SEDIMENTOLOGY AND POTENTIAL COAL RESOURCES IN SUSUI BLOCK, PINANGAH, SABAH



FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND NATURAL RESOURCES 2018

SEDIMENTOLOGY AND POTENTIAL COAL RESOURCES IN SUSUI BLOCK, PINANGAH, SABAH

DAULIP DD LAKKUI



FOR THE MASTER OF SCIENCE

FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND NATURAL RESOURCES 2018

PUMS 99:1

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PI	ENGESAHAN TESIS
JUDUL :	
IJAZAH :	
SAYA :	SESI PENGAJIAN :
(HURUF BESAR)	
Mengaku membenarkan tesis *(LPSM/Sarjana/Dokto Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:	r Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia -
	ah. narkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja. resis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian
4. Sila tandakan (/)	mat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia
Charles and Charles	ub di AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972) mat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di jalankan)
TIDAK TERHAD	Disahkan oleh:
 (TANDATANGAN PENULIS) Alamat Tetap:	(TANDATANGAN PUSTAKAWAN)
 	(NAMA PENYELIA) TARIKH:
menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu	r Falsafah dan Sarjana Secara Penyelidikan atau disertai

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this dissertation is my own except for quotations, excerpts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

16 June 2018

.....

Daulip DD Lakkui PS 20108216



CERTIFICATION

- NAME : DAULIP DD LAKKUI
- MATRIC NO. : **PS 20108216**
- TITLE: SEDIMENTOLOGY AND POTENTIAL COAL RESOURCES
IN SUSUI BLOCK, PINANGAH, SABAH
- DEGREE : MASTER OF SCIENCE (GEOLOGY)
- VIVA DATE : 16th APRIL 2018



CERTIFIED BY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would never have been able to finish my dissertation without the guidance and help of my supervisor, colleagues, friends, and support from my family and wife, and foremost the God Almighty for guiding and seeing me throughout the study. My sincere thanks and appreciation to the respective person who have provided support and encouragement throughout the completion of the thesis:

The Public Service Department, Malaysia for granting the finance for the three year study and part time study granted by the Department of Minerals and Geoscience, Malaysia to allow me to pursue this Master of Science degree course;

Professor Dr. Felix Tongkul, a great supervisor whose patience has been extraordinary in commenting and editing of my thesis, stimulating suggestions and encouragement during fieldwork, discussion, e-mails and in many ways have helped me in the research;

Dean and all lecturers of FSSA, UMS especially to Prof. Dr. Baba Musta, Prof. Dr Sanudin Hj Tahir, Prof. Dr Sharif Omang and Dr Fauziah Sulaiman and others whom not mentioned individually are very helpful. Prof. Dr Sanudin, your guidance throughout the completion of this thesisand on the organic geochemistry part is very much valued and appreciated. Not least, to all staffs of the university whom rending a help in many ways;

Special acknowledgement goes to the Director of Minerals and Geoscience Department of Sabah, all the Head of Units, several heads of Natural Resources Unit, the helping hand from the staff of Energy Minerals Activity, especially Mr. Patrick, Mr Richard and Mr Mison;

Personal from University Malaya and the members of the Petronas Carigali Malaysia Sdn Bhd who have visited the area for joint study and conducting organic geochemistry analysis of samples;

My Mum, Helina Longuin Giti, and my entire sibling for their understanding and support;

And last but not least to my loving wife, Winnie and my lovely children, Marissa, Darren and Trisha for enduring all my complaints, stressful situation and for believing in me. Your patience, endurance and love have encouraged me through this challenging process of research, writing and completing the study.

May God the almighty granted all of you with bountiful blessing, peace and success!

Daulip DD Lakkui

16 June 2018

ABSTRACT

The Susui Block in Pinangah area located northwest of the greater Maliau Basin in central Sabah is underlain by the coal-bearing Tanjong Formation of Early-Middle Miocene age. This study was conducted to determine the sedimentary characteristic of the coal bearing sequence, the quality of the coal and the coal resources in the area. The methods employed included geological field investigation and laboratory analyses. The field survey comprises mapping of coal outcrops and studying core samples recovered from portable Winkie Drill machine. Laboratory analyses comprises mainly of proximate and ultimate analyses, as well as organic geochemistry analyses (pyrolisis) and petrographic analysis for maceral identification.

The coal bearing sequence comprised of four main facies namely a) Coal Facies, b) Carbonaceous Mudstone Facies, c) Mudstone Facies and d) Heterolithic Siltstone Facies. The sedimentary structures found within the Mudstone Facies and Heterolitic Siltstone Facies such as parallel lamination, cross lamination and bimodal lamination indicate a protected mid-flat tidal environment of deposition whereas the Coal Facies and Carbonaceous Facies were deposited in a lagoon environment. The sulphur content of the coal is more than 0.5 % indicating sea water intrusion. The atomic ratio for Hydrogen and Oxygen plotted onto the Van Krevelen's diagram showed that the coal is made up of immature to mature organic matters deposited in a swamp or lagoon environments. Petrographic analysis conducted for maceral identification categorized the coal as humic of Clarite Microlithotype (vitrinite + liptinite > 95 %) derived from humification process of terrestrial plant with minor association of planktonic algae probably from the aquatic environment.

Four coal seams were mapped in the area aligned in a semi-circular basin with dipping inclinations ranging from 12° to vertical towards the centre of the area. The coal resource is estimated at 44.6 million tonnes of high volatile bituminous coal (hvbc), a premium quality for electricity generation. The study shows a good potential for coal mining development and the coal mining life could extend for at least 30 years operation, based on projection of 90,000 metric tonnes of monthly production.

ABSTRAK

SEDIMENTOLOGI DAN POTENSI SUMBER ARANG BATU DI BLOK SUSUI PINANGAH, SABAH

Kawasan kajian di Blok Susui Pinangah adalah terletak di bahagian barat-laut Lembangan Maliau dalam Formasi Tanjong yang berusia Awal hingga Tengah Miosen. Objektif kajian di kawasan jujukan arang batu ini adalah untuk menentukan ciri-ciri batuan sedimen di sekitaran pengendapan arang batu, kualiti serta jumlah rizab arang batu di kawasan ini. Metodologi kajian utama meliputi kajian singkapan arang batu termasuk teras gerudi Winkie dan analisa kimia. Analisa kimia meliputi proximate dan ultimate, kandungan kimia organik dan kajian petrografi kandungan maceral.

Jujukan arang batu di kawasan ini meliputi empat fasis utama iaitu a) Fasis Arang Batu, b) Fasis Batu Lumpur Berkarbon, c) Fasis Batu lumpur dan d) Fasis Selanglapis Batu Pasir dan Batu Lumpur-berpasir. Struktur sedimen seperti laminasi selari, bersilang dan laminasi dua-arah pada batuan Fasis Batu Lumpur dan Fasis Selanglapis menunjukkan batuan ini diendapkan di sekitaran pasang surut yang terlindung atau mid-flat, manaka endapan Fasis Arang Batu dan Fasis Batu Lumpur pada sekitaran laguna. Kandungan sulfur dalam arang batu melebihi 0.5 % menandakan wujud sebaran air laut. Nisbah kandungan atom Hidrogen dan Oksigen yang diplot pada diagram Van Krevelen menunjukkan karbon organik arang batu tergolong sebagai oraganik belum matang hingga matang dienapkan pada sekitaran tasik atau laguna. Analisa petrografi kandungan maceral menunjukkan arang batu di kawasan kajian terbentuk hasil pereputan flora daratan dan sedikit akuatik iaitu jenis Clarite Michrolithotype (vitrinite + liptinite > 95 %).

Sebanyak empat lipit arang batu telah dipetakan dengan corak sebaran separa bulat dengan miringan lapisan memusat berjulat 12° hingga tegak. Rizab arang batu di kawasan kajian dianggarkan 44.6 juta tan metrik dengan kualiti arang batu bituminos berperuapan tinggi (hvbc), merupakan kualiti utama untuk kegunaan janakuasa elektrik. Kajian ini menunjukkan kawasan Blok Susui Pinangah adalah berpotensi untuk pembangunan lombong arang batu yang mampu beroperasi lebih 30 tahun berdasarkan kapasiti pengeluaran bulanan sebanyak 90,000 metrik ton.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CER ACK ABS ABS TAB LIST LIST LIST	E LARATION TIFICATION NOWLEDGEMENTS TRACT <i>TRAK</i> LE OF CONTENTS OF TABLES OF FIGURES OF PHOTOS OF ABBREVIATIONS OF APPENDICES	i iii iv vi vi xiv xvii xiv xvii xix xx
	PTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 1.2	Background Location and Accessibility	1 3
1.2	Problem Statement	4
1.4	Objectives	4
1.5	Scope	7
1.6	Geography	7
	1.6.1 Climate 1.6.2 Flora and Fauna	7 7
B	1.6.3 Infrastructures and communication	9
1.7	Geomorphology	9
	UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH	_
	PTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Previous Study	11
2.3	Geological Setting 2.3.1 Regional Structures	18 20
	2.3.2 Elements of Sabah Regional Geology	22
	a. Pre Tertiary- Early Paleogene	22
	b. Thrust Fault of Paleogene Basin	23
. .	c. Oligocene-Miocene Basin (Neogene Basin)	23
2.4	Regional Stratigraphy	24
	2.4.1 Sapulut Formation 2.4.2 Labang Formation	24 25
	2.4.3 Kalabakan Formation	25
	2.4.4 Tanjong Formation	28
	2.4.5 Kapilit Formation	28
	2.4.6 Simenggaris Formation	28
2.5	Regional Geology	29
СНА	PTER 3: METHODOLOGY	30
3.1	Introduction	30
3.2	Base Map preparation	30

	3.2.1 3.2.2	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM)	31 31
	3.2.2 3.2.3	Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) Google Earth (GE)	33
	3.2.3	Aerial Photograph (AP)	33
	5.2.1	a. Argillaceous Unit	34
		b. Arenaceous Unit	34
3.3	Field Ma		34
3.4		cal Observation and Coal Outcrop Study	36
5.1	3.4.1	Coal and Field Description	36
3.5	Drilling		39
5.5	3.5.1	Coal Hand Drill	41
	3.5.2	Winkie Drill	41
3.6		source Estimation	41
3.7		ory Analysis	44
5.7	3.7.1		44
	51711	a. Sample Preparation	44
		b. Chemical Analysis	46
	3.7.2	Organic Geochemistry Analyses	47
	01/12	a. Preparation of Samples and Method	47
		b. Interpretation and Limitation	50
3.8	Microfo	ssil Analysis	51
0.10			01
CHAI	PTER 4:	RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION	52
4.1	Introdu	ction	52
4.2	Results	of Field Investigation	52
	4. <mark>2.1</mark>	Sandy Sequence	52
- KGI	4.2.2	Shaly Sequence	53
	4.2.3	Coal Sequence	53
4.3	Structu	24 YA 27 TIRIN (COPITIRES AVOID OBDAT)	54
	4.3.1		54
	4.3.2	Bedding	54
	4.3.3		55
	4.3.4	Fault	55
	4.3.5	Fracture or Joint	60
	4.3.6	Deformation Direction	60
4.4		itcrops and Coal Seam	61
	4.4.1	Coal Outcrop	61
	4.4.2	Coal Seam	62
		a. Seam A	65
		b. Seam B	65
		c. Seam C	66
		d. Seam D	66
4.5	Facies [67
	4.5.1	Coal Facies	68
	4.5.2		73
		Mudstone Facies	74
	4.5.4	Heterolithic Siltstone Facies	76
4.6		Characteristics	78
	4.6.1		83
	4.6.2	Winkie Drill WD7, WD4 and WD6	85
4.7	Fossil a	nd Age	87

4.8	Paleo-ci	urrer	nt Analyses	88
4.9	Sedime	ntary	' Environment	91
4.10	Coal An	alysi	S	92
	4.10.1	Ċoa	al Macroscopic	94
		a.	Composition	94
		b.	Texture	98
		c.	Diagenesis	100
	4.10.2		al Quality	103
	-	a.	Proximate Analysis	103
		-	ai. Moisture	103
			aii. Ash	105
			aiii. Volatile Matter	106
			aiv. Fix carbon	107
		b.	Ultimate Analysis	107
		0.	bi. Carbon and Hydrogen	107
			bii. Nitrogen	108
			biii. Sulphur	108
			biv Oxygen	111
		c.	Calorific Value	111
		d.	Coal Rank	112
		u. e.	Technological Test	112
		с.	ei. Hardgrove Grindability Index (HGI)	113
	AL_	N	eii. Free Swelling Number (FSN)	113
lh-	×		eiii. Ash Fusion Temperature (AFT)	115
19	4.10.3	Kor		115
14	4.10.5	a.	ogen and Maturity Analysis (Pyrolysis) Maturity	115
Z		b.		110
121		D. C.	Kerogen	122
		d.	Environmental Interpretation	122
	4.10.4		Hydrocarbon Generation Potential	124
	4.10.4			-
		a.	Coal Type	125
		b.	Coal Rank	125
		C.	Maturity	126
		d.	Sources of Organic Matter	126
4.11				127
			tribution of Coal Seam	127
	4.11.2	Res	sources Estimation	128
C114 F		DIC		120
-	_	_	CUSSION OF RESULTS	130
5.1	Introdu			130
5.2			v Characteristics	130
5.3	Coal Qu			131
5.4	Coal Resources			133
	5.4.1		al Ranks	133
			al Resources Category	133
5.5			r Environment	135
5.6	Coal Us		-1	135
5.7	Coal Po			136
5.8			Coal Mining Development	137
			kes and Royalty	138
	5.8.2	Em	ployment	138

	5.8.3	Infrastructure	139
	5.8.4	Training, Education and Community Support	139
	5.8.5	Environmental Rehabilitation	139
	5.8.6	Coal In The Future	140
5.9	Paleo-e	nvironmental Reconstruction	140
СНА	PTER 6:	CONCLUSION	145
6.1	Introdu	ction	145
6.2	.2 Sedimentary Characteristics		145
6.3	Coal Quality		146
6.4	Coal Resources		146
6.5	Sources	s of organic Matter	147
6.6	Sedime	ntary Depositional System	148
6.7	Coal Mi	ning Development Potential	150
6.8	Conclus	ion	151
6.9	Further	Study	152
REFE	RENCES	5	153

APPENDICES

160



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1:	Monthly uninfall data for Consulut (2000 2000)	0
	Monthly rainfall data for Sapulut (2000 – 2009).	8
Table 1.2:	Summary of annual rainfall for Sapulut (2000 – 2009).	8
Table 2.1:	Results of Coal Analysis from Susui Block, Pinangah Area by JICA.	17
Table 2.2:	Summary results by the students from University Malaya (UM).	18
Table 2.3:	Chronostratigraphic framework of Susui Block and surrounding areas (Adapted from P. Collenette, 1965; Yin, 1985; BHP, 1990 and; Balaguru, 2001).	27
Table 3.1:	The lithotypes of humic coal. From McCabe (1984), by permission of Blackwell Scientific publication (Thomas, L., 1992).	38
Table 3.2:	Macroscopic description of coal in section and boreholes. From Ward (1984), by permission of Blackwell Scientific Publication (Thomas, L.,1992).	39
Table 3.3:	The depth of drilling hole and the thickness of coal bed encountered in the Susui Block area.	42
Table 3.4:	ASTM Standard Analysis at Coal Laboratory JMG Kuching, Sarawak.	45
Table 4.1:	List of coal outcrops in Susui Block, Pinangah, Sabah.	59
Table 4.2:	List of coal outcrops at respective seam in the Susui Block area.	63
Table 4.3:	Sedimentary and lithological information of the four main facies in the study area with an interpreted sedimentary.	80
Table 4.4:	The GI and TPI of Susui Block coal for environmental depositional interpretation.	83
Table 4.5:	The simplified depositional environments of coal formation in Susui Block coal compatibility (Adapted from S Boggs Jr., 2006).	84
Table 4.6:	Lithofacies, sedimentary features and depositional interpretation of coal bearing sequence in Susui Block Pinangah, Sabah	93
Table 4.7:	Lithofacies, sedimentary features and depositional interpretation of coal bearing sequence in Susui Block Pinangah, Sabah.	97
Table 4.8:	The macerals content, rounded to 100 %.	97
Table 4.9:	Coal Rank classes by vitrinite reflectance, R_0 (Ward, 1984), and corresponding maturation stages (modified after Mukhopadhyay, 1992).	101

Table 4.10:	Vitrinite reflectance (R ₀ , %) of coal samples from Susui Block, Pinangah	102
Table 4.11:	Vitrinite reflectance and the corresponding maturation stages of the Susui Block Coal	102
Table 4.12:	Typical Quality Requirement for Japanese coal power plant (Adapted from JICA-MMAJ, 1999)	104
Table 4.13:	Proximate, Ultimate and GCV from Susui Block Pinangah Area, Sabah	105
Table 4.14:	Various studies were conducted to measure the sulphur content of alluvial vegetation will never be more than 0.5 %, (Ryan & Manalta, 1998).	110
Table 4.15:	The Susui Block coal range according to ASTM classification as hvC-Ab coal with some minor sub- bituminous coal	113
Table 4.16:	Technological test results of coal from Susui Block	114
Table 4.17:	Results of Organic Geochemistry Analysis from Susui Block, Pinangah Sabah	117
Table 4.18:	Block Susui coal maturation level as of (Riediger, 2004).	119
Table 4.19:	The kerogen source of origin (Tissot & Welte, 1978; Selley 1985; Hunt, 1995)	120
Table 4.20:	Kerogen typing by Riediger (2004)	121
Table 4.21:	Kerogen typing and the maturity of the coal from Susui Block (Modified after Riediger, 2004)	121
Table 4.22:	The GI and TPI of Susui Block coal for environmental depositional interpretation.	123
Table 4.23:	The kerogen typing of the organic matter and petroleum generation (Modified after Selley, 1985).	124
Table 4.24:	Vitrinite reflectance (R_0) and corresponding generation of oil (Hunt, 1996), shows the Susui coal (0.47-0.66) is categorized as early windows of oil generation	124
Table 4.25:	The coal resource at respected seam in the Susui Block area.	128
Table 4.26:	Coal reserve based on the net calorific value in Susui Block, Pinangah, Sabah.	129
Table 5.1:	Various parameters used by previous researcher for coal ranks determination.	134
Table 5.2:	The typical quality requirement for Japanese Coal Power Plant and the average (Blend samples) from Susui Block, Pinangah.	137
Table 5.3:	Typical quality requirement for coal market price determination and anticipated market price for Susui Block coal.	137

Table 6.1:	Average thickness of coal seam and chemical analyses of main parameters in the Susui Block, Pinangah area.	146
Table 6.2:	The coal resource at respected seam in the Susui Block area.	147
Table 6.3:	Coal reserve based on the net calorific value in SusuiBlock, Pinangah, Sabah.	147
Table 6.4	Summary of lithofacies, sedimentary structures and depositional interpretation of Susui Block coal sequence in Pinangah, Sabah.	149



LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 1.1:	Location of the study area in Susui Block Pinangah area, Sabah.	5
Figure 1.2:	Location of Susui Block in Pinangah area, Sabah.	6
Figure 1.3:	Topography and drainage system of the study area.	10
Figure 2.1:	Location Map of the Prospecting Area for Coal Exploration by BHP (M) Sdn Bhd.	13
Figure 2.2:	Simplified crono and lithostratigraphy of eastern Sabah by Jordan and Ford (1990) in BHP report (Unpublished).	14
Figure 2.3:	Previous geological map (left) of southern Sabah (Lim, 1985) and the revised map after Balaguru (2001).	16
Figure 2.4:	Geological map and regional structure in Sabah (After Tongkul, 1998).	19
Figure 2.5:	Geological map of the south-central and southeast of Sabah (Modified after Tongkul, 2002).	21
Figure 2.6:	The general geology of the Susui Block in Pinangah and surrounding areas (Modified after Yin, 1985 and Balaguru, 2003).	26
Figure 3.1:	The SRTM image of the study area (NW of Maliau Basin) was use to study the regional geology of the area in preparation of base map.	32
Figure 3.2:	The SAR image used for the regional structure interpretation. The black square depicts the study area at Susui Block, Pinangah.	32
Figure 3.3:	The Google Earth downloaded from the internet to provide the geographical information of the area.	33
Figure 3.4:	Interpretation of remote sensing images of the Susui Block and surrounding area in Pinangah.	35
Figure 3.5:	Winkie Drill Location in Susui Block Area.	43
Figure 3.6:	The preparation of the mudstone sample for plankton's analysis under the microscope for microfossil contents.	51
Figure 4.1:	The occurrence of Coal Sequence (CIS), Shaly Sequence (ShS) and Sandy Sequence (SnS) along the stream at S. Dd. Generally the CIS and ShS made-up of about 85 % of the coal bearing sequence.	56
Figure 4.2:	The occurrence of Coal Sequence (CIS), Shaly Sequence (ShS) and Sandy Sequence (SnS) from the combination of Winkie Drill WD6, WD4 and Wd7. Generally the sequence is seemed to be receiving more supply from the land as seen by the increased carbonaceous material.	57

Figure 4.3:	Geological map and structural interpretation of the study area.	58
Figure 4.4:	Coal outcrop and seam projection in Susui Block.	64
Figure 4.5:	Lithostratigraphic column of various facies along the S. Dd in the study area.	81
Figure 4.6:	Lithostratigraphic column of the coal bearing sequence comprises of three drill hole at WD6, WD4 and WD7 in the study area.	82
Figure 4.7:	Location of mudstone samples at the study area	89
Figure 4.8:	Foraminifera fossils from Sinobang Limestone adjacent to the south of the study area.	90
Figure 4.9:	Chart references of foraminifera fossils found in Susui Block and Sinobang Limestone located just adjacent to the south of the study area (Identified by Banda, R.M. 2005).	90
Figure 4.10:	Schematic depositional setting of the coal bearing sequence in SusuiBlock Pinangah area.	92
Figure 4.11:	Photomicrograph of maceral from Susui Block coal; a). Veins exsudatinite (Ex) surrounded by vitrinite, BPC2b; b). Semifusinite (SFu) with vitrinite (VR), BDD2; c). Vitrinite association with scleronite (Sc), BDD2; d). Fusinite (Fu) association with vitrinite (VR) BDD49; e). Cutinite (Cu), yellow fluorescing, BDD30; f). veins exsudatinite (Ex) in association with bright yellow fluorescing resinite (Rs), BPC2b. Note: Photomichrograph a-d examine under reflected white light and the e-f examine under UV reflection. (Maceral constituent's identification is the courtesy from Univ. Malaya Kuala Lumpur).	96
Figure 4.12:	Regression analysis of Mad vs Ashd shows negligible relationship indicating the coal moisture is mostly formed during the coal depositional process.	106
Figure 4.13:	The analysis showed a strong relationship (0.83) between energy and carbon content of the coal.	107
Figure 4.14:	Relatively there is a moderate relationship between the energy and moisture content of the coal.	108
Figure 4.15:	Regression analysis of sulphur against ash (0.55) shows the sulphur content is equally made of from organic and in- organic (pyrite) content as shown by correl coefficient of 0.55.	109
Figure 4.16:	A strong relationship between energy yields to the ash content by opposite direction.	112
Figure 4.17:	Shows the relationship between Tmax (°C) and R_{\circ} (%) have Indicated both parameters are applicable for maturation measurement.	119

- Figure 4.18: The atomic ratio of H/C over O/C from the area (96 120 samples) interpreted as Kerogen Type III is sources from terrestrial origin (woody material) deposited in a mangrove swamp or lagoon environment. The organic matter is interpreted as immature to mature organic equivalent to coal, and good yield of gas (Modified after Van Krevelen diagram, 1950).
- Figure 4.19: The hydrogen over oxygen index of organic geochemistry 121 analyses from 19 samples shows mix Kerogen of Type III and II. This could be the effect of sea water intrusion that gave rise to the hydrogen content (Modified after Tissot, 1984).
- Figure 4.20: The Paleo-depositional environment of the Susui Block coal, 123 Pinangah area base on the GI versus TPI (Modified after Diessel, 1986), interpreted the inland deposit with sea water intrusion probably at swamp or lagoon environment.
- Figure 5.1: Cyclothems process of alternating marine and non-marine 141 sediments, normally with coal interbedded.
- Figure 5.2: Schematic diagram of sedimentation spaces, peat forming 142 environment that suit the coal formation in the study area at Susui Block coal.
- Figure 5.3: Transformation of peat to form lignite-sub-bituminousbituminous and anthracite coal, termed coalification.
- Figure 6.1: Illustration of sedimentary depositional environment of coal bearing Tanjong Formation in Susui Block Pinangah Sabah is interpreted to be deposited in a marginal marine environment comprises of mid tidal flat for the Mudstone and Heterolithic siltstone facies while at lagoon system for the Carbonaceous and Coal Facies.

LIST OF PHOTOS

Photo 3.1:	Details litho-type description after exposing the fresher part of the coal for better lithological record at BDD32.	37
Photo 3.2:	Fabricated Portable Coal Hand drill, able to penetrate up to 8.0 meter depth to delineate the coal thickness.	40
Photo 3.3:	Portable Winkie Drill Machine, able to penetrate up to 30.5 meter depth.	40
Photo 4.1:	Sandstone bed with angle of vergence 010/25 SE at Location S1.	60
Photo 4.2:	Deformation (slickenside) occurred in the coal bed at BDD1 with an orientation to NE-SW direction.	61
Photo 4.3:	Vitrain (Banded layer) in the coal gave vitreous and bright lustre of bright banded coal in Susui Block Pinangah.	69
Photo 4.4:	The almost pure coal taken from winkie drill showing a typical bright/ banded coal from Susui Block Pinangah (Scale, 2 units/ cm). Take not of the cleat (dented) formation (Centre top left) indicating caking coal properties.	70
Photo 4.5:	Showing of bright/banded and black coal at Susui Block Pinangah. Notice the shiny vitrain of bright coal and less shining of the black coal of BDD47.	71
Photo 4.6:	Picture for bright banded and non-banded coal can be indicated by the shiny appearance compared to the dull coal in non banded coal.	71
Photo 4.7:	Carbonaceous Mudstone Facies of coarsening upward sequence into Mudstone Facies as indicated by the lighter colour towards the upper layer (numbers denotes the depth of the drilling hole).	74
Photo 4.8:	Mudstone facies, interbedded with thin mudstone and siltstone in the Susui Block, overlying the coal at BDD28.	75
Photo 4.9:	Trace body fossil of skolithos ichnofacies for beach environment located to the south of BDD13. Please note the lenticular bedding for tidal deposit (Mid flat) characteristic.	75
Photo 4.10:	Interbeded of very fine sandstone and mudstone of the Heterolithic Siltstone Facies.	76
Photo 4.11:	Biomodal/ bidirectional lamination in very fine sandstone in the Heterolithic Siltstone facies to interpret tidal environment.	77
Photo 4.12:	Chaotic or multi-directional formation of lamination structures indicating of tidal environment in Heterolithic Siltstone Facies.	77
Dhata (12)	Channing the class betwee this silter as at MD07 intermedias	00

Photo 4.13: Showing the clean heterolithic siltstone at WD07 interpreting deposition in sub-tidal coastline towards the sea. The darker

siltstone or mudstone towards the top indicates more influence of flood from fresh water.

- Photo 4.15: Drill core from WD4 shows gradational contact from sub-tidal to increasing distance of proximity from shoreline indicating a process of transgressional system track.
- Photo 4.16: Paleo current direction towards the SE (Location just outside 91 the NE of the study area).
- Photo 4.17: Sulphur occurrence in native form with red-yellowish colour 98 due to some impurities; Scale in cm.
- Photo 4.18: Generally showing the bright banded and non-banded coal 99 with their characteristic from Susui Block Pinangah, Area.
- Photo 4.19: The four members of the Petronas group (Yellow trousers and squatting with cap) and the JMG's personals during the visit at Susui Block.
- Photo 4.20: Students (Girls with scarf and the yellow cap) from Uni. Malaya Kuala Lumpur trip to Susui Block during the drilling using Winkie Drill able to penetrate up to 30.5 meter depth. Lithological core sample from this machine is informative for the coal bearing sequence description.



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

a.d	-	air dried
a.r	-	as received
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing Materials
BC	-	Bright coal
C.V	-	Calorific value
CI	-	Coal
CISh	-	Coaly shale
cm	-	Centimeter
E	-	East
F.C	-	fixed carbon
hvAb	-	high volatile A bituminous
hvBb	-	high volatile B bituminous
hvCb	-	high volatile C bituminous
m 🍙	-	meter
Mdst	-	Mudstone
NZ	-	North
NE	2	Northeast
NW	4	Northwest UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH
S	-	South
SE	-	Southeast
Sh	-	Shale
ShCl	-	Shaly coal
Sst	-	Sandstone
SW	-	Southwest
W	-	West

LIST OF APPENDICES

Page

Appendix 1:	Coal Graphic Log at Susui Block area.	160
Appendix 2:	ASTM Classification of Coal Rank.	200
Appendix 3:	Winkie Drill Log at Susui Block area.	201
Appendix 4:	Malaysian Coal Reserve/Resources Classification.	210
Appendix 5:	Takrif dan Tatanama Lipit Batu Arang.	211



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The International Energy Outlook 2017 (IEO 2017) has projected the total world energy consumption rises from 575 quadrillion British thermal units (Btu) in 2015 to 736 quadrillion Btu in 2040, an increase of 28% particularly in developing countries including China and India due to strong and long-term economic growth that accelerate the increasing demand for energy. Much part of the energy demand derived from fossil fuel which oil and coal accounted for 77% of energy use throughout 2040.

Although the coal usage for electricity demand comparatively remains flat in developed countries, however in a developing countries the rise of coal for electricity remain high especially in India and southeast Asia which is projected to be at least 22% in 2040 (IEO2017). Asia is projected to double its energy consumption over the next 20 years where gas and coal are likely to show the greatest change with increases of 65 and 74 percent respectively.

Malaysia demand for coal primarily for electricity generation continue to increase as the present burning of 23 million metric tonnes coal annually to be increased to 37 million tonnes by 2020 as reported by Malaysia Corporate Business (2017). It is expected base on increased electricity demand from 33% now to 42% equivalent in 2020.

The coal procures from Indonesia (70%), Australia (19%), South Africa (12%) and Russia (2%). Tenaga Nasional Bhd (TNB), the sole coal importer for the

power sector and supplier for the country's independent power producers, imported RM2.74 billion worth of coal in the first-half of 2013 (1H13) amid a stable period in supply and price, at US\$74 (RM235.32) per tonne in July. Due to increasing demand of coal for power energy, the coal price is fluctuating especially when the coal producers intensify their policy as happened before where coal price double to nearly US\$160/ tonnes in 2008. Any interruption in supply could affect the nation's economic growth.

In recent global coal price especially (2018) from Kalimantan and Australia, every tonnes of coal could price up to US\$230 for coking coal and US\$105 for thermal coal respectively generally with coal specification of Sulphur (0.8%), Moisture (8.0%), Ash (13.0%) and calorific value of 6,300 kcal/kg. The Sabah coal especially in Pinangah area has a better coal quality specification which could price up to US\$135 and US\$250 for thermal and coking coal respectively.

The coal resources in Malaysia is amounted to be at least 1,724 million tonnes (mt) mostly spread over (>95 %) in Sarawak and Sabah states of east Malaysia (JMG, 2013). The deposit varies in thickness, distribution and quality. As far as coal deposit is concerned, the geometry (thickness & extension) and quality are the most fundamental factors for future development consideration. The geometry and quality of the coal deposits are very much related to the depositional environment, and the source of organic matter.

The coal resources in Malaysia are all of Tertiary age and the quality ranges from lignite to anthracite, with bituminous coal being dominant. At present, coal mining are only available in Sarawak after the old Silimpopon coal mine in Tawau District ceased it operation in 1932 after 27 years in operation. The total extracted coal reserved was 1.5 mt and much of the coal are still remain, estimated to be at least 14 mt (Collenette, 1965). In 1999, a total of four Diamond Drill holes were sunk by the Geological Survey Department (Now JMG) to delineate the seam extension further to the south where three of the drilling holes had successfully hit the coal seam with increasing thickness, an opposed to the earlier interpretation of thinning seam. The drilling results had increased the resources at Silimpopon to almost double the early estimation by Collenette to 22.4 mt.