

**FUZZY-LOGIC MULTI-AGENT SYSTEM FOR  
SOLVING COURSE TIMETABLING  
PROBLEMS**

**TAN LI JUNE**



**UMS**

**THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT OF THE  
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF  
MASTER OF SCIENCE**

**PERPUSTAKAAN  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**

**FAKULTY OF COMPUTING AND INFORMATICS  
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA SABAH  
2020**

**UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**

**BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS**

JUDUL : **FUZZY-LOGIC MULTI-AGENT SYSTEM FOR SOLVING COURSE TIMETABLING PROBLEMS**

IJAZAH : **SARJANA SAINS**

BIDANG : **SAINS KOMPUTER**

Saya **TAN LI JUNE**, Sesi **2017-2020**, mengaku membenarkan tesis Sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-

1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. Sila tandakan ( / ):

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972)

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)


TIDAK TERHAD

**PERPUSTAKAAN  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**




Disahkan Oleh,  
**ALBERTO ANING**  
PUSTAKAWAN KANAN  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

(Tandatangan Pustakawan)

  
**TAN LI JUNE**  
**MI1711025T**

Tarikh : 26 Jun 2020

  
**(Prof. Madya Dr. Joe Henry Obit)**  
Penyelia Utama

# DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, excerpts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

16 August 2019



Tan Li June

MI1711025T



UMS  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

# CERTIFICATION

NAME : **TAN LI JUNE**  
MATRIC NO : **MI1711025T**  
TITLE : **FUZZY-LOGIC MULTI-AGENT SYSTEM FOR  
SOLVING COURSE TIMETABLING  
PROBLEMS**  
DEGREE : **MASTER OF SCIENCE**  
FIELD : **COMPUTER SCIENCE**  
VIVA DATE : **20 FEBRUARY 2020**

## CERTIFIED BY:

Signature

**1. MAIN SUPERVISOR**

Prof. Madya Dr. Joe Henry Obit



UMS  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'JHO', written over a horizontal line.

**2. CO-SUPERVISOR**

Dr. Leau Yu Beng

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'LYB', written over a horizontal line.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. Joe Henry Obit and Dr. Leau Yu-Beng for their supports, knowledge and guidance in completing my study. They have always been a great supervisor and giving good advice to improve the research and thesis writing. Thank you for always spending your time to make the research successfully conducted.

I also thank to my family who always support and encourage me all this while. Without their support and sacrifices, the study would not have been successful. Besides, I appreciate my friends and my postgraduate colleagues who had helped me all of the time. They also provided valuable suggestions for me to gain knowledge as well as improving the thesis writing.

Lastly, I would also like to extend my gratitude to Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS) for providing the research with funding through Skim Geran Penyelidikan UMS (SGPUMS) SBK0362-2017. Besides, thanks to UMS Postgraduate Assistance Scheme (SBP) for the scholarship and financial support throughout my study.

Tan Li June

16 August 2019



UMS  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

# ABSTRACT

Course timetabling problems are defined to assign a set of courses in suitable timeslot and room subject to a set of constraints. This research aims to solve the course timetabling problems of Universiti Malaysia Sabah Labuan International Campus (UMSLIC). In UMSLIC, course timetable is manually prepared by timetabling officer. The timetabling process is cumbersome as it involves different kinds of elements such as students, lecturers, courses, timeslots and rooms. Therefore, it is very difficult for timetabling officer to produce a feasible course timetable manually. Usually, they will go through several times of drafts and discussions to make sure the final timetable is ready-to-use. However, the outcomes are often infeasible timetables. Besides, timetabling officer does not take those students who retake subject into consideration during the timetabling process. Once the timetable is officially published, those students need to deal with the lecturer personally. This research presents a framework which integrates fuzzy logic and multi-agent system to generate feasible course timetable. The main algorithm used in the proposed framework is Sequential Constructive Algorithm. The concept of sequential constructive algorithm is to schedule a set of events based on the heuristic orderings. There are different types of heuristic orderings and this research only uses three of them: Largest Degree (LD), Largest Enrolment (LE), and Saturation Degree (SD). Fuzzy Logic is applied to combine multiple heuristic orderings and generate three different fuzzy approaches: Fuzzy LDLE, Fuzzy SDLE, and Fuzzy SDLD. The fuzzy approaches are used to generate initial feasible solutions and further improve the quality of the solutions. The performance between the fuzzy approaches are compared to investigate which of them solves UMSLIC course timetabling problems well. After that, develop the proposed framework with integrating the fuzzy approaches with multi-agent system. The main objective of the proposed framework is to investigate how the communication between fuzzy approaches generates a high quality and feasible timetable for UMSLIC. Besides, the framework is also used to conduct experiments with benchmark datasets to investigate the applicability of the framework. The experimental results show that the proposed framework produced comparable results to the literature.

