

**CREATIVITY CHEMISTRY LEARNING  
COURSEWARE ON IMPROVING CREATIVITY  
OF FORM FOUR STUDENTS IN SIBU,  
SARAWAK.**



**SU SHI MEI**  
**UMS**  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

**FACULTY OF PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION  
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA SABAH**

**2016**

**CREATIVITY CHEMISTRY LEARNING  
COURSEWARE ON IMPROVING CREATIVITY  
OF FORM FOUR STUDENTS IN SIBU,  
SARAWAK.**

**SU SHI MEI**



**THIS IS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL  
FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER  
OF EDUCATION**

**FACULTY OF PSYCHOLOGY AND EDUCATION  
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA SABAH**

**2016**

## VERIFICATION

NAME : **SU SHI MEI**  
MATRIC NO. : **PT2010-8072**  
TITLE : **CREATIVITY CHEMISTRY LEARNING COURSEWARE  
ON IMPROVING CREATIVITY OF FORM FOUR  
STUDENTS IN SIBU, SARAWAK.**  
DEGREE : **MASTER OF EDUCATION  
(COMPUTER IN EDUCATION)**  
DATE OF VIVA: **18 APRIL 2016**

**DECLARED BY;**

**1.**

**MAIN SUPERVISOR**

Prof. Madya Dr. Tan Choon Keong

Signature



**UMS**  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

**2.**

**CO-SUPERVISOR**

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Zaki Bin Ishak

**UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**

**BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS**

Judul : **Creativity Chemitsyr Learning Courseware on Improving Creativity of Form Four Students in Sibiu, Sarawak.**

Ijazah : **IJAZAH SARJANA**

Saya **Su Shi Mei**, sesi pengajian **2010-2016**; mengaku membenarkan tesis sarjana ini disimpan di perpustakaan university malaysia sabah dengan syarat-syarat seperti berikut:-

1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Univerisiti Malaysia Sabah
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk pengajian sahaja.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.

4. Sila tanda (/)

SULIT

(mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972).

TERHAD

(mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi / badan dimana penyelidikan dijalankan).

TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan oleh,

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Su Shi Mei**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Tandatangan Pustakawan

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Prof. Madya Dr. Tan Choon Keong)  
Penyelia

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Zaki Bin Ishak)  
Penyelia Bersama

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

20 June 2016

---

Su Shi Mei  
PT2010-8072



**UMS**  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Tan Choon Keong and my co-supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Zaki Bin Ishak for all their advices, guidance and support in this research work that lead to the completion of this thesis. Not only that, I would like to take this opportunity to thank the principals and teachers of schools who engage in this research that provide a lot of assistance and guidance so that this project can be completed.

Thanks again to all parties who help me a lot directly or indirectly in completing my research.

Su Shi Mei  
20 June 2016



UMS  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

## ABSTRACT

Chemistry is a subject that contains a lot of abstract, complex and difficult understanding the concepts. Teachers prefer the easy and traditional method in teaching cause students memorize the facts without truly understanding. The purpose of this research is to examine effectiveness of Creative Chemistry Learning Courseware (CCLC) with ICT aid to improve creativity of students in learning Mole topic, form Four Chemistry. The quasi-experiments design with pretest, posttest nonequivalent group design is chosen in research. Control group (n=258) is using traditional method while experimental group (n=262) is using CCLC which utilizing ICT functions. Creativity of students is measured by using TTCT tests and achievement of students is measured by Chemistry tests. Data analyzed by dependent t-test, independent t-test and Pearson Correlation. Data analysis shows both group allocated in medium creativity level however the experimental group scores higher creativity mean score in posttest TTCT. The effectiveness of CCLC convinced as there is significant different in the gain of creativity scores between two groups ( $t(258) = -7.855, p = 0.00$ ). After exposed to CCLC software, students can understand the abstract concepts in Mole topic with think creatively hence increase their level of creativity. When students apply knowledge and creative thinking ability into Chemistry test more effectively, thus there is significant improvement in their performance in posttest compare to pretest. Therefore, there is significant relationship between creativity and academic achievement with Pearson correlation value  $r(262) = 0.713, d < 0.01$ . When the level of creativity increased, the achievement of students will be increased. Overall, students able to think more creatively after utilizing CCLC software with ICT aids and thus increase their achievement in Chemistry test.

