DETERMINATION OF GEO-HYDRO SEISMIC IMPACT ON SLOPE STABILITY AT EAST OF MALAYSIA USING LIMIT EQUILIBRIUM ANALYSIS



FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH
2023

DETERMINATION OF GEO-HYDRO SEISMIC IMPACT ON SLOPE STABILITY AT EAST OF MALAYSIA USING LIMIT EQUILIBRIUM ANALYSIS

MOHAMMAD HAZIQ BIN ROSLY

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH
2023

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL : DETERMINATION OF GEO-HYDRO SEISMIC IMPACT ON SLOPE

STABILITY AT EAST OF MALAYSIA USING LIMIT EQUILIBRIUM

ANALYSIS

IJAZAH : **SARJANA KEJURUTERAAN**

BIDANG: **KEJURUTERAAN AWAM**

Saya **MOHAMMAD HAZIQ BIN ROSLY**, Sesi **2019-2023**, mengaku membenarkan tesis Sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-

1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah

2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.

3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.

4. Sila tandakan (/):	
SULIT	(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972)
TERHAD	(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)
TIDAK TERHAD	
	Disahkan Oleh,
MOHAMMAD HAZIQ BIN ROSLY MK1911043T	(Tandatangan Pustakawan)
Tarikh : 29 September 2023	(Ir. Ts. Dr. Habib Musa Bin Mohamad) Penyelia Utama

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

6 July 2023 _____

Mohammad Haziq Bin Rosly MK1911043T



CERTIFICATION

TITLE : **DETERMINATION OF GEO-HYDRO SEISMIC IMPACT ON SLOPE**

STABILITY AT EAST OF MALAYSIA USING LIMIT

NAME : MOHAMMAD HAZIQ BIN ROSLY

EQUILIBRIUM ANALYSIS

: MASTER OF ENGINEERING

MATRIC NO. : **MK1911043T**

DEGREE

3. CO-SUPERVISOR

Dr. Noor Sheena Heryani Harith

FIELD	: CIVIL ENGINEERING	
VIVA DATE	: 6 JULY 2023	
	CERTIFIED BY;	
	CERTIFIED BIT	
		Signature
6		
	SUPERVISOR	
Ir. Ts. D	<mark>or. Habib M</mark> usa Bin Mohamad	
11/2	UNIVERSITI MALAYSI	A SARAH
2. CO-SUI	PERVISOR	
Prof. Ma	ndya Ir. Dr. Nurmin Binti Bolong	

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I am thankful to ALLAH (S.W.T) for His countless blessings and spirit offered by Him. I could have not completed this task without His will.

I would not be doing justice, if I do not acknowledge the support, guidance, patronage and motivation of my great supervisors. I could never do it without your support. I always found they are standing with me every thick and thin. I wish someday I will be able to be like them, great lecturers and researchers.

I am thankful to my family especially my wife and parents for the unconditional support. During this whole tenure, my wife was source of motivation to me. She really took the leading role and took care of everything in my absence.

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

Mohammad Haziq Bin Rosly

6 July 2023

ABSTRACT

Rainfall and earthquake have been identified as the highest contributing factors that lead to landslide occurrence worldwide. This is due to rainwater infiltration have caused the groundwater to increase and decrease creating fluctuation of groundwater level. Whereby earthquake have deteriorated the shear strength of soil due to the dynamic loading. Further to that, Ranau and Kota Belud, Sabah have been selected in this study due to the presence of both contributing factors and located within the same geological formation. GeoStudio was utilized to perform the slope stability analysis by using Slope/W and Seep/W features using soil parameter input obtained from soil investigation works and lab testing. Also, statistical analysis was conducted to develop Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) graph using existing data for rainfall intensity calculation. The objective of this study is to identify the impact of rainfall and earthquake to factor of safety of slope at RNU1, KB1 & KB2. Based on the IDF graph developed using data obtained has shown that Ranau and Kota Belud are having dense rainfall every year with maximum rainfall intensity is ranging between 175.2 mm/hr to 220.3 mm/hr. As for earthquake, Ranau recorded the highest seismic activity with 1,093 number of events between year 2000 until 2020. While Kota Belud recorded not significant seismic event for the similar window period. From the slope stability without earthquake, and the factor of safety recorded at Kota Belud is ranging between 2.333 to 3.375 while Ranau recorded factor of safety between 2.431 to 2.572. For the slope stability with earthquake, four earthquake values were introduced are 0.03g, 0.06g, 0.09g and 0.12g. Presence of earthquake have dropped the factor of safety for the Kota Belud approximately between -16.8% to -9.6%. while for Ranau the factor of safety dropped roughly within -9.3% to -7.7%. Slope stability analysis has indicated that there is fluctuation of pore pressure during rainfall infiltration into the ground. In conclusion, combination of rainfall and earthquake resulted to catastrophic landslide that impacting the pore pressure and frictional strength respectively.