## **ABSTRAK**

### **FUZZY-LOGIC MULTI-AGENT SYSTEM UNTUK MENYELESAIKAN MASALAH PENJADUALAN KURSUS**

Masalah penjadualan didefinisikan sebagai pengurusan kuliah dalam slot masa dan bilik kuliah yang sesuai untuk mengelakkan pertindihan antara kursus. Tujuan kajian ini adalah menyelesaikan masalah penjadualan kuliah Unversiti Malaysia Sabah Labuan International Campus (UMSLIC). Di UMSLIC, jadual kuliah dihasilkan oleh pegawai jadual kuliah secara manual. Proses penghasilan jadual kuliah adalah kompleks kerana melibatkan pelbagai jenis faktor seperti pelajar, pensyarah, kursus, slot masa dan bilik kuliah. Oleh yang demikian, proses pembinaan jadual kuliah secara manual adalah satu cabaran untuk pengawai jadual kuliah. Biasanya, draf dan perbincangan dijalankan beberapa kali untuk memastikan jadual kuliah dibina tanpa melanggar syarat-syarat proses penjadualan kuliah. Walau bagaimanapun, jadual kuliah dibina secara manual biasanya tidak boleh digunakan. Selain itu, pegawai jadual kuliah tidak mempertimbangkan pelajar yang mengambil kursus semula semasa proses penghasilan jadual kuliah. Selepas jadual kuliah diterbitkan secara rasmi, pelajar perlu menyelesaikan masalah pertindihan antara kursus dengan pensyarah secara peribadi. Kajian ini mencadangkan rangka kerja (framework) yang menggabungkan "fuzzy logic" dengan kerangka "Multi-Agent System" (MAS) untuk menghasilkan jadual kuliah yang praktikal dan berkualiti. Algoritma terutamanya digunakan di dalam kajian ini adalah "Sequential Constructive Algorithm". Konsep "Sequential Constructive Algorithm" adalah menyusun kursus berdasarkan pesanan heuristik (heuristic ordering). "Sequential Constructive Algorithm" mengandungi pelbagai jenis pesanan heuristik tetapi kajian ini hanya menggunakan tiga daripadanya: "Largest Degree" (LD), "Largest Enrolment" (LE), dan "Saturation Degree" (SD). "Fuzzy Logic" digunakan untuk menggabungkan pelbagai pesanan heuristik dan membina tiga jenis fuzzy pendekatan: Fuzzy LDLE, Fuzzy SDLE, dan Fuzzy SLDL. Fuzzy pendekatan digunakan untuk menubuhkan penyelesaian permulaan yang praktikal dan seterusnya meningkatkan kualiti penyelesaian. Perbandingan prestasi pesanan heuristik dilaksanakan untuk mengkaji jenis fuzzy pendekatan yang boleh menyelesaikan masalah penjadualan UMSLIC secara terbaik. Selepas itu, kerangka MAS diintegrasikan dengan fuzzy pendekatan. Objektif terutama adalah untuk mengkaji bagaimana komunikasi antara pelbagai jenis fuzzy pendekatan dapat menghasilkan jadual kuliah yang berkualiti. Di samping itu, eksperimen dijalankan dengan menggunakan "dataset benchmark" untuk mengkaji kebolehgunaan rangka kerja bagi menyelesaikan data yang berbeza. Hasil kajian eksperimen menunjukkan rangka kerja yang dicadangkan dapat menghasilkan penyelesaian yang setanding dengan hasil kajian penyelidikan lain.

# LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>TITLE</b>	i
<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
<b>CERTIFICATION</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
<b>LIST OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xvii
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Research Background and Motivation	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Overview of this thesis	5
1.5 Contributions of the Research	6
1.6 Summary of chapter	6
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Introduction to the Timetabling problem	8
2.2.1 School Timetabling	10
2.2.2 University Examination Timetabling	10
2.2.3 University Course Timetabling	11
2.3 Course Timetabling Problem	11



2.4 Approaches Applied to the Educational Timetabling Problem	14
2.4.1 Sequential Constructive Algorithm	15
2.4.2 Constraint Logic Programming	19
2.4.3 Hill Climbing	20
2.4.4 Meta-heuristic Approaches	22
2.4.4.1 Simulated Annealing	23
2.4.4.2 Great Deluge	25
2.4.4.3 Genetic Algorithms	27
2.4.4.4 Tabu Search	29
2.4.4.5 Performance comparison	31
2.4.5 Hyper-heuristic Approaches	32
2.5 Fuzzy Methodology	33
2.5.1 Introduction to Fuzzy logic and Fuzzy set	33
2.5.1.1 Fuzzy Rules and Fuzzy Operators	35
2.5.1.2 Fuzzy Rule-Based System	39
2.5.1.3 Defuzzification Methods	42
2.5.1.3.1 Centre of Gravity Method (COG)	43
2.5.1.3.2 Centre of Sums Methods (COS)	43
2.5.1.3.3 Bisector of Area Method (BOA)	44
2.5.2 The implementation of fuzzy logic in timetabling problems	44
2.6 Multi-agent system	47
2.6.1 Introduction to Multi-agent system, MAS	47
2.6.1.1 Cooperation	49
2.6.1.1.1 Mediation	49
2.6.1.1.2 Contract Net Protocol	50
2.6.1.2 Coordination	50
2.6.1.2.1 Centralized Planning	50
2.6.1.2.2 Multi-agent Planning	51
2.6.1.2.3 Negotiation	51
2.6.1.2.4 Communication	52
2.6.1.2.4.1 Data Repository	52
2.6.1.2.4.2 Message Passing	52
2.6.1.2.4.3 Speech Act Theory	53
2.6.2 Synchronous and Asynchronous Collaboration	53

2.6.3 Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA)	54
2.6.4 Book Trading Example	55
2.6.5 The implementation of MAS in timetabling problems	56
2.7 Summary of chapter	58

### **CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY**

3.1 Introduction	60
3.2 Qualitative Analysis	62
3.3 Data Collection	63
3.4 Data Pre-processing	65
3.5 Formulation Model	66
3.6 Development of MAS-FMSH	70
3.7 Experimentation	71
3.8 Summary of chapter	75

### **CHAPTER 4: FUZZY MULTIPLE SEQUENTIAL HEURISTICS, FMSH**

4.1 The development of Fuzzy Multiple Sequential Heuristics, FMSH	76
4.1.1 The implementation of FMSH in construction phase	77
4.1.1.1 The development of Fuzzy Multiple Sequential Heuristics	79
4.1.2 The implementation of FMSH in improvement phase	87
4.2 Experimental Results	91
4.3 Summary of chapter	94

### **CHAPTER 5: MULTI-AGENT SYSTEM INCORPORATES WITH FUZZY MULTIPLE SEQUENTIAL HEURISTICS, MAS-FMSH**

5.1 Introduction	96
5.2 The development of MAS-FMSH	96
5.2.1 The paradigm of agent communication	97
5.2.2 The implementation of MAS-FMSH in construction phase	97
5.2.3 The implementation of MAS-FMSH in improvement phase	99

5.2.4 Behaviour of the multi-agent system	100
5.2.4.1 Agent Communication Protocol	104
5.3 Experimental Results	108
5.4 Application to benchmark course timetabling problem	111
5.4.1 Problem definition of benchmark course timetabling	111
5.4.2 Experimental results of benchmark course timetabling	112
5.9 Summary of chapter	117

