

**THE DETERMINANTS OF THE INTENTIONS
TO ADOPT ENERGY EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGY
(EET) IN THE SABAH PALM OIL INDUSTRY**



LEE KIM HUAT

UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

**FACULTY OF BUSINESS, ECONOMICS &
ACCOUNTANCY
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH
2021**

**THE DETERMINANTS OF THE INTENTIONS
TO ADOPT ENERGY EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGY
(EET) IN THE SABAH PALM OIL INDUSTRY**

LEE KIM HUAT



**THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

**FACULTY OF BUSINESS ECONOMICS AND
ACCOUNTANCY
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH
2021**

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL : **THE DETERMINANTS OF THE INTENTIONS TO ADOPT ENERGY EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGY (EET) IN THE SABAH PALM OIL INDUSTRY**

IJAZAH : **DOKTOR FALSAFAH**

BIDANG : **PENGURUSAN**

Saya **LEE KIM HUAT**, Sesi **2015-2021**, mengaku membenarkan tesis Doktorat ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-

1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. Sila tandakan (/):

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972)

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

/

TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan Oleh,

LEE KIM HUAT
DB1421028T

(Tandatangan Pustakawan)

Tarikh : 22 Januari 2021

(Prof. Madya Dr. Stephen Laison Sondoh Jr.)
Penyelia

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, citation, excerpts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

4th November 2020

Lee Kim Huat
DB1421028T



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

CERTIFICATION

NAME : LEE KIM HUAT

MATRIC NO. : DB1421028T

TITLE : THE DETERMINANTS OF THE INTENTIONS TO ADOPT
ENERGY EFFICIENT TECHNOLOGY (EET) IN THE
SABAH PALM OIL INDUSTRY

DEGREE : DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

FIELD : MANAGEMENT

VIVA DATE : 4th AUGUST 2020



SUPERVISOR

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Stephen Sondoh

CERTIFIED BY

UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

Signature

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises to Waheguru the Almighty God for His blessings on everything that has been granted.

Deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Stephen L. Sondoh Jr. and Dr. Julian Paul Sidin for their continuous guidance and advice during the whole process of completing this thesis. They have been the centre of motivation and guidance for me.

Deepest appreciation to my much-loved mother, Bebah Kaur, for her continuous support, love and trust. To my siblings, Geok Choo, Kim Seng, Geok Lan and Kim Ann for always being there.

In Loving Memory of my beloved father, Lee Swee Swee, you are my Hero.

Thank You

Lee Kim Huat
4th November 2020



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

ABSTRACT

Among the many sustainable development agenda, producing sustainable energy is an ongoing dilemma for most country including Malaysia. Throughout Malaysia, more than 90 percent of the electricity generated is from coal and natural gas collectively. Realizing this, The United Nation introduced the 2030 agenda which listed 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) in which one of the goal is to provide access to affordable and clean energy. This study came up with a framework for the intentions to adopt Energy Efficient Technology (EET) in the Palm Oil industry in Sabah, which is one of the state in Malaysia. The Technological, Organizational and Environmental (TOE) model was used as the main theory to test the proposed relationship. This study consisted of 15 exogenous latent variables and one endogenous variable. The Sustainable Technological elements consisted seven latent variables, which are perceived compatibility, perceived relative advantage, perceived affordability, perceived production quality, perceived product quality, perceived service quality and perceived accessibility. The Organizational elements consisted of two latent variables, which are top management support and social responsibilities. In the Environmental context, there are another two latent variables, which were perceived competitive pressure and environment openness. Finally, the Individual elements consisted of two latent variables, which were manager's innovativeness and empowerment. Knowledge of Sustainability and Knowledge of Technology acted as the moderator effect. This research applied a quantitative approach that uses a cross-sectional questionnaire survey base in order to test all the proposed hypotheses. A total of 101 palm oil mills under the state of Sabah were finalized as the sample for this study. Partial least squares (PLS) and structural equation modeling (SEM) tool were used to examine the relationship between the variable. It also measured the moderating effect of knowledge of sustainability and knowledge of Technology on the adoption of EET. The model managed to provide some important findings, such as manager's innovativeness was found to be the most influential predictor towards EET adoption and the second most important predictor is empowerment. Both of this predictor were from the individual characteristics and popular among scholars, individual characteristics completes the missing link of the TOE framework. The influence of perceived relative advantage was found to be the weakest but significant factor towards EET adoption. Furthermore, this study also found that higher manager innovativeness promotes higher intention to adopt EET. Findings were useful for theory and knowledge where it was empirically proved that future TOE framework model must not ignore the individual context as they are the real agent in knowledge processes in understanding adoption intention. Also, in terms of practise wise, manager with high level of innovativeness was found to help contribute towards the progress of sustainable technology in the palm oil industry.

