# CHARACTERISATION OF BUFFALO (*Bubalus bubalis*) BONE GELATIN EXTRACTED USING ACID AND PAPAIN PRE-TREATMENT



# FACULTY OF FOOD SCIENCE AND NUTRITION UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2023

# CHARACTERISATION OF BUFFALO (*Bubalus bubalis*) BONE GELATIN EXTRACTED USING ACID AND PAPAIN PRE-TREATMENT

**MUHAMMAD YAZID BIN SAMATRA** 

# THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

# FACULTY OF FOOD SCIENCE AND NUTRITION UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2023

#### UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

#### JUDUL : CHARACTERISATION OF BUFFALO (*Bubalus bubalis*) BONE GELATIN EXTRACTED USING ACID AND PAPAIN PRE-TREATMENT

IJAZAH : SARJANA SAINS

BIDANG : SAINS MAKANAN

Saya **MUHAMMAD YAZID BIN SAMATRA**, Sesi **2019-2023**, mengaku membenarkan tesis Sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-

- 1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
- 3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. Sila tandakan ( / ):



(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972)

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

MUHAMMAD YAZID BIN SAMATRA MN1911005T Disahkan Oleh, ANITA BINTI ARSAD PUSTAKAWAN KANAN UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

(Tandatangan Pustakawan)

Tarikh : 22 Jun 2023

(Ts. Dr. Nor Qhairul Izzreen Binti Mohd Noor) Penyelia Utama

### DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, equations, summaries, and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

23 March 2023

Muhammad Yazid Bin Samatra MN1911005T



#### CERTIFICATION

- NAME : MUHAMMAD YAZID BIN SAMATRA
- MATRIC NUM. : MN1911005T
- TITTLE : CHARACTERISATION OF BUFFALO (Bubablus bubalis) BONE GELATIN EXTRACTED USING ACID AND PAPAIN PRE-TREATMENT
- DEGREE : MASTER OF SCIENCE
- FIELD : FOOD SCIENCE
- VIVA DATE : 23 MARCH 2023



**CERTIFIED BY;** 

- 1. MAIN SUPERVISOR TS. Dr. Nor Qhairul Izzreen Binti Mohd Noor
- 2. CO-SUPERVISOR Prof. Dato' Ts. Dr. Sharifudin Md. Shaarani
- 3. CO-SUPERVISOR Umi Hartina Binti Mohamad Razali



Signature

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I am grateful to Allah SWT for the successful completion of my master's research project. I sincerely appreciate the guidance, support, and patience from my supervisor and mentor, Ts. Dr. Nor Qhairul Izzreen Binti Mohd Noor. I would also like to thank the Faculty of Food Science and Nutrition, particularly the dean, Assoc. Ts. Dr. Hasmadi Bin Mamat, for providing the necessary laboratory facilities. My heartfelt gratitude goes to my co-supervisors, especially Prof. Dato' Ts. Dr. Sharifudin Md. Shaarani and Ms. Umi Hartina Mohamad Razali, for their continuous support. Lastly, I am thankful to Dr. Jumardi Roslan for providing statistical consultation for this research.

Secondly, a lot of thank you to FSMP support staff, especially Ms. Sarinah Mohd Ali Chua, laboratory assistants especially Mr. Duasin Liman, Mr. Willie Yen, Mr. Fritzgerald Anak Andrew, Mrs. Dg. Intan Binti Awang Jamudin, Mr. Wilter Kisin, Mrs. Marni Binti Jasli, Mrs. Jayanti Jamaluddin, Mr. Othman Ismail and Mr. Sahirun Salihi. Next, special acknowledgement to the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia for the funding of this research from grant FRGS/1/2018/STG05/UMS/03/1. I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to UMS for the Postgraduate Teaching Scheme and financial support during my study. Sincere thanks to my parents, Samatra @ A. Samat Bin Yahya and Norbayah Binti Bakri, my brother Muhammad Fuad bin Samatra and family members for their moral support and commitment from the very beginning of this research. Special thank you to my colleagues, especially Rabiatul Amirah Binti Ramli, Muhammad Kamil bin Zakaria, Centhyea Chen, Abdul Aziz Jaziri, Sarizan Binti Sabari and Gao Peiru. Next, thank you to my best friends, Muhammad Nazrul Bin Mohd Yusoff, Muhammad Ikram Bin Abdullah and Qhairul Annuar Bin Hasbi, who always gave me strength and support. Lastly, I would like to express gratitude towards myself. I am grateful for believing in my abilities, for dedicating myself to hard work, for not taking any days off, and for never giving up.