## **CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK**

6.1 Introduction	118
6.2 Conclusion	118
6.3 Future Works	120

<b>REFERENCES</b>	122
-------------------	-----

<b>APPENDICES</b>	134
-------------------	-----



**UMS**  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1 : Definition of the features	12
Table 2.2 : Summary of the approaches	31
Table 2.3 : A group of boys with different weights	34
Table 3.1 : Information of UMSLIC's datasets	64
Table 3.2 : Hard and soft constraints of UMSLIC	67
Table 4.1 : Example of courses	84
Table 4.2 : Comparison between different sets of fuzzy multiple sequential heuristics	93
Table 4.3 : Solutions comparison among the approaches	94
Table 5.1 : Experimental results comparison between non-multi-agent system and multi-agent system	109
Table 5.2 : Solution comparison between UMSLIC's manual method and MAS-FMSH	110
Table 5.3 : Hard and soft constraints of benchmark course timetabling problem	112
Table 5.4 : Problem characteristics of benchmark datasets	112
Table 5.5 : Results comparison among the proposed approaches	113
Table 5.6 : Results comparison with existing results in literature	116

# LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1 : Membership function of different weight of a group of boys in conventional set theory	35
Figure 2.2 : Membership function of different weight of a group of boys in fuzzy set theory	35
Figure 2.3 : Venn diagram of union operator	36
Figure 2.4 : Venn diagram of intersection operator	37
Figure 2.5 : Venn diagram of complement operator	37
Figure 2.6 : Graphical representation of union operator for fuzzy set	38
Figure 2.7 : Graphical representation of intersection operator for fuzzy set	38
Figure 2.8 : Graphical representation of complement operator for fuzzy set	39
Figure 2.9 : Fuzzy Inference System	40
Figure 2.10 : Membership function of height and weight	42
Figure 2.11 : Centre of Gravity Method (COG)	43
Figure 2.12 : Centre of Sum Method (SOM)	44
Figure 2.13 : Bisector of Area Method (BOA)	44
Figure 2.14 : Blackboard architecture	48
Figure 2.15 : Autonomous architecture	49
Figure 2.16 : Task allocation through mediator	50
Figure 2.17 : Task allocation through Contract Net Protocol	51
Figure 2.18 : Book Trading System	56
Figure 3.1 : Flow chart of the research	61
Figure 3.2 : Illustration of Semester 1 Session 2016/2017	66
Figure 3.3 : Illustration of individual $\times$ course matrix	68
Figure 3.4 : Illustration of course $\times$ timeslot matrix	68
Figure 3.5 : Illustration of course $\times$ room matrix	69

Figure 3.6	: Illustration of course × course matrix	69
Figure 3.7	: Evaluation of first hard constraints	71
Figure 3.8	: Evaluation of second hard constraints	72
Figure 3.9	: Evaluation of third hard constraints	72
Figure 3.10	: Evaluation of fourth hard constraints	72
Figure 3.11	: Evaluation of first soft constraints	73
Figure 3.12	: Evaluation of second soft constraints	74
Figure 3.13	: Evaluation of third soft constraints	74
Figure 3.14	: Evaluation of fourth soft constraints	74
Figure 4.1	: The process of generating a feasible solution	80
Figure 4.2	: Pseudocode of construction phase	81
Figure 4.3	: Nine rules of FRBS	82
Figure 4.4	: Fuzzy Control Language (FCL) Code	83
Figure 4.5	: Membership functions of linguistic variables	83
Figure 4.6	: A nine-rule Mamdani inference process	86
Figure 4.7	: Degree of membership function of each rule for course 5	87
Figure 4.8	: Pseudocode of improvement phase	89
Figure 4.9	: The process of improving the solution	90
Figure 5.1	: MAS-FMSH framework	98
Figure 5.2	: The process of a multi-agent generating a feasible solution	101
Figure 5.3	: The process of a multi-agent improving solution	102
Figure 5.4	: Sequential Behaviour	103
Figure 5.5	: Generic behaviour for construction phase	103
Figure 5.6	: Generic behaviour for improvement phase	104
Figure 5.7	: AMS agent	104

Figure 5.8	: HA Fuzzy LDLE register its service	105
Figure 5.9	: DF agent	105
Figure 5.10	: REQUEST performative	106
Figure 5.11	: PROPOSE performative	107
Figure 5.12	: FAILURE performative	107
Figure 5.13	: ACLMessage receive method	107
Figure 5.14	: ACLMessage block method	107



