# BLUE AND BLACK CARBON IN SABAH SEAGRASS AND MANGROVE SEDIMENTS: ITS IMPORTANCE FOR CARBON SEQUESTRATION AND STORAGE ESTIMATES

## **CHEW SWEE THENG**

PERPUSION UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

# THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

# BORNEO MARINE RESEARCH INSTITUTE UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

2018



#### UNIVERISITI MALAYSIA SABAH

#### BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

#### JUDUL: BLUE AND BLACK CARBON IN SABAH SEAGRASS AND MANGROVE SEDIMENTS: ITS IMPORTANCE FOR CARBON SEQUESTRATION AND STORAGE ESTIMATES

#### UAZAH: **IJAZAH SARJANA SAINS (SAINS MARIN)**

Saya <u>CHEW SWEE THENG</u>, Sesi <u>2014-2018</u> mengaku membenarkan tesis Ijazah Sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Univerisiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-

- 1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
- 3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. Sila tandakan ( / ):

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972)



(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)



TIDAK TERHAD

CHEW SWEE THENG MY1421023T

Disahkan oleh,

PUSTAKAWAN UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH (Tandatangan Pustakawan)

Tarikh : 03 Nov 2018

(Assoc. Prof. John Barry Gallagher) Penyelia

(Dr. John bin Madin) Penyelia Bersama



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH PERPUSTAKAAN

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, excepts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

26<sup>th</sup> October 2018

Chew Swee Theng MY1421023T



## CERTIFICATION

NAME : CHEW SWEE THENG

MATRIC NO. : MY1421023T

 TITLE
 :
 BLUE AND BLACK CARBON IN SABAH SEAGRASS AND

 MANGROVE SEDIMENTS: ITS IMPORTANCE FOR

 CARBON SEQUESTRATION AND STORAGE ESTIMATES

DEGREE : MASTER OF SCIENCE (MARINE SCIENCE)

VIVA DATE : 19<sup>th</sup> JULY 2018

#### **CERTIFIED BY**

Signature

#### **1. SUPERVISOR**

Assoc.Prof. John Barry Gallagher

#### 2. CO-SUPERVISOR

Dr. John bin Madin



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The journey of studying master for 3 years is full of challenges and excitement in the same time. I believe there is impossible to get through all the toughest time without helps from my supervisors, friends and family. First, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my main supervisor, John Barry Gallagher, without his quide and support, I'm might not able to move this far. We did spend our great time in sharing the pioneer idea of current study field to the world by first stepping in a China conference, and followed by a quality journal paper for better outreach. This was definitely an awesome experience for me, which I never had before. Also, thanks to my co-supervisor, John Madin who always positively support us and sent his support whenever the project needed. Second, I would like to thanks to all of my friends who have given enormous supports in term of physically and mentally throughout the journey. Special thanks to Cheong Kai Ching for his kindness and willingness in sharing his knowledge and time with me when I met problems in my study. Thanks to Lyn Lee, who always played the important roles in given mentally support, we spent most of the time in laughing and enjoying our food together. Thanks to Carrie Chen who always enlightened my day with her little monster acts and her recommendation for part time works. Thanks to Ms Tong for her recommendation to her supervisor to work as experiment assistant. Thanks to Michael Yap for helping out in mangrove sampling and handled every little things of our team when the time I am busying with my writing. Thanks to my buddy, Rashid for went through a lot of great time together for both undergraduate and postgraduate studies in UMS. Thanks to Linda Low, who always give her trust on me to involve into her business and given chances for me to earn extra incomes. There are many more people who did give me a hand when I trapped in troubles; I am truly appreciating their helps from the bottom of my heart. Lastly, I would like to apologise to my family who waited me so long for my graduation. Thanks for my mom in allowing me to pursue my dream in attempting to be a researcher, although you did not agreed at the beginning.