ABSTRAK

Hujan dan gempa bumi telah dikenal pasti sebagai faktor penyumbang tertinggi yang membawa kepada kejadian tanah runtuh di seluruh dunia. Ini disebabkan penyusupan air hujan telah menyebabkan air bawah tanah meningkat dan berkurangan menyebabkan turun naik paras air bawah tanah. Di mana gempa bumi telah merosot kekuatan ricih tanah disebabkan oleh beban dinamik. Selain itu, Ranau dan Kota Belud, Sabah telah dipilih dalam kajian ini kerana kehadiran kedua-dua faktor penyumbang dan terletak dalam formasi geologi yang sama. GeoStudio digunakan untuk melakukan analisis kestabilan cerun dengan menggunakan ciri Cerun/W dan Seep/W menggunakan input parameter tanah yang diperoleh daripada kerja-kerja penyiasatan tanah dan ujian makmal. Juga, analisis statistik telah dijalankan untuk membangunkan graf Intensity Duration Frequency (IDF) menggunakan data sedia ada untuk pengiraan intensiti hujan. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti kesan hujan dan gempa bumi terhadap faktor keselamatan cerun di RNU1, KB1 & KB2. Berdasarkan graf IDF yang dibangunkan menggunakan data yang diperoleh telah menunjukkan bahawa Ranau dan Kota Belud mengalami hujan lebat setiap tahun dengan intensiti hujan maksimum adalah antara 175.2 mm/jam hingga 220.3 mm/jam. Bagi gempa bumi, Ranau mencatatkan aktiviti seismik tertinggi dengan 1,093 kejadian antara tahun 2000 hingga 2020. Manakala Kota Belud merekodkan kejadian seismik yang tidak ketara bagi tempoh tingkap yang sama. Daripada kestabilan cerun tanpa gempa bumi, dan faktor keselamatan yang dicatatkan di Kota Belud adalah antara 2.333 hingga 3.375 manakala Ranau mencatatkan faktor keselamatan antara 2.431 hingga 2.572. Bagi kestabilan cerun dengan gempa bumi, empat nilai gempa bumi diperkenalkan ialah 0.03g, 0.06g, 0.09g dan 0.12g. Kehadiran gempa bumi telah menurunkan faktor keselamatan bagi Kota Belud kira-kira antara -16.8% hingga -9.6%. manakala bagi Ranau faktor keselamatan menurun kira-kira dalam -9.3% kepada -7.7%. Analisis kestabilan cerun telah menunjukkan bahawa terdapat turun naik tekanan liang semasa penyusupan hujan ke dalam tanah. Kesimpulannya, kombinasi hujan dan gempa bumi mengakibatkan tanah runtuh yang masing-masing memberi kesan kepada tekanan liang dan kekuatan geseran.

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE	i
DECLARATION	ii
CERTIFICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
ABSTRACT	V
ABSTRAK	vi
LIST OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAI	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVATIONS	xvii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Objective	6

1.4	Scope of Study		6
1.5	Significant of Study		7
1.6	Thesis Outline		7
СНАР	APTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1	Introduction		9
2.2	Definition of Landslide and Slip Failure		11
2.3	Previous Study on Landslide Issues and Gap		15
2.4	Overview of Landslide Occurrence in Malaysia		22
2.5	Overview of Landslide Occurrence Outside Malaysia		25
2.6	Landslide Causal Factors and Triggering Factors		27
2.7	Geotechnical and Geological Setting of Ranau and Kota	Belud, Sabah	33
2.8	Rainfall-Induced Landslide		37
2.9	Earthquake-Induced Landslide		41
2.10	S <mark>lope Stabil</mark> ity Analysis		45
(3)	2.10.1 Limit Equilibrium Method		45
	2.10.2 Finite Element Method		47
2.11	Gumbel's Method for Rainfall Intensity	A SABAH	49
2.12	Chapter Summary		50
СНАР	APTER 3 : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Introduction		51
3.2	Research Methodology		52
3.3	Preliminary Investigation		55
	3.3.1 Field and Desktop Assessment		55
	3.3.2 Boreholes Locations		57
	3.3.3 Soil Sampling and Laboratory Tests		57
3.4	Data Processing and Analysis		67
3.5	Chapter Summary		69

