ENHANCEMENT OF BEARING DEFECT DIAGNOSIS VIA GENETIC ALGORITHM OPTIMIZED FEATURE SELECTION

CHIA YEE SHIN



FACULTY OF ENGINEERING UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2015

PUMS 99:1

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PI	ENGESAHAN TESIS
JUDUL :	
IJAZAH :	
SAYA :	SESI PENGAJIAN :
(HURUF BESAR)	
Mengaku membenarkan tesis *(LPSM/Sarjana/Dokto Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:	r Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia -
	ah. narkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja. resis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian
4. Sila tandakan (/)	mat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia
Charles and Charles	ub di AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972) mat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di jalankan)
TIDAK TERHAD	Disahkan oleh:
 (TANDATANGAN PENULIS) Alamat Tetap:	(TANDATANGAN PUSTAKAWAN)
 	(NAMA PENYELIA) TARIKH:
menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu	r Falsafah dan Sarjana Secara Penyelidikan atau disertai

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

20 April 2015

Chia Yee Shin PK20118069



CERTIFICATION

- NAME : CHIA YEE SHIN
- MATRIC NO. : **PK20118069**
- TITLE : ENHANCEMENT OF BEARING DEFECT DIAGNOSIS VIA GENETIC ALGORITHM OPTIMIZED FEATURE SELECTION
- DEGREE : MASTER OF ENGINEERING (ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING)
- VIVA DATE : 06 MARCH 2015



2. CO- SUPERVISOR Mr. Kenneth Teo Tze Kin

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Ir. Yang Soo Siang, for his continuous guidance to supervise me towards the success of this work. His advice, encouragement, motivation and valuable suggestions have provided me the necessary impetus to complete this research.

Further thanks to my co-supervisor, Mr. Kenneth Teo Tze Kin for his advice, support and timely suggestions for the completion of this work.

Last but not least, **my deep sense of gratitude goes to my friends and** family, who have supported and encouraged me during my study.



Chia Yee Shin 20 April 2015

ABSTRACT

The main objective of this research is to enhance the classification performance of the neural network-based bearing fault diagnostic module particularly when the input data has unpredictable variations compared to the training data under various working conditions. The most challenging problem in the fault diagnosis tasks is classifying testing data that has never been seen before by the classifier during training. Therefore genetic algorithm (GA) is employed to search for a minimum number of relevant features nonlinearly to increase the classification accuracy while reducing the computational effort of the training process. However this feature selection algorithm might be unstable due to the stochastic property of GA. In addition, GA has the limitation on generalization which causes the problem of overfitting to the training data. Therefore a correlation-based filtering algorithm is embedded into GA feature selection to solve the over-fitting problem and increase the adaptability of the diagnostic scheme to unpredictable input data. The developed bearing fault diagnosis system has been evaluated and assessed for various working conditions such as rotating speeds, bearing types, fault types and fault sizes. Results show that the reinforced network classifier with GA feature selection algorithm has successfully increased the classification accuracy of training process and testing process by 13.87% and 14.21% respectively compared to the conventional neural network classifier. However the average classification accuracy of 84.74% on the unseen test data did not achieve the acceptable average success rate of 90% in this application. This is due to the features selected in this classifier is over-fitted to the training data and not generalized for variations in testing data. Subsequently, the integration of embedded correlation-based filtering algorithm has further increased the classification accuracy of training process and testing process by 4.93% and 14.73% respectively. The average classification accuracy of 99.47% on the test data achieved the acceptable average success rate. Thus, it can be concluded that the developed algorithm is capable to improve the classification efficiency by improving the generality of the classifier in classifying test data with unpredictable variations under various working conditions.

ABSTRAK

PENINGKATAN PRESTASI MODUL DIAGNOSIS GALAS MELALUI PEMILIHAN CIRI YANG DIOPTIMUMKAN OLEH ALGORITMA GENETIK

Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk meningkatkan prestasi pengkelasan untuk modul diagnosis galas bebola berasaskan rangkaian neural, terutamanya untuk data yang mempunyai variasi yang tidak menentu berbanding dengan data latihan di pelbagai keadaan operasi. Masalah yang paling mencabar dalam tugas pengkelasan ialah mengklasifikasikan data yang tidak pernah wujud semasa latihan. Oleh itu, algoritma genetik (AG) dicadangkan untuk mencari ciri-ciri yang penting dalam bilangan minimum untuk meningkatkan peratusan ketepatan pengkelasan serta mengurangkan usaha pengiraan dalam proses latihan. Walau bagaimanapun, AG mungkin tidak stabil disebabkan perubahan AG yang tidak menentu. Maka, algoritma pemilihan ciri berasaskan korelasi dibenamkan ke dalam AG untuk meningkatkan pengitlakan terhadap data input yang tidak menentu. Sistem ini telah dianalisis dan dikaji untuk pelbagai keadaan seperti variasi kelajuan, jenis galas bebola, jenis kerosakan dan saiz kerosakan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa pengkelasan berasaskan rangkaian neural yang diperkukuhkan dengan AG algoritma pemilihan ciri telah berjaya meningkatkan ketepatan klasifikasi untuk proses latihan dan proses ujian sebanyak 13.78% dan 14.21% masing-masing berbanding dengan pengkelasan berasaskan rangkaian neural konvensional. Walaubagaimanapun, peratus ketepatan klasifikasi sebanyak 84,74% untuk data ujian tidak mencapai purata ketepatan klasifikasi yang dapat diterima dalam kerja ini, iaitu sebanyak 90%. Ini adala kerana ciri-ciri yang dipilih adalah lebih sesuai untuk data latihan dan tidak stabil untuk variasi data ujian. Justeru, algoritma pemilihan ciri berasaskan korelasi yang dibenamkan ke dalam AG dapat meningkatkan lagi ketepatan klasifikasi proses latihan dan proses ujian sebanyak 4.93% dan 14.73% masing-masing. Purata ketepatan klasifikasi sebanyak 99.47% untuk data ujian dapat mencapai purata ketepatan klasifikasi yang dapat diterima. Oleh itu, kesimpulan dapat dibuat bahawa sistem ini mampu meningkatkan ketepatan klasifikasi dengan meningkatkan pengitlakan dalam mengklasifikasikan data ujian dengan variasi vang tidak menentu di bawah pelbagai keadaan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
DECLARATION	ii
CERTIFICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Project Background 1.2 Motivation 1.3 Aim and Objectives 1.4 Thesis Outline	1 1 3 4
CHAPTER 2: Literature Review	6
 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Vibration Signal Analysis Techniques 2.2.1 Time-Domain Analysis 2.2.2 Frequency-Domain Analysis 2.2.3 Time-Frequency Analysis 	6 8 9 10 13
 2.3 Bearing Condition Monitoring Techniques 2.3.1 Statistical Approaches 2.3.2 Mathematical Model-based Approaches 2.3.3 Artificial Intelligent Approaches 	15 16 17 18
 2.4 Bearing Feature Optimization Strategies 2.4.1 Filter Methods 2.4.2 Wrapper Methods 2.4.3 Embedded Methods 	20 21 22 23
2.5 Summary	24

CHA	PTER 3: ENHANCEMENT OF THE BEARING SIGNALS	26
3.1	Introduction	26
3.2	Separation of Bearing Signals from Discrete Frequency Noises	26
	3.2.1 Prewhitening by Autoregressive Linear Prediction	27
	3.2.2 The Enhancement using Minimum Entropy Deconvolution	29
3.3	Envelope Analysis	33
	3.3.1 Bearing Characteristic Fault Frequencies	34
	3.3.2 Hilbert Transform	37
3.4	Spectral Kurtosis	37
	3.4.1 The Fast Kurtogram	38
3.5	Summary	43
CHA	PTER 4: A REINFORCED NEURAL NETWORK BEARING FAULT	44
	CLASSIFIER AND BEARING DEGRADATION	
	ASSESSMENT TECHNIQUE	
4.1	Introduction	44
4.2	Overview of Artificial Neural Network	44
	4.2.1 Training Procedure of the Neural Networks	45
4.3	Neural Networks for Classification	48
-	4.3.1 Reinforced Neural Network Bearing Fault Classifier	49
	4.3.2 Training Process of the Reinforced Neural Networks	50
4.4	Development of the Quantization Error Based Bearing Degradation	51
	Indicator	
	4.4.1 Overview of Self-Organizing Map	51
104	4.4.2 Computation of Bearing Degradation Indicator	52
	T.T.Z COMputation of Dearing Degradation Indicator	52
4.5		53
4.5	Summary	
1C		
1C	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE	53
1C	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE	53
СНА	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE	53 54
CHA 5.1	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction	53 54 54
CHA 5.1 5.2	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction	53 54 54 55
CHA 5.1 5.2	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm	53 54 54 55 58
CHA 5.1 5.2	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection	53 54 54 55 58 59
CHA 5.1 5.2	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection	53 54 54 55 58 59 59
CHA 5.1 5.2	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator	53 54 55 58 59 59 61
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm	53 54 55 58 59 61 63 63
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection	53 54 54 55 58 59 59 61 63
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection Algorithm	53 54 55 58 59 61 63 63
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection	53 54 55 58 59 59 61 63 63 64
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection Algorithm	53 54 55 58 59 59 61 63 63 64
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE DOPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection Algorithm Summary	53 54 55 58 59 59 61 63 63 64 66
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Summary TER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection Algorithm Summary PTER 6: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE ENHANCED	53 54 55 58 59 59 61 63 63 64 66
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 CHA	Summary TER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection Algorithm Summary PTER 6: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE ENHANCED BEARING DEFECT DIAGNOSTIC SCHEME Introduction	 53 54 55 58 59 61 63 63 64 66 67
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 CHA 6.1	Summary TER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection Algorithm Summary PTER 6: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE ENHANCED BEARING DEFECT DIAGNOSTIC SCHEME Introduction Performance Evaluation of Bearing Defect Diagnostic Schemes	53 54 55 58 59 59 61 63 63 64 66 67 67
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 CHA 6.1	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection Algorithm Summary PTER 6: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE ENHANCED BEARING DEFECT DIAGNOSTIC SCHEME Introduction Performance Evaluation of Bearing Defect Diagnostic Schemes 6.2.1 Performance Analysis of RNN Classifier	53 54 55 58 59 61 63 64 66 67 67 67
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 CHA 6.1	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection Algorithm Summary PTER 6: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE ENHANCED BEARING DEFECT DIAGNOSTIC SCHEME Introduction Performance Evaluation of Bearing Defect Diagnostic Schemes 6.2.1 Performance Analysis of RNN Classifier	53 54 55 58 59 61 63 63 64 66 67 67 70
CHA 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 CHA 6.1	Summary PTER 5: FILTER EMBEDDED GENETIC ALGORITHM FEATURE OPTIMIZATION TECHNIQUE Introduction Feature Extraction GA-based Feature Optimization Algorithm 5.3.1 Genetic Representation 5.3.2 Selection 5.3.3 Crossover Operator and Mutation Operator 5.3.4 Evaluation by Fitness Function An Embedded Two-Stage Feature Selection Algorithm 5.4.1 Development of Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection Algorithm Summary PTER 6: PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF THE ENHANCED BEARING DEFECT DIAGNOSTIC SCHEME Introduction Performance Evaluation of Bearing Defect Diagnostic Schemes 6.2.1 Performance Analysis of RNN Classifier 6.2.2 Performance Analysis of RNN Classifier with GA-based Feature	53 54 55 58 59 61 63 63 64 66 67 67 70

		GA Feature Selection		
6.3		Comparative Performance Analysis n of Bearing Defect Severity Level with Qua	ntization Error	104 107
0.5		earing Degradation Indicator		107
	6.3.1 E	valuation and Assessment on Bearings with	Real Defect	108
C A		Propagation Process		110
6.4	Summar	ý		110
СНАРТ	ER 7: CO	DNCLUSION		112
7.1 Summary			112	
7.2	Achievements		113	
7.3	Recommendations		114	
REFER	ENCES			115
Appen	dix A:	The Main Function for Feature Extrac Domain and Frequency	ction in Time	123
Appen	dix B:	The Minimum Entropy Deconvoluti	on Function	125
Appen	dix C:	Functions for Fast Computation of Ku	ırtogram	127
Appen	dix D:	Filter Embedded GA Feature Selection	n Algorithm	134
Appen	dix E:	Feed-Forward Back-Propagation Neural Network Classifier	Reinforced	137
Appen	dix F:	Roulette Wheel Selection Algorithm		139
Appen	dix G:	5-Fold Cross Validation Algorithm	SIA SABAH	140
Appen	dix H:	Quantization Error Based Bearing Indicator Calculation	Degradation	141

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1:	Summary of bearing condition monitoring methods	7
Table 3.1:	Iterative optimization algorithm for inverse filter coefficients	32
Table 4.1:	Algorithm of back-propagation training process	47
Table 5.1:	Frequency domain based envelope spectrum energy features	57
Table 5.2:	The filter embedded GA feature optimization algorithm	66
Table 6.1:	The geometry of drive end bearing (inches)	69
Table 6.2:	The geometry of fan end bearing (inches)	69
Table 6.3:	Description of bearing data with the label of classification	69
Table 6.4:	The design parameters for RNN classifier	70
Table 6. <mark>5</mark> :	The classification accuracy for the RNN classifier	81
Table 6.6:	The parameter settings for the GA-based feature selection algorithm	82
Table 6.7:	The classification accuracy for the RNN classifier with GA- based feature optimization algorithm	93
Table 6.8:	The classification details for the RNN classifier with filter embedded GA feature selection algorithm	104
Table 6.9:	Classification accuracy for different classifiers	105
Table 6.10:	Correlation coefficients for top-5 most frequently selected features by GA-based feature selection algorithm	106
Table 6.11:	Correlation coefficients for top-5 most frequently selected features by filter embedded GA feature optimization algorithm	106

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1:	Envelope analysis for bearing feature extraction	12
Figure 2.2:	General process of model-based approaches	18
Figure 2.3:	The filter feature selection approach	22
Figure 2.4:	The wrapper feature selection approach	23
Figure 2.5:	The embedded feature selection approach	24
Figure 3.1:	Selection of the order of AR model based on Kurtosis criterion	29
Figure 3.2:	Spectrum of raw signal and spectrum of residual of the 47 th Order AR linear prediction filter	29
Figure 3.3:	Inverse filtering or deconvolution process	30
Figure 3.4:	Comparison of raw signal, residual of the 47 th order AR linear prediction filter and filtered residual signal using MED	33
Figure 3.5:	Comparison of the spectrum of raw signal, spectrum of residual of the AR linear prediction filter and spectrum of the filtered residual signal using MED	33
Figure 3.6:	Geometric parameters used in bearing characteristic fault frequency calculations	36
Figure 3.7:	The $(f, \Delta f)$ plane for 1/3-binary tree Kurtogram estimator	40
Figure 3.8:	The Fast Kurtogram of the bearing vibration signal	41
Figure 3.9:	The vibration signals and their spectra for inner race defective bearing (a) original vibration signal (b) the amplitude spectrum of the original signal (c) the envelope signal (d) the envelope spectrum	42
Figure 3.10:	The vibration signals and their spectra for outer race defective bearing (a) original vibration signal (b) the amplitude spectrum of the original signal (c) the envelope signal (d) the envelope spectrum	42
Figure 4.1:	The structure of an artificial neuron	45

Figure 4.2:	The structure of multilayer perceptron	46
Figure 4.3:	The Log-Sigmoid transfer function	48
Figure 5.1:	The features extracted from the envelope spectrum of an inner race faulted bearing	57
Figure 5.2:	The genetic operations (a) two points crossover (b) single point mutation	62
Figure 5.3:	The flow of filter embedded GA feature optimization algorithm	65
Figure 6.1:	Classification performance for training of RNN classifier (five independent runs)	74
Figure 6.2:	Classification performance for testing of RNN classifier (five independent runs)	77
Figure 6.3:	The training process of RNN classifier (five independent runs)	80
Figure 6.4:	Classification performance for training of RNN classifier with GA-based feature optimization algorithm (five independent runs)	86
Figure 6.5:	Classification performance for testing of RNN classifier with GA-based feature optimization algorithm (five independent runs)	89
Figure 6.6:	The training process of RNN classifier with GA-based feature optimization algorithm (five independent runs)	92
Figure 6.7:	Classification performance for training of RNN classifier with filter embedded GA feature selection algorithm (five independent runs)	97
Figure 6.8:	Classification performance for testing of RNN classifier with filter embedded GA feature selection algorithm (five independent runs)	100
Figure 6.9:	The training process of RNN classifier with filter embedded GA feature selection algorithm (five independent runs)	103
Figure 6.10:	RMS curve for different bearing fault types	107
Figure 6.11:	The MQE chart of degradation processes	108

Figure 6.12: The MQE severity index for defect propagation for 109 different fault types



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- AI Artificial intelligence
- **ANN** Artificial Neural Network
- **AR** Autoregressive
- **BFF** Ball fault frequency
- **BMU** Best matching unit
- **BPFI** Ball passing frequency inner race
- **BPFO** Ball passing frequency outer race
- **BSF** Ball spin frequency
- **EMD** Empirical mode decomposition
- FEA Finite element analysis
- FFT Fast Fourier transform
- FTF Fundamental train frequency
- **GA** Genetic algorithm
- HMM Hidden Markov model
- J-M Jeffries-Matusita
- **k-NN** k-nearest neighbour
- LDR Levinson-Durbon recursion
- **MED** Minimum entropy deconvolution
- MLP Multilayer perceptron
- MQE Minimum quantization error
- MRA Multiresolution analysis
- MSE Mean square error
- RL Reinforcement learning
- **RMS** Root-mean-square

- **RNN** Reinforced neural network
- SK Spectral Kurtosis
- SNR Signal-to-noise ratio
- **SOM** Self-organizing map
- **STFT** Short Time Fourier Transform
- SVM Support Vector Machine
- WT Wavelet Transform
- **WVD** Wigner-Ville distribution



LIST OF SYMBOLS

x	-	Time signal
wf	-	Time window
Т	-	Time interval
f	-	Frequency
g	-	Mother wavelet
b	-	Translation factor
а	-	Dilation factor
a_i	-	Autoregression coefficient terms
p	-	Order of autoregression model
ε_n	-	Residual error of the autoregression filter
σ	9 ²²	Standard deviation
δ		Kronecker delta function
h	A	Transmission path
fi	S.	Fault impulses UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH
d	-	Deterministic part of signal
n _o	-	Noise signal
v	-	Filter coefficient vector
A	-	Toeplitz autocorrelation matrix
Ε	-	Expected value
Ø	-	Bearing contact angle
Pd	-	Pitch diameter
Bd	-	Ball diameter
S	-	Shaft rotation rate
μ	-	Average of vibration signal

- ho(f) Noise-to-signal ratio
- $S_N(f)$ Power spectral densities of noise
- $S_X(f)$ Power spectral densities of fault
- *o* Network output
- T Actual target output
- w Network weight vector
- *i* Sample index number
- *n* Number of samples
- γ Discounting factor
- α Learning rate
- *d* Euclidean distance
- Q Q-value
- r Reward
- P Probability
- IC Incorrect classification/ERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH
- *E* Root mean square error
- *D* Number of selected features in chromosome

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

Rotating machinery is widely used in industries including machining tools, milling machines, and aircraft gas turbine engines, due to their relatively low cost. The most frequent failure that causes an unexpected machine breakdown is due to bearing defects, so bearings are classified as critical mechanical components. A reliable condition monitoring system is important for predictive maintenance actions to reduce the need of periodic shutdowns for routine inspections. Consequently, there is a high demand for researches to be conducted on the development of bearing condition monitoring system. The condition monitoring system consists of incipient fault detection, fault isolation and severity monitoring. Due to the shortage of experienced personnel, an automated on-site diagnosis system that does not require human interpretations to analyze the data is desirable.

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

The main components of rolling element bearings consist of an outer race, an inner race, rolling elements in between the two races, and a cage around the rolling elements. The rolling elements can be needles, balls, cylindrical rollers, tapered rollers or barrel rollers. The outer race is typically mounted to the stationary housing, and the inner race is mounted on the rotating shaft. The function of cage is to separate the rolling elements to avoid contact between them (Kiral and Karagülle, 2003). The load carrying capability of roller bearing is usually higher than ball bearing because the balls can only transfer the load applied to a ball bearing via point contact with the races, whereas the rollers can transfer the load to races through line contact. Radial ball bearings are most commonly used due to its simple design and suitability for high speed operation with little maintenance requirement (Braun and Datner, 1979).

The analysis of defective bearing signals depends on the type of defects in the bearing. The two main types of defects are initial small localized defects and extended spalls if the spalls are smoothed by wear. The former is described as the visible faults that appear at the races, cage or rolling elements. This type of defect refers to spalls, cracks, pits and brinelling on the rolling surfaces, which are caused by shock loading or overloading during the operation and installation process. The distributed defect is defined as the damages on an unhealthy bearing that is not apparent, including roughness on surface, off-size rolling elements and misaligned races which caused by manufacturing error and abrasive wear (Amarnath et al., 2004). The adhesive wearing process might be accelerated by lubricant deficiency due to the increase of bearing component temperature, and this speed up of the deterioration process. In general, localized defect diagnostics are more important than distributed defect diagnostics because the spalls on the races and rolling elements are the most common failures in real-world applications. Distributed defects usually originate from localized spalls so it is more important to diagnose the initial stage of the faults (McFadden and Smith, 1984). Therefore the research in this thesis is focused on the condition monitoring of bearings with localized bearing defects.

The influence of external noise from the other machinery components such as pumps, gears, and turbines leads to the need of more advanced bearing fault detection and diagnosis approaches. Some existing algorithms for bearing characteristic-fault-frequencies detection and diagnosis are artificial neural network, statistical methods, wavelet, spectral model, model-based techniques, and highfrequency resonance methods. Among these methods, Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies such as neural network and fuzzy logic could predict the dynamic performance of the system accurately where bearings are parts of the system (Piyush and Prajapati, 2011). In fact, intelligent bearing condition monitoring is one of the challenging scientific industrial researches in recent years.

1.2 Motivation

Fault diagnosis is vital for advanced supervision and fault management of a system. They have to be capable of detecting incipient faults and diagnose faults. Generally fault diagnostics focuses on detection, isolation and identification of faults. Early fault detection and diagnosis is crucial for counteraction to be planned, such as reconfiguration, maintenance or repair. The traditional approaches of fault diagnosis relies on skilled personnel to identify faults based on the extracted features, which are time-consuming and can be inaccurate when the amount of data for monitoring the machinery condition is vast and the data is degraded by noise. Therefore an automated system which does not require human interpretations to analyze the data is required as an alternative solution. This can be achieved by automatic pattern recognition and classification based on the features extracted from the vibration signals.

Furthermore, feature selection is an important technique to make the diagnosis process faster and more accurate using the minimum number of relevant features. The discovery of feature set with predictive ability is essential for non-linear analysis and modeling process. Neural network-based classifier is one of the non-linear pattern recognition or classification techniques, which can implement non-linear feature mapping with sigmoidal basis function. In this research, neural network is used to design an accurate model for the automated bearing defect classifier. In order to keep the model simple and accurate, suitable feature selection technique is employed to extract the important discriminating attributes of the data and ignore the irrelevant characteristics, such as noise.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this research is to improve the efficiency of the neural network-based bearing fault diagnostic module by implementing the feature optimization algorithm under various working conditions. The genetic algorithm (GA)-based approach is used to search for optimal features nonlinearly by creating the feature combinations randomly while correlation-based filtering algorithm is adapted into GA to increase the feature selection stability and reduces the over-fitting problem to the training data.

The research aim can be achieved through the development of an automated bearing fault diagnostic scheme based on reinforced neural network, enhancement of the diagnostic scheme with feature optimization algorithm and the assessment of the developed diagnostic algorithm. The developed bearing fault diagnosis system is evaluated and assessed for ball bearings with seeded faults and double roller bearings with real defect propagation process under various working conditions and fault types. The classification performances of the diagnostic schemes with and without feature optimization algorithm are compared. In addition, the feature subsets selected by the GA feature optimization algorithm and filter embedded GA feature optimization algorithm are evaluated and compared. The measures of association between features are investigated for the task of quantifying feature-feature correlations. The correlation between the selected features has to be sufficiently small to minimize redundancy.

1.4 Thesis Outline

This thesis is organized as the following:

Chapter One describes the overview on the bearing condition monitoring system and elaborates on optimization of the bearing fault diagnostic algorithm. The research aims and scope of work are presented and the organization of the thesis is explained in the thesis outline.

Chapter Two presents the literature review concerning optimization approaches in bearing condition monitoring systems. The chapter is initiated with an introduction to existing vibration-based condition monitoring techniques. The techniques discussed are classified into time-domain based, frequency-domain based and time-frequency based. A review on existing bearing fault detection and diagnostic algorithms follows. In addition, the past researches of the artificial intelligent methods in optimizing the bearing condition monitoring system are also reviewed. Lastly, the enhancement of the diagnosis process with the feature selