THE NEXUS BETWEEN DEBT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN MALAYSIA: CAUSALITY AND THRESHOLD ANALYSIS



FACULTY OF BUSINESS, ECONOMICS AND ACCOUNTANCY (FPEP) UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2016

THE NEXUS BETWEEN DEBT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN MALAYSIA: CAUSALITY AND THRESHOLD ANALYSIS

WINNIE BINTI ABDUL NASIR



FACULTY OF BUSINESS, ECONOMICS AND ACCOUNTANCY (FPEP)
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH
2016

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG	PENGESAHAN TESIS
JUDUL :	
ША Z АН :	
SAYA:	_ SESI PENGAJIAN :
(HURUF BESAR)	
Mengaku membenarkan tesis *(LPSM/Sarjana/Dokt Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti beriku	tor Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia ıt:-
 Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tinggi. Sila tandakan (/) SULIT (Mengandungi makl seperti yang termak 	enarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja. I tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian lumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia ktub di AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972) lumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di
IIDAK TERHAD	Disahkan oleh:
(TANDATANGAN PENULIS) Alamat Tetap:	(TANDATANGAN PUSTAKAWAN)
	(NAMA PENYELIA) TARIKH:
menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini pe	urat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan Irlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT dan TERHAD. tor Falsafah dan Sarjana Secara Penyelidikan atau disertai

bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (LPSM).

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, excerpts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

Date: 5th October 2016

Winnie Binti Abdul Nasir MB1421332T



CERTIFICATION

NAME : **WINNIE BINTI ABDUL NASIR**

MATRIC NO : MB1421332T

TITLE THE NEXUS BETWEEN DEBT AND ECONOMIC

GROWTH IN MALAYSIA: CAUSALITY AND THRESHOLD

ANALYSIS

DEGREE : MASTER IN ECONOMICS

VIVA DATE : 25th AUGUST 2016

DECLARED BY;

1. MAIN SUPERVISOR

2. Prof. Madya. Dr. Qaiser Munir

Signature

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

3. CO-SUPERVISOR

Madam Kok Sook Ching

Acknowledgement

Above all, I would like to thank Allah for providing me the strength to carry out this dissertation, for extending His grace and bless to me to overcome obstacles during the completion process of this dissertation.

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciations to my supervisors, Professor Madya Dr. Qaiser Munir in Faculty of Economics, Business and Accountancy at Universiti Malaysia Sabah, who have provided continuous constructive comments and suggestions for improvement in completing this dissertation. His valuable, creative, and scholarly guidance during my research paper writing process enabled me to accomplish the task within timeframe.

I further appreciate the support from my second supervisor, Madam Kok Sook Ching, a senior lecturer in Faculty of Economics, Business and Accountancy at Universiti Malaysia Sabah. Her valuable and creative comments improved my thesis to make it a scholarly paper. I also would like to acknowledge the generosity of Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) with project code (FRG0376-SS-1/2014) from Ministry of Education Malaysia for funding my entire master degree in University Malaysia Sabah. Without their financial support, this work of research would not have been undertaken. I am therefore very grateful to the sponsors of the institute.

I also want to thank my family who has supported me morally and spiritually during my master's degree period, my mother and my father. Notwithstanding the above, I am solely responsible for errors or omissions contained in this dissertation.

ABSTRACT

This study assess empirically the non-linear relationship and assess the long-run and short-run links together with causality direction between debt and economic growth, both external debt and public debt using annual data from 1970-2013. The econometric methodologies employed are a batteries unit root tests and cointegration tests both with and without structural breaks, and causality test by Granger (1969) and Toda-Yamamoto (1995), and Hansen (2000) to address the threshold level. First, unit root test results confirm the stationarity of the variables at first difference. Secondly, according to cointegration analysis, this study validates the existence of long-run and short-run between the debts variable and the economic growth throughout the studied period. In the causality analysis, this study confirms the unidirectional causality that runs from economic growth to both external and public debt and not vice versa, suggesting the decline in growth leads to accumulation of debts, since the decline in economic growth in Malaysia is external shock-driven. On the threshold analysis, the result indicates a statistically significant non-linear impact of public debt-to-GDP on economic growth of Malaysia. The threshold level for public debt-to-GDP is found to be 52.66%, turning point where public debt starts to impair economic growth, while 54.68% for external debt-to-GDP. In general, the study may contribute to a new insight on the indebtedness and sustainable level of debt in Malaysia, both external and public debt as it suggests an optimal level of debt in which debt starts to impair economic growth.