## **ABSTRAK**

### **KEBERKESANAN CREATIVE CHEMISTRY LEARNING COURSEWARE (CCLC) MENINGKATKAN KREATIVITI PELAJAR KIMIA TINGKATAN EMPAT DI DAERAH SIBU, SARAWAK.**

*Kimia merupakan satu subjek yang mengandungi banyak konsep yang kompleks, abstrak dan sukar difahami oleh pelajar. Guru sudah biasa menggunakan kaedah mudah dan tradisional semasa mengajar menyebabkan pelajar mengingati fakta-fakta tanpa memahami konsepnya. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji keberkesanan Creative Chemistry Learning Courseware (CCLC) dengan bantuan ICT meningkatkan kreativiti pelajar semasa pembelajaran topik Mole tingkatan empat. Reka bentuk eksperimen kuasi telah dipilih dalam penyelidikan ini iaitu reka bentuk ujian pra, ujian pos kumpulan tidak serupa. Kumpulan kawalan (n=258) menggunakan kaedah tradisional dalam pengajaran dan pembelajaran topik Mole manakala kumpulan eksperimen (n=262) menggunakan CCLC yang mengutamakan ICT. Kreativiti pelajar dikumpulkan dengan menggunakan ujian TTCT manakala prestasi pelajar dalam topik Mole dikumpul dengan ujian Kimia. Data dianalisis dengan menggunakan ujian T sampel bersandar dan tidak bersandar serta Korelasi Pearson. Daripada analisis data kajian, kedua-dua kumpulan mempunyai tahap kreativiti sederhana tetapi kumpulan eksperimen menghasilkan skor min kreativiti yang lebih tinggi dalam pasca ujian TTCT. Keberkesanan CCLC dapat disahkan kerana terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan dalam peningkatan skor min kreativiti antara kedua-dua kumpulan ( $t(258) = -7.855, p = 0.00$ ). Setelah terdedah kepada CCLC yang kreatif, para pelajar dapat memahami konsep-konsep yang abstrak dalam topik Mole dengan pemikiran kreatif dan justeru meningkat tahap pemikiran kreatif mereka. Pelajar dalam kumpulan eksperimen mengaplikasikan pengetahuan dan pemikiran kreatif ke dalam ujian kimia, pencapaian mereka dalam ujian pos meningkat dengan nyata berbanding dengan ujian pra. Jadi, terdapat hubungan yang signifikan antara pemikiran kreatif dan pencapaian dengan bacaan Korelasi Pearson  $r(262) = 0.713, d < 0.01$ . Apabila tahap pemikiran kreatif pelajar meningkat, pencapaian pelajar juga dapat ditingkatkan. Secara keseluruhannya, pelajar lebih berupaya berfikir dengan kreatif selepas menggunakan perisian CCLC dengan bantuan ICT dan meningkatkan pencapaian mereka dalam ujian kimia.*



# LIST OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>TITLE</b>	i
<b>VERIFICATION</b>	ii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
<b><i>ABSTRAK</i></b>	vi
<b>LIST OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF APPENDIX</b>	xv
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Introduction to the Study	1
1.2 Background to the Study	3
1.3 Statement of the Problem	7
1.4 Purposes of Research	11
1.5 Objectives of Research	11
1.6 Research Questions	11
1.7 Hypothesis	11
1.8 Significant of Research	12
1.9 Limitations of Research	13
1.10 Operational Definition	14
1.10.1 Effectiveness	14
1.10.2 Creativity	15
1.10.3 Learning	16
1.11 Summary	16
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	17

	<b>Page</b>	
2.2	Concept Definition	17
2.2.1	Creative Chemistry Learning Courseware (CCLC)	17
2.2.2	Mole Calculation	18
2.3	Theories and Models of Creativity	18
2.3.1	Theory of Multiple Intelligences (Gardner, 1983)	18
2.3.2	Triarchic theory of intelligence (Sternberg, 1985)	22
2.3.3	Cognitive theories of creativity (Guilford & Hoepfner, 1966; Guilford, 1967; 1977)	25
2.4	CCLC Development Model	
2.4.1	Eight specific tasks of Genex Creativity framework	29
2.4.2	ARCS model (Keller & Suzuki, 1988)	31
2.4.3	Multimedia Learning Model (Mayer, 2001)	33
2.5	Past researches on creativity improvement in education	35
2.5.1	Studies on relationship between creativity and achievement	45
2.6	Theoretical Framework of Research	48
2.7	Conceptual Framework of Research	50
2.8	Summary	51
<b>CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>		
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Research Design	52
3.3	Location	55
3.4	Population and Sampling	55
3.5	Research Ethics	57
3.6	Instruments of research	58
3.6.1	TTCT tests	58
3.6.2	Chemistry Test	63
3.7	Data Collection	64
3.8	Data Collection Procedure	66
3.9	Pilot Study	66
3.7.1	TTCT	66
3.7.2	Chemistry Test	69
3.10	Data Analysis	70