Chew Swee Theng 19 July 2018



iv

### ABSTRACT

Blue carbon refers to the carbon stored and sequestered by coastal vegetated ecosystems, for examples, mangroves forest, seagrass meadows and saltmarsh. Along with sequestration, the canopy and rhizome system stabilizes a legacy of hundreds year of buried sedimentary carbon (C) storage from remineralization. The current blue carbon conceptual model estimates C stocks based on the total organic carbon content (TOC) without accounting for deposited allochthonous recalcitrant carbon forms like black carbon (BC). Black carbon is produced outside coastal vegetated ecosystems through the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels and biomass, and is already stable over climatic scales. Hence, a more accurate value of blue carbon storage can only be estimated by subtracting that portion of BC from the TOC. The main objective was, for the first time, to analyze the portion of BC over TOC down the sediment columns of coastal vegetated ecosystem from the seagrass meadows and its adjacent mangrove forest within Salut-Mengkabong lagoon. Black carbon analysis was carried out using two methods, namely, Chemothermal Oxidation (CTO) and Nitric Acid Oxida tion (NAO) to isolate the soot continuum and soot to charcoal continuum, respectively. The top meter C stock for seagrass and mangrove sediment estimated ranging from 6.0 - 203.0 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> and 139.4 – 425.5 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively. For organic carbon (OC) sequestration of Enhalus acoroides meadow, estimated ranging from  $1.5 - 5.1 \text{ Mg C} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for Salut upper lagoon and Mengkabong lagoon over ~20 years. However, the results of BC/TOC isolated by CTO and NAO, suggested C stock capacity for seagrass and mangrove will diminish, 1.8 — 66.6 % and 1.4 — 19.6 % respectively. The BC sequestration on the same Enhalus acoroides meadows estimated ranging from  $32.6 - 36.0 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . Moreover, comparison between temperate and tropical blue carbon ecosystems, suggested current carbon stock estimates are positively biased, particularly for sandy seagrass environs, by  $18 \pm 3\%$  ( $\pm 95\%$  confidence interval) and 43 ± 21% (±95% CI) respectively. In conclusion, it is recommended accounting for BC to be included within the blue carbon conceptual model for more accurate assessments in future carbon trading schemes.



## ABSTRAK

## KARBON BIRU DAN HITAM DALAM ENDAPAN RUMPUT LAUT DAN BAKAU SABAH: KEPENTINGANNYA DALAM KARBON SEKUESTRASI DAN PENYIMPANAN

Karbon Biru dirujukan kepada karbon yang disimpan dan disekuestrasi oleh ekosistem persisiran pantai, seperti hutan bakau, rumput laut dan rawang masin. Hal ini kerana, tumbuhan kanopi dan sistem akar mampu menstabilkan karbon (C) yang disimpan dalam sedimen selama beratus tahun dan melindunginya daripada proses mineralisasi. Konsep Model Karbon Biru yang terkini mengganggar stok C berdasarkan kandungan jumlah karbon organik jumlah (TOC), tidak termasuk allochthonous recalcitrant carbon, seperti karbon hitam (BC). BC adalah sisa pembakaran daripada bahan api fosil dan biojisim dan dihasil luar daripada ekosistem persisiran pantai serta mempunyai kestabilan yang tinggi. Oleh itu, penggangaran stok karbon biru yang lebih tepat hanya boleh dianggar selepas menolak bahagian BC daripada TOC. Kajian objektif utama ini ialah menganalisi peratusan BC dalam TOC yang didapati dalam endapan rumput laut dan juga bakau bersebelahan lagun Salut-Mengkabong. Analisis BC dijalankan melalui dua kaedah, iaitu, Chemothermal Oxidation (CTO) untuk mengasingkan kontinum jelaga dan Nitric Acid Oxidation (NAO) dapat mengasingkan kontinum dari jelaga hingga arang. Penggangaran stok C dalam sedimen teratas adalah dalam lingkungan 6.0 - 203.0 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> dan 139.4 — 425.5 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> bagi rumput laut dan bakau masingmasing, Sekuestrasi karbon organik oleh hampuran Enhalus acoroides dianggar dalam lingkungan 1.5 — 5.1 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> untuk ~ 20 tahun. Walau bagaimanapun. keputusan BC/TOC yang dianalisi oleh CTO dan NAO mencadangkan bahawa kapasiti stok C rumput laut dan bakau akan berkurang sebanyak 1.8 - 66.6% dan 1.4 — 19.6% masing-masing. Selain itu, sekuestrasi BC dianggar dalam lingkungan  $32.6 - 36.0 \text{ g m}^2 \text{ yr}^1$ . Keputusan perbandingan antara ekosistem karbon biru yang meliputi zon iklim sederhana dan tropika, mencadangkan ketepatan penganggaran stok C terkini perlu dikaji semula. Terutamanya, kawasan rumput laut berpasir di zon iklim sederhana dan tropika terdapati BC sebanyak 18 ± 3% (± 95% Cl) dan 43 ± 21% (± 95% CI) masing-masing. Kesimpulannya, BC% perlu diambil kira dalam