Muhammad Yazid Bin Samatra 23 March 2023

#### ABSTRACT

Gelatin is a versatile biopolymer with numerous industrial uses, but traditional sources like bovine and porcine raise safety and religious concerns. Alternatives like fish gelatin often require further refining for guality enhancement. Buffalo bone gelatin offers a promising solution as it is free from diseases and religious restrictions. The extraction process, however, requires a thorough understanding of the complex bone structure to recover collagen for gelatin production. The extraction of gelatin from buffalo bone has yet to be extensively explored and holds great potential. This study explored the effects of pre-treatment conditions using different acids and concentrations, with and without papain, on the properties and extractability of gelatin derived from buffalo bone. The buffalo bone was pre-treated with hydrochloric acid (HCl) and citric acid at 0.05 M and 0.025 M concentrations, respectively, with and without papain (100 U/g), prior to hot water extraction. The study compared the physicochemical and functional properties of buffalo bone gelatin with standard bovine gelatin (SBG). The highest yield (6.36 %, dry weight) and hydroxyproline content (26.98 q/100q) was obtained from gelatin extracted using 0.025 M HCl pre-treatment without papain. Pre-treatment with 0.05 M citric acid without papain resulted in the lowest yield (3.79 %, dry weight) with hydroxyproline content of 12.49 g/100g. Papain significantly influenced the yield of gelatin in citric acid but not in HCl treatment when used at 100 U/g. Buffalo bone gelatin had significantly (p < 0.05) lower moisture content than SBG and had a pH range of 5.11 to 5.44, indicating it is Type A gelatin. The gelatin was whiter with HCl pre-treatment and slightly yellow with citric acid pre-treatment. Low ash content (<2%) confirmed effective removal of minerals. Gelatin treated with 0.025 M citric acid without papain had the highest emulsion activity index (9.52 m<sup>2</sup>/g), emulsion stability index (30.29 min), foaming expansion (13.66 %), foaming stability (10.64 to 12.46 min), water holding capacity (11.68 mL/g), and fat binding capacity (8.88 mL/g). The Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) results of all gelatin shown a similar secondary structure to SBG with the presence of Amide A, B, I, II and III. Papain used in HCl and citric acid pre-treatment resulted in degradation of a and ß components, which produced the lowest gel strength (20.35 g by 0.05 M citric acid with papain). The highest gel strength (50.64 g) was produced from 0.025 M citric acid without papain treatment. Buffalo bone gelatin shows potential as an alternative source of halal gelatin manufacturing.

Keywords : Buffalo, bone, papain-aided, pre-treatment, physicochemical properties, functional properties

#### ABSTRAK

#### PENCIRIAN GELATIN TULANG KERBAU (Bubalus bubalis) YANG DIEKSTRAK DENGAN PRA-RAWATAN ASID DAN ENZIM PAPAIN

Gelatin ialah biopolimer serba boleh dengan pelbagai aplikasi industri, tetapi sumber konvensional seperti lembu dan babi menimbulkan kebimbangan keselamatan dan kekangan agama. Alternatif seperti gelatin ikan sering memerlukan pemprosesan lanjut untuk menjamin kualiti gelatin yang baik. Gelatin tulang kerbau menawarkan penyelesaian yang menjanjikan kerana ia bebas daripada penyakit dan sekatan agama. Proses pengekstrakan, bagaimanapun, memerlukan pemahaman yang menyeluruh tentang struktur tulang yang kompleks untuk memperoleh kolagen untuk penghasilan gelatin. Pengekstrakan gelatin daripada tulang kerbau masih belum diterokai secara meluas dan mempunyai potensi yang tinggi. Kajian ini meneroka kesan pra-rawatan menggunakan asid dengan kepekatan yang berbeza, dengan dan tanpa papain, terhadap ciri-ciri dan kebolehekstrakan gelatin yang diekstrak daripada tulang kerbau. Tulang kerbau telah dirawat terlebih dahulu dengan asid hidroklorik (HCl) dan asid sitrik pada kepekatan 0.05 M dan 0.025 M, masing-masing, dengan dan tanpa papain (100 U/q), sebelum pengekstrakan terma. Kajian ini membandingkan sifat fizikokimia dan berfungsi gelatin tulang kerbau dengan gelatin lembu piawai (SBG). Hasil tertinggi (6.36 %, berat kering) dan kandungan hidroksiprolin (26.98 g/100g) diperoleh daripada gelatin yang diekstrak menggunakan pra-rawatan 0.025 M HCl tanpa papain. Pra-rawatan dengan asid sitrik 0.05 M tanpa papain menunjukkan hasil yang paling rendah (3.79 %, berat kering) dengan kandungan hidroksiprolin sebanyak 12.49 g/100g. Papain mempengaruhi hasil gelatin secara signifikan dalam pra-rawatan asid sitrik tetapi tidak dalam prarawatan HCl apabila digunakan pada 100 U/g. Gelatin tulang kerbau mempunyai kandungan lembapan yang jauh lebih rendah (p<0.05) daripada SBG dan mempunyai julat pH 5.11 hingga 5.44, menunjukkan ia adalah gelatin Jenis A. Gelatin lebih cerah dengan pra-rawatan HCl dan sedikit kuning dengan pra-rawatan asid sitrik. Kandungan abu yang rendah (<2%) menunjukkan penyingkiran mineral yang berkesan. Gelatin yang dirawat dengan asid sitrik 0.025 M tanpa papain mempunyai indeks aktiviti emulsi tertinggi (9.52 m²/g), indeks kestabilan emulsi (30.29 min), pengembangan berbuih (13.66 %), kestabilan berbuih (10.64 hingga 12.46 min), kapasiti pegangan air (11.68 mL/g), dan kapasiti mengikat lemak (8.88 mL/g). Keputusan Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) semua gelatin menunjukkan struktur sekunder yang serupa dengan SBG dengan kehadiran Amida A, B, I, II dan III. Papain yang digunakan dalam pra-rawatan HCl dan asid sitrik mengakibatkan degradasi komponen a dan B, yang menghasilkan kekuatan gel yang paling rendah (20.35 g dirawat dengan 0.05 M asid sitrik dengan papain). Kekuatan gel tertinggi (50.64 g) dihasilkan daripada asid sitrik 0.025 M tanpa papain. Gelatin tulang kerbau menunjukkan potensi sebagai sumber alternatif penghasilan gelatin halal.