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

4.1	Introduction 7					
4.2	Soil Physical Characteristics and Properties					
	4.2.1	Particle Size Distribution	71			
	4.2.2	Atterberg Limit	81			
	4.2.3	Moisture Content	89			
4.3	Soil Me	chanical Properties	93			
	4.3.1	Soil Profile and SPT	93			
	4.3.2	Soil Cohesion and Internal Friction Angle	96			
4.4	Rainfall	Intensity for Ranau and Kota Belud	105			
4.5	Historic	al Seismic Records	108			
4.6	Slope S	stability Analysis for Rainfall-Induced Landslide	110			
165	4.6.1	1-Hour Rainfall Duration	112			
	4.6.2	2-Hours Rainfall Duration	116			
4.7	Slope S	tability Analysis for Earthquake-Induced Landslide	121			
	4.7.1	Slope Stability Analysis with Earthquake Impact at KB1	121			
	4.7.2	Slope Stability Analysis with Earthquake Impact at KB2	129			
	4.7.3	Slope Stability Analysis with Earthquake Impact at RNU1	136			
4.8	Pore Pr	essure and Frictional Strength due to Rainfall and Earthquake	144			
	4.8.1	Pore Pressure and Frictional Strength of Soil at KB1	144			
	4.8.2	Pore Pressure and Frictional Strength of Soil at KB2	150			
	4.8.3	Pore Pressure and Frictional Strength of Soil at RNU1	154			
4.9	Numeri	cal Modelling	161			
4.10	Chapte	r Summary	163			
СНАР	PTER 5 :	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION				
5.1	Introdu	action	166			
5.2	Conclus	sion	166			

5.3	Recommendation	169
REFE	ERENCES	170
APPI	ENDICES	179



LIST OF TABLES

			Page
Table 2.1	:	List of Landslide Events at Sabah from 2009 until 2018	10
Table 2.2	:	Tabulation of Past Research on Landslide	15
Table 2.3	:	List of Major Landslide in Malaysia from year 2000 until 2016	23
Table 2.4	:	List of Landslides in Malaysia and its Potential Causes Occurred	28
		from year 1999 until 2016	
Table 2.5	:	Number of Earthquake Occurrence at Ranau, Lahad Datu and	35
		Tawau from year 2009 until 2018	
Table 2.6	:	Soil properties of Trusmadi Formation, Crocker Formation,	36
		Singapore and Indonesia	
Table 2.7	1	Tabulation Previous Research of Slope Stability Analysis Method	49
Table 3.1		List of Soil Samples for Study Areas	61
Table 3.2	-:	Soil Parameter used for Slope Stability Analysis	68
Table 4.1	1:	Atterberg Limit from Soil Investigation for KB1 (BH2)	82
Table 4.2	4	Atterberg Limit from Soil Investigation for KB1(BH5)	83
Table 4.3	4.	Atterberg Limit from Soil Investigation for KB2 (BH4)	84
Table 4.4	:	Atterberg Limit from Soil Investigation for KB2 (BH7)	85
Table 4.5	:	Atterberg Limit from Soil Investigation for KB2 (BH8)	86
Table 4.6	:	Atterberg Limit from Soil Investigation for RNU1 (BH2)	87
Table 4.7	:	Atterberg Limit from Soil Investigation for RNU1 (BH6)	88
Table 4.8	:	Atterberg Limit from Soil Investigation for RNU1 (BH15)	89
Table 4.9	:	Groundwater level from Soil Investigation KB1, KB2 and RNU1	90
Table 4.10	:	Soil Profile and SPT for KB1	94
Table 4.11	:	Soil Profile and SPT for KB2	95
Table 4.12	:	Soil Profile and SPT for RNU1	96
Table 4.13	:	SWCC Parameter for all Study Areas	103
Table 4.14	:	Summary of Soil Properties for all Study Areas	104