ABSTRAK

HUBUNGAN ANTARA HUTANG DAN PERTUMBUHAN EKONOMI DI MALAYSIA: ANALISIS PENYEBAB DAN TAHAP OPTIMUM

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menilai secara empirikal hubungan tidak linear dan menilai hubungan jangka panjang dan jangka pendek bersama-sama dengan sebab dan akibat antara hutang dan pertumbuhan ekonomi, iaitu hutang luar negeri dan hutang awam menggunakan data tahunan 1970-2013. Kaedah ekonometrik yang digunakan adalah beberapa ujian kepegunan pemboleh ubah dan ujian kointegrasi, dengan dan tanpa peralihan struktur, dan ujian penyebab Granger (1969), Toda-Yamamoto (1995), dan Hansen (2000) untuk menganalisa tahap optimum bagi hutang. Pertama, keputusan ujian kepegunan mengesahkan bahawa kepegunan pembolehubah berlaku pada perbezaan pertama. Kedua, menurut analisis kointegrasi, kajian ini mengesahkan kewujudan hubungan jangka panjang dan jangka pendek antara hutang dengan pertumbuhan ekonomi sepanjang tempoh yang dikaji. Dalam analisis sebab dan akibat, kajian ini mengesahkan sebab dan musabab satu arah daripada pertumbuhan ekonomi kepada kedua-dua hutang luar neg<mark>eri dan a</mark>wam dan bukan sebaliknya, yang boleh diterangkan melalui penurun<mark>an dalam p</mark>ertumbuhan yang membawa kepada pengumpulan hutang, kerana penurunan dalam pertumbuhan ekonomi di Malaysia didorong oleh kejutan dari luar. Bagi analisis tahap optimum, keputusan kajian menunjukkan keputusan yang bukan linear dan signifikan untuk hutang awam kepada pertumbuhan ekonomi Malaysia. Tahap optimum untuk hutang awam kepada KDNK didapati sebanyak 52.66 peratus, di mana titik perubahan hutang awam mula menjejaskan pertumbuhan ekonomi, manakala 54.68 peratus untuk hutang luar negara kepada-KDNK. Secara umum, kajian ini boleh memberikan pandangan baharu mengenai tahap hutang yang mampan untuk kedua-dua hutang luar negeri dan awam di Malaysia kerana kajian ini mencadangkan tahap yang optimum di mana hutang mula menjejaskan pertumbuhan ekonomi.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
TITL	.E	i
DEC	LARATION	ii
CER	TIFICATION	iii
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABS	TRACT	٧
ABS	TRAK	vi
TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST	OF TABLES	xi
LIST	OF FIGURES	xii
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
СНА	PTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Problem Statements	5
1.3	Research Questions	16
1.4	Objectives of the Study	17
1.5	Significance of the Study WIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH	18
1.6	Contributions of the Study	19
1.7	Scope of the Study	22
1.8	Limitations of the Study	22
1.9	Organization of the Study	22
СНА	PTER 2: TRENDS OF MALAYSIAN DEBT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH INDICATORS	
2.1	Introduction	23
2.2	Malaysian External Debt Overview	23
2.3	Malaysian External Debt Trends and Structure	24
	2.3.1 Aggregate Level	24

	2.3.2	Disaggregate Level	26
2.4	New S	tructure of Malaysia's External Debt	31
2.5	Federa	al Government Economics Overview	35
	2.5.1	Government Fiscal Management	39
	a.	Federal Government Revenue	39
	b.	Federal Government Expenditure	40
	c.	Budgetary Deficits in Malaysia	43
2.6	Malays	sian Economic Growth Overview	44
2.7	Summ	ary	49
CHAI	PTER 3:	LITERATURE REVIEWS	
3.1	Introd	uction	51
3.2	Theore	etical Review	
	3.2.1	Economic Growth Theories	51
	a.	Harrod-Domar Growth Model	52
Æ	b.	Neoclassical Growth Model	53
Ø	C.	Endogenous Growth Model	55
	3.2.2	Debt – Growth Theories	56
		a. External Debt and Economic Growth AVSIA SABAL	57
		i. Growth-Enhancing Debt	57
		ii. Dual-Gap Theory	57
		iii. Debt-Overhang Theory	59
		iv. Crowding-Out Effect	65
	3.2.3	Government Debt and Economic Growth	66
		a. Classical view on Public Debt	69
		b. Keynesian view on Public Debt	72
	3.2.4	Summary	74
3.3	Empiri	cal Review	75
	3.3.1	Impact of Debt on Economic Growth	75
	3.3.2	Short-run and long-run relationships of Debt-Growth	80
	3.3.3	Threshold in external debt-economic growth relationship	84