konsep model karbon biru untuk penilaian stok C yang lebih tepat bagi menjalani skim dagangan karbon pada masa depan.



## LIST OF CONTENTS

		Page
τττι	.E	i
DECLARATION		ii
CERTIFICATION		111
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		iv
ABSTRACT		v
ABSTRAK		vi
LIST	OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES		xii
LIST	OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF EQUATIONS		xix
LIST	OF APPENDICES	XX
CHA	PTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	The Importance of the Coastal Vegetative Area	1
1.2	Potential Untested Bias in Estimating Blue Carbon Stock	2
1.3	The Existence of Allochthonous Recalcitrant Carbon	3
1.4	Significance of Study	
1.5	Problem Statements	5
1.6	Objectives	6
1.7	Hypotheses	6
CHA	PTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	International Actions Against Climate Change	7
2.2	Climate Funds and New Economic Opportunities	8
2.3	Carbon Sequestration and Storage	12
	2.4.1 The Global Carbon Source	12
	2.4.2 The Organic Carbon Cycle	13
	2.4.3 Organic carbon: Labile, Recalcitrant or Aged	14
	2.4.4 Sedimentation Rate Determination Using Isotopic Methods	16
	a <sup>210</sup> Pb Analysis Models	17
2.4	The Current Blue Carbon Stock Valuation	21
2.5	The On-going Debates on Blue Carbon Ecosystem	22



#### **CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Study Area	27
3.2	Sampling design: Carbon Stock, Biomass and Coverage Data	27
	3.2.1 Seagrass	29
	3.2.2 Mangrove	30
3.3	Sediment Sample Preparation for Analysis	31
3.4	Sediment Bulk Density, Salinity and Particle Size Analysis	31
3.5	Sedimentary Carbon Stock (Blue Carbon)	32
	3.5.1 Estimation of Carbon Sequestration Rate via <sup>210</sup> Pb	34
	Geochronology	
	3.5.2 Sediment Carbon Source Tracing via $\delta^{13}$ C ratio and	36
	nitrogen—carbon ratio (N/C) analysis	
3.6	Allochthonous Black Carbon Estimates in Blue Carbon Ecosystem	38
	3.6.1 Modifications on Chemothermal Oxidation, CTO	38
	a Testing on Charring Susceptibility during Chemothermal	39
	Oxidation	
	3.6.2 Modifications on Nitric Acid Oxidation, NAO	39
3.7	The Spatial Scales Variability of Blue and Black carbon	40
3.8	TOM-TOC and BM-BC Conversion Equations	40
3.9	Inorganic Carbon Estimation	42
3.10	The Assessment of the Ecosystem Value	43
CHAP	TER 4: RESULTS	45
4.1	Ecosystems Biomass and Coverage Data	45
	4.1.1 Seagrass	45
	4.1.2 Mangrove	47
4.2	The Sedimentation Rate and Ages of Seagrass Meadow in Salut—	49
	Mengkabong Lagoon	
	4.2.1 The Sediment Core Descriptions	49
	4.2.2 Geochronologies Profiles and Preparation for SIT Model	52
	Analysis	
	a Evidence for Deposition Event of Time Maker (Tropical	54
	Storm Greg, 1996)	
	b Radionuclide Time Maker, <sup>137</sup> Cs	55