LIST OF FIGURES

			Page
Figure 1.1	:	Map of Sabah	3
Figure 1.2	:	Landslides Event at Sabah within year 2020 until 2022	4
Figure 2.1	:	Landslide prone locations heat map at Sabah and Sarawak	10
Figure 2.2	:	Illustration of Slope Failure (a) Wedge failure (b) Circular Slips Failure and (c) Translational Failure	13
Figure 2.3	:	Causal Factors of landslides (a) Selected Country and (b) Malaysia	30
Figure 2.4	- - I	Triggering Factors of landslides (a) Selected Country and (b) Malaysia	31
Figure 2.5	<u>.</u>	Geological Map of Sabah	34
Figure 2.6	:	Volumetric Water Content of Soil Graph	40
Figure 2.7	1	Soil particles, water and air during Suction	40
AB	AS	a) Saturated; b) Unsaturated; c) Fully Drained (Residual Water Content)	
Figure 2.8	:	Average Rainfall Amount & Number of Earthquake and Landslide Event at Ranau from 2009 until 2018	44
Figure 2.9	:	Limit Equilibrium Slicing Method	47
Figure 2.10	:	Finite Element Wire Mesh	48
Figure 3.1	:	Flowchart of the Methodology of the Study	54
Figure 3.2	:	Borehole Locations at KB1	58
Figure 3.3	:	Borehole Locations at KB2	58
Figure 3.4	:	Borehole Locations at RNU1	59
Figure 3.5	:	Site Conditions (a) KB1 (b) KB2 and (c) RNU1	59
Figure 3.6	:	Slope Geometry (a) KB1 (b) and (c) RNU1	68

Figure 4.1	:	(a) BH2 (b) BH5 and (c) BH8	/2
Figure 4.2	:	Particle Size Distribution from Soil Investigation for KB2	75
rigare n.z	•	(a) BH1 (b) BH4 (c) BH7 and (a) BH8	, 5
Figure 4.3		Particle Size Distribution from Soil Investigation for	79
rigare no	•	RNU1 (a) BH2 (b) BH6 and (c) BH15	, ,
Figure 4.4	:	Liquid Limit from Soil Investigation for KB1 (a) BH2	82
	_	and (b) BH5	
Figure 4.5	:	Liquid Limit from Soil Investigation for KB2 (a) BH4	84
		(b) BH7 and (c) BH8	
Figure 4.6	:	Liquid Limit from Soil Investigation for RNU1 (a) BH2	87
		and (b) BH15	
Figure 4.7	:	Moisture Content from Soil Investigation (a) KB1	91
	37	(b) KB2 and (c) RNU1	
Figure 4.9		Consolidated Isotropic Undrained Test from Soil	97
		Investigation for KB1 (a) BH2 and (b) BH5	
Figure 4.10	3/	Consolidated Isotropic Undrained Test from Soil	99
		Investigation for KB2 (a) BH4 and (b) BH7	
Figure 4.11		Consolidated Isotropic Undrained Test from Soil	101
		Investigation for RNU1 (a) BH6 and (b) BH15	
Figure 4.12	:	Internal Friction Angle of Soils against Depth	102
Figure 4.13	:	Cohesion of Soils against Depth	103
Figure 4.14	:	Intensity-Distribution Frequency for Ranau	105
Figure 4.15	:	Intensity-Distribution Frequency for Kota Belud	106
Figure 4.16	:	Cumulative Number of Earthquake Occurrence at	109
		Ranau from 2000 until 2020	
Figure 4.17		Cumulative Number of Earthquake Magnitude at Ranau	110
		from 2000 until 2020	
Figure 4.18	:	Slip Plane for KB1	111
Figure 4.19	:	Slip Plane for KB2	111

Figure 4.20	:	Slip Plane for RNU1	112
Figure 4.21	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB1 for 1 Hour Rainfall	113
Figure 4.22	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB2 for 1 Hour Rainfall	114
Figure 4.23	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at RNU1 for 1 Hour Rainfall	115
Figure 4.24	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB1 for 2 Hour Rainfall	117
Figure 4.25	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB2 for 2 Hour Rainfall	118
Figure 4.26	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at RNU1 for 2 Hour Rainfall	120
Figure 4.27	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB1 for 1 Hour Rainfall	122
		with 0.03g Earthquake	
Figure 4.28	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB1 for 1 Hour Rainfall	123
		with 0.06g Earthquake	
Figure 4.29	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB1 for 1 Hour Rainfall	124
	B	with 0.09g Earthquake	
Figure 4.30		Factor of Safety of Slope at KB1 for 1 Hour Rainfall	124
		with 0.12g Earthquake	
Figure 4.31	7/	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB1 for 2 Hour Rainfall	125
		with 0.03g Earthquake	
Figure 4.32	9	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB1 for 2 Hour Rainfall	126
		with 0.06g Earthquake	
Figure 4.33	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB1 for 2 Hour Rainfall	128
		with 0.09g Earthquake	
Figure 4.34	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB1 for 2 Hour Rainfall	129
		with 0.12g Earthquake	
Figure 4.35	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB2 for 1 Hour Rainfall	130
		with 0.03g Earthquake	
Figure 4.36	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB2 for 1 Hour Rainfall	131
		with 0.06g Earthquake	
Figure 4.37	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB2 for 1 Hour Rainfall	131
		with 0.09g Earthquake	