3.4	6	•
7	Summary	u
J.T	Summary	3

CHAPTER 4: DATA AND METHODOLOG	CHAP	TER	4:	DAT	'A AND	METH	10DOI	LOGY
--------------------------------	------	-----	----	-----	--------	------	-------	------

4.1	Introc	uction		94
4.2	Data S	Sources and	Description	94
	4.2.1	Dependen	t Variable	94
	4.2.2	Independe	ent Variable s	95
4.3	Model	Specification	on	101
4.4	Econo	metric Meth	nodology	102
	4.4.1	Unit Root	Test	103
		a. Unit R	oot Test Without Structural Breaks	104
		i.	Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test (ADF)	104
		ii.	Phillips-Perron Test (PP)	105
	FT	≕ _{sajii} .	Dickey-Fuller GLS (DFGLS)	106
		iv.	Elliot, Rothenberg and Stock Point Optimal (ERS)	107
Æ		v	Ng Perron Test	107
Z		vi.	Kwiatkowski-Phillips-Schmidt-Shin (KPSS) Test	109
R		b. Unit R	oot Test with Structural Break	109
	1 To	B A Ji.	Zivot and Andrews Test	111
		c. Unit R	oot Test with Multiple Structural Breaks	113
		i.	Lumsdaine and Papell Test	113
	4.4.2	Cointegra	tion Tests	115
		a. Tradit	ional Cointegration Test	116
		i.	Johansen and Juselius Test	116
		ii.	ARDL Bound Testing Approach to Cointegration	117
		iii.	Bayer-Hanck (2010, 2012)	119
		b. Cointe	egration Tests with Structural Breaks	119
		i.	Gregory and Hansen Test	120
		ii.	Hatemi-J (2008)	122
	4.4.4	Causality A	Analysis (Granger Causality)	123
		a. Engle	and Granger (1969)	124

		b. Toda and Yamamoto (1995)	125
	4.4.5	Threshold Regression Analysis	127
		a. Hansen (2000)	128
4.5	Summ	ary	132
CHAP	PTER 5:	EMPIRICAL RESULTS	
5.0	Introd	uction	133
5.1	Descri	ptive Statistics Summary	133
5.2	Result	s of Unit Root Tests	
	5.2.1	Unit Root Tests without Structural Breaks	134
	5.2.2	Ng Perron Unit Root Test	137
	5.2.3	Unit Root Tests with Structural Breaks	139
		a. Zivot and Andrews One Structural Break Test	139
	ST	b. Lumsdaine and Papell Two Structural Breaks Test	142
5.3	Extern	nal Debt and Economic Growth	
	5.3.1	Lag Order Selection	145
	5.3.2	Cointegration Tests without Structural Breaks	146
15		a. Johansen and Juselius Cointegration Test	146
	13	b. Bayer-Hanck Cointegration Test драга сарда	147
		c. ARDL Bounds Testing Cointegration Approach Result	149
		i. Long-run and Short-run ARDL estimates	151
	5.3.3	Cointegration Tests with Structural Breaks	155
		a. Gregory and Hansen Cointegration Test	156
		b. Hatemi-J Test for Cointegration with Two	
		Unknown Regime Shift	157
	5.3.4	Causality Tests	160
		a. Results of Pairwise Granger Causality Test	160
		b. Toda-Yamamoto Causality Test	161
	5.3.5	Threshold Analysis for External debt and Economic Growth	
		a. Test Statistics for the Existence of Threshold Effect	165
		b. Robustness Analysis for Threshold Effects	169