ABSTRAK

PENENTUAN INTENSI PENERIMAAN TEKNOLOGI JIMAT TENAGA (EET) DALAM INDUSTRI MINYAK KELAPA SAWIT DI SABAH

Di antara banyak agenda pembangunan lestari, menghasilkan tenaga lestari adalah dilema berterusan bagi kebanyakan negara termasuk Malaysia. Di seluruh Malaysia, lebih daripada 90 peratus elektrik yang dihasilkan adalah dari arang batu dan gas asli secara kolektif. Menyedari hal ini, Pertubuhan Bangsa Bersatu (PBB) memperkenalkan agenda 2030 yang menyenaraikan 17 Matlamat Pembangunan Lestari (SDG) di mana salah satu tujuannya adalah untuk memberi akses kepada tenaga yang berpatutan dan bersih. Pertubuhan Bangsa Bersatu (PBB). Kajian ini merangka satu model melalui intensi penerimaan Teknologi Lestari menerusi penggunaan Teknologi Jimat Tenaga (EET) dalam industri Minyak Sawit di Sabah, iaitu salah satu negeri didalam Malaysia. Dengan menggunakan Model Teknologi, Organisasi dan Persekitaran (TOE) untuk menguji hubungan yang dicadangkan. Kajian ini terdiri daripada 15 pembolehubah bebas dan satu pembolehubah bersandar. Unsur-unsur Teknologi terdiri daripada tujuh pembolehubah yang terdiri daripada kesesuaian, kelebihan relatif, kemampuan, kualiti produksi, kualiti produk, kualiti perkhidmatan dan akses. Unsur-unsur Organisasi terdiri daripada dua pembolehubah yang merupakan sokongan pengurusan atasan dan tanggungjawab sosial. Dalam konteks persekitaran, terdapat dua lagi pembolehubah iaitu tekanan persaingan dan keterbukaan persekitaran. Akhirnya, elemen Individu terdiri daripada dua pembolehubah yang merupakan inovasi pengurus dan pemberdayaan. Pengetahuan tentang Kemampanan dan Pengetahuan Teknologi bertindak sebagai kesan moderator. Kajian ini menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif yang menggunakan pangkalan soal selidik rentas keratan untuk menguji semua hipotesis yang dicadangkan. Sebanyak 101 kilang minyak kelapa sawit di negeri Sabah digunakan sebagai sampel kajian ini. Alat pemodelan persamaan struktur (PLS-SEM) digunakan didalam kajian ini untuk mengkaji hubungan antara pembolehubah. Ia juga mengukur kesan penyederhanaan pengetahuan tentang kemampanan dan pengetahuan Teknologi mengenai penggunaan EET. Model ini berjaya memberikan beberapa penemuan penting, seperti inovasi pengurus yang didapati sebagai peramal yang paling berpengaruh terhadap penggunaan EET dan peramal kedua yang terpenting adalah pemberdayaan. Kedua-dua peramal ini adalah dari ciri-ciri individu dan popular di kalangan sarjana, ciri individu melengkapkan pautan yang hilang dari rangka kerja TOE. Pengaruh kelebihan relatif dilihat sebagai faktor yang paling lemah tetapi signifikan terhadap penggunaan EET. Kajian ini juga mendapati bahawa pengurus dengan daya inovasi lebih tinggi akan mampu mendorong niat yang lebih tinggi untuk menerapkan EET. Secara empirikal, kajian ini membuktikan bahawa model kerangka TOE tidak boleh mengabaikan konteks individu kerana mereka adalah agen sebenar dalam proses pengetahuan dalam memahami niat adopsi. Dari segi praktik, pengurus dengan tahap inovasi yang tinggi didapati dapat membantu menyumbang ke arah kemajuan teknologi lestari dalam industri minyak sawit.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE	i
DECLARATION	ii
CERTIFICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	5
1.3 Research Questions	7
1.4 Research Objectives	7
1.5 Scope of Study	8
1.6 Significance of Study	9
1.7 Operational Definition of Terms	10
1.8 Organization of Thesis	13
1.9 Summary of Chapter 1	13

