMBOLS	xiii
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Biology of Penaeus vannamei SABAH 5 2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei 6 2.1.2 Life Cycle of Penaeus vannamei 8 2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 2.2.2 Setae 13 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 3.1 Introduction 27 3.2 Materials and Methods 28 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 28 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 34 3.2.4 Data Analysis 37 3.3 Results 38	CHA 1.1 1.2 1.3	PTER 1: INTRODUCTION Whiteleg Shrimp, Penaeus vannamei Significant of Study and Problem Statements Objectives	1 2 4
 2.1 Biology of <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> (VERSTMALAYSIA SABAH) 2.1.1 External Anatomy of <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> 2.1.2 Life Cycle of <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> 8 2.2 Sensory Organs 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 2.2.2 Setae 2.3 Light 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 2.3.3 Photoperiod 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 3.1 Introduction 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 3.4 Data Analysis 3.7 3.3 Results 	CHA	PTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	_
2.2 Sensory Organs 9 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 10 2.2.2 Setae 13 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 27 3.1 Introduction 27 3.2 Materials and Methods 28 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 28 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 28 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 34 3.2.4 Data Analysis 37 3.3 Results 38	2.1	Biology of <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> 2.1.1 External Anatomy of <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> 2.1.2 Life Cycle of <i>Penaeus vannamei</i>	5 6 8
 2.3 Light 15 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 15 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 19 2.3.3 Photoperiod 21 2.4 Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 24 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing 25 CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 27 3.1 Introduction 27 3.2 Materials and Methods 28 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 28 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 28 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 34 3.2.4 Data Analysis 37 	2.2	Sensory Organs 2.2.1 The Compound Eyes 2.2.2 Setae	9 10 13
2.4Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing24CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION273.1Introduction273.2Materials and Methods 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 3.2.4 Data Analysis283.3Results38	2.3	Light 2.3.1 Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Condition 2.3.2 Feeding Activity 2.3.3 Photoperiod	15 15 19 21
CHAPTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF Penaeus vannamei UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION 3.1 Introduction 27 3.2 Materials and Methods 28 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 28 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 28 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation 34 3.2.4 Data Analysis 37 3.3 Results 38	2.4	Penaeidae Shrimp Larvae Rearing 2.4.1 The Use of Light in Shrimp Rearing	24 25
3.1Introduction273.2Materials and Methods283.2.1Source of Post Larvae283.2.2Ingestion Experiment283.2.3Microscopic Observation343.2.4Data Analysis373.3Results38	СНА	PTER 3: FEEDING ACTIVITY OF <i>Penaeus vannamei</i> UNDER LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION	
3.2Materials and Methods283.2.1 Source of Post Larvae283.2.2 Ingestion Experiment283.2.3 Microscopic Observation343.2.4 Data Analysis373.3Results38	3.1	Introduction	27
3.2.4 Data Analysis373.3 Results38	3.2	Materials and Methods 3.2.1 Source of Post Larvae 3.2.2 Ingestion Experiment 3.2.3 Microscopic Observation	28 28 28 34
	3.3	3.2.4 Data Analysis Results	37 38

3.4	 3.3.1 The Ingestion Experiment 3.3.2 Eye Structures 3.3.3 Eye Adaptation to Light and Dark Condition 3.4 Setae Distribution Discussion 3.4.1 The Ingestion of Food under Light and Dark Conditions 3.4.2 The Eyes Adaptation under Light and Dark Conditions 3.4.3 Setae Distribution at Different Sized of Shrimps 	38 39 41 45 51 51 53 56
СНАР	TER 4: POST LARVAL REARING OF <i>Penaeus vannamei</i>	
	UNDER EXTENDED LIGHT AND DARK CONDITION	
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Materials and Methods	62
	4.2.1 Feeding Activity and Growth Performance of Shrimp Post	62
	Larvae under Light and Dark Condition	
	4.2.2 Data Analysis	66
4.3	Results	6/
	4.3.1 Growth Performance	6/
4.4	Discussion	69
СНАР	TER 5: GENERAL CONCLUSION	
5.1	Conclusion	73
5.2	Recommendation	74
REFE	RENCES	75
APPE	NDICES	92
	Columber I HAVE DOIT HAAL AVOID CAPALI	
	UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH	

