# URINARY LEAD CONCENTRATION IN CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE PATIENT IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL IN SABAH, MALAYSIA

# **CRISDY BIN ENTING**

# THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULLFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

# FACULTY OF MEDICINE AND HEALTH SCIENCE UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA SABAH 2020

#### PUMS 99:1

#### **UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**

BORANG PENGESAHAN TESIS			
JUDUL :			
IJAZAH :			
SAYA :	SESI PENGAJIAN :		
(HURUF BESAR)			
Mengaku membenarkan tesis *(LPSM/Sarjana/Dokto Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:	r Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia -		
	ah. narkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja. resis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian		
4. Sila tandakan (/)	mat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia		
Charles and Charles	ub di AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972) mat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di jalankan)		
TIDAK TERHAD	Disahkan oleh:		
 (TANDATANGAN PENULIS) Alamat Tetap:	(TANDATANGAN PUSTAKAWAN)		
 	(NAMA PENYELIA) TARIKH:		
menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu	r Falsafah dan Sarjana Secara Penyelidikan atau disertai		

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations, excerpts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.



## CERTIFICATION

NAME : CRISDY BIN ENTING MATRIC NO : MM1611003T TITLE : URINARY LEAD CONCENTRATION IN CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE PATIENT IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL **IN SABAH, MALAYSIA** DEGREE : MASTER OF SCIENCE FIELD : MEDICAL SCIENCE : 15 MEI 2020 **VIVA DATE** CERTIFIED BY, UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA Signature **CO – SUPERVISORY** 

#### **1. MAIN SUPERVISOR**

Assoc. Prof. TS. Dr. Shamsul Bahari Bin Shamsudin

#### 2. CO-SUPERVISOR

Prof. Dr Kamisah Bt Awang Lukman

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Primarily, I would like to thank God for being able to successfully complete this study. There are no sufficient words to thank my main supervisor, Assoc. Prof. TS. Dr. Shamsul Bahari Bin Shamsudin for having confidence in me and for giving me the opportunity to conduct this research under his knowledgeable guidance. He has guided me in establishing the principles, rules and ethics for the study, and most importantly he has taught me that perseverance, passion, commitment and creativity are crucial when aiming high, not only in research but also in life.

I want to sincerely thank my Co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Kamisah Bt Awang Lukman for the guidance and for regularly assisting me in reaching my research targets with a degree of professionalism. A debt of gratitude is also owed to the Dean of FMHS, Prof. Dr. Mohammad Saffree Bin Jeffree for organizing this excellent programme and to the academic and non-academic staff of the Department of Community and Family Medicine, who helped me a lot in finalizing this study within the limited time frame. Sincere thanks to the FMHS Postgraduate Coordinator Team, especially Dr. Rahmawati Pare and Dr. Chin Kai Ling who provided invaluable help throughout the study.

My appreciation is also extended to the Dean of the Faculty of Engineering, Prof. Ir. Dr. Abdul Karim Bin Mirasa for allowing me to use the laboratory facilities. Furthermore, I am greatly indebted to the Senior Science Officer, Mr. Malcolm Boxey Jilimin, Laboratory Assistant, Mr Abdullah Tarikim, Mdm Aedelly Rayner, Mdm Jacyka Jaibi for their expertise, and sincere assistance during laboratory procedures: without them this entire research would not have been possible.

Special thanks to the Director of Sabah Health Department, the Head of the State Nephrology Department and the Director of Papar Hospital for granting me approval to use the government facilities. I should also not forget to mention the medical staff at the Nephrology Clinic and Papar Hospital, who were very helpful during the sampling period.

My sense of happiness is shared with my family, especially my beloved wife Eliscia Lee, who has shown remarkable patience and supported me until the finishing line; to my children, who have always been my motivation to finish this study. Profuse thanks are also due to my parents, siblings and colleagues for their constant encouragement throughout my research. Last but not least, I would like to express my appreciation to all those who directly or indirectly contributed to the completion of this study.

Crisdy Bin Enting 15 Mei 2020

### ABSTRACT

Urine is frequently used as a biomarker to quantify lead (Pb) toxicity levels, especially in those affected with kidney disease. Presently, scientific knowledge on the association between urinary lead (UPb) concentration and renal profile is limited, which contradicts the evaluations of health-based Pb nephropathy. In the case of patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) associated with long term Pb toxicity due to the accumulation of Pb in the kidneys, there is currently no complete explanation for high concentrations of UPb that could aggravate existing kidney disease. Furthermore, most studies have failed to adequately report the effects of UPb concentration and this has become an isolated screening practice in detecting the early signs of Pb nephropathy in patients. The objective of this cross-sectional study was to examine the concentrations of UPb and renal outcomes in CKD patients who were referred to the Nephrology Clinic at Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Sabah. Two hospitals were selected as the study population, namely Queen Elizabeth Hospital and Papar Hospital. Purposive Sample Method technique was used in the selection of samples with a minimum of 100 subjects required in total. Primary data on serum creatinine (SCr), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), diastolic blood pressure (BP), and demographic background was obtained during clinic visits as well as urine samples. The UPb concentration results and the primary data were analyzed using SPSS version 21 software to demonstrate the descriptive analysis of UPb concentration in the study group. The mean UPb concentration was  $4.1\pm7.92\mu g/g$  for the CKD group and  $3.8\pm2.93\mu g/g$  for the non-CKD group. A significant difference was observed in UPb concentration levels between the study groups, whereby the CKD group had higher UPb concentration levels than the non-CKD group (p=0.002). However, there was no evidence of a relationship between UPb concentration and SCr concentration, BUN concentration, and diastolic BP in the CKD group in which the correlation was r=-0.123, r=0.101, r=0.127, respectively. Furthermore, no evidence was found of UPb accumulation in the CKD patients who had yet to start renal replacement therapy (RRT). As such, no reverse causation of Pb was evident in the CKD group. The possibility of Pb accumulation may potentially increase in a patient who has already started RRT or is no longer producing urine. Further larger scale studies are needed to demonstrate whether UPb concentration affects kidney function in society as a whole.

Keywords: urinary lead, chronic kidney disease, serum creatinine, blood urea nitrogen, diastolic blood pressure.

## ABSTRAK

#### KEPEKATAN PLUMBUM DALAM URIN DIKALANGAN PESAKIT BUAH PINGGANG DI HOSPITAL PAKAR SABAH, MALAYSIA

Urin adalah salah satu biomarker yang sering digunakan pada manusia untuk mengukur ketoksikan dari pendedahan kepada plumbum (Pb), terutama bagi kumpulan yang mengalami ginjal yang terjejas. Pada masa ini, pengetahuan saintifik mengenai hubungan diantara kepekatan urin plumbum (UPb) dan kesan toksik adalah kurang, dimana bertentangan dengan penilaian kesihatan terhadap kerosakan ginjal yang disebabkan oleh plumbum. Dalam kes pesakit yang mengidap penyakit ginjal yang kronik (CKD) yang dikaitkan dengan kesan jangka panjang pengumpulan Pb di ginjal, tidak ada penjelasan yang menyeluruh mengenai kepekatan UPb yang tinggi akan memburukkan lagi penyakit ginjal yang sedia ada. Selain itu, tidak banyak kajian yang dijalankan tentang kesan kepekatan UPb pada ginial dan dengan itu menjadikannya isu terpencil. Oleh itu, objektif dalam kajian keratan rentas ini dilakukan untuk mengenal pasti kepekatan UPb pada pesakit ginjal kronik (CKD) yang dirujuk ke klinik nefrologi di Hospital Queen Elizabeth, Sabah. Terdapat dua hospital yang dipilih sebagai populasi kajian, iaitu di Hospital Queen Elizabeth dan Hospital Papar. Teknik persampelan purposif digunakan dalam pemilihan sampel untuk kajian ini dan jumlah minimum adalah 100 peserta dalam kajian ini. Data primer seperti serum kreatinin (SCr), darah urea (BUN), tekanan darah diastolik (BP) dan maklumat tentang latar belakang pesakit akan diperolehi semasa lawatan temujanji klinik pesakit dan pada masa yang sama sampel urin akan dikumpulkan. Keputusan kepekatan UPb dan data primer akan dianalisis dengan menggunakan perisian SPSS versi 21 untuk analisis statistik bagi mendapatkan analisis deskriptif kepekatan UPb dalam kumpulan kajian. Mean kepekatan untuk kumpulan CKD adalah 4.1±7.92µg/g and kumpulan bukan CKD adalah 3.8±2.93µq/q. Secara statistik terdapat perbezaan dari kepekatan UPb di antara kumpulan kajian di mana kumpulan CKD menunjukkan sedikit lebih tinggi daripada kumpulan bukan CKD (p==0.002) namun, tiada bukti menunjukkan hubungan kepekatan UPb dengan SCr, BUN and julat BP diastolic dimana statistik hubungan menunjukkan masing-masing adalah r=-0.123, r= 0.101, r=0.127. Selain itu tiada bukti pengumpulan UPb bagi pesakit CKD yang masih belum dimulakan dengan terapi penggantian ginjal (RRT). Seperti juga tiada bukti yang menuniukkan kesan pengumpulan plumbum 'berbalik' yang berlaku dalam kumpulan CKD. Pengumpulan Pb mungkin berpotensi pada pesakit yang telah memulakan RRT atau tidak lagi menghasilkan urin. Kajian lanjut dalam skala besar adalah diperlukan bagi menuniukkan kepekatan UPb dalam mempengaruhi fungsi ginial dalam masyarakat kita.

Kata kunci: urin plumbum, pesakit ginjal kronik, serum kreatinin, urea, tekanan darah diastolik

# LIST OF CONTENTS

		Pages
TITL	Ε	i
DECI	LARATION	ii
CERT	<b>TIFICATION</b>	iii
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABST	TRACT	v
ABS	TRAK	vi
LIST	OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST	OF TABLES	xi
LIST	OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
LIST	OF APPENDICES	xvii
CHAI	PTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Justification of the Study	7
1.4	Research Objectives UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SAE	3A  9
	1.4.1 General Objectives	9
	1.4.2 Spesific Objectives	9
1.5	Research Question and Null Hypothesis	9
1.6	Definition of Term	10
1.7	Operational Definition	11
1.8	Conceptual framework	12
CHAI	PTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1	Lead General Information	13
2.2	Source of Lead Pollution	13
2.3	Source of Lead Pollution In Malaysia	14
2.4	Lead Toxicokinetic	15
2.5	Entry Route of Lead	16

2.6	Lead Excretion Route 17			
2.7	Lead Distribution 18			
	2.7.1	Lead in Blood Cell	19	
	2.7.2	Lead in Blood Plasma	20	
	2.7.3	Lead in Bone	21	
	2.7.4	Lead in Soft Tissue	22	
	2.7.5	Lead in Urine	23	
	2.7.6	Mother to Child Lead Transmission	24	
2.8	Lead N	1etabolism	24	
2.9	Health	Effect	25	
	2.8.1	Lead Nephropathy	25	
	2.8.2	Cardiovascular Tissue	26	
	2.8.3	Neurological Effect	27	
2.10	Renal	Function	27	
2.11	Risk Fa	actors of Lead in Chronic Kidney Disease Patients	29	
1/4	Ye			
СНА	PTE <mark>R 3</mark>	: METHODOLOGY	31	
3.1	Study	Design S	31	
3.2	Popula	tion and Study Area	31	
	3.2.1	Study Area UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA	SABA51	
	3.2.2	Reasons for Location Selection	31	
	3.2.3	Sample Size	32	
	3.2.4	Sampling Method	32	
	3.2.5	Collection of Primary Data	33	
3.3	The m	easuring of UPb Concentration	34	
	3.3.1	Graphite Furnace Atomic Absorption Spectrometer	34	
		(GFAAS)		
3.4	The M	easuring of Urinary Creatinine Concentration	35	
3.5	Quality	/ Control	36	
	3.5.1	Approval and Consent	36	
	3.5.2	Processing and Data Analysis	37	

CHA	PTER 4	: FINDINGS OF THE STUDY	38
4.1	Respor	ndent Information Background	38
	4.1.1	Age	38
	4.1.2	Gender	39
	4.1.3	Ethnicity	39
	4.1.4	Residential Area	40
4.2	UPb co	ncentration	42
	4.2.1	UPb Concentration Descriptive Statistic for the	42
		Study Population	
	4.2.2	Mann Whitney U Test for Comparison of UPb	44
		Concentration Between Groups	
4.3	SCr Co	ncentration	45
	4.3.1	SCr Concentration Descriptive Statistic for the	46
		Study Population	
	4.3.2	Mann Whitney U test for Comparison of SCr	47
14	9 e	Concentration between the Study Groups	
4.4	BUN co	oncentration	49
G	4.4.1	BUN Concentration Descriptive Statistic for the	49
1		Study Population	
	4.4.2	Mann Whitney U test for Comparison of BUN	SABA51
		Concentration in the Study Populations	
4.5	Diastol	ic Blood Pressure	52
	4.5.1	Diastolic BP Descriptive Statistic for the Study	53
		Population	
	4.5.2	T test comparison of Diastolic BP in the	55
		Study Populations	
4.6	Correla	tion of UPb Concentration with SCr and BUN	55
	Concer	tration in the CKD Group	
4.7	Correla	tion of UPb Concentration with SCr and BUN	58
	Concer	tration in the non-CKD Group	
4.8	Diastol	ic Blood Pressure and the Influence of Covariates	59
	Factor	in the Study Population	

CHA	PTER 5:	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	62	
5.1	5.1 Sociodemographic			
	5.1.1	Age	62	
	5.1.2	Gender	63	
	5.1.3	Ethnicity	63	
	5.1.4	Residential Area	63	
5.2	UPb Co	oncentration	64	
	5.2.1	UPb Concentration and Study Population	64	
5.3	SCr Co	ncentration in the Study Population	67	
	5.3.1	The Differences of UPb Concentration and SCr	68	
		Concentration between Study Group		
	5.3.2	The Association of Urinary Lead and Serum	68	
		Creatinine of the study population		
5.4	Blood l	Jrea Nitrogen in the Study Populations	69	
	5.4.1	The differences in blood urea nitrogen in the	69	
14	Y	Study Groups		
Ê	5.4.2	Association between Urinary Lead and Blood Urea	70	
ß		Nitrogen in the Study Groups		
5.5		ic Blood Pressure in the Study Population	70	
	5.5.1	Differences of UPb Concentration and Diastolic	SABA70	
		Blood Pressure between the Study Group		
	5.5.2	Association of UPb Concentration and Diastolic	71	
		Blood Pressure		
	5.5.3	Diastolic Blood Pressure and the Influence of	71	
		Confounding Factor in Study Population		
	5.5.4	Hypothesis 1	72	
	5.5.5	Hypothesis 2	73	
	5.5.6	Hypothesis 3	73	
5.6	Conclu	sion	74	
5.7	Recom	mendation	76	
5.8	Limitat	ion	76	
REFE	RENCE	S	78	
APPE	INDICE	S	89	

# LIST OF TABLES

		Page	
Table 2.1:	CKD Staging	30	
Table 3.1:	Location Selected		
Table 4.1:	Age Mean and Standard Deviation	39	
	of Respondent		
Table 4.2	Descriptive Analysis of Sociodemographic	41	
	Background in the CKD Group		
Table 4.3	Descriptive Analysis of Sociodemographic	41	
	Background in the Non-CKD Group		
Table 4.4:	Normality test for the UPb Concentration	42	
Table 4.5:	Descriptive Statistic for the UPb Concentration in	43	
150	µg/g of Study Population		
Table 4.6 <mark>:</mark>	Median Different test of the UPb Concentration	44	
Table 4.7:	Normality test for the SCr Concentration	46	
Table 4.8:	Descriptive Statistic for the SCr in umol/L	46	
1 Ste	Concentration	DALL	
Table 4.9:	Median Different Test of the SCr	48	
Table 4.10:	Normality test for the BUN Concentration	49	
Table 4.11:	Descriptive Statistic for the BUN in mmol/L	50	
	Concentration		
Table 4.12:	Median Different test for the BUN	51	
Table 4.13:	Normality test for the Diastolic BP	53	
Table 4.14:	Descriptive Statistic for the Diastolic BP in mmHg	54	
Table 4.15:	Comparison of Diastolic BP between Study	55	
	Population		
Table 4.16:	Correlation Between UPb Concentration and SCr,	56	
	BUN and Diastolic BP in the CKD Group		
Table 4.17:	Correlation between UPb Concentration and SCr,	58	
	BUN and Diastolic BP in the Non-CKD Group		
Table 4.18:	General Linear Model of UPb Concentration and	60	

Diastolic BP after Controlling Age

- Table 4.19:General Linear Model of UPb Concentration and60Diastolic BP After Controlling Gender
- Table 4.20:General Linear Model of UPb Concentration and61Diastolic BP after Controlling Ethnic
- Table 4.21:General Linear Model of UPb Concentration and61BUN Concentration After Controlling Residential<br/>Area



# LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Conceptual Model of Accumulation of Pb	12
	Induce Nephropathy Possible to 'Increase UPb	
	Concentration' Hypotheses	
Figure 2.1:	Compartments and Pathways of Pb Exchange	16
	in the Marcus (1995B) Model ASTDR 2007	
Figure 2.2:	Compartments and Pathway of Pb Exchange	18
	Model	
Figure 2.3:	Heme Biosynthetic Pathway Showing Enzymes	20
	Inhibited by Lead	
Figure 2.4:	Conceptual Model of Plasma Lead Exchange in	22
AL	the Human Body	
Figure 4.1:	Gender Percentage of Study Population	39
Figure 4.2:	Ethnic Percentage of Study Population	40
Figure 4.3:	Residential Area Percentage in the Study	40
	Population	
Figure 4.4:	Distribution of UPb Concentration in the CKD A SA	43
	Group	
Figure 4.5:	Distribution of UPb Concentration in the Non	44
	CKD Group	
Figure 4.6:	Mann Withney U test of UPb Concentration	45
	between Study Population	
Figure 4.7:	Distribution of SCr Concentration in the CKD	47
	Group	
Figure 4.8:	Distribution of SCr Concentration in the Non	47
	CKD Group	
Figure 4.9:	Mann Withney U test of SCr Concentration	48
	between Study Population	
Figure 4.10:	Distribution of BUN Concentration in the CKD	50
	Group	

Figure 4.11:	Distribution of BUN Concentration in the Non	50
	CKD Group	
Figure 4.12:	Mann Withney U test of BUN Concentration	52
	between Study Population	
Figure 4.13:	Distribution of Diastolic Blood Pressure in the	54
	CKD Group	
Figure 4.14:	Distribution of Diastolic Blood Pressure in the	54
	Non CKD Group	
Figure 4.15:	Scatter Plot of UPb Concentration and SCr	56
	Concentration in the CKD Group	
Figure 4.16:	Scatter Plot of UPb Concentration and BUN	57
	Concentration in the CKD Group	
Figure 4.17:	Scatter Plot of UPb Concentration and Diastolic	57
	BP in the CKD Group	
Figure 4.18:	Scatter Plot of UPb Concentration and SCr	58
	Concentration in the Non CKD Group	
Figure 4.19:	Scatter Plot of UPb Concentration and BUN	59
A N	Concentration in the Non CKD Group	
Figure 4.20:	Scatter Plot of UPb Concentration and Diastolic	59
NO	BP in the Non CKD Group SITI MALAYSIA SAB	ΑH

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

AAS	-	Atomic Absorption Spectrometer
ASTDR	-	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
ATP	-	Adenosine Triphosphate
BLL	-	Blood Lead Level
BLB	-	Body Lead Burden
BP	-	Blood Pressure
BUN	-	Blood Urea Nitrogen
CI	-	Confidence Interval
CKD	-	Chronic Kidney Disease
Corr Coef	-	Correlation Coefficient
df	-	Degree of Freedom
DMPS	-	Dimercaptopropane Sulfonate
DMSA	-	Dimercaptosuccinic Acid
EDTA	E h	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid
Fe2+	- and	Ferrous Ion
FAO 🔍	FR	Food and Agriculture Organization AVSIA SABAH
GFR	-	Glomerular Filtration Rate
HSE	-	Health and Safety Executive
LMW	-	Low Molecular Weight
mmHg	-	Millimetre Mercury
Na+	-	Sodium Ion
NKF	-	National Kidney Foundation
Non-CKD	-	Non Chronic Kidney Disease
Pb	-	Lead
P value	-	Probability Under the Assumption of Hypothesis
QEH	-	Queen Elizabeth Hospital
RRT	-	Renal Replacement Therapy
SD	-	Standard Deviation
Upb	-	Urinary Lead

WHO - We	orld Health Organization
----------	--------------------------

- **μg/dl** Microgram per Decilitre
- **μg/g** Microgram per Gram



## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	٩ :	Research Location	Page 89
Appendix E	3 :	Evidence of Approval Ethical by UMS Medical Research Ethics Committee	90
Appendix (	: 2	Evidence of Approval Ethical by Medical Review & Ethics Committee (MREC) MOH Malaysia	91
Appendix [	)	Evidence of Approval of Jabatan Kesihatan Negeri Sabah	93
Appendix E	Ξ:	Subject Information Sheet and Informed Consent Form	94
Appendix F	: :	Raw data of UPb Result of Respondent	105
Appendix (	5	Research Presentation and Publishing Evidence	109
Appendix <mark>H</mark>	1 9	Photograph of Research Study	111
EL.			
		UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SA	BAH

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Introduction

The harmful effects of exposure to lead (Pb) have been well documented and studied for centuries. Pb is one of the more beneficial and inexpensive metals. It has been extracted since the Roman era (500 BCE) and used for many generations in a wide range of applications. The demand for this metal peaked during the period of 20<sup>th</sup> century industrialization in developed countries. This has resulted in Pb continuing to exist ubiquitously in the environment in most countries. In industry, the usage of Pb is noted in activities such as mining, smelting, and manufacturing for commerce. Up until now it has been widely used in more than 900 different industries (Karrari, Mehrpour and Abdollahi, 2012), including the manufacture of buildings, lead-acid batteries, piping systems, ornaments, paint, ceramic ware, home utensils, cosmetics, and still in leaded fuel (Jan et al., 2015) in several countries. Due to its extensive usage, Pb concentration is relatively high in the environments in many cities of the developing and developed countries (Osman et al., 2005). Particular areas that are highly contaminated are districts and agricultural zones (Bibi et al., 2015; Payus, 2015; Ali, 2004). The rapid increase in the amount of Pb in the environment has raised concern about the effect on living organisms, especially in relation to the physical surroundings and global public health associated with environmental contamination (Tchounwou et al., 2012). Concern is primarily focused on those who are vulnerable to this form of pollution.

While clinical Pb poisoning is reported mainly from occupational contamination, the widespread use of Pb could expose the general population to the same toxic dose levels of Pb (Skerfving and Bergdahl, 2015). The prevalence of Pb exposure rates and the outcome severity in the general population may differ regardless of populations within the same countries (World Health Organization, 2010). In most countries, Pb has been established as a poisonous and toxic element in the human body (Karrari, Mehrpour and Abdollahi, 2012) particularly if it exceeds the action level. Evidence also has shown that exposure to Pb, even in a small but significant amounts can cause chronic disease of the vital organs.

As such, the occupational hazards of Pb have been extensively studied due to its association with chronic disease and morbidity (Batuman and Wedeen, 2014). However, in recent decades, there is an increasingly significant body of literature on the association of Pb exposure in the general population and the incidence of chronic kidney disease (CKD) as discussed by Muntner et al. (2003) and Chung et al. (2014). These studies show that the blood lead level (BLL), even at low levels, is related to elevated serum creatinine (SCr) and hypertension and has led to a decline in the renal function in susceptible groups. Several epidemiologic researchers have also demonstrated an association between chronic Pb toxicity with age, gender, ethnicity, residential location (Liu et al., 2012) and the renal profile that was used for Pb exposure monitoring (Buser et al., 2016). There is a growing consensus that Pb may contribute to renal failure and hypertension in the general population. Many studies have reported cases associated with renal profile leading to reduced renal function to the baseline. Several studies have indicated that Pb plays an essential role in contributing to the progression of kidney-related diseases (Yu, 2004; James, 2015; Soderland et al., 2010; Karrari, Mehrpour, and Abdollahi, 2012; Evans and Elinder, 2011 and Huang et al., 2013). These findings suggest that long-term exposure to low Pb levels causes Pb nephropathy, especially in those from developed countries (Spector et al., 2011). Several studies have also emphasized that significant Pb nephropathy may have consequences for the tubulointerstitial region, which may alter the kidney's physiological function and lead to kidney failure in the long term (Bergdahl et al., 1997). Similar findings were made by Evans and Elinder (2011), who concluded that there is excellent anecdotal evidence that Pb nephropathy may initiate tubular fibrosis after prolonged or high absorption of Pb in the kidneys. According to Soderland et al. (2010) and James (2015), acute or high exposure to Pb regardless of the source may also have implications for the kidneys, possibly leading to nephrotic syndrome. In nephrotic syndrome, the mitochondria become swollen causing the formation of fibrillary aggregates due to the lead-protein complex. This in turn causes the formation of a nuclear inclusion body, a disorder known as Fanconi Syndrome. As a result, the kidneys may lose the ability to remove waste including Pb, especially in the case of the CKD patients. Generally, this can lead to bioaccumulation of Pb in the kidneys (Rango *et al.,* 2015) and a high concentration of Pb in the urine.

Previous studies have also demonstrated that Pb exerts nephrotoxicity, which is reflected in the Pb concentration in the kidneys, and which is usually detected in the urine during late stage kidney disease (Chaumont *et al.*, 2012). Moreover, inefficient excretion of these toxins in CKD patients may lead to further accumulation in the kidneys thus causing toxicity effects that will aggravate the existing disease (Rango *et al.*, 2015). However, rather than necessarily pointing to renal function, this result may also due to the fact that the kidneys of the CKD patients may inefficiently filter these toxic elements. The remaining Pb circulating in the blood will be transferred and absorbed by the bone and other compartments in the body (Barbosa *et al.*, 2005).

A rise in the blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and SCr is a good predictor of CKD risk (Shlipak and Day, 2013) and the elevation can be a significant clinical indication of Pb nephropathy reflecting that the renal function is starting to deteriorate. Such cases were reported by Patrick (2006) and Lai *et al.* (2008) who noted that, following renal profile such as BUN and SCr, Pb toxicity was seen to increase and this was reflected in the decrement of renal function. In this study, the scenario that affects the concentrations of SCr, BUN and diastolic blood pressure (BP) parameters due to influences of concentration of UPb is expected to lead to significant differences in the study population. Although UPb concentration could explain the association or influence the concentrations of SCr, BUN and BP, several other confounders may affect the relationship and need to be taken into account.

#### 1.2 Problem Statement

Sabah is currently expanding economically in line with its growing population. It is also expected that non-communicable diseases such as diabetes mellitus and hypertension, which have a high attribution in the Malaysia population will account for more than half of the primary CKD for patients requiring dialysis. Every year this disease keeps on increasing. In 2014, there were 34,767 CKD patients depending on dialysis in Malaysia a two-and-a-half-fold increase from 13,356 in 2005. While the new intake of CKD dialysis patients was only 3,167 in 2005, this more than doubled to 7,055 in 2014. The incidence of CKD patients who experienced advanced CKD were 234 and 1,155 per million population in 2014. 61% of the new patients had diabetes mellitus as the primary renal disease, and this has been the major cause of end-stage renal disease since 2004. Moreover, hypertension was

the second primary renal disease in 18% of the new patients between 2013-2014 (Leong *et al*, 2014). These studies, however, were either retrospective or were not adjusted for other confounding factors that could affect the progression of renal function. These factors include sociodemographics and lead toxicity, which are to be included in the renal profile in the study despite the fact that lead has also been associated with the development of diabetes, hypertension secondary to nephropathy and unknown etiology (Huang, 2013; Evans and Elinder, 2011; Sanchez 1996).

A correlation between UPb concentration and renal outcome has been widely observed in the general populations of other developing countries such as United States. Although further evidence is needed to illustrate the mechanisms, findings from several studies suggest a potential role of Pb in inducing renal outcomes. Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of Pb excretion through urine may help to understand the harmful mechanisms, and thus may lead to the discovery of novel diagnostic, prognostic, and treatment approaches for Pb nephropathy. A greater understanding of Pb toxicity, including Pb nephropathy and the role of kidney outcomes may provide useful information on the prevention of Pb exposure and its adverse effects. However, the relationship between UPb concentration in CKD patients and the declining ability of the kidneys to remove Pb in the urine (which can increase renal profile due to bioaccumulation of Pb in the kidneys) is still uncertain.

In previous studies linking low levels of environmental Pb exposure and the development of renal disease in the general population in Europe and the United States, BLL and bone Pb have been shown to have positive associations with kidney outcomes (ATSDR, 2007; Huang, 2013). The associations between increased creatinine clearance and high Pb concentration measures also have been previously reported (Weaver *et al.*, 2003). In a similar study, Melanie (2015) also noted a significant positive association between renal outcomes and UPb. In relation to the general population, some studies found evidence that increased UPb concentrations (Tsai *et al.*, 2017) were associated with declining glomerular filtration rates (GFR), while another study claimed that the increased concentration of UPb was associated with estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) increment (Buser *et al.*, 2016; Zheng *et al.*, 2015). The question remained whether there is 'reverse

causality' whereby reverse causation specifically increased Pb concentration as a result of reduced kidney excretion and this is most prominent in populations with CKD (Spector *et al.*, 2011). At this point, loss of kidney function regardless of the cause in the CKD group can be a potential explanation for the accumulation of Pb in the body, which may cause either an increase or decrease in Pb excretion via the urine. In this regard, a hypothesis that suggests that the increase of SCr, BUN, and BP should correspondingly increase with UPb levels in the CKD population is supported by Batuman and Wedeen (2014). In a clinical setting, chronic Pb nephropathy can represent an increase in SCr and BUN.

However, several studies have shown that it is still unclear that UPb has the closest relationship with the renal effects of Pb: Sommar et al. (2014) assumed that the significant correlation or differences in UPb concentration in the CKD and non-CKD patients was due to the different GFR between the study groups. It should also be noted that an underlying assumption of this study is that the accumulation of Pb in the urine is due to renal impairment. This affects the remaining healthy structure in the kidneys, mainly the tubulointerstitial region in the CKD group resulting in high Pb in the urine. Pb nephropathy that is associated with CKD may be relevant to describe Pb in directly inducing kidney decline due to reverse causation rather than exposure to Pb pollution. Nevertheless, the mechanism by which reverse causation causes the kidneys to be unable to eliminate the accumulating Pb, thus leading to declining kidney function has not been fully defined, especially in the CKD population. In the case of non-CKD patients, Pb is removed from the body very deliberately, with urinary excretion being the primary route of Pb elimination (Klotz and Goen, 2017) indicating that healthy functioning kidneys can reduce Pb concentration. This statement is supported by Jin (2018), whose group results show that higher eGFR in a healthy person may increase urinary excretion of Pb. Both groups seem to have had high Pb levels in the urine regardless of the renal function. There have been limited studies on the impact of UPb concentration in the CKD population. This study could, therefore, shed new light on the use of UPbs as biomarkers especially among the CKD population.

Additional knowledge on the physiological effects of Pb on the kidneys is required. However, very few studies have been conducted on the association between UPb concentration and kidney outcomes, particularly among the working population. It is a neglected area of study, whereby potential preventable factors that play an essential role in the progression of chronic renal diseases are still be identified. To date, there is no recommendation on a baseline UPb concentration in the general population, or the CKD population, as well as a lack of consensus on the reference range (ASTDR, 2007; Pohl *et.al.*,2017; Jin *et. al.*,2018; Skerfving and Bergdahl, 2015).

Pb and CKD have been widely studied in western countries. Lead Toxicity Surveillance Programs have also has been widely carried out in other countries, but not in Malaysia. The use of UPb in renal profiles for kidney function in determining the risk of CKD is limited in our country. According to Orr and Bridges (2017), the exposure of cells to Pb can affect the structure and lead to alterations in cell mitochondria. The tissue becomes swollen causing the outer membrane to rupture in the renal area and this affects the efficiency of the kidney function resulting in the elevation of BUN and SCr levels (Ahmed et. al., 2008). Analysis of SCr and BUN is essential in evaluating the kidney function, mainly the GFR. However, evaluation of the change in GFR from the increasing SCr concentration is still not possible due to the decrements in glomerular filtration. These do not usually show any significant rise proportionate to the increase in the SCr concentrations in the early stages. At this point, a 50% decrement in the GFR can appear in the absence of measurable change in the SCr excretion (ATDSR, 2007). Therefore, since there are insufficient data to accurately define the relationship between UPb concentration in CKD patients, the need to relate this to Pb nephropathy has become less important. Moreover, there is no baseline data available on UPb which would clarify a level at which action should be taken, hence policymaking steps need to be taken. The outcome of this study may be the presentation of vital information on baseline Pb concentration levels to an environmental agent such as the World Health Organization (WHO) (Cascio, 2011) or Jabatan Alam Sekitar (JAS) in Malaysia to create and maintain a healthy environment. This study may also explain the effect of Pb bioaccumulation on renal function and changes in the renal tubules. Such information may assist the Ministry of Health to develop new prognostic and diagnostic tests mainly for susceptible populations such as those with CKD. Control of Lead at Work Regulations 2002 Approved Code of Practice and guidance is the one of the policy example that can be refer to manage the risks from lead only in the workplace but not practicable for general population. To date, only a small