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0	Introduction	14
2.1	Energy Efficient Technology (EET)	14
2.2	Intention to Adopt EET / Sustainable Technology	16
2.3	Technological-Organizational-Environment (TOE) framework	20
2.4	Limitation from Past Study	23
2.5	Integrated Acceptance and Sustainability Assessment Model (IASAM)	26
2.6	The Model	27
2.6.1	Sustainable Technology Characteristic	28
2.6.1.1	Perceived Compatibility	30
2.6.1.2	Perceived Relative Advantage	32
2.6.1.3	Perceived Affordability	34
2.6.1.4	Perceived Production Quality	36
2.6.1.5	Perceived Product Quality	38
2.6.1.6	Perceived Service Quality	40
2.6.1.7	Perceived Accessibility	42
2.6.2	Organizational Characteristic	44
2.6.2.1	Top Management Support	45
2.6.2.2	Organizational Readiness	47
2.6.2.3	Social Responsibilities	49
2.6.3	Environment Characteristic	52
2.6.3.1	Perceived Competitive Pressure	52
2.6.3.2	Environment Openness	54
2.6.3.3	Government Support	57
2.6.4	Individual Characteristic	59
2.6.4.1	Manager's Innovativeness	60
2.6.4.2	Championing Behavior	62
2.6.4.3	Empowerment	64
2.6.5	Moderator	66
2.6.5.1	Knowledge	68
2.6.5.2	Knowledge of Sustainability	69
2.6.5.3	Knowledge of Technology	71
2.7	Summary	73

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	74
3.2	Philosophical Positioning of the study	75
3.3	Hypothesis Development	77
3.3.1	Perceived Compatibility and Intention to Adopt EET	77
3.3.2	Perceived Relative advantage and Intention to Adopt EET	78
3.3.3	Perceived Affordability and Intention to Adopt EET	81
3.3.4	Perceived Production Quality and Intention to Adopt EET	82
3.3.5	Perceived Product Quality and Intention to Adopt EET	83
3.3.6	Perceived Service Quality and Intention to Adopt EET	85
3.3.7	Perceived Accessibility and Intention to Adopt EET	87
3.3.8	Top Management Support and Intention to Adopt EET	88
3.3.9	Organizational Readiness and Intention to Adopt EET	90
3.3.10	Social Responsibilities and Intention to Adopt EET	92
3.3.11	Perceived Competitive Pressure and Intention to Adopt EET	94
3.3.12	Environment Openness and Intention to Adopt EET	96
3.3.13	Government Support and Intention to Adopt EET	99
3.3.14	Manager's Innovativeness and Intention to Adopt EET	101
3.3.15	Championing Behavior and Intention to Adopt EET	103
3.3.16	Empowerment and Intention to Adopt EET	105
3.3.17	Knowledge of Sustainability as Moderator	106
3.3.18	Knowledge of Technology as Moderator	109
3.4	Research Framework	112
3.5	Research Design	114
3.6	Sampling Design	115
3.6.1	Sampling Selection / Unit of Analysis	116
3.6.2	Sampling Size	116
3.6.3	Sampling Techniques	118
3.7	Instrument	119
3.7.1	Development of Questionnaires	121
3.7.2	Pre-Test Study	136
3.8	Data Collection	139
3.9	Data Analysis	140
3.9.1	Approach and Operationalization of Sustainable Technological Characteristics	143