# Kata Kunci : Kerbau, tulang, papain, pra-rawatan, sifat fizikokimia, sifat berfungsi

# LIST OF CONTENTS

|                       |           |  | Page |  |  |
|-----------------------|-----------|--|------|--|--|
| TITL                  | E         |  | i    |  |  |
| DEC                   | LARATI    | ON                                     | ii   |  |  |
| CER                   | TIFICAT   | ION                                    | iii  |  |  |
| ACK                   | NOWLE     | DGEMENT                                | iv   |  |  |
| ABS                   | TRACT     |  | v    |  |  |
| ABS                   | TRAK      |  | vi   |  |  |
| LIST                  | OF COI    | NTENTS                                 | vii  |  |  |
| LIST                  | OF TAE    | BLES                                   | xi   |  |  |
| LIST                  | OF FIG    | URES                                   | xii  |  |  |
| LIST                  | OF EQU    | JATION                                 | xiii |  |  |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS |           |  |      |  |  |
| LIST                  | OF APF    | INTRODUCTION                           | xvi  |  |  |
| 1.1                   | Backgro   | ound of Study                          | 1    |  |  |
| 1.2                   | Problem   | n Statement                            | 5    |  |  |
| 1.3                   | Justifica | ation of Study                         | 6    |  |  |
| 1.4                   | Objectiv  | /es                                    | 7    |  |  |
|                       |           |  |      |  |  |
| СНА                   | PTER 2:   | LITERATURE REVIEWS                     |      |  |  |
| 2.1                   | The Ge    | latin Perspective in The Food Industry | 8    |  |  |
| 2.2                   | Collage   | n                                      | 9    |  |  |
|                       | 2.2.1     | Structure of collagen                  | 11   |  |  |
| 2.3                   | Gelatin   |  | 12   |  |  |

|     | 2.3.1               | Sources of Gelatin                             | 13 |
|-----|---------------------|--|----|
|     | 2.3.2               | Physical Properties of Gelatin                 | 16 |
|     | 2.3.3               | Chemical Properties of Gelatin                 | 20 |
| 2.4 | Water               | Buffalo  | 21 |
| 2.5 | Gelatin             | Production                                     | 24 |
|     | 2.5.1               | Pre-treatment                                  | 26 |
|     | 2.5.2               | Extraction                                     | 36 |
|     | 2.5.3               | Drying   | 37 |
| 2.6 | Factors             | Affecting Gelatin Quality                      | 38 |
|     | 2.6.1               | Temperature                                    | 38 |
|     | 2.6.2               | Time   | 39 |
|     | 2.6.3               | Concentration of Pre-treatment Solution        | 41 |
| 2.7 | Physico             | ochemical and functional properties of gelatin | 42 |
|     | 2.7.1               | Gel Strength                                   | 42 |
| A   | 2.7.2               | Viscosity                                      | 45 |
| B   | 2. <mark>7.3</mark> | Emulsifying Property                           | 46 |
| P   | 2 <mark>.7.4</mark> | Foaming Property                               | 48 |
| 2.8 | Bone G              | elatin UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SARAH               | 49 |
| 2.9 | Bovida              | e-based Gelatin                                | 51 |

#### **CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS**

| 3.1 | Materials         |   |    |  |  |  |
|-----|-------------------|---|----|--|--|--|
| 3.2 | Chemical Reagents |   |    |  |  |  |
| 3.3 | Experin           | Experimental Design 5                                   |    |  |  |  |
| 3.4 | Buffalo           | Bone Powder Preparation                                 | 55 |  |  |  |
|     | 3.4.1             | Pre-treatment and Extraction of Buffalo Bone<br>Gelatin | 57 |  |  |  |
| 3.5 | Physico           | chemical Properties Determination                       | 58 |  |  |  |
|     | 3.5.1             | Gelatin Yield Determination                             | 58 |  |  |  |

|     | 3.5.2     | Hydroxyproline Content         | 58 |
|-----|-----------|--------------------------------|----|
|     | 3.5.3     | Chemical Properties            | 59 |
|     | 3.5.4     | pH Value                       | 62 |
|     | 3.5.5     | Colour Profile                 | 63 |
|     | 3.5.6     | Amino Acid Composition         | 63 |
|     | 3.5.7     | Molecular Weight               | 64 |
|     | 3.5.8     | Functional groups              | 65 |
|     | 3.5.9     | Viscosity                      | 65 |
|     | 3.5.10    | Gel Strength                   | 66 |
|     | 3.5.11    | Isoelectric Point              | 66 |
| 3.6 | Functio   | nal Properties Determination   | 67 |
|     | 3.6.1     | Emulsifying Property           | 67 |
|     | 3.6.2     | Foaming Property               | 68 |
|     | 3.6.3     | Water Holding Capacity         | 69 |
| Å   | 3.6.4     | Fat Binding Capacity           | 69 |
| 3.7 | Statistic | al Analysis                    | 70 |
| 3.8 | Graphic   | s and Illustrations Production | 70 |
|     |           | UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH      |    |
| СНА | PTER 4:   | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION         |    |
| 4.1 | Yield     |                                | 71 |
| 4.2 | Physico   | chemical Properties            | 76 |
|     |           |                                |    |

| 4.2.1 | Hydroxyproline Content | 76 |
|-------|------------------------|----|
| 4.2.2 | Chemical Properties    | 77 |
| 4.2.3 | Colour Profile         | 83 |
| 4.2.4 | Gel Strength           | 88 |
| 4.2.5 | Viscosity              | 92 |
| 4.2.6 | рН                     | 94 |
| 4.2.7 | Isoelectric Point      | 94 |

|     | 4.2.8    | Functional Groups              | 95  |
|-----|----------|--------------------------------|-----|
|     | 4.2.9    | Molecular Weight               | 100 |
|     | 4.2.10   | Amino Acids Composition        | 102 |
| 4.3 | Function | nal Properties                 | 105 |
|     | 4.3.1    | Emulsion Activity Index (EAI)  | 105 |
|     | 4.3.2    | Emulsion Stability Index (ESI) | 108 |
|     | 4.3.3    | Foaming Expansion (FE)         | 110 |
|     | 4.3.4    | Foaming Stability (FS)         | 113 |
|     | 4.3.5    | Water Holding Capacity (WHC)   | 115 |
|     | 4.3.6    | Fat Binding Capacity (FBC)     | 118 |