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Global aquaculture production of L. vannamei	2
Figure 2.1	The biological hierarchy of L. vannamei	5
Figure 2.2	External anatomy of L. vannamei	6
Figure 2.3	The life cycle of Penaeid species	9
Figure 2.4	Diagram of ommatidium of L. vannamei	11
Figure 2.5	Schematic illustration of the anatomy of the screening	17
	pigment granules and rhabdom of the giant freshwater	
	prawn, M. rosenbergii. A. eye was light adapted from 0600	
	to 1100 hours. B. eye was light adapted from 0600 to 2100	
	hours. C. eye was dark adapted from 1800 to 2100 hours. D.	
	eye was dark adapted from 1030 to 1120.	
Figure 3.1	Dark room used for the ingestion experiment	30
Figure 3.2	Fluorescent lamp (Power-Glo lamp, 15 W, Hagen, Canada)	30
Figure 3.3	Lux meter (Light meter Model LT300, Extech Instrument,	31
	USA)	
Figure 3.4	Flow chart of experimental procedures in the ingestion	33
	experiment.	
Figure 3.5	Specimens preserved in Bouin's solution.	36
Figure 3.6	Different concentrations of ethanol for dehydration and	36
	xylene for clearing process.	
Figure 3.7	Specimens were placed on paraffin wax inside the paraffin	36
	oven	
Figure 3.8	Specimens were fixed in a paper box filled with molten	36
	paraffin was.	
Figure 3.9	Specimen was trimmed into cubic shape on a wood block.	37
Figure 3.10	Microtome used to make section ribbons. Model: Shandon	37
	Retraction AS325.	
Figure 3.11	Specimen was fixed on a glass slide after cutting process.	37
Figure 3.12	Few drops of Canada balsam was put on the glass slide.	37
Figure 3.13	Light micrographs of eye structures of L. vannamei at	40

Gall 1

different sizes under light condition. a) 0.5cm TL. b). 1.0cm TL. c). 1.5cm TL. d). 2.0cm TL. Scale bar 100µm. CC: crystalline cone, CZ: clear zone, RD: rhabdom, FZ: fasciculated zone. Arrow shows the position of screening pigment granules under light condition.

- Figure 3.14 Changes in width of the clear zone in the eye of *L. vannamei* 41 at different sizes.
- Figure 3.15 Eye sections of *L. vannamei*, a) Dark adapted eye of 0.5cm
 TL shrimp. b). Light adapted eye of 0.5cm TL shrimp. c).
 Dark adapted eye of 1.0cm TL. d). Light adapted eye of
 1.0cm TL shrimp. Scale bar 100µm. CC: crystalline cone, CZ:
 clear zone, RD: rhabdom, SPG: screening pigment granules,
 FZ: fasciculated zone.
- Figure 3.16 Eye sections of *L. vannamei.* a) Dark adapted eye of 1.5cm
 TL shrimp. b). Light adapted eye of 1.5cm TL shrimp. c).
 Dark adapted eye of 2.0cm TL. d). Light adapted eye of
 2.0cm TL shrimp. Scale bar 100µm. CC: crystalline cone, CZ:
 clear zone, RD: rhabdom, SPG: screening pigment granules,
 FZ: fasciculated zone.
- Figure 3.17 The distribution of setae at the antenna and antennules of 47 different sizes of shrimp.
- Figure 3.18 The distribution of setae at the maxilliped and chelae 48 pereiopd of different sizes of shrimp.
- Figure 3.19 The distribution of setae at the non-chelae pereiopod and 49 pleopod of different sizes of shrimp.
- Figure 3.20 The distribution of setae at the uropod of different sizes of 50 shrimp.
- Figure 4.1 Dark room used for shrimp rearing under extended light and 63 dark condition.
- Figure 4.2 Analytical balance, model PA214C, OHAUS Pioneer, USA. 65
- Figure 4.3 Flow chart of experimental procedures of post larval rearing. 66
- Figure 4.4 The final body weight of shrimps cultured under different 68 photoperiod for three weeks.

xi

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Functions of eye component	12
Table 3.1	The water volume and number of Artemia nauplii given for	33
	different sizes of shrimp	
Table 3.2	The number of Artemia nauplii ingested by the different sizes	39
	of L. vannamei under light and dark condition	
Table 3.3	The density of setae at the appendages of different sizes of	51
	shrimp measured at 100µm	
Table 4.1	The growth performance and feed utilization of L. vannamei	68



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance	
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations	
FCR	Feed conversion ratio	
PL	Post larvae	
SEAFDEC	Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center	
SGR	Specific growth rate	
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences	
TL	Total length	
12LD	12 hours light and dark condition	
24D	24 hours dark condition	
24L	24 hours light condition	





LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	Percentage
°C	Degree Celcius
cm	centimeter
g	gram
L	liter
М	meter
mg	milligram
ml	milliliter
mm	millimeter
ppt	parts per thousand
um	micrometer



CHAPTER 1

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Whiteleg Shrimp, *Penaeus vannamei*

Penaeus vannamei (Boone, 1931) is commonly known as whiteleg shrimp and locally as *udang putih. P. vannmaei* is a native species to the Eastern Pacific coast from the Gulf of California, Mexico to Tumbes and to North of Peru (FAO, 2004; Wakida-Kusunoki, 2011).