Figure 4.38	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB2 for 1 Hour Rainfall	132
		with 0.12g Earthquake	
Figure 4.39	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB2 for 2 Hour Rainfall	133
		with 0.03g Earthquake	
Figure 4.40	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB2 for 2 Hour Rainfall	134
		with 0.06g Earthquake	
Figure 4.41	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB2 for 2 Hour Rainfall	135
		with 0.09g Earthquake	
Figure 4.42	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at KB2 for 2 Hour Rainfall	136
		with 0.12g Earthquake	
Figure 4.43	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at RNU1 for 1 Hour Rainfall	137
		with 0.03g Earthquake	
Figure 4.44	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at RNU1 for 1 Hour Rainfall	138
	R	with 0.06g Earthquake	
Figure 4.45		Factor of Safety of Slope at RNU1 for 1 Hour Rainfall	138
		with 0.09g Earthquake	
Figure 4.46	1	Factor of Safety of Slope at RNU1 for 1 Hour Rainfall	139
1		with 0.12 g Earthquake	
Figure 4.47	A.	Factor of Safety of Slope at RNU1 for 2 Hour Rainfall	140
		with 0.03 g Earthquake	
Figure 4.48	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at RNU1 for 2 Hour Rainfall	141
		with 0.06 g Earthquake	
Figure 4.49	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at RNU1 for 2 Hour Rainfall	142
		with 0.09 g Earthquake	
Figure 4.50	:	Factor of Safety of Slope at RNU1 for 2 Hour Rainfall	143
		with 0.12 g Earthquake	
Figure 4.51	:	Pore Pressure Behavior for KB1 for 1-hour rainfall	145
Figure 4.52	:	Frictional Strength Behavior for KB1 for 1-hour rainfall	146
Figure 4.53	:	Pore Pressure Behavior for KB1 for 2-hours rainfall	148
Figure 4.54	:	Frictional Strength Behavior for KB1 for 2-hours rainfall	149
Figure 4.55	:	Pore Pressure Behavior for KB2 for 1-hours rainfall	151

Figure 4.56	:	Frictional Strength Behavior for KB2 for 1-hours rainfall	152
Figure 4.57	:	Pore Pressure Behavior for KB2 for 2-hours rainfall	153
Figure 4.58	:	Frictional Strength Behavior for KB2 for 2-hours rainfall	155
Figure 4.59	:	Pore Pressure Behavior for RNU1 for 1-hour rainfall	156
Figure 4.60	:	Frictional Strength Behavior for RNU1 for 1-hour rainfall	158
Figure 4.61	:	Pore Pressure Behavior for RNU1 for 2-hours rainfall	159
Figure 4.62	:	Frictional Strength Behavior for RNU1 for 2-hours rainfall	160
Figure 4.63	:	KB1 Slope Stability Analysis Mesh Model	161
Figure 4.64	:	KB2 Slope Stability Analysis Mesh Model	162
Figure 4.65	:	RNU1 Slope Stability Analysis Mesh Model	162



LIST OF ABBREVATIONS

IDF - Intensity Duration Frequency

LEM - Limit Equilibrium Method

FEM - Finite Element Method

FOS - Factor of Safety

SWCC - Soil Water Characteristic Curve



LIST OF APPENDICES

			Page
Appendix A	:	Slope Stability Analysis Procedure (Slope/W and Seep/W)	181
Appendix B	:	Soil Properties	183
Appendix C	:	Particle Size Distribution	186
Appendix D	:	Slope Stability Analysis	196
Appendix E	:	Pore Pressure Result	211
Appendix F	:	Frictional Strength Result	217



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Developing country like Malaysia is having a few mega infrastructure projects such as Mass Rapid Transit (MRT), East Coast Rail Link, Pan Borneo Highway and few others. For such development, geotechnical engineering is one of the critical areas that should be investigated in detail to avoid failure and asset damaged. Slope failure or landslide is one of the major issues related to geotechnical engineering especially when it is involving construction of facilities across hilly area and challenging terrain location.