5.4	Public	Debt and Economic Growth	
	5.4.1	Lag Order Selection	173
	5.4.2	Cointegration Tests without Structural Breaks	173
		a. Johansen and Juselius Cointegration Test	173
		b. Bayer-Hanck Cointegration Test	174
		c. ARDL Bounds Testing Cointegration Approach	176
		i. Long-run and short-run ARDL estimates	179
	5.4.3	Cointegration Tests with Structural Breaks	182
		a. Gregory and Hansen Cointegration Test	182
		b. Hatemi-J Tests for Cointegration with Two Unknown	
		Regime Shifts	184
	5.4.4	Causality Tests	186
		a. Pairwise Granger Causality Test	186
		b. Toda-Yamamoto Causality Test	187
	5.4.5	Threshold Result for Public debt and GDP	189
1/4	9	a. Test Statistics for Existence of the Threshold Effect	189
<i>[6]</i>		b. Robustness Analysis for Threshold	192
5.5	Summ	lary 5	196
СНАР	TER 6:	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION MALAYSIA SABAH	
5.0	Introd	uction	198
5.1	Summ	ary	198
5.2	Policy	Implications	210
5.3	Recom	nmendations for Further Studies	222
REFEI	RENCE	S	223

	LIST OF TABLES	Page
Table 1.1 :	Malaysia External Indebtedness Indicator	6
Table 2.1 :	Components of Malaysia's External Debt	32
Table 2.2 :	Malaysia External Debt (% of GDP), Public Debt (% of GDP),	
	and GDP Growth, 1970-2013	48
Table 5.1 :	Descriptive Statistics	134
Table 5.2 :	Results of Traditional Unit Root Tests	136
Table 5.3 :	Results of Ng-Perron Unit Root Test	138
Table 5.4 :	Results of Zivot and Andrews Unit Root Test	141
Table 5.5 :	Results of Lumsdaine and Papell Unit Root Test	144
Table 5.6 :	Lag Order Selection	145
Table 5.7 :	Results of Cointegration Test for External Debt	
	and Economic Growth	147
Table 5.8 :	Results of the Combined Cointegration Test for External Debt	
F	and Economic Growth	148
Table 5.9 :	Results of ARDL Bound Testing Cointegration Approach	149
Table 5.1 <mark>0 :</mark>	Serial Correlation Diagnostic Test for LEXTDGDP and LGDP	150
Table 5.11:	Serial Correlation Diagnostic test for LGDP and LEXTDGDP	151
Table 5.12 :	Results of ARDL Long-run Estimation	152
Table 5.13:	Results of ARDL Short-run Estimation	154
Table 5.14 :	Gregory-Hansen (1996) Cointegration Test Results for	
	External Debt and Economic Growth	157
Table 5.15 :	Results of Hatemi-J (2008) Test for Cointegration of	
	External Debt and Economic Growth	159
Table 5.16 :	Results of Pairwise Granger Causality between External Debt	160
	and GDP	
Table 5.17 :	Results of Granger non-causality test for External Debt and	161
	GDP	
Table 5.18 :	Results of the Test for Threshold Effect on External Debt	
	and Economic Growth	166
Table 5.19 :	Regression Results of External Debt and GDP Growth	167
Table 5.20 :	Estimates of the Average External Debt Threshold Effects	

	on Output Growth	170
Table 5.21 :	Estimates of the Average External Debt Threshold Effects on	
	Output Growth with other variables	172
Table 5.22 :	Lag Order Selection	173
Table 5.23 :	Results of Cointegration Test for Public Debt and Economic	174
	Growth	
Table 5.24 :	Results of the Combined Cointegration Tests for Public Debt	175
	and GDP	
Table 5.25 :	Results of ARDL bound testing cointegration approach	176
Table 5.26 :	Serial Correlation Diagnostic Test for LPDEBT and LGDP	177
Table 5.27 :	Serial Correlation Diagnostic Test for LGDP and LPDEBT	178
Table 5.28 :	Results of ARDL Long-run Estimation	179
Table 5.29 :	Results of ARDL Short-run Estimation	181
Table 5.30 :	Results of Gregory-Hansen (1996) Cointegration Test for	
199	Public Debt and Economic Growth in Malaysia	183
Table 5.31 :	Results of Hatemi-J (2008) Cointegration Test for Public Debt	
F/	and Economic Growth in Malaysia	185
Table 5.32 :	Results of Pairwise Granger Causality for Public Debt and	
11/27	GDP	186
Table 5.33 :	Results of Granger Non-Causality Test between Public Debt	
	and Economic Growth	188
Table 5.34 :	Results of the Test for Threshold Effect on Public Debt	
	and Economic Growth	189
Table 5.35 :	Regression Results of Public Debt and GDP Growth	191
Table 5.36 :	Estimates of the Average Public Debt Threshold Effects	
	on Output Growth	193
Table 5.37 :	Estimates of the Average Public Debt Threshold Effects on	
	Output Growth with other variables	195
Table 6.1 :	Summary of Countries with Respective Threshold Level	202

	LIST OF FIGURES	Page
Figure 1.1:	Malaysia's External Debt Position Relative to Other	
	Regional Countries as End-June 2015	6
Figure 1.2:	Malaysia's Public Debt Position Relative to Other Regional	
	Countries	8
Figure 1.3:	Overall Deficit or Surplus of Malaysia Federal Government,	
	1970-2014	11
Figure 1.4:	External Debt, Public Debt and GDP Growth of Malaysia,	
	1970-2013	16
Figure 2.1:	Composition of Malaysia's External Debt	24
Figure 2.2:	Malaysia Total External Debt and Debt Service Ratio	25
Figure 2.3:	Trends of Medium and Long-Term External Debt	27
Figure 2.4:	Trends of Short-Term External Debt	30
Figure 2.5 :	Total External Debt of Developing Member Economies	
	(US\$ Million)	31
Figure 2.6:	Re-defined External Debts (End-Period), 2009-June 2014	34
Figure 2.7:	Federal Government's Sources of Borrowing	36
Figure 2.8 :	Government Debt and Economic Growth of Malaysia,	
(A) A	1970-2013	37
Figure 2.9:	General Government Debt (% of GDP) in Emerging Asia,	
Van	1990-2012 UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH	38
Figure 2.10:	Total Government Revenue in Southeast ASEAN Countries	
	(Every Ten-Year Comparison)	40
Figure 2.11:	Total Government Expenditure in Southeast ASEAN	
	Countries (Every Ten-Year Comparison)	42
Figure 2.12:	Federal Government Finance, 1970-2013	44
Figure 2.13:	Trends of Malaysia's Economic Growth	47
Figure 2.14:	Growth Rate of Real GDP (%) in 2014 of Southeast	
	ASEAN Region Countries	46
Figure 3.1:	Debt Laffer Curve	65
Figure 4.1:	External debt and GDP Growth, 1970-2013	98
Figure 4.2:	Public Debt and GDP Growth, 1970-2013	99
Figure 4.3:	Time series plots for main variables studied, 1970-2013	97

Figure 5.1 :	Saving-Investment Gap in Malaysia, 1980-2014	164
Figure 5.2:	Confidence Interval Constructions for Threshold of	
	External Debt	167
Figure 5.3:	Confidence Interval Constructions for Threshold of Public	
	Debt	190
Figure 6.1:	Malaysia Total Investment and Economic Growth, 1980-	
	2013	207
Figure 6.2:	Total investment (% of GDP) in Regional Countries, 2013	208
Figure 6.3:	Malaysia Export and Total Debt Service, 2005-2013	209
Figure 6.4:	Government Financial Position in Malaysia, 1970-2013	217
Figure 6.5:	Federal Government Expenditures and Economic Growth,	
	1970-2013	218
Figure 6.6:	Malaysia's Commodity Monthly Price, Jan 1996-Jan 2016	219



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADF - Augmented Dickey Fuller

AIC - Akaike Information Criterion

BOP - Balance of Payments

DF-GLS - Dickey Fuller-Generalised Least Squares

EPF - Employee Provident Fund

ETP - Economic Transformation Programme

EXPGDP - Export-to-GDP

EXTDGDP - External Debt-to-GDP

FDI - Foreign Direct Investment

FMOLS - Fully Modified Ordinary Least Square

FPE - Final Prediction Error

GDP - Gross Domestic Product

GFCFGDP - Gross Fixed Capital Formation-to-GDP

GGFCEGDP - General Government Final Consumption Expenditure-to GDP

GIIs Government Investment Issues

GNI Gross National Income

Hannan-Quinn SITI MALAYSIA SABAH

IMF - International Monetary Fund

IOFC - International Offshore Financial Centre

KLSE - Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange

KPSS - Kwiatkowski-Phillips-Schmidt-Shin

LM - Lagrange Multiplier

LOFSA - Labuan Offshore Financial Services Authority

MARA - Majlis Amanah Rakyat

MGS - Malaysian Government Securities

MWALD - Modified Wald

NEP - New Economic Policy

NFPEs - Non-Financial Public Enterprises

NKEAs - National Key Economic Areas

OECD - Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development

PDEBT - Public Debt

VAR

PETRONAS - Petroleum Nasional Berhad

Petroleum Income Tax
 RPGT - Real Property Gains Tax
 RSS - Residual Sum of Squares

SC - Schwarz Information Criterion

TAR - Threshold Autoregression

TNB - Tenaga Nasional Berhad

WDI - World Development Indicator



Vector Autoregression

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

A major macroeconomic issue that has raised much attention of policy makers and researchers is related to debt and its impact on economic growth. Malaysia faces significant economic challenges with the slow growth rate and intense increase in global competition. To cope with these challenges, the Economic Transformation Programme (ETP) represents a markedly different approach to building the Tenth Malaysia Plan. Economic Transformation Programme focuses on 12 key growth engines known as National Key Economic Areas (NKEAs), relying heavily on the private sector, and is based on a series of specific projects and anchored on country's Gross National Income (GNI). In such challenging environment, Malaysia has to face the obstacles of debt as well as debt-growth sustainability.

As an overview, the globally eye-catching debt issues has erupted in late 2009 following the European sovereign debt crisis or Eurozone crisis that has heightened global awareness on the hazards of debt. Greece is considered as an inconvenient entry to the Eurozone since its debt-to-GDP ratio has reached 126.4%, the 60% criteria for a country to become a member of Eurozone. The primary cause of the Greek debt crisis is the Eurozone entry. For a monetary stability, Eurozone adopts a policy of single currency. This has enabled a member country to borrow at lower interest rates, in turn leading to large borrowings and high debt burden. Other reasons for the debt crisis are the mass tax evasion, corruption in the public sector, a growing public spending, and insufficient bureaucracy. Greece receives bailouts from other Eurozone members and International Monetary Fund (IMF), however, the funds received are not properly

injected to the growth-stimulating productive sectors but are used to service the payments of other international loans.

The first bailout was 110 billion euros (\$146 billion) as IMF and EU agreed to bail for 3 years conditional on committing austerity measures and 30 billion euros in spending cuts and tax increases. The second bailout was approved with 130 billion Euros (\$172 billion) conditional on reducing the debt-to-GDP ratio of the country from 160% to 120.5% by 2020. The inappropriate allocation of the funds received makes Greece continuously trapped in debt problem. Ultimately, the country missed its 1.6 billion euro (\$1.7 billion) payments to the IMF that expired on June 30, 2015. However, the recent bailout amount was 86 billion euros or (\$94 billion) conditional on tax increase and labour market liberalization. Another country such as Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain for instance, have also confronted with strong increases in their public debt to GDP ratios. This situation casts a doubt on their public finance sustainability.

Before turning to overview the debt issue in Malaysia, the definition of debt must be clarified in order to get the actual meaning of the concept. External debt as defined by IMF,

"Gross external debt, at any given time, is the outstanding amount of that actual current, and not contingent, liabilities that require payments of principal and/or interest by the debtor at some points in the future and that are owed to non-residents by residents of an economy" (International Monetary Fund, IMF), 2014: 5).

UNIVERSITI MAI AYSIA SABAH

In detail, the liabilities must be outstanding and present in order to be incorporated into external debt and are typically established through force of law¹, by events that require future transfer payments², and through the provision of economic value, for examples, assets, services, and/or income by one institutional unit, the

² These involves claims on nonlife insurance companies, claims for damages not involving nonlife insurance companies, and claims arising from lottery and gambling activity.

¹ These liabilities include those arising from taxes, penalties, and judicial awards at the time they are imposed. But, there will be an issue will about whether a government has jurisdiction to impose such charges on non-residents.

² These involves elements are a line involves all involves and involves a line and invol

creditor to another, which is the debtor under a contractual arrangement with terms and conditions of the payments to be made.

While public debt, as in the Manual on Government Finance Statistics, is defined as,

"Total gross debt often referred to as "total debt" or total debt liabilities", consists of all liabilities that are debt instruments. A debt instrument is defined as a financial claim that requires payment(s) of interest and/or principal by the debtor to the creditor at a date, or dates, in the future. (Government Finance Statistics Manual, 2014, IMF)

The following instruments are debt instruments in the Total gross debt; Special Drawing Rights (SDRs), currency and deposits, debt securities, loans, insurance, pension, and standardized guarantee schemes (GFS), and other accounts payable.

The large external debt burden due to successive external debt obligations faced by those substantially indebtedness countries can be detrimental (Hameed and Ashraf, 2008; Iyoha, 2001). It can jeopardize the economic growth of the countries, especially the developing countries that largely rely on external funding to support domestic development and growth. Likewise, external debt problem can pose a threat to the economy of Malaysia. In 2012, Malaysia tallied 52.9% of public debt to GDP, making it closer to many of those developed countries, in which 6.7% of the fiscal deficits that happened during the Great Recession contributed to the value and become one of the causes of the hikes. However, the total debt to GDP is still below any critical threshold, and the government carries little external debt relative to domestic government debt which raised over 95% from the total government debt.

The financial system has more than sufficient excess liquidity to absorb further debt issuance, and both interests rates across the term structure and debt-service ratios are at near all-time lows. The Malaysian public external debt is about RM17 billion, but the public sector's domestic debt holdings are substantial. At the end of 2012, the domestic debt holdings stood at RM485 billion and accounted for 97% of the total debt of Malaysian public sector. It is about 66% of all Malaysian

public and private sector debts. Further, public debt is predicted to almost double to close to RM1 trillion by 2020, following the historical trend and forecasts by IMF (Centre for Policy Initiatives, 2013).

On the other hand, the total external debt of Malaysia has recently increased to RM284.7 billion or US dollar 88.6 million at end-June 2013, from RM264.4 billion or US dollar 84.8 billion in the second quarter in 2013. The total external debt value is now equivalent to 29% of GNI of the country. In more details, the country's medium and long-term external debt has risen to RM170.3 billion, attributed by the offsets of public sector net repayment to the net drawdown of private sector external borrowings. This directly reflects that the main source of the country's excessive external debt accumulation is unlimited to the public debt component.

Moreover, the country's higher total external debt is also attributed to the noticeable higher short-term external debt accumulation. Short-term external debt should not be disregarded as during this quarter the value has reached RM114.4 billion, due mainly to the net drawdown of interbank borrowings (see Quarterly Bulletin, Second Quarter, 2013:50). According to Bank Negara Malaysia, BNM, short-term external debt also includes short-term offshore borrowing or the non-resident holdings of short-term debt. Since the external debt of Malaysia consists of different components, it is necessary to identify the root of the problem in relation to the high external debt faced by the country.

In fact, the existing literature on debt and economic growth in Malaysia are scarce. Only a few past studies focus on the topic exclusively for the case of Malaysia (Abu Bakar *et al.*, 2008; Abdul Rahman, 2012; Mohd Daud *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, the past studies have provided mixed results. There is insufficient attention being paid to the debt impact to economic growth. Furthermore, the studies that emphasized on a country's debt and economic growth causality, in both the short-run and long-run is limited, hence the existing literature is insufficient to support the existence of such causality. Without reliable evidence, it

is impossible to identify any feasible debt problem being faced by the country. Further, it is suspected that the threshold level measured in real value as found by the previous studies, for instance, Mohd Daud *et al.* (2013), probably inadequate to reflect the debt sustainable level for Malaysia because the actual debt stock position of this country varies over time. An alternative to the threshold level measure is based on percentage. On top of the aforementioned shortcomings in literature, there are other important aspects that must be emphasized to enable robust results and findings to be produced, including time-varying dynamics and the appropriateness of methodology applied.

In light of this, this thesis analyses the relationship between debt, both external debt and public debt and economic growth in Malaysia, by applying the most recent and expanded external debt and public debt datasets. In addition, the analysis of this thesis is based on comparative test methods, where structural breaks are incorporated into the analysis to capture the effect of feasible external shocks on the relationship between debt indicators with economic growth.

1.2 Problem Statements

Indebtedness both external and internal has always been one of the major concerns in the emerging economy like Malaysia. It is one of the crucial signs of overall vulnerability (Azam *et al.* 2013). Malaysia has involved in both external and internal borrowings to transform its economy into rapid growth and development.

The main concern that needs to be addressed is the sustainable level of Malaysian debt which has a direct impact of either contributing to or harming the country's economic growth. In many countries such as Greece, Spain, Italy and Portugal, Global crisis and expansionary public policies have caused a rapid increase in both external borrowings and unsustainable public debt. Looking at the Malaysian case, even though the country has prepared to survive another financial crisis, it must tackle its external debt, fiscal deficit, and other contingent liabilities before they become a large risk for the economy. As depicted in Figure 1.1 below, the most recent statistics show that the external debt position of this country is