The main reason for the importation of *P. vannamei* to the Asian country is due to the disease outbreak of tiger prawn, Penaeus monodon aquaculture that led to low production and huge economic losses. In Malaysia, P. monodon had been a major cultured species. However, disease outbreak (Senanan et al., 2007) such as yellow head virus and white spot viruses (Briggs et al., 2004; Wyban, 2007) and poor growth performance (Briggs et al., 2004; Senanan et al., 2007) had lowered the production and price for this species (Senanan et al., 2007). This pressured the aquaculturist in Malaysia to culture other species such as *P. vannamei* as an alternative species. The same problems were also reported in Thailand, Indonesia, Philippines, Myanmar and some other countries (SEAFDEC, 2005). In Malaysia, the Department of Fisheries rejected a proposal by foreign investors in 2000 to introduce *P. vannamei* as substitute to P. monodon (FAO, 2004), due to their concern on the ability of P. vannamei to carry taura syndrome virus (FAO, 2004). Despite the diseases concern, P. vannamei has been produced worldwide since 2000 (Figure 1.1). The global production of P. vannamei started globally in 1980. It is reported that China is the main producer followed by Thailand, Indonesia and Vietnam in 2004 (FAO, 2015a).





As the aquaculture interest for *P. vannamei* increases, research on the reproduction, behavior and nutrition has been extensively conducted. Meanwhile, there are many factors have been documented to influence the growth and survival of shrimp such as the stocking density, water temperature, salinity, dissolve oxygen and pH (Moullac and Haffner, 2000). However, the influence of light received little attention and still remains controversial especially in shrimp culture. As a result, different hatcheries introduced or exposed their cultured shrimp with different light condition without considering or understanding the ability of the cultured shrimp to feed under those conditions.

1.2 Significant of Study and Problem Statements

The use of light is noticeably different in different hatcheries. In Taiwan, the larvae are cultured in a total dark condition until they reached the post larval stages or the rearing tanks are shaded during the light sensitive zoeal stages (Liao, 1992). In some other countries, the hatcheries are equipped with transparent roofs to allow natural

penetration of sunlight to the rearing tanks (FAO, 2002). Whereas, other hatcheries provide a 24 hours light condition in the rearing tanks (Smith *et al.*, 1992). Although, it was commonly known that continuous lighting was intended to enhance the growth of the algae or to promote the growth of the shrimp, the used of lighting in the larval rearing is generally for human convenience (Smith *et al.*, 1992).

The ability of several species of crustacean to feed under light and dark condition had been investigated thoroughly. The northern krill, *Meganyctiphanes norvegica* was found actively hunt and consumed more *Calanus* spp. and *Metridia longa* at low, realistic light intensities than in total dark condition. The provided foods were ingested three times higher in the low light intensities than under total dark condition by the *M. norvegica* (Togersen, 2001). The spiny water flea, *Bythotrephes longimanus* was also reported to feed relatively more *Daphnia* under the light condition, and no *Daphnia* was ingested under low light intensities (Pangle and Peacor, 2009). While other crustacean species such as *Lucifer faxoni* can feed well under both light and dark conditions (Vega-Perez *et al.*, 1996). However, the ability of the penaeid shrimp especially the *P. vannamei* to feed under different lighting conditions is not well documented.

The ability of crustacean to search for food under the light condition is usually assisted by the visual receptor. In crustacean, the compound eyes are composed of repetitively identical visual units called ommatidia and each of the ommatidium consist of optical structures called the cornea and crystalline cone. The ommatidium that are stacked on top of rhabdoms are capable of sensing light (Schoenemann, 2013; Cronin and Jinks, 2001). Lay underneath the rhabdom, there are screening pigment granules that control light that enter the eye (Yahaya *et al.*, 2012). It has been reported that many crustaceans have the ability to change the optical properties of their eyes under light and dark adaptation (Meyer-Rochow, 2001). The adaptations of the compound eye under light and dark conditions are well studied on the juvenile and sub-adult of *P. vannamei* (Matsuda and Wilder, 2014) but not on the early stage of post larvae.

Other sensory organs such as setae are also responsible in helping crustacean in searching food (Wroblewska *et al.*, 2002; Corotto and O'Brien, 2002; Steullet *et al.*, 2001; Derby *et al.*, 2001). Setae can be found from all body parts of shrimp such as antennules, antenna, maxilliped, pereiopods, pleopods and uropod (Chan *et al.*, 1988). A lot of studies have been conducted to investigate the role of setae in searching food. However, study on the distribution of setae at different appendages of shrimp is not well documented.

This research was undertaken to determine the feeding activity of *P. vannamei* post larvae under light and dark conditions. Besides that, this research examined the role of the eyes and setae distribution at different sizes of post larvae and juveniles. Results of this research can help to improve the rearing technique of *P. vannamei* post larvae and juveniles in the hatchery and provide information on the importance of eyes and setae during the feeding activity of this species.

1.3 Objectives

In order to understand the feeding activity of *P. vannamei* under light and dark condition, this study was divided into three objectives. These objectives are:

- 1. To investigate the feeding activity and eye adaptation of *P. vannamei* with live or frozen *Artemia* nauplii under light or dark condition.
- 2. To investigate the setae distribution at different sizes of post larvae.
- 3. To investigate the effect of extended light or dark condition on growth and survival of *P. vannamei*.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Biology of *Penaeus vannamei*

P. vannamei is a marine crustacean and it is classified scientifically as shown in Figure 2.1. This species has same anatomy and life cycle of others Penaeidae shrimp (Galitzine *et al.*, 2009). Normally, the adult has translucent and bluish-green colour. This colour is due to the pigmentation of chromatophores which are the molecules that developed to collect and reflect light. This species can be distinguished by a rostrum armed with 1-3 ventral teeth and 8-9 dorsal teeth and the rostrum slightly curves down (Wakida-Kusunoki, 2011; Bailey-Brock and Moss, 1992).

Kingdom: Animalia Phylum: Arthropoda Subphylum: Crustacea Class: Małacostraca Order: Decapoda Family: Penaeidae Genus: *Penaeus* Species: *Penaeus vannamei*

Figure 2.1 : The taxanomic hierarchy of *P. vannamei.*Source : Integrated taxanomic information system (ITIS)

2.1.1 External Anatomy of Penaeus vannamei

The body of a shrimp can be divided into two main divisions which are the cephalothorax and the abdomen (Figure 2.2). Cephalothorax contains the antennules, antennae, compound eyes, maxillipeds and pereiopods. While the abdomen of a shrimp includes pleopods, uropods and telson and the abdomen are segmented into five segments (Stamhuis and Videler, 1998).



Figure 2.2 : External anatomy of *P. vannamei.*

Source : FAO (1999)

There are two pairs of antennae affixed to the head of a shrimp and both of them perform sensory function. The first pair of antenna known as antennules and it is located just ventral to the compound eye (Daniel *et al.*, 2008; Johnson and Atema, 2005; Schmidt and Derby, 2005). The antennules have two flagellums which are the lateral flagellum and medial flagellum (Horner *et al.*, 2008a, 2008b; Johnson and Atema, 2005; Schmidt and Derby, 2005; Steullet *et al.*, 2001). While the second pair of antennae are much longer than the antennules and sometimes the length of the antenna is three times that of the body length (Denton and Gray, 1985).

Maxillipeds are the first three pairs of appendages that can be found around the cephalothorax. Structurally, the third pair of maxillipeds closely similar to the pereiopod (Young, 1959). The function of the third maxilliped is to grasp large food particles and hold the food next to the pereiopod with chelae for further size reduction and move the food to the mouthpart for swallowing (Garm, 2004a; Wroblewska *et al.*, 2002; Hunt *et al.*, 1992; Derby and Atema, 1982; Young, 1959).

There are five pairs of pereiopods which also known as walking legs. In Penaeid species, the pereiopods are relatively long and slender and have chemosensory setae that responsible in discriminating edible and non-edible materials (Hindley and Alexander, 1978). The first three pairs of pereiopods bear small chelae with sharp cutting edges (Young, 1959) and it is a diagnostic feature of the family penaeidae (Hindley and Alexander, 1978). According to Hindley and Alexander (1978), shrimp used one or more chelae to transfer food particles to the mouthpart. While the last two pairs of pereiopods are the non-chelae limb.

Each of the abdominal segments of a shrimp bears a pair of pleopods which also called as swimmerets or swimming legs (Stamhuis and Videler, 1998). Totally, there are five pairs of pleopods. The pleopods help the shrimp to propel themselves forward rapidly for a great distances (Stamhuis and Videler, 1998; Young, 1959).

According to Young (1959), the tail fan of a shrimp is made up of the telson and uropods, which are projecting from the posterior end of the 6th abdominal segment. Usually, the telson bears the anus and normally considered as an unsegmented body part. The main function of the tail fan (uropods and telson) is to draw the shrimp backwards through the water (Stamhuis and Videler, 1998; Young, 1959).