UMS  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>UMSLIC</b>	- Universiti Malaysia Sabah Labuan International Campus
<b>FMSH</b>	- Fuzzy Multiple Sequential Heuristics
<b>MAS-FMSH</b>	- Multi-Agent System incorporates with Fuzzy Multiple Sequential Heuristics
<b>LD</b>	- Largest Degree
<b>LE</b>	- Largest Enrolment
<b>SD</b>	- Least Saturation Degree
<b>LCD</b>	- Largest Coloured Degree
<b>WLD</b>	- Weighted Largest Degree
<b>RO</b>	- Random Ordering
<b>IH1</b>	- Largest Degree, Local Search and Tabu Search
<b>IH2</b>	- Saturation Degree, Local Search and Tabu Search
<b>IH3</b>	- Largest Degree, Saturation Degree, Local Search and Tabu Search
<b>IH4</b>	- Constraint Relaxation Approach
<b>M1</b>	- Move one
<b>M2</b>	- Move two
<b>Fuzzy LDLE</b>	- Fuzzy Largest Degree and Largest Enrolment
<b>Fuzzy SDLD</b>	- Fuzzy Saturation Degree and Largest Degree
<b>Fuzzy SDLE</b>	- Fuzzy Saturation Degree and Largest Enrolment
<b>CLP</b>	- Constraint Logic Programming
<b>BC-FC</b>	- Backtracking with Forward Checking
<b>SA</b>	- Simulated Annealing
<b>GD</b>	- Great Deluge
<b>GA</b>	- Genetic Algorithms
<b>BTMK</b>	- Information Technology and Communication Department
<b>BPA</b>	- Academic Service Division
<b>PPIB</b>	- Knowledge Enhancement Courses
<b>KOKUM</b>	- Co-Curricular Courses
<b>IC<sub>ic</sub></b>	- IndividualCourse matrix



<b><i>CT<sub>ct</sub></i></b>	- CourseTimeslot matrix
<b><i>CR<sub>cr</sub></i></b>	- CourseRoom matrix
<b><i>CC<sub>xy</sub></i></b>	- CourseCourseConflict matrix
<b>OOP</b>	- Object-oriented programming
<b><i>HC</i></b>	- Hard constraint
<b><i>SC</i></b>	- Soft constraint
<b>FRBS</b>	- Fuzzy Rule-Based System
<b>FLC</b>	- Fuzzy Logic Controller
<b>COG</b>	- Centre of Gravity Method
<b>COS</b>	- Centre of Sums Method
<b>BOA</b>	- Bisector of Area
<b>UC</b>	- unscheduledCourses
<b>SC</b>	- scheduledCourses
<b>FC</b>	- failedScheduledCourses
<b>FCL</b>	- Fuzzy Control Language
<b>RC</b>	- RescheduledCourses



**UMS**  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

# LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
<b>Appendix A</b> : Partial FKAL (Fakulti Kewangan Antarabangsa Labuan) student list	134
<b>Appendix B</b> : Partial FKI (Fakulti Komputeran dan Informatik) student list	135
<b>Appendix C</b> : Partial UMSLIC lecturer list	136
<b>Appendix D</b> : UMSLIC partial course timetable	137
<b>Appendix E</b> : List of Publications	138



**UMS**  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Research Background and Motivation

Timetabling problems are defined as combinatorial problems which generate a feasible timetable by organizing a set of events in a limited number of resources such as time slots and rooms subject to a set of constraints (Asaju La'aro Bolaji, 2014). Timetabling problems are common in our daily life as they involve in a variety of areas such as academic institution, transportation, healthcare institute and so forth. For academic institution, it consists of three categories: school timetabling problem, course timetabling problem, and examination timetabling problem (Schaerf, 2003).

The main goal of this research is to solve a real-world problem which is course timetabling problems of Universiti Malaysia Sabah Labuan International Campus (UMSLIC). In course timetabling problem, there are two types of constraints which are hard constraints and soft constraints. Hard constraints are used to determine the feasibility of the timetable. A feasible timetable indicates that it must be clash-free and without any violation of hard constraints. One of the common hard constraints is no students are assigned to attend more than one course at the same time slot. While soft constraints are used to measure the quality of the timetable, it is not necessary to satisfy all the soft constraints but reduce them as many as possible in order to improve the quality of the timetable. For instance, a student should not attend only a course in a day. As there are a lot of universities around the world, different universities use different data and enforce different sets of policy and regulations, therefore, it is difficult to find an ordinary solution to solve the real-world university course timetabling problem (Jonasson and Norgren, 2016).