3.9.2	Approach and Operationalization of Organizational Characteristics	146
3.9.3	Approach and Operationalization of Environmental Characteristics	147
3.9.4	Approach and Operationalization of Individual Characteristics	148
3.9.5	Approach and Operationalization of Knowledge	149
3.9.6	Approach and Operationalization of Marker Variable	150
3.9.7	Approach and Operationalization of Intention to Adopt	
3.10	Summary	151

CHAPTER 4: RESULT OF THE STUDY

4.1	Introduction	152
4.2	Response Rate	152
4.3	Profile of Respondent	153
4.4	Common Method Variance	155
4.5	Measurement Model	158
4.5.1	Indicator Reliability (Outer Loadings)	158
4.5.2	Convergent Validity	161
4.5.3	Composite Reliability	161
4.5.4	Discriminant Validity	163
4.6	Modification of Research Framework and Hypothesis	169
4.7	Hypothesis Testing and Evaluation of Findings	170
4.8	Moderating Analysis	173
4.9	Predictive Relevance	175
4.10	Summary of Chapter 4	176

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

5.1	Introduction	178
5.2	Recapitulation of The Study Findings	179
5.3	Discussion of Findings	182
5.3.1	Technological Characteristics	182
5.3.2	Organizational Characteristics	192
5.3.3	Environmental Characteristics	196

5.3.4	Individual Characteristics	199
5.3.5	Addressing The Moderating Effect	202
5.4	Implication of Research	206
5.4.1	Implication to Theory and Knowledge	206
5.4.2	Implication to Practise (Policy and Business)	209
5.5	Limitation of Study	212
5.6	Recommendation for Future Study	213
5.7	Conclusion	215
REFERENCES		218
APPENDICES		277



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1: Identified elements of TOE framework	22
Table 2.2: Current research based on TOE of Tornatzky and Fleischer	24
Table 3.1: Summary of Hypotheses Made for this Research	111
Table 3.2: Finalize items for Perceived Compatibility	121
Table 3.3: Finalize items for Perceived Relative Advantage	122
Table 3.4: Finalize items for Perceived Affordability	123
Table 3.5: Finalize items for Perceived Production Quality	123
Table 3.6: Finalize items for Perceived Product Quality	124
Table 3.7: Finalize items for Perceived Service Quality	125
Table 3.8: Finalize items for Perceived Accessibility	126
Table 3.9: Finalize items for Top Management Support	126
Table 3.10: Finalize items for Organizational Readiness	127
Table 3.11: Finalize items for Social Responsibility	128
Table 3.12: Finalize items for Perceived Competitive Pressure	129
Table 3.13: Finalize items for Environment Openness	129
Table 3.14: Finalize items for Government Support	130
Table 3.15: Finalize items for Manager's Innovativeness	131
Table 3.16: Finalize items for Championing Behavior	132
Table 3.17: Finalize items for Empowerment	133
Table 3.18: Finalize items for Knowledge (Sustainability and Technology)	134
Table 3.19: Finalize items for Intention to adopt	135
Table 3.20: Finalize items for Social Desirability	135
Table 3.21: Finalize items for Demographic Information	136
Table 3.22: List of construct, item coding and source of reference	138
Table 3.23: Sections and Types of Analysis	143
Table 4.1: Response Rate	153
Table 4.2: Profile of Respondents	155
Table 4.3: Collinearity Test	156
Table 4.4: Comparison of R ² value	157
Table 4.5: Result of Reliability test	158
Table 4.6: Average Variance Extracted (AVE) Value	161
Table 4.7: Summary of the Measurement Model	162