	<b>Page</b>
3.11 Summary	71
<b>CHAPTER 4: PROTOTYPE DESIGN</b>	
4.1 Introduction	72
4.2 ADDIE ISD Model	72
4.2.1 Analysis (A)	74
4.2.2 Design (D)	75
4.2.3 Development (D)	79
4.2.4 Implementation (I)	80
4.2.5 Evaluation (E)	81
4.3 Summary	81
<b>CHAPTER 5: RESEARCH FINDINGS</b>	
5.1 Introduction	82
5.2 Respondent Information	82
5.3 Normality Test of Research Data	83
5.4 The analysis on research questions	87
5.4.1 What is the post creativity level of students in the control group?	87
5.4.2 What is the post creativity level of students in treatment group with using Creative Chemistry Learning System (CCLS) in teaching and learning?	89
5.4.3 Is the Creative Chemistry Learning System (CCLS) effective in improving creativity in learning Form 4 Chemistry?	90
5.4.4 Is there any relationship between students' creativity and students' achievement in Chemistry test?	94
5.5 Summary of data analysis	96
5.6 Summary	97
<b>CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</b>	
6.1 Introduction	99
6.2 Summary of Data Analysis	99
6.3 Discussion	100
6.3.1 What is the post creativity level of students in the control group?	100

	<b>Page</b>
6.3.2 What is the post creativity level of students in treatment group with using Creative Chemistry Learning System (CCLS) in teaching and learning?	101
6.3.3 Is the Creative Chemistry Learning System (CCLS) effective in improving creativity in learning Form 4 Chemistry?	102
6.3.4 Is there any relationship between students' creativity and students' achievement in Chemistry test?	105
6.4 Implications of the Study	106
6.5.1 Policy Makers	106
6.5.2 Ministry of Education (MOE)	106
6.5.3 Educators	107
6.5.4 Students	107
6.5.5 Parents and Community	107
6.6 Suggestions for Future Research	107
6.7 Conclusion	109
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>127</b>



**UMS**  
 UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>Page</b>
Table 1.1: Result examination of chemistry SPM 2011-2013	9
Table 2.1: Eight specific tasks of Genex Creativity framework (Shneiderman, 2002)	30
Table 2.2: Six multimedia principles in multimedia learning	34
Table 3.1: Students in secondary schools, urban area of Sibu	57
Table 3.2: Comparison among Creativity Measurements	60
Table 3.3: Creativity level (Almeida & Freire, 2003)	63
Table 3.4: Pearson Correlation of pilot study pre-TTCT and post-TTCT	68
Table 3.5: Pearson Correlation of pilot study Chemistry test	70
Table 3.6: Scoring criteria for creativity components	70
Table 3.7: Data analyzing methods	71
Table 5.1: Normality test of data sets of research	86
Table 5.2: Descriptive statistics on creativity level of students in control group	88
Table 5.3: Descriptive statistics on creativity level of students in experimental group	90
Table 5.4: Pair sample statistic of control group	90
Table 5.5: Dependent t-test of creativity mean score between pretest and posttest in control group	91
Table 5.6: Pair sample statistic of experimental group	91
Table 5.7: Dependent t-test of creativity mean score between pretest and posttest in experimental group	92
Table 5.8: Control and experimental groups' statistic	92
Table 5.9: Independent t-test of gain of creativity scores between control group and experimental group	93
Table 5.10: Descriptive statistics of post TTCT and post Chemistry test	96
Table 5.11: Correlation between mean score of post TTCT and post Chemistry test	96
Table 5.12: Summary of data analysis of the research	97