As mentioned above, hard constraints play the most crucial role to define the feasibility of a timetable. All the hard constraints are equally important in a timetabling problem. Research (Zimmermann, 1996) has proved that fuzzy approaches managed to integrate numerous sources of information successfully such as fuzzy washing machine and auto-focus cameras. It means the fuzzy approach is very beneficial when dealing with a number of constraints. It motivated (Asmuni *et al.*, 2009) to implement fuzzy logic to consider multiple sequential heuristics for generating high quality of timetable for course and examination timetabling problems. There are three different fuzzy approaches: Fuzzy LDLE, Fuzzy SDLE, and Fuzzy SDLD. The results proved that all the fuzzy approaches can produce very high quality of feasible solutions. The details of the fuzzy approaches and the introduction to fuzzy logic will be discussed in Chapter 2.

This research is inspired by (Asmuni *et al.*, 2005) to extend the implementation of fuzzy multiple sequential heuristics to improve the quality of timetable. The purpose is to evaluate the performance of the fuzzy approaches (Fuzzy LDLE, Fuzzy SDLE, and Fuzzy SDLD) on improving the quality of timetables. This research names the fuzzy approaches as FMSH. In order to achieve the objective, there are two different phases in FMSH: construction and improvement phases. In construction phase, each fuzzy approach is used to generate a feasible timetable without considering the violations of the soft constraints. After a feasible timetable is produced, it undergoes the improvement phase which implements Hill Climbing with Monte-Carlo acceptance criteria. The results generated by each of the fuzzy approach are used to compare their performance in solving the course timetabling problems. Further explanation on the development of FMSH is described in Chapter 4.

In (Ouelhadj Djamila, 2009), a multi-agent system is defined as a group of intelligent agents interact with each other to solve a common problem. The objective of a multi-agent system is to provide a dynamic environment which supports coordination, cooperation, and robustness to the unexpected changes. This allows the agents to efficiently integrate with other agents and achieve the global objectives of the problem. Multi-agent systems have been successfully implemented to optimize

a wide range of applications especially timetabling problems. More details can be referred in Section 2.6.

(Obit *et al.*, 2017) proposed a multi-agent system incorporates with hyper-heuristics to solve course timetabling problems. The idea of hyper-heuristic is to use a heuristic choosing heuristic (E. Burke *et al.*, 2003). In (Obit *et al.*, 2017), it contained three different low-level heuristics and each hyper-heuristic agent could select one of the low-level heuristics to improve the quality of solutions. The result proved that the cooperation between low-level heuristics performed beyond single low-level heuristic. Hence, it inspired this research to form a multi-agent system that allows the fuzzy approaches of FMSH working together (MAS-FMSH). The purpose of MAS-FMSH is to investigate if MAS-FMSH performs better than individual FMSH. Although this research aims to solve UMSLIC course timetabling problem, both FMSH and MAS-FMSH are also used to solve a benchmark course timetabling problem (Socha, 2002).

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

### **i. How effective is UMSLIC's method generating course timetable?**

In UMSLIC, the course timetable is developed by timetabling officer manually. The distribution of the set of courses in a suitable room and slot under consideration of the constraints make the scheduling process cumbersome. Additionally, the produced timetable could be unfeasible and hence prolong the scheduling process by taking extra procedures and amendments until a feasible timetable is generated. Therefore, it is a time-consuming task and the current method is not effective enough to handle such a burdensome process. Besides, UMSLIC's timetabling officer stated that they do not take those retake students into considerations. Those students need to arrange with their lecturer personally in order to avoid the conflict. Therefore, the final version of timetable could be unfeasible to some of the students.

### **ii. How to obtain the most optimal quality of timetable in using fuzzy multiple sequential heuristics?**

The implementation of fuzzy multiple sequential heuristics in (Asmuni *et al.*, 2005) mainly focused on generating a feasible timetable. Besides, in (Asmuni *et al.*, 2005) the different sets of approaches (Fuzzy LDLE, Fuzzy SDLE, and Fuzzy SDLD) were used to compare their performance on solving timetabling problem. The results showed that different fuzzy approaches have different capabilities when solving the timetabling problem. Hence, this research is motivated to develop a multi-agent system which allows the different sets of fuzzy approach to cooperate with each other.

### **iii. How effective are FMSH and MAS-FMSH in solving different problem dataset?**

As mentioned earlier in this chapter, different universities implement different policy and regulations. It is difficult to implement one solution to solve all course timetabling problems from different universities. Therefore, this research conducted experiments with different datasets in order to evaluate of FMSH and MAS-FMSH to generate feasible solution as well as improving the quality of solution.

## **1.3 Research Objectives**

In order to solve UMSLIC course timetabling problems, it is important to develop an automated timetabling system. The purpose of the automated system is to ease all the manual procedures for conducting the scheduling process. Therefore, the main goal of this research is to develop a robust and effective approach for the system. The sub-objectives are as follow:

### **1. To evaluate the performance of fuzzy multiple sequential heuristics (FMSH) in minimizing the soft constraints violation of timetables.**

- FMSH involves in two phases: construction and improvement phase
- Construction phase: produce a solution without hard constraints violation
- Improvement phase: improve the quality of solution by minimization of soft constraints (Hard and soft constraints are listed in Chapter 3)

**2. To develop a multi-agent system which allows the different sets of fuzzy multiple sequential heuristics to cooperate with each other (MAS-FMSH) and compare the performance of MAS-FMSH and FMSH in solving UMSLIC course timetabling problems.**

- Fuzzy LDLE, Fuzzy SDLE, and Fuzzy SDLD are formed by different criteria and have different capabilities
- A multi-agent system allows them to work and help each other to generate feasible a feasible solution and improve its quality

**3. To extend the implementation of FMSH and MAS-FMSH to solve benchmark problem datasets and evaluate their performance.**

- Different problem datasets have different level of difficulty
- Larger dataset involves in larger number of events and causes higher conflict index
- Implement FMSH and MAS-FMSH to solve benchmark course timetabling problems which contains 11 different level of difficulty datasets.

#### **1.4 Overview of this thesis**

There are total six chapters in this thesis. Chapter 2 introduces timetabling problem and explains the differences between examination, course, and school timetabling problems. Besides, Chapter 2 provides a review of the common techniques applied in timetabling problems such as heuristic, meta-heuristic and hybrid heuristics approaches. In addition, the application of fuzzy logic and multi-agent system in different problem domains are also described in Chapter 2.

Chapter 3 describes the process conducted by this study to achieve the objectives. Furthermore, it also provides the description of UMSLIC course timetabling problem and the information required to conduct experiments. Moreover, the method of evaluating the feasibility and quality of timetable is presented.

Chapter 4 explains the concept of fuzzy logic and the development of the FMSH which is composed by three different fuzzy multiple sequential heuristics. The actual data from UMSLIC is used for development and testing. The experimental

results produced by FMSH are used to compare with the timetable generated by UMSLIC's timetabling officer.

Chapter 5 explains the fundamental of multi-agent systems and the construction of the proposed framework, MAS-FMSH. Same as first experiment, the real-world data from UMSLIC is used for construction and testing for MAS-FMSH framework. The experimental results of MAS-FMSH are used to compare with results of first experiment and the timetable produced by UMSLIC. In order to achieve the third objective, MAS-FMSH is also being used to conduct experiments with benchmark datasets. The performance of MAS-FMSH is compared with FMSH and the results obtained from literature. Lastly, Chapter 6 summarizes the whole thesis and provides future work regarding the FMSH and MAS-FMSH.

## **1.5 Contributions of the Research**

This research focuses on the investigation of the implementation of fuzzy logic and multi-agent system for solving real-world course timetabling problems. This research applies the same concept as conventional sequential heuristic algorithm to schedule a given set of courses based on their difficulty value. By implementing fuzzy logic, it allows considering more than one sequential heuristic to determine the difficulty value of the courses. The main contributions of this research are listed as follow:

1. This research proposes using fuzzy logic to generate and improve the quality of timetable. The implementation of fuzzy logic can imitate the way of human decision-making as it is difficult to justify which constraints should be prioritized.
2. This research proposes a multi-agent framework that allows different fuzzy multiple sequential heuristics to work with each other. Each of them has different capabilities, the communication allows them to cooperate to achieve the predefined objectives.

## **1.6 Summary Chapter**

This chapter gives a general review of timetabling problems and the motivation of this research. The research objectives were presented in order to improve the problem as discussed earlier in this chapter. In addition, this chapter also provides