	c Sedimentation Rate of Enhalus acoroides Seagrass Meadow	56
	d The Organic Carbon and Black Carbon Sequestration	58
4.3	The Blue Carbon Stock Variability And The Effects of Carbonates	60
	on Blue Carbon Estimates	
	4.3.1 Seagrass	60
	4.3.2 Mangrove	62
4.4	Black Carbon Isolation via Chemothermal Oxidation and Nitric Acid	64
	Oxidation	
4.5	Black Carbon Fractions and Its Supply Pathway	66
4.6	Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and Black Carbon (BC) Conversion	67
	Factors for Loss on Ignition's Data (LOI)	
	4.6.1 Total Organic Matter (TOM) to Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	67
	Conversion Factors	
	4.6.2. Black Organic Matter to Black Carbon Conversion Factors	68
CHAF	PTER 5: DISCUSSION	71
5.1	Blue Carbon Inventory	71
	5.1.1 Global Comparison of Seagrass Carbon Stock	71
	5.1.2 Global Comparison of Mangrove Carbon stock	72
5.2	Blue and Black Carbon Sequestration	73
5.3	The Black Carbon Content in Coastal Vegetated Area	75
	5.3.1 Global Comparisons on Black Carbon Fractions and Its	77
	Supply Pathways.	
5.4	Accounting For Potential Bias in Carbon Stock Services With	81
	Pendleton's Valuation Method	
5.5	The Current Study's Limitations and Assumptions	86
	a The assumption of a minimum or none degradation of	86
	buried OC after 2 years deposited in sediment (Duarte and	
	Cebrián, 1996).	
	b A limitation on the OC sequestration rate estimates from	86
	relatively short cores. The carbonates cycle associates with	
	other complicated processes have not fully accounted in IC-	
	OC ratio estimates	
	c The carbonates cycle associates with other complicated	87
		_



processes have not fully accounted in ICOC ratio		
estimates.		
d Seagrass biomass data were extrapolated from previous	87	
study.		
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION		
REFERENCES		
APPENDICES	111	



## LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1:	Financial aids in supporting climate change projects.	9
Table 2.2:	The summary of current blue carbon model's limitations and	25
	suggestions from recent studies.	
Table 3.1:	Mangrove wood density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) of different species	31
Table 4.1:	Seagrass species, aboveground biomass and coverage in Salut-	45
	Mengkabong Lagoon	
Table 4.2:	Mangrove species, aboveground and belowground biomass in	47
	Salut-Mengkabong Lagoon.	
Table 4.3:	The comparison of average top meter total organic carbon stock	61
	(TOC), inorganic carbon (IC) and seagrass sediment types across	
	Salut—Mengkabong lagoon with a net $CO_2$ sequestration between	
	TOC and IC. The CO <sub>2</sub> sequestration data presented as median	
	(m) and in a quartile range of (25th — 75th).	
Table 4.4:	The comparison of average top meter total organic carbon stock	63
	(TOC), inorganic carbon (IC) and mangrove sediment types	
	across Salut-Mengkabong lagoon with a net $CO_2$ sequestration	
	between TOC and IC. The $CO_2$ sequestration data presented as	
	median (m) and in a quartile range of (25th — 75th).	
Table 4.5:	Total organic matter (TOM) and black organic matter (BM) of	65
	three mangrove sediment samples such as mud (M), muddy sand	
	(MS) and sand (S) and their mixture with Spirulina powder (SP).	
	The results were analysed using statistical tool Past™ v3.14.	
Table 5.1:	Linear regression data analysis between total organic carbon	80
	(TOC) and Black carbon (BC) with different methods included	
	chemothermal oxidation (CTO), nitric acid oxidation (NAO),	
	potassium dichromate oxidation (PDO) and the Durbin-Watson	
	test (D) <sup>4,5</sup> test for serial correlation across the study areas: Salut-	
	Mengkabong estuary (SM), Little Swanport estuary (LS), and	
	Shantou mangrove demonstration site (S). No serial correlation	
	were detected ( $P = 0.05$ ) in all regression models, with and	