Table 4.8:	Discriminant Validity of Construct	165
Table 4.9:	Discriminant Validity using HTMT Criterion	167
Table 4.10:	HTMT using bootstrapping procedure	168
Table 4.11:	Path Coefficient and Hypothesis Testing	170
Table 4.12:	Summary of Structure Model	172
Table 4.13:	Hypothesis testing for Moderator	173
Table 4.14:	Moderator LLCI and ULCI	174
Table 4.15:	Predictive Relevance (Q^2)	175
Table 4.16:	Summary of Hypothesis Testing	177
Table 5.1:	Summary of Hypothesis	181



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1: Original TOE Model	20
Figure 3.1: Philosophical positioning of this study	76
Figure 3.2: The Research Framework	113
Figure 4.1: Final Result (Indicator Reliability)	108
Figure 4.2: The Modification of the Research framework	169
Figure 4.3: Patch Coefficient Model	171
Figure 4.4: Moderation effect of knowledge of technology	174
Figure 5.1: The finalize STOEi model	215



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

DOI	-	Diffusion of Innovation
DOE	-	Department of Environment
EET	-	Energy Efficient Technology
IASAM	-	Integrated Acceptance & Sustainability Assessment Model
IT/IS	-	Information Technology / Information System
MDG	-	Millenium Development Goals
MPOB	-	Malaysian Palm Oil Board
MPOC	-	Malaysian Palm Oil Council
PLS-SEM	-	Partial Least Square-Structural Equation Modeling
SD	-	Sustainable Development
SDG	-	Sustainable Development Goals
SESB	-	Sabah Electricity Sdn Bhd
TOE	-	Technological, Organizational & Environmental Framework
WCED	-	World Commission on Environment and Development

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
APPENDIX A : Questionnaire	277
APPENDIX B : R^2 changes with and without marker variable	286



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

"We need a tax on carbon, an end to fracking and massive investment in renewable energy. We want to leave this planet healthy and habitable"
(Bernie Sanders, 2106, web archived)

The emergence of renewable energy technologies have spurred a lot of interest among researchers, policy maker and industry players towards capitalizing the economic value of this new source of energy (Kim, Park, Kwon, Ohm and Chang, 2014). With the current technology update, more and more business organization has invested in new technology in order to survive and stay competitive (Ellitan, 2002). Not only these new technology provide the competitive edge, it also takes into consideration for the need of a sustainable technology that addresses issues pertaining to the sustainability of the technology itself (Nguyen, Greenland, Lobo and Nguyen, 2019).

In the recent years, the demand for renewable energy has grown drastically and interest for sustainable energy shows that the world are concerned of achieving "universal access to modern energy services by 2030" (Ki-moon, 2011; Groh, Pachauri and Narasimha, 2016). The effort for a more sustainable energy has lead to the introduction of Energy Efficient Technology (EET). EET is any technology that utilize energy in an effective way to carry out a manufacturing process or provide a service typically maximizing the benefit of energy use while minimizing the cost and

impact on the environment. In Malaysia, being a country with an economic growth that is mostly driven by the industrial sector, the availability of energy is vital (Koh and Lim, 2010). Malaysia's over dependency on fossil fuel for electricity generation must be alleviated (Lau, Choong, Wei, Seow, Choong, Senadjki and Ching, 2020). The need for Malaysia to adopt renewable energy is crucial as electricity consumption is expected to increase over time (Basri, Ramli, Aliyu, 2015).

For the state of Sabah, a case study on alternative options to meet the energy demand in Sabah was carried out in 2010 and seven alternatives was proposed (Koh *et al.*, 2010). One of the alternatives was to adopt EET through the installation of a biogas power plant (from palm oil waste) for all the palm oil mills. Sabah is the highest producer of palm oil in Malaysia and with more than 1.4 million hectares of palm oil plantation, this industry produce roughly 59.08 million ton of palm oil waste per year (Shuit, Tan, Lee and Kamaruddin, 2009; Koh *et al.*, 2010). Thus, the abundance of resource from palm oil waste that is available has a potential for large-scale power generation through the development of renewable energy (Umar, Jennings and Urmeem, 2013).

Energy demand is the term used to describe the consumption of energy by human activity and this study refers to the use of electricity. In the palm oil milling industry, renewable energy can be produced through the installation of a biogas plant, in which the biomass is processed to produce biogas, which is a form of clean energy. Biomass is plant or animal materials used for energy production and energy produced from biomass is considered as a type of renewable energy. This study refers the biogas plant as a form of energy efficient technology (EET). Installation of a biogas plant is considered as an energy efficient technology (EET) because it helps reduce fuel consumption and reduce the impact on the environment through release of methane.

Even though EET is claimed to help produce cleaner energy, better management of waste and able to reduce environmental damage, worldwide, the adoption of EET is still considered low and slow (Kounetas, Mourtos and Tsekouras, 2012; Nguyen *et al.*, 2019). In an organization environment, the intention to adopt a technology occurs mainly in the primary stage in which the decision to adopt a technology is done on the management level (Leonard and Deschamps, 1988; Rucker, 2010). The success of technology adoption and implementation depends on the role of top management (Rucker, 2010). The direction of the business organization plays a very significant role towards adopting a new technology (Preece, 2018). New technology is useful and most of time, it is beneficial to the adopters (Mwangi and Kariuki, 2015). However, adopting a new technology just because it's new could risk a business organization having spent their resources and time with a technology that is not sustainable for their long-term goal.



Currently, research on intention to adopt a certain technology are applying one of the most popular model which is the Technological, Organizational and Environmental (TOE) framework developed by Tornatzky and Fleischer (1990). The framework provides a systematic classification of influencing factors and more recently, TOE has been widely applied in existing renewable energy technology adoption intention studies (Alharbi, Alahrbi and Alkhamali, 2020; Mohammed, 2020; Nel and Jokonya, 2020; Nkundabanyanga, Muhwezi, Musimenta, Nuwasiima and Najjemba, 2020). In addition to the three dimensions of context, scholar suggested to introduce individual factors (Rosli, Yeow and Siew, 2012; Kisanjara and Tossy, 2014; Rahayu, 2015; Anderson, Dassi, Mudambi and Pedersen, 2016) into the technology adoption framework, considering that adoption intention must first impact individual and then through them, the organization (Delone and McLean, 2016). Based on above, this study includes the individual context as the fourth dimension of factors for the TOE-based framework.

The new technology must be well integrated into the current business operation or risk jeopardizing the entire business process (Chung, Tan, Koh, Law and Ngai, 2007). The existing system and procedure must be able to cater for the new technology. Furthermore, the technology itself must be sustainable, which means that the attributes of the new innovation must take into consideration of the management perspective, the quality of the technology and the acceptance on the organizational level (Aizstrauta, Ginters and Eroles, 2015). Thus, combining the socio-economic and socio-technical aspects when adopting a technology remains a management challenge for organizations.

Intention to adopt a technology in an organization level requires top management support and the lack of encouragement from these group of decision maker will result in serious failure toward the adoption process (Hsu, Liu, Tsou and Chen, 2019). When the support is high, the direction, commitment and resources can be aligned towards the adoption intention (Hsu *et al.*, 2019). The intention to adopt also faces other organizational challenge such as the readiness of its entire organization towards the adoption. Among the challenges faced are understanding how the new technology could help the current operations and whether or not the organization has the necessary technical and managerial skills to implement the new technology (Kuan and Chau, 2001).

Also, as we transition into the new millennium, what will constitute "sustainable technology"? Sustainable technology is a technology that strives to meet the triple bottom line (People, Planet, Profit), in which its strategy is to make a positive impact on all the three areas. Meaning to say, sustainable technology helps organization align its business purpose with its obligation towards Mother Nature and its social responsibilities towards human being. Thus, getting everyone onboard is a heavy task as most shareholders remain staunch to the idea that business has only one main objective; to maximize profit (Schwartz and Saiia, 2012).

Around the world, societies are facing difficulties achieving sustainable development goals (SDG) introduced by United Nation (Hak, Janouskova and Moldan, 2016; Bebbington and Unerman, 2018). In the manufacturing industry, the cause of this difficulties include climate change, energy consumption, agricultural crises, among others, and this issues demand solutions such as improved policies as well as implementation of new technologies (Furstenau, Sott, Kipper, Machado, Lopez, Rohan and Imran, 2020). Energy efficient technology (EET) is one of the solutions towards achieving the SDG and research investigating elements that fall under the technological characteristics that constitute a sustainable technology would help link the concept of sustainability and technology (Ostergaard, Duic, Noorollahi, Mikulcic and Kalogiru, 2020).

1.2 Problem Statement

Data shows that more than 80 percent of the organizations are yet to adopt the energy efficient technology (EET) (Malaysia Energy Commission, 2015). While the aim of the nation to achieve biogas facilities in all palm oil mills in Malaysia by 2020, it might be worth pointing out that the total completed biogas plants in the country is only at 85 as of 2016, which is less than 20 percent (Loh, Nasrin, Azri, Adela, Muzzammil, Jay and Kaltschmitt, 2017). As of 2016, there are currently 453 palm oil mills operating in Malaysia and 131 are based in Sabah (Yien, Sharaai, Kusin and Ismail, 2015; Loh *et al.*, 2017). Under the National key Economic Area (NKEA), this low adoption of entry point project five (EPP5) which is to develop biogas trapping facilities at palm oil mill is asked. Thus, the question of why these organization fail to undertake EET through the installation of biogas plant motivates this study.

From the intention to adopt process, the Technological, Organizational and Environmental (TOE) model developed by Tornatzky and Fleischer in 1990 listed three dimensions that influence adoption process. TOE focuses on the processes of technology innovation and identifies three elements that contribute to understanding

the adoption of a technology; technological, organizational and environmental characteristics (Tornatzky and Fleischer, 1990). In adopting the TOE model, scholars argue that the TOE model only supports the element of organizational context and neglects the individual elements (Rosli, Yeow and Siew, 2012; Kisanjara and Tossy, 2014; Rahayu, 2015; Nilashi, Ahmad, Ahani, Ravangard and Ibrahim, 2016).

Intention to adopt a certain technology is recognized as one of the most important study for more than two decades now (Kardooni, Yusoff and Kari, 2016). Understanding the extent to which individual factor influence organizational intention to adopt sustainable technology is critical. According to one study, one will only be able to have a full understanding of the intention to adopt by combining individual factors and external socio-technical factors (Aizstrauta *et al.*, 2015). When studying intention to adopt at organizational level, scholars recognize that the adoption must first impact individuals and then through them, the organization (Delone *et al.*, 2016). Thus, there is a need for individual element to be included into the current model. Having said that, studying the factors that contribute to the intention to adopt EET among these late adopters will help encourage organizations to adopt EET.

Finally, the paradigm of a sustainable technology will not only concentrate to measure the construct that involve people, but also takes into consideration of the 3P's (people, planet and profit) of sustainable development (Curran, 2009; Gausemeier, Seidel, Riedelsheimer and Seliger, 2015). The Integrated Acceptance and Sustainability Assessment Model (IASAM) recognize that the sustainability of the technology is one of the most important elements (Ginters, Barkane and Vincent, 2010). Thus, integrating intention to adopt and assessment of the technology will enable researcher to evaluate the sustainability of the technology itself at any chosen point of time.