Malaysia have undergone rapid development since 1980s and since then, the availability of strategic and suitable low-lying areas for the development have become very limited as mentioned by Rahman and Mapjabil (2017). As the result, construction of building and facilities on hilly terrain has increased, particularly in areas adjacent to densely populated cities thereby exposing the urban communities to an increased risk of landslide occurrence as mentioned by Rahman and Mapjabil (2017).

Malaysia is not a precipitous country, but slope failures and landslides are happened frequently throughout the country. Data collected from 1993 until 2011, it shows that around 23 major landslides were reported in Malaysia and causing a total loss of more than 100 lives. In addition, from 1973 until 2007, it was estimated around US dollar 1\$ billion economic losses due to the landslide. It has indicated an alarming result of death and economic lost due to landslide in Malaysia for the past 20 years. It was recorded there were 21,000 landslide prone areas throughout Malaysia. 16,000 of the locations are located at Peninsular Malaysia while about 3,000 locations located in

Sabah and the remaining 2,000 locations are located at Sarawak.

Rahman and Mapjabil (2017) also mentioned that slope failure shall be carefully studied starting from the root cause up to the mitigation proposal for a long-term solution. It is found that most landslides emerge on manmade slopes, and this is in essence the upshot of uncertainties related to human factors like insufficiency in design, failing in construction or lack of maintenance. However, slope failure or landslide will not happen without external forces disturbing the stability of the slope. This external force can be considered as triggering factor. Based on study by Kazmi et. al. (2016), the common triggering factors are rainfall, earthquakes, volcanic activities, change in groundwater, disturbances and change of slope profile by construction activities or combination of the factors.

In addition, Kazmi et. al. (2016) mentioned that triggering factor in Malaysia contributed around 58% from rainfall and followed by loading changes which contributes around 35% and most failures recorded are happen during rainy the season. This has indicated that water is the main cause landslide prone to happen in Malaysia. Prior to the selection of the mitigation measures of slope failure, the slope stability analysis needs to be done to determine the condition of the slope before or during it is fail. The basic principle of slope failure is when the acting force which are forces that causing the slope mass to move exceed the resisting force which are the forces that resist or keep the slope mass intact. This can be calculated through slope stability analysis to determine the factor of safety.

Slope stability analysis is required to determine the Factor of Safety (FOS) of slope. Furthermore, rainwater infiltration shall be taken into consideration during slope stability analysis. This study is conducted to identify the Factor of Safety of Slope within Ranau and Kota Belud under Rainfall Intensity with impact from earthquake event. The location for this study has been specified to be at East of Malaysia which are Ranau and Kota Belud, Sabah due to the repeated landslide occurrence recorded within these areas that suspected to be the consequence of heavy rainfall and unexpected earthquake occurrence. Figure 1.1 shows the location of the Ranau and Kota Belud.

Rainfall intensity is obtained from rainfall data extracted via rainfall station and further developed into rainfall intensity with different Average Recurrence Interval (ARI). Fluctuations of groundwater level is recorded for each different limits together with the respective Factor of Safety in the analysis using GeoStudio software Slope/W and Seep/W feature. Whereby, the earthquake impact is analyze using the Slope/W by incorporating the earthquake value.



Figure 1.1 : Map of Sabah

Figure 1.2 shows the previously reported landslide events occurred at Sabah within year 2020 until 2022. Referring to Figure 1.2, all of the landslide events occurred are during or right after rainfall as per indicated in the picture with presence of wet road surface, debris flow and wet soils. Besides, the landslides also occurred on the road that was being used by public for daily activities. Therefore, the road linkage has been blocked due to the landslides and cause the public unable to cross and creating

safety concerns towards the surrounding area.



Figure 1.2 : Landslides Event at Sabah within year 2020 until 2022

1.2 Problem Statement

Sabah is currently having vast highway construction such as the Pan Borneo Highway that involved in combination of expansion of current road and exploration of new road. Due to the natural geomorphological condition of Sabah that consist of hilly terrains, the exploration of hilly area has started, and it is involving cut and fill activities to get