#### **CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**



# LIST OF TABLES

|                           |     |  | Page |
|---------------------------|-----|--|------|
| Table 2.1                 | :   | Various sources of gelatin and yield recovery from previous studies  | 14   |
| Table 2.2                 | :   | Gelatin and viscosity of gelatin from mammalian, marine and poultry sources of gelatin                           | 18   |
| Table 2.3                 | :   | Amino acid composition of gelatin from pork skin, calfskin and calf bone   | 21   |
| Table 2.4                 | :   | Current buffalo meat, hides and milk production in certain<br>Asian countries                                    | 22   |
| Table 2.5                 | :   | Gelatin extraction from various sources using acidic and alkaline pre-treatment                                  | 29   |
| Table 2.6                 | :   | Modified enzymatic utilisation in gelatin extraction from poultry and mammalian sources                          | 31   |
| Table 2.7                 | :   | General classification of proteolytic enzymes  | 33   |
| Table 2.8                 | :   | Effects of temperature on the yield of gelatin   | 39   |
| Table 2.9                 | :   | Effect of temperature and time on the yield of gelatin   | 40   |
| Table 2.10                | :   | Gel strength and test parameters of gelatin from mammalian, marine and poultry sources                           | 43   |
| Table 2.11                |     | Extraction yield, ash content, gel strength and viscosity of gelatin from mammalian, poultry, and marine sources | 50   |
| Table 2 <mark>.1</mark> 2 |     | Extraction yield, physicochemical and functional properties<br>of Bovidae-based gelatin                          | 52   |
| Table 4.1                 | R / | Yield, hydroxyproline, chemical properties of buffalo bone gelatin   | 72   |
| Table 4.2                 | :   | Colour profile of buffalo bone gelatin   | 84   |
| Table 4.3                 | :   | Gel strength, viscosity, pH and isoelectric point of buffalo bone gelatin  | 90   |
| Table 4.4                 | :   | FTIR-spectra wavenumber and assignments of buffalo bone gelatin  | 98   |
| Table 4.5                 | :   | Amino acid composition of buffalo bone gelatin   | 103  |
| Table 4.6                 | :   | Emulsifying and foaming property of buffalo bone gelatin   | 107  |
| Table 4.7                 | :   | Water holding capacity and fat binding capacity of buffalo bone gelatin  | 116  |

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

|            |   |   | Page |
|------------|---|---|------|
| Figure 2.1 | : | Collagen triple-helix structure                                 | 10   |
| Figure 2.2 | : | Collagen structure (Gly-X-Y)                                    | 11   |
| Figure 2.3 | : | Collagen transformation to gelatin illustration                 | 12   |
| Figure 2.4 | : | Global gelatin production                                       | 13   |
| Figure 2.5 | : | Buffalo species taxonomy  | 23   |
| Figure 2.6 | : | Lab scale gelatin extraction process illustration in general    | 25   |
| Figure 2.7 | : | Hierarchical structure of bone                                  | 49   |
| Figure 3.1 | : | Experimental design of characterisation of buffalo bone gelatin | 56   |
| Figure 3.2 | : | Flow process of buffalo bone powder preparation                 | 57   |
| Figure 4.1 | : | FTIR-spectra of buffalo bone gelatin                            | 96   |
| Figure 4.2 |   | Molecular weight distribution of buffalo bone gelatin           | 101  |



# UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

# LIST OF EQUATIONS

|               |     |                                 | Page |
|---------------|-----|---------------------------------|------|
| Equation 3.1  | :   | Yield determination             | 58   |
| Equation 3.2  | :   | Hydroxyproline content          | 59   |
| Equation 3.3  | :   | Moisture content                | 60   |
| Equation 3.4  | :   | Nitrogen content                | 61   |
| Equation 3.5  | :   | Crude protein content           | 61   |
| Equation 3.6  | :   | Ash content                     | 62   |
| Equation 3.7  | :   | Colour difference, $\Delta E^*$ | 63   |
| Equation 3.8  | :   | Emulsion activity index (EAI)   | 67   |
| Equation 3.9  | :   | Emulsion stability index (ESI)  | 67   |
| Equation 3.10 | :   | Foaming expansion (FE)          | 68   |
| Equation 3.11 | The | Foaming stability (FS)          | 68   |
| Equation 3.12 |     | Water holding capacity (WHC)    | 69   |
| Equation 3.13 | -   | Fat binding capacity (FBC)      | 70   |
| A 10          |     |                                 |      |
| - Carrie      |     |                                 |      |
| AB            | 230 | UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH       |      |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| AABA    | -     | Aminobutyric acid  |
|---------|-------|--|
| ANOVA   | -     | Analysis of Variance   |
| AOAC    | -     | Association of Official Analytical Collaboration                 |
| BSE     | -     | Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy                                 |
| DFP     | -     | di-isopropyl fluorophosphate                                     |
| DNA     | -     | Deoxyribonucleic Acid  |
| EAI     | -     | Emulsion Activity Index  |
| EDTA    | -     | Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid                                  |
| ES      | -     | Emulsion Stability   |
| ESI     | -     | Emulsion Stability Index   |
| FAOSTAT | -     | Food and Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database |
| FBC     | R     | Fat Binding Capacity   |
| FC      | -     | Foaming Capacity   |
| FD S    | -     | Fluorescence detector  |
| FE-     | 2     | Foaming Expansion  |
| FMD     | K     | Foot and Mouth Disease   |
| FS      | 1980- | Foaming Stability  |
| FTIR    | -     | Fourier transform infrared                                       |
| GME     | -     | Gelatin Manufacturers of Europe                                  |
| GMIA    | -     | The Gelatin Manufacturers Institute of America                   |
| HPLC    | -     | High Performance Liquid Chromatography                           |
| IBM     | -     | International Business Machines                                  |
| MOA     | -     | Ministry of Agriculture  |
| NC      | -     | Not conducted  |
| ND      | -     | Not documented   |
| NM      | -     | Not mentioned  |