without intercepts.

Table 5.2: Estimates on carbon dioxide emissions from Salut---Mengkabong 85 lagoon's total blue carbon stock with CO<sub>2</sub>:C molecular weight conversion (3.67), and the potential economic values and bias (black carbon fraction, BC/TOC % and CO<sub>2</sub> release from CaCO<sub>3</sub> formation, CO<sub>2 (CaCO3 formation)</sub>/ OC stock %) in the ecosystem



## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Page 3

- Figure 1.1: An augmented blue carbon conceptual model. The model shows saltmarsh, mangrove, and seagrass canopies' ability to trap and store black pyrogenic carbon (BC) washed out from the catchment (green and black) and atmosphere (black), along with detritus produced by those coastal vegetated ecosystems (blue and black). The argument of this study is the failure of removal allochthonous recalcitrants, such as BC, from the coastal vegetated sedimentary carbon stocks could result in overestimated values in mitigating anthropogenic emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>. Icon credit: Tracey Saxby (mangrove) and Catherine Collier (seagrass), Integration and Application Network, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science (ian.umces.edu/imagelibrary/)
- Figure 2.1: The global carbon cycle. Both ocean and terrestrial 12 vegetation were places for carbon sequestration. However, the rate of carbon release back to atmosphere could be higher than uptake, under the condition of degradation (eg. deforestation) and oversaturation of carbon. Another carbon source to the atmosphere is fossil fuels burning, estimated to be ~ 4000 Gt C. (Adopted from McLeod *et al.*, 2011)
- Figure 3.1 The map of sampling stations for three sampling sites 28 located at Salut upper and lower lagoons and Mengkabong lagoon, Tuaran, Sabah. A total of 6 stations in each seagrass meadow's site: Salut upper lagoon (SUA, SUB, SUC, SUD, SUE, SUF); Salut lower lagoon (SLA to SLF); Mengkabong lagoon (MA to MF). There were three sampling sites for mangrove forest, namely, MSU at Salut upper lagoon; MSL at Salut lower lagoon and MMU at Mengkabong lagoon. Each sampling site consisted of one transect line (100 m) with





xiv

three sampling plots (10 m x 10 m) at each 25 m interval. These seagrass and mangrove distribution information were collected based on indigenous knowledge, map data: Google, 2017 DigitalGlobe & TerraMetrics, and ground appraisal by walking and logging GPS seagrass edges at low water springs. The map draw with QGIS v3.18 , http://www.qgis.org/en/site/.

- Figure 3.2 The trigon of sediment classification based on clay silt sand 32 (Blott and Pye, 2012).
- Figure 4.1: The shells fractions and wood debris found at sediment core 49 sections below the depth 25 cm and 23 cm of Salut and Mengkabong cores respectively.
- Figure 4.2: The Salut cores' depth profiles of (a) sediment particle size, 50
  (b) total organic carbon, (c) bulk density and (d) CaCO<sub>3</sub> in *Enhalus acoroides* meadow.
- Figure 4.3: The Mengkabong cores' depth profiles of (a) sediment 51 particle size, (b) total organic carbon, (c) bulk density and
   (d) CaCO<sub>3</sub> in *Enhalus acoroides* meadow.
- Figure 4.4: Excess <sup>210</sup>Pb, <sup>226</sup>Ra and <sup>137</sup>Cs depth profile from Salut core 52 (a) and Mengkabong core (b) from Salut upper lagoon and Mengkabong lagoon respectively.
- Figure 4.5:  $\delta^{13}$ C ratio and nitrogen—carbon ratio (N/C) analysis of Salut 54 ( $\Delta = 9 \text{ cm}, \mathbf{O} = 31 \text{ and } 50 \text{ cm}$ ) and Mengkabong ( $\Delta = 9 \text{ cm}, \mathbf{O} = 31 \text{ and } 50 \text{ cm}$ ) cores at *Enhalus acoroides* meadows. The suspended particular matter (SPM) refers the end member of phytoplankton (Gonneea, Paytan and Herrera-Silveira, 2004).
- Figure 4.6: Annual rainfall for Tuaran *circa* Salut—Mengkabong lagoon 56 from 1952 to 2015. Note that the largest average annual rainfall was recorded in 2005 Source: Meteorology Department, Sabah.
- Figure 4.7: Comparisons of sedimentation rate and excess 210Pb flux 57 between (a) Salut and (b) Mengkabong Enhalus acoroides





meadows. The graph (c) and (d) are SIT stimulation models for Salut (n term = 2; weight factor = 0;  $\chi 2$  = 19.0) and Mengkabong (n term = 2; weight factor = 0;  $\chi 2$  = 154.1) cores respectively.

- Figure 4.8: The organic carbon sequestration rate with depth of Salut 59 and Mengkabong *Enhalus acoroides*, seagrass meadows.
- Figure 4.9: The carbon stocks within the top meter sediments of 61 seagrass meadows at Salut—Mengkabong lagoon. The grey and blue colors bars refer to station and site respectively.
- Figure 4.10: The carbon stocks within the top meter sediments of 62 mangrove forest at Salut—Mengkabong lagoon. The grey and blue colors' bars refer to station and site respectively.
- Figure 4.11: Black carbon content in seagrass (a) and mangrove (b) 64 sediment cores from Salut–Mengkabong lagoon. The BC results down sediment cores determined via chemothermal oxidation (CTO) and nitric acid oxidation (NAO). In addition, the sediment analysis separated into those for muddy sand and those for sandy mud, ostensibly within the upper and lower regions of the Salut–Mengkabong lagoon.
- Figure 4.12: Ordinary least squares regressions for total organic carbon 67 content against black carbon across seagrass and mangrove sediments. The regressions are constructed from measurements of black carbon (BC) as isolated via chemothermal oxidation (CTO), concentrated nitric acid oxidation (NAO), down seagrass and mangrove sediment cores along a sand-to-mud gradient of the tropical lagoon Salut—Mengkabong.
- Figure 4.13: Relationship between total organic carbon (TOC) and total 68 organic matter (TOM) for both seagrass (a) and mangrove (b) in Salut-Mengkabong lagoon. There is a significant difference between linear regressions of both seagrass and mangrove (ANCOVA, P < 0.001, mean square = 4.8 ± 0.1).</li>
- Figure 4.14: The comparison of relationship between black carbon (BC) 70



xvi

and black organic matter (BM) using chemothermal oxidation (CTO) and nitric acid oxidation (NAO) methods, across seagrass (a,c) and mangrove (b,d) in Salut-Mengkabong lagoon. Both isolation methods on BC and BM from seagrass samples show a strong positive relationship, ( $R^2 = ~0.9$ , n = 55) compare to mangrove samples (n= 32,  $R^2 < 0.5$ ).

- Figure 5.1: Black carbon content in seagrass sediment cores from Salut- 76 Mengkabong lagoon and Little Swanport estuary (a) while mangrove's cores (b) from Salut-Mengkabong lagoon only. The BC results down sediment cores determined via chemothermal oxidation (CTO) and nitric acid oxidation (NAO). In addition, the sediment analysis are separated into those for muddy sand and those for sandy mud, ostensibly within the upper and lower regions of the Salut-Mengkabong lagoon and Little Swanport estuary.
- Ordinary least squares regressions for total organic carbon Figure 5.2: 78 content against black carbon across seagrass and mangrove sediments. The regressions are constructed from measurements of black carbon (BC) as isolated via chemothermal oxidation (CTO), concentrated nitric acid oxidation (NAO), and dilute chromic acid oxidation (CAO) down seagrass and mangrove sediment cores along a sandto-mud gradient of (o) the tropical lagoon Salut-Mengkabong, (•) the temperate estuary Little Swanport, and  $(\blacktriangle)$  the surface sediments of the subtropical Shantou wetlands. For Little Swanport seagrass sediments, the amount of BC isolated via NAO was converted from BC-BM (NAO) regression (see section 4.6.2). The regressions represent the sum of a proportional increase in BC associated with soil carbon washout and BC supply to the sediment from aeolian transport, as measured by the intercept. The difference between the mangrove regressions (ANCOVA) from Salut-Mengkabong and the Shantou





wetlands (d) were not statistically different (P = 0.15 of being the same). There was no evidence of serial correlation between the residuals; also, the 95% confidence estimators (dotted lines) may be considered reasonable (see Table 5.1 for regression equations and estimator parameters).



## LIST OF EQUATIONS

PageEquation 1: Pb (x) = Pb0 exp( $-\lambda x/V$ )18Equation 2: Sedimentation termSource term20

$$P(x) = P(0) \exp\left(\left[-Bx + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{a(n)}{n\pi} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{x_{\max}}\right)\right] + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b(n)}{n\pi} \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{x_{\max}}\right)\right]\right)$$



## LIST OF APPENDICES

Page

- APPENDIX A The sampling positions of seagrass in Little Swanport 116 estuary, Australia. A hierarchical sampling design was used with three spatial scales: regions (upper estuary, middle estuary, lower estuary, 10<sup>3</sup> m apart), station (10<sup>2</sup> m between stations), and plot site (10's m between cores). "Vegetated area" refers to saltmarsh, located at the head of the estuary, Source: (Gallagher, 2013)
- APPENDIX B Aerial photograph of Salut—Mengkabong lagoon from year 117 1991 to 2011, where the darker patches are seagrass meadows. The red boxes indicating a small river that found in Salut upper lagoon. Source: Department of Land and Surveys, Sabah.
- APPENDIX C The seagrass percent cover standards for seagrass coverage 118 survey in Salut—Mengkabong lagoon, which adapted from Seagrass Watch protocol (Mckenzie and Yoshida, 2011). There were total of three standards included ≥ 75%, 50 — 70% coverage and ≤ 25% coverage.
- APPENDIX D Publication

119



### **CHAPTER 1**

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 The Importance of the Coastal Vegetative Area

Coastal vegetated ecosystems include mangrove forests, salt marshes, seagrass meadows and seaweed/kelp forests, provide a number of ecological services. Services vary from the biological to the physical, such as nursery grounds for marine organisms (fish and invertebrates), which provide food to ~3 billion people as 50% of the world fishery resources (Nellemann, Corcoran, Duarte, Valdés, De Young, Fonseca and Grimsditch, 2009), reducing the impact of storm events to coastal areas, coastal erosion (Twilley, Snedaker, Yáñez-Arancibia and Medina, 1996; Mumby, Edwards, Arias-Gonza´lez, Lindeman, Blackwell, Gall, Gorczynska, Harborne, Pescod, Renken, Wabnitz and Llewellyn, 2004). It is also more being increasing realise that these ecosystems may also provide an important carbon sequestration services for the mitigation of greenhouse emissions (Nellemann *et al.*, 2009).

In recent decades, the introduction of blue carbon successfully has drawn the attention of various parties that include researchers, policy makers and the private sector. Because of its high carbon storage capacity, on average of 25% (Duarte, Marbà, Gacia, Fourqurean, Beggins, Barrón and Apostolaki, 2010; Gallagher, 2015); or 30—50% (Irving, Connell and Russell, 2011) of world carbon stored and sequestered within small area (~0.05% of land and < 2% of the ocean area ) over long time scales (millennia) (Falkowski, Katz, Knoll, Quigg, Raven, Schofield and Taylor, 2004; Arrigo, 2005; Gonzalez, Fernandez-Gomez, Fernandez-Guerra, Gomez-Consarnau, Sanchez, Coll-Llado, del Campo, Escudero, Rodriguez-Martinez, Alonso-Saez, Latasa, Paulsen, Nedashkovskaya, Lekunberri, Pinhassi and Pedros-Alio, 2008; Simon, Cras, Foulon and Lemée, 2009; Trumper, Bertzky,



Dickson, Van Der Heijden, Jenkins and Manning, 2009). These ecosystems capable of sequestering and storing most of the autochthonous organic carbon produced by the ecosystem as well as allochthonous organic carbon trapped by the canopy. The allochthonous carbon ostensibly washed out from the land and adjacent ecosystems and stored together with the autochthonous forms, through sedimentary burial and within its living biomass. The name given to the carbon associated with vegetated coastal ecosystem is known as "Blue Carbon", in which the sediment components are under the protection from remineralisation and resuspension by the presence of the plant canopy and root system (McLeod *et al.*, 2011).

#### **1.2** Potential Untested Bias in Estimating Blue Carbon Stock

Over recent decades, it has become apparent that the coastal ecosystem are vulnerable with losses up to four times quicker than land forests (Duarte, Dennison, Orth and Carruthers, 2008; Duarte, 2009). It has been estimated the loss of seagrass, mangrove, and saltmarshes has resulted in the release of ~0.15-1.02 Pg  $yr^{-1}$  of CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere, an uncertain but significant fraction of current alobal anthropogenic emissions, 9.9  $\pm$  0.9 Pg yr<sup>-1</sup> (Pendleton, Donato, Murray, Crooks, Jenkins, Sifleet, Craft, Fourgurean, Kauffman, Marbà, Megonigal, Pidgeon, Herr, Gordon and Baldera, 2012). The large range of uncertainty in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the loss of coastal vegetated ecosystems is, in part, due to under sampling (Donato, Kauffman, Murdiyarso, Kurnianto, Stidham and Kanninen, 2011; Lavery, Mateo, Serrano and Rozaimi, 2013). However, the question of blue carbon stock bias, which additional sampling cannot address, has not yet been fully tested. One source of bias is the implicit inclusion of allochthonous recalcitrant organic matter within sedimentary stock estimates (Gallagher, 2014). Hence, blue carbon ecosystems are not responsible for the recalcitrant carbon formation, and burial does not provide additional protection from remineralisation. Consequently, allochthonous recalcitrant carbon must be excluded from stock estimates as a mitigation service for anthropogenic emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> (Gallagher, 2015).



2

#### 1.3 The Existence of Allochthonous Recalcitrant Carbon

Allochthonous recalcitrant carbon source refers to highly stable carbon, resistant to oxidation over climatic scales, which is trapped by the blue carbon canopy and roots system, transported from the land via coastal erosion, river runoff and atmospheric deposition (Figure 1.1).



Figure 1.1: An augmented blue carbon conceptual model. The model shows saltmarsh, mangrove, and seagrass canopies' ability to trap and store black pyrogenic carbon (BC) washed out from the catchment (green and black) and atmosphere (black), along with detritus produced by those coastal vegetated ecosystems (blue and black). The argument of this study is the failure of removal allochthonous recalcitrants, such as BC, from the coastal vegetated sedimentary carbon stocks could result in overestimated values in mitigating anthropogenic emissions of CO2. Icon credit: Tracey Saxby (mangrove) and Catherine Collier (seagrass), Integration and Application Network, University Maryland Center Environmental Science for of (ian.umces.edu/imagelibrary/)



3