7

2.1.2 Life Cycle of *P. vannamei*

P. vannamei is known as euryhaline and eurythermal species. This is based on the life cycle of this species which comprise several stages and in each of the stages the shrimp inhabit variety of habitats (Bailey-Brock and Moss, 1992) as shown in Figure 2.3. Once the *P. vannamei* reach adult stage, it will mature, mate and spawn their eqgs in coastal tropical water at depths of about 10 - 80m (Wyban et al., 1995). After hatching, the larvae will undergo larval development for about two to three weeks followed by inshore migration by the post larvae when the larvae size are about 6 – 14mm. Normally, there are 11 larval stages including 6 stages of nauplii, 3 stages of protozoea and lastly 3 stages of mysis. Then the post larvae and juveniles will adapt to a shallow nursery environment in mangrove estuaries with a brackish waters (Fast, 1992). After that, the shrimp will leave the estuaries and migrate to offshore once the shrimp reach a larger size which is around 100 – 200mm of total length (TL) and a body weight of 8 - 10g (FAO, 2015a). Besides that, penaeids shrimp passed through three stages in their life cycle (FAO, 2015a). The first stage is larvae whereby the larvae are adapting to oceanic salinities and surface temperature. As they grow up to juvenile stage, they will adapt to estuarine salinities and coastal temperature and lastly the adult will tolerate to oceanic salinities and bottom temperature (Holthuis, 1980).

JNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

They I have



Figure 2.3 : The life cycle of Penaied species.

Source : Rosenberry (2005)

2.2 Sensory Organs

Shrimp is equipped with two major sensory organs which are the compound eye and setae. These sensory organs play different roles. Generally eye is known to provide vision and act as a visual receptor. However, the function of eyes for crustacean is differed based on the stages (Cronin and Jinks, 2001). Primarily, eye is used to focus with orientation in water column, vertical migration and avoidance of predators throughout larval stages (Cronin and Jinks, 2001). While adult vision provides navigation, prey recognition and capture, mate selection and communication (Cronin and Jinks, 2001). Meanwhile setae is a hair like projection with elongate structure (Garm, 2004b) and literally it functioned as mechanosensory, chemosensory or both (Garm *et al.*, 2003).

2.2.1 The Compound Eyes

Compound eve is a general characteristic of eutrhopods (Schoenemann, 2013) and the compound eye is believed to have a complicated structure (Cronin & Jinks, 2001). Compound eyes compose of repetitively identical visual units called ommatidia. Each of the ommatidium composed of optical structures which are cornea and crystalline cone (Cronin, 1986). The ommatidium is stacked on top of receptor layers which are the rhabdoms which are formed by 7-8 retinular cells that capable of sensing light and each cell are termed as rhabdomere (Schoenemann, 2013; Cronin, 1986; Cronin & Jinks, 2001; Martin et al., 2009). Layer between the crystalline cones and rhabdoms is called the clear zone which is a region devoid of pigment and contain the cone thread (Matsuda and Wilder, 2014, 2010; Cronin and Porter, 2008; Mishra et al., 2006; Meyer-Rochow, 2001; Cronin, 1986). Clear zone is an adaptation to improve vision in dim light condition and it is reported that clear zone can only be found in some malacostraca such as Euphausiaceae, Mysidaceae, and Decapoda (Matsuda and Wilder, 2014; Meyer-Rochow, 2001). Within the retinular cells and fasciculated zone lays the screening pigment granules (Matsuda and Wilder, 2014, 2010) which help to screen out stray light and to control any incident of light flux at the rhabdom (Insausti et al., 2013).

Normally, the screening pigment granules will migrate or change their position as an adaptive change under light and dark condition (Matsuda and Wilder., 2014, 2010; Warrant and Locket., 2004; Meyer-Rochow., 2001; Meyer-Rochow *et al.*, 1990; Douglass and Forward, 1989; Ball *et al.*, 1986; Hariyama *et al.*, 1986). If light is present, the compound eye will adapted to the light condition by the upward migration of the screening pigment granules insulating the rhabdom to minimize the amount of light entering the receptor layers (Insausti *et al.*, 2013; Matsuda and Wilder, 2010). If there is limited amount of light the screening pigment granules will migrate away from the edge of the rhabdom to allow more rays to penetrate into the receptor layers (Matsuda and Wilder, 2010). The diagram of the ommatidium of *P. vannamei* is shown in Figure 2.4. While the functions of eye components are shown in Table 2.1.