| PAGE | -    | Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis      |
|------|------|---|
| РСМВ | -    | p-chloromercuribenzoate                 |
| PRNP | -    | Prion protein gene                      |
| RBF  | -    | Raw buffalo bone                        |
| RM   | -    | Ringgit Malaysia                        |
| RNA  | -    | Ribonucleic acid                        |
| RSM  | -    | Response surface methodology            |
| SBG  | -    | Standard bovine gelatin                 |
| SD   | -    | Standard deviation                      |
| SDS  | -    | Sodium dodecyl-sulfate                  |
| SPSS | -    | Statistical Package for Social Sciences |
| ΤΑ   | -    | Texture analyser                        |
| TSE  | -    | Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathy |
| UK   | - 19 | United Kingdom                          |
| USA  | ē    | United States of America                |
| USD  | -    | United States Dollar                    |
| RA   |      | AUIVIJ                                  |
|      | 1 A  | UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH               |

# LIST OF APPENDICES

|            |  | Page |
|------------|--|------|
| Appendix A | : Dried buffalo bone pieces                                | 148  |
| Appendix B | : Powdered buffalo bone pieces                             | 149  |
| Appendix C | : Swollen powdered buffalo bone                            | 150  |
| Appendix D | : Extracted buffalo bone gelatin samples                   | 151  |
| Appendix E | : Hydroxyproline standard curve                            | 152  |
| Appendix F | : Buffalo bone gelatin isoelectric point                   | 153  |
| Appendix G | : FTIR Spectra of HCl treated buffalo bone gelatin         | 154  |
| Appendix H | : FTIR Spectra of citric acid treated buffalo bone gelatin | 155  |



#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1 Background of Study**

Gelatin is a protein produced primarily from skin and bone and is partially hydrolysed and denatured by thermal treatments (Mulyani *et al.*, 2021). The global demand for gelatin has been increasing in the market for the past decade. It accounts for gelatin's unique characteristics that make it useful in various industrial applications. In 2020, the global gelatin market was valued at USD 2.43 billion and was expected to reach USD 3.44 billion in 2026 (Expert Market Research, 2021). Meanwhile, in 2021, the global food gelatin market was valued at USD 3.32 billion and is projected to reach USD 4.05 billion in 2026 (Marketwatch, 2021).

Gelatin is one of the most widely used natural high molecular weight biopolymers in industries derived from collagen because of its unique functional and technological properties (Alfaro *et al.*, 2014). This led to the application of gelatin in various industries such as food, cosmetics, pharmaceutical, photography, technical and medical sector. Gelatin unique properties make it effective as an emulsifying, foaming, stabilising, and microencapsulating agent, make it important to the industry.

In food, gelatin is used as an ingredient to enhance food properties, particularly the elasticity, consistency, and stability of the food products. For instance, gelatin act as a gelling and emulsifying agent in jellies, cakes, aspics, marshmallow, yoghurt, ice cream and sweets (Zilhadia *et al.*, 2018). In addition, gelatin can improve the chewiness, texture and foam stability in confectionery products (Santoso *et al.*, 2019). Other than that, gelatin can exert creaminess and mouth-feel sensation in

low-fat spreads (Choe & Kim, 2018), stabilise the batter for baked goods (Aisyah *et al.,* 2014) and provide water-binding capabilities in meat products (Karim & Bhat, 2009). In medical sectors, gelatin provides medicinal delivery capacity, which regulates the release of active ingredients and microencapsulation properties (Karim & Bhat, 2009). In line with the rising market values and industrial applications in gelatin, gelatin production is also soaring globally.

Gelatin can be extracted from the skins and bones of mammals, poultry, marine animals, and insects (Mariod & Adam, 2013). Industrially, gelatin is produced using acid and alkali in the pre-treatment process, resulting in Type A from acidic treatment and Type B gelatin from alkaline treatment (GMIA, 2019). In general, acidic treatment is used when extracting gelatin from the skin matrix, and alkaline treatment is applied to a more complex matrix such as bone (GMIA, 2019). Conventionally, the acidic pre-treatment of porcine skins will take up around 10 - 45hours of soaking time (Ahmad et al., 2017). Besides, the alkaline treatment of bones and hides usually consumes a more prolonged duration of 30 - 100 days (Damrongsakkul et al., 2008). The insoluble collagen needs to be transformed into a soluble form by exposure to acid or alkali during the pre-treatment process. This will cause the breakdown of the ordered structure of native collagen, which will induce the swelling of the structure. Then, the conversion into gelatin happened during the extraction process. The extraction process involves the cleaving of hydrogen and covalent bond by the thermal treatment, which promotes the helix-to-coil transition and releases free  $\alpha$ -chains and oligomers (Ahmad *et al.*, 2018). Meanwhile, the hydrolysis of some amide bonds in the collagen molecules simultaneously occurs during the extraction process and produces gelatin that possesses a mixture of polypeptide fragments with molecular weight ranging from 16-150 kDa (Ahmad et al., 2018). Since the process of producing gelatin takes a long time and can be quite tedious, the application of protease enzymes to assist the extraction process has been of interest.

Generally, gelatin extractability and properties depend upon the nature of the raw material such as the age of animal, type, and matrix of tissues, which can be manipulated through the extraction parameters and conditions. Serial chemical and enzymatic treatment steps at diverse concentrations and exposure times have been studied diligently to extract the gelatin from various animal tissues. The use of acid pre-treatment in gelatin extraction from multiple sources has proven to be effective in several research for gelatin from pecking duck skin (Teng *et al.,* 2021), fish bone waste from shark, tuna and milkfish (Hapsari *et al.,* 2018), duck feet (Nik Muhammad et al., 2017), buffalo hide (Mulyani *et al.,* 2017ab), and bovine bone (Arioui *et al.,* 2018). Meanwhile, for the alkaline treatment, few research in the extraction using NaOH has shown a good yield recovery and properties of gelatin produced such as gelatin from buffalo hide and skins (Rabiatul Amirah *et al.,* 2020), mechanically deboned meat residue (Erge & Zorba, 2018), *Alaska pollock* skin (Zhou & Regenstein, 2005), and yak skin (Xu *et al.,* 2017a:2017b).

According to Wang *et al.* (2017), protein enzymatic hydrolysis using proteolytic enzymes is quite popular in the food industry. One of the unique properties of enzymes is their ability to hydrolyse proteins more gently than acids and alkalis. Enzymatic proteolysis from animal and plant sources has been extensively studied and described by several authors over the last decades, and it is the most commonly used method for adding value to underutilised species. Commercial enzymes for preparing collagen hydrolysates include papain,  $\alpha$ -chymotrypsin, bromelain, pepsin, and trypsin. They do not require high temperatures and typically cleave specific peptide bonds, which may increase yield recovery and shorten extraction time (Motyan *et al.*, 2013).

Several proteases have been incorporated in gelatin extraction, such as pepsin from fish by-products like Thornback ray skin (Lassoued *et al.*, 2014) and bigeye snapper skin (Nalinanon *et al.*, 2008). Besides, pepsin is also used in gelatin extraction from bovine bone (Cao *et al.*, 2020), ovine bones (Gao *et al.*, 2018) and bovine skins (Ahmad *et al.*, 2021). Other than that, plant enzymes such as papain are actively used in gelatin production from buffalo bones (Samatra *et al.*, 2020), *Barramundi* fish skin (Jamilah *et al.*, 2013), Alaska pollock and yellowfin sole fish bones (Mi *et al.*, 2019). However, the enzymatic treatment needs to be monitored closely to avoid over hydrolysis of the gelatin structure that could compromise the

properties of gelatin produced due to enzymes are easily affected by experimental conditions such as hydrolysis pH, temperature, duration, and ionic strength.

Many previous works had reported of extracting gelatin from bovine, marine sources and poultry. Gelatin were successfully extracted from the hides and bones of bovine, buffalo, and yak has been reported by many scientists worldwide (Wulandari et al., 2016; Ahmad et al., 2021; Ahmad et al., 2019; Bahar et al., 2018; Arioui et al., 2018; Cao et al., 2020; Mulyani et al., 2017a,2017b; Mulyani et al., 2019; Rabiatul Amirah et al., 2020; Samatra et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2017a; Li et al., 2009). Other than that, the production of gelatin from marine sources also gained lots of interest such as fish skins and bones, squid, and octopus (Abdelmalek et al., 2016; Atma & Taufik, 2021; Hapsari et al., 2018; Haryati et al., 2019; Jridi et al., 2015; Kusumaningrum et al., 2018). Besides, there are several works on the extraction of gelatin from poultry such as chicken skin (Kim et al., 2012; Rasli & Sarbon, 2015), chicken feet (Choe & Kim, 2018; Widyasari & Rawdkuen, 2014), duck skin (Kim et al., 2020; Teng et al., 2021), duck feet (Teng et al., 2021; Park et al., 2013; Kuan et al., 2016). Other alternative resources to extract gelatin has been explored from insect sorghum bug (Mariod & Fadul, 2015) and amphibian for instance, frog (Tümerkan et al., 2019).

#### UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

The quality of gelatin extracted from all sources has its own advantages and disadvantages related to their properties. The quality of gelatin produced from mammals exerts superior properties compared to marine gelatin which possesses low physicochemical properties and a bad odour (Lin *et al.*, 2017). However, safety and religious constraints associated with the sources of gelatin open new opportunities to explore other alternative sources that meet consumer demand and at the same time, could improve the properties of gelatin.

The buffalo meat production was valued at 936 million in 2017 (MOA, 2017). According to Khalex *et al.* (2021), almost half (46.7 %) of the total buffalo population in Malaysia was found to be located in Sabah. Sabah harbours a diverse range of mammalian species, including the water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) (Zamri-Saad *et al.,* 2017). While this animal is a popular source of meat and bones in local cuisine, its

true potential remains untapped possibly due to inadequate infrastructure and processing facilities for its by-products such as skins and bones (Mohd Azmi *et al.,* 2021). As a result, the water buffalo is still considered as underutilised in Sabah (Rabiatul Amirah *et al.,* 2020). In this regard, buffalo can be considered a potential alternative source for gelatin production especially in Malaysia.

The outbreak of prion diseases such as bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) has never been reported about the occurrence in buffalo, as buffalo is genetically unique and less susceptible to prion diseases such as BSE (Zhao *et al.,* 2016). Thus, buffalo is suitable to be explored as an alternative source of gelatin. However, limited studies have managed to extract gelatin from buffalo. Up to our knowledge, only Mulyani *et al.* (2017a; 2017b; 2019) and Rabiatul Amirah *et al.* (2020) extracted gelatin from buffalo skin, and only Samatra *et al.* (2020) reported to extract gelatin from buffalo bone as a preliminary study. Therefore, this study aimed to extract gelatin from buffalo bone using different types of acids and concentrations, with and without the addition of papain during the pre-treatment process. The physicochemical and functional properties of the extracted gelatin were evaluated and compared with the commercial gelatin sold on the market.

# UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

#### 1.2 Problem Statement

Until now, gelatin has been considered one of the most widely used biopolymer in various industries. It is owing to gelatin's unique functional and technological properties that make it a beneficial ingredient to be incorporated into various food products. Subsequently, this has caused the soaring demand for gelatin over the years. Generally, leading gelatin sources in the market are derived from porcine and bovine by-products. Porcine skins make up 46 % of global gelatin production, 29.4 % from bovine hides, 23.1 % from bovine bones and 1.5 % from other sources (GME, 2020). This has become a restriction for Muslims and Jewish consumers as they are only allowed to consume halal food (diet for Muslim consumers) and kosher food (diet for Jewish consumers), respectively and the choices of gelatin are limited in the market (Kuan *et al.*, 2016). Besides, gelatin from bovine sources has become

of great concern as it has been linked with the spread of bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) and foot and mouth disease (FMD) (Abedinia *et al.*, 2020).

There has been a substantial effort to produce gelatin from marine animals such as fish by-products, as an alternative source of gelatin. However, fish gelatin often needs further refining due to its inferior quality compared to its mammalian counterpart because fish gelatin is commonly associated with lower gelation and melting temperature due to lower hydroxyproline content (Otoni *et al.*, 2012). This has resulted in the attempt to discover gelatin from the underexploited species which might have better quality. Also, the waste from buffalo such as hides and bones contains an ample amount of collagen that can be hydrolysed into gelatin.

The extraction process of gelatin is crucial in ensuring high quality and yield. Despite extensive research on gelatin extraction, there is still a need to improve the process and better understand the role of pre-treatment and extraction methods. This study focuses on the utilisation of acids and enzymes in the extraction process, specifically papain, to evaluate their impact on the yield and the properties of gelatin extracted from buffalo bone. The results of this study may provide a new source of gelatin to substitute for commercial gelatin in the market and highlight the importance of optimised extraction techniques.

#### 1.3 Justification of the study

The extraction process of gelatin is crucial in ensuring high quality and yield. Despite extensive research on gelatin extraction, there is still a need to improve the process and better understand the role of pre-treatment and extraction methods. This study focuses on the utilisation of acids and enzymes in the extraction process, specifically papain, to evaluate their impact on the yield and the properties of gelatin extracted from buffalo bone. The results of this study may provide a new source of gelatin to substitute for commercial gelatin in the market and highlight the importance of optimised extraction techniques.