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<b>Page</b>
Figure 2.1: Three dimension of structure of intellect model (Source: Guilford, 1967; 1977)	26
Figure 2.2: ARCS model (Keller & Suzuki, 1988)	33
Figure 2.3: Theoretical framework of research	49
Figure 2.4: Conceptual framework of research	51
Figure 3.1: Pretest-posttest nonequivalent group design (Gay, Mills and Airasian, 2005)	54
Figure 3.2: Framework schedule of research	65
Figure 4.1: Five Phase of ISD (Hannum, 2005)	73
Figure 4.2: The non-linear ADDIE process (U.S. Army, 2011, p62)	74
Figure 4.3: Screen of the animation with music to attract the students' attention	76
Figure 4.4: Screen of the movie played to explain the content	77
Figure 4.5: Screen of Google and Youtube Searching Machine	78
Figure 4.6: Screen of Lecture Maker 2.0 software	79
Figure 4.7: Screen of Anvsoft Photo Flash Maker 5.42 software	80
Figure 5.1: The number of boy and girl as a function of group	82
Figure 5.2: The mean score of control and experimental group as a function of pre-TTCT and pre-Chemistry test	83
Figure 5.3: Q-Q plot of pre-creativity score of control group	84
Figure 5.4: Q-Q plot of pre-creativity score of treatment group	84
Figure 5.5: Q-Q plot of post-creativity score of control group	85
Figure 5.6: Q-Q plot of post-creativity score of treatment group	85
Figure 5.7: Q-Q plot of pre- achievement score of control group	85
Figure 5.8: Q-Q plot of pre- achievement score of treatment group	85
Figure 5.9: Q-Q plot of post- achievement score of control group	86
Figure 5.10: Q-Q plot of post- achievement score of treatment group	86
Figure 5.11: Number of students in control group as a function of creativity score of post TTCT	87
Figure 5.12: Percentage of students in control group with creativity level	88
Figure 5.13: Number of students in treatment group as a function of creativity score of post TTCT	89

	<b>Page</b>
Figure 5.14: Percentage of students in experimental group with creativity level	89
Figure 5.15: Pre and post creativity score of TTCT in experimental group	94
Figure 5.16: Pre and post chemistry test marks in experimental group	95



UMS  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>ICT</b>	-	Information and Communications Technology
<b>CCLS</b>	-	Creative Chemistry Learning Courseware
<b>SPM</b>	-	<i>Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia</i>
<b>QCA</b>	-	Qualification and Curriculum Authority
<b>UNESCO</b>	-	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
<b>RAM</b>	-	Relative Atomic Mass
<b>RMM</b>	-	Relative Molecular Mass
<b>IRC</b>	-	Internet Relay Chat
<b>IQ</b>	-	Intelligence Quotient
<b>CBAT</b>	-	Chemical Bonding Achievement Test
<b>CAS</b>	-	Chemistry Attitude Scale
<b>PCK</b>	-	Pedagogical Content Knowledge
<b>TPCK</b>	-	Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge
<b>TTCT-F</b>	-	Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking, Figural Edition
<b>SS</b>	-	Standard Score
<b>CS</b>	-	Creativity Score
<b>FPSP</b>	-	Future Problem Solving Program
<b>MA</b>	-	Morphological Analysis
<b>CT</b>	-	Abedi-Schumacher Creativity Test
<b>VAT</b>	-	Villa and Auzmendi Creativity Test
<b>SPSS</b>	-	Statistical Package for the Social Science
<b>ISD</b>	-	Instructional System Design
<b>KTCPI</b>	-	Khatena-Torrance Creative Perception Inventory
<b>SAM</b>	-	Something About Myself
<b>WKOPAY</b>	-	What Kind of Person Are You?
<b>BPPDP</b>	-	<i>Bahagian Perancangan dan Penyelidikan Dasar Pendidikan</i>
<b>JPNS</b>	-	<i>Jabatan Pendidikan Negeri Sarawak</i>
<b>CAT</b>	-	Consensual Assessment Technique



## LIST OF APPENDIX

		<b>Page</b>
Appendix A	Approval Letter from BPPDP	127
Appendix B	Approval Letter from JPNS	128
Appendix C	Pre-TTCT Creativity Test	129
Appendix D	Post-TTCT Creativity Test	132
Appendix E	Pre-Chemistry Test	135
Appendix F	Post-Chemistry Test	140
Appendix G	Test Specification Pre & Post Chemistry Test	145
Appendix H	Table for Determining Sample Size from A Given Population	146
Appendix I	Table of Critical Values of Pearson Correlation	147
Appendix J	Lesson Plan (Traditional Method)	149
Appendix K	Lesson Plan (Treatment Method)	153
Appendix L	Teaching and Learning Situation Using CCLC	157
Appendix M	Marking Scheme of Pre & Post TTCT	160
Appendix N	Examples of Marking TTCT	161



UMS  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction to the Study

Chemistry is one of the most important branches of secondary school science (Oloruntegbe, Ikpe and Kukur, 2010), however, students have the problems in learning Chemistry this is because Chemistry curricula commonly incorporate many abstract concepts, which are central to further learning in both chemistry and other sciences (Taber, 2002). According to Bradley and Brand (1985), numerous reports support the view that the interplay between macroscopic, submicroscopic and symbolic worlds is a source of difficulty for many chemistry students. In the early studies, Hines (1990), Ben-Zvi, Eylon and Silberstein (1987), Lee, Eichinger, Anderson, Verkheimer and Blakeslee (1993) and Abu Hassan and Rohana (2003) reported that the problem areas in the subject, from the students' point of view, the most difficult topics being the mole, chemical formulae and equations, condensations and hydrolysis.

Because of, when these difficulties arise, creativity thinking is important in learning Chemistry subject. Guilford (1967) proposed that real problem solving involved actively seeking, constructing new ideas that fit with constraints imposed by a task, or in most instance, real problem solving involves creative thinking. Hence, the teachers' task is need to find ways to increase meaningful or creative learning, possibly by actively involving students in the process of knowledge construction (Novak and Gowin, 1984). Then, the active learning provides this construction by engaging students in higher order thinking skills and minds-on activities (Acar and Tarhan, 2009) especially the creativity thinking. That why the science education emphasizes the creative thinking.

Accordingly, science teaching should focus on facilitating scientific ways of thinking, knowing and reasoning, rather than transmission of scientific facts, concepts, and theories (Jin and Anderson, 2010) like traditional teaching methods. However, traditional teaching methods which are teacher centered and generate passive students, are generally applied in Chemistry teaching (Karsli, Usta, Ceng and Ayas, 2009). That is well known that students, who have been taught according to teacher centered traditional approach, were unable to integrate their knowledge, think critically and creatively (Acar and Tarhan, 2008; Demircioglu, 2003). Therefore, some topics in Chemistry like the mole concept, atomic structure, balancing redox equations, chemical bonding and others concepts which represents a significant challenge to novice chemistry students (Sirhan, 2007).

The creative teaching which will stimulate development of creativity in students can be achieved by using more learner-centered approaches and particularly those that employ modern information and communication technologies, ICT (Ozmen, 2008). The rapid growth of interactive ICT such as game, flash, music video, live chat, animation, 3D and movie can be seen as an example of providing a form of enhancement to creativity (Edmonds, Weakley, Candy, Fell, Knott and Pauletto, 2005). In student-centered classrooms with the aid of computers, students are able to collaborate, to use creative and critical thinking and to find alternatives solutions to problems (Jaber, 1997).

In the other hand, the Tenth Malaysia plan (2011-2015)<sup>1</sup> state that the national transformation framework emphasizes the critical role of a highly skilled, creative, and innovative workforce in achieving the objective of Vision 2020 for Malaysia to become a high income country that is both sustainable and inclusive. This will require an education system that nurtures creative and critical human capital<sup>2</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> Economic Planning Unit (2010a). *The Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011-2015*. Malaysia. Page 87.

<sup>2</sup> Malaysia Education Blueprint 2013-2025, pages 6-8.

Our previous Prime Minister, Tun Mahathir Mohamad challenged Malaysia scientist community to produce one Nobel holder when approaching year 2020 (Sachi, 2004). He believed that our generation who lived in 21th century must be critical, creative thinking and ICT literacy. Because of creative capacity is a multifaceted construct and influenced from several variables, it can be improved in many ways. Hypothetically speaking, there exist at least as many ways for encouraging creativity as the number of dimensions of creative ability.

## **1.2 Background to the Study**

Chemistry is one of the most important subjects in science but it contains a number of abstract concepts which are not obviously applicable outside the classroom (Stieff and Wilensky, 2003; Zoller, 1990). For this reason, students often view chemistry as one of the difficult subjects to study at all levels of schooling (Sirhan, 2007). Many researchers have reported on students' conceptions of chemistry concepts revealed that when fundamental concepts are not constructed adequately, more advanced concepts that build upon the fundamentals are not fully understood (Abraham, Grzybowski, Renner and Marek, 1992; Nakhleh, 1992).

Chemistry knowledge is represented by scientists at three levels; the macroscopic, the submicroscopic and the symbolic (Johnstone, 1993; Ozmen, Ayas and Costu, 2002; Raviola, 2001). Because of interactions between molecules and atoms occur at a submicroscopic level, chemists refer to the objects and processes which they cannot observe directly at a symbolic level (Stieff and Wilensky, 2003). To understand chemistry at a sophisticated level necessitates students being able to make connection or relations among the levels. However, research suggests that students have difficulties in understanding the submicroscopic and symbolic levels.

Sirhan (2007) stated that the particulate nature of matter which related to mole concept, atomic structure, kinetic theory, thermodynamics, electrochemistry, chemical change and reactivity, balancing redox equations and stereochemistry, chemical, ionic, covalent, metallic bonding and others concepts which represents a significant challenge to students. The study titled "Learning Difficulties in Chemistry" done by Sirhan (2007) pointed out chemistry is a difficult subject for many students.

This is because chemistry topics are generally related to or based on the structure of matter.

To overcome the problems in learning Chemistry, creative and critical thinking skill need to be emphasized. The abstract nature of chemistry along with other content learning difficulties just like the mathematical nature of much chemistry means that chemistry classes require a high-level skill set (Fensham, 1988; Zoller, 1990; Taber, 2002). The creative thinking required in problem solving which involve actively seeking, constructing new ideas that fit with constraints imposed by a task (Guilford,1967). However, creative thinking in teaching and learning section always be neglected.

Creative thinking is different from critical thinking. Harris (1998) contrasted between critical thinking which is analytic, convergent, vertical, focused, objective, verbal and linear while creative thinking which is generative, divergent, lateral, diffuse, subjective, visual, associative and respectively. Critical thinking is reasonable and reflective thinking focused on deciding what to believe or what to do Ennis (1991; 1996). However, in creative thinking, it can be described as regeneration and construction, and it must include novelty, freshness, and originality (Emanuel, 1984).

The characteristics of creativity are defined as being aware of one's own unity and coherence and evaluating the conditions for uniting the knowledge the person uses in the framework of this awareness, understanding the information obtained through observations and experiments, and making it ready to be used, perceiving the problem very quickly and making decisions quickly associating it with his imagination (Ozcan, 2010). A creative person is the one who searches for the new fields, makes new observations, makes new guesses, and propose new implications. Creative people need to have the ability to think fluently, authentically, and flexibly (Emir and Bahar, 2003).

Being creative is a fundamental aspect of human nature and that all children are capable of manifesting and developing their creativity (Craft, 2003). Therefore, creativity is believed to be an inborn quality, inherited by the privileged few. However,

lately psychologists believed that everybody has the potential to be creative (Sternberg, 2004). It is like muscles of human body so creativity's "muscle" should be stretched and exercised (MacGregor, 1996). In order to stimulate creativity, continued and systematic effort has to be carried out. Creativity can be nurtured and enhanced through education. Michael D. Higgins, the former Irish Minister for Arts, Culture and Gaeltacht, Ireland said that:

"The roots of a creative society are in basic education. The sheer volume of facts to be digested by the students of today leaves little time for a deeper interrogation of their moral worth. The result has been a generation of technicians rather than visionaries, each one taking a career rather than an idea seriously. The answer must be reform in our educational methods so that students are encouraged to ask about "know-why" as well as "know-how". Once the arts are restored to a more central role in educational institutions, there could be a tremendous unleashing of creative energy in other disciplines too."<sup>3</sup>

Recently, infusing creativity elements into teaching and learning process was an important movement in Asian educational reforms. The universalization of creativity in education has been influenced by the developments in creativity research and by the political contemporary scene (Simonton, 2000). According to the various study, education should support various kinds of thinking. Critical thinking is good but creative thinking probably even better. Beetlestone (1998) claimed that the best education is obtained through creative education.

For such consideration, educational professionals are increasingly coming to realize that learning and creativity go hand in hand (Moran, in press). This is especially true among socio-constructivist, cultural-historical or socio-cultural labeled researchers. In creative learning is regarded as collaborative meaning-making and knowledge construction rather than as knowledge acquisition. Such conceptions have tended to break down the old dichotomy between learning and creating. The differences between the two constructs become even more minor when we address them as collective processes (Craft, 2003; Jeffrey and Craft, 2004; Moran, in press).

---

<sup>3</sup> Morris, 2006. *Creativity, Its Place in Education*. jpb.com, Belgium, page 2.

Based on Abu Hassan and Rohana (2003), many studies all levels of schooling to determine students' ideas suggest that the learning difficulties in chemistry is caused by the teachers' traditional teaching methods such as simple lecturing or "Chalk and Talk". Such teaching requires students to sit passively and does not much engage students actively in learning (Morgil, Oskay, Yavuz and Arda, 2003). These pedagogical approaches may then influence students' attitudes, cognitive development and achievement in science education (Cepni and Kose, 2006). Those student who have been taught according to teacher centered traditional approach, were unable to integrate their knowledge, think critically and creatively (Acar and Tarhan, 2008; Demircioglu, 2003).

Resnick (1987) found that students will engage more easily with problems that are embedded in challenging real-world contexts that have apparent relevance to their lives. If the problems are interesting, meaningful, challenging and engaging, students tend to be intrinsically motivating. So that the teachers need to increase meaningful learning which involving students actively in the process of knowledge construction to overcome the obstacles in learning Chemistry (Novak and Gowin, 1984). Active learning provides this construction by engaging students in higher order thinking skills and minds-on activities (Acar and Tarhan, 2009) especially the creative thinking skill.

The active or meaningful teaching and learning with the aid of ICT, students are able to collaborate, to use creative and critical thinking and to find alternatives solutions to problems (Jaber, 1997). Several capabilities of ICT, such as providing individualized instruction, practice, revision, teaching and problem-solving, simulations during the applications and immediate feedback, make computers useful instructional devices for developing desired learning outcomes (Ertepinar, 1995) especially the development of creativity in students.

According to Haluk Ozmen (2008), if students are willing to utilize a wide variety of tools in learning, the possibilities to produce creative generation are indeed limitless. Especially, the contribution of ICT based learning environments can boost the students' creativity. The utilization of ICT in learning points to positive

contributions of computer based learning environments to student learning. Computers are but only one tool that students can make it use to learn. There are also countless other materials including innovative textbooks, games and manipulative to name but just a few.

Not only that, the development of students' creative thinking plays an important role in their academic success (Onda, 1994) because the structure of question in examination aimed for creativity and critical thinking skills. Hence, the cultivation of creativity in learning which will increase academic achievement and make the teaching and learning process an enjoyable experience (Kitchens, Barber and Barber, 1991) should be initiated. Therefore, researcher develops Creative Chemistry Learning Courseware (CCLC) with ICT aids to examine how probability it helps in boosting the creativity of students and make their understanding in Mole concepts easier.

### 1.3 Statement of the Problem

According to Johnson (2014), nearly every list of 21st century skills mentions creativity as important to success, even survival. *The Rise of the Creative Class*<sup>4</sup> and *A Whole New Mind*<sup>5</sup> pointed out that creativity as a career and readiness skill for all, not just a nice extra for those working in the arts or entertainment. However, in our country education context, almost research show the focus on development of intelligences while the aspect of cultivation in creativity still haven been emphasized and attended (Toh, 2003).

Yong (1989) who said without hesitate, "*this emphases have taken a heavy toll on the creativity of Malaysia students*"<sup>6</sup> reminded us about the important of critical and creative thinking skill (CCTS) in science education except from the focusing in examination as the negative effects from implementation program KBSR and KBSM. The implementation of these program require teachers use multiple

<sup>4</sup> R., Florida, *The Rise of the Creative Class: And How it's transforming work, leisure, community and everyday life*, New York: Perseus Book Group, 2002.

<sup>5</sup> Daniel H. Pink, *The Whole Mind New Mind*, Riverhead Books, 2004.

<sup>6</sup> L. M. S. Yong, *A study of creativity and its correlates among form four pupils*. Kuala Lumpur: University Malaya, 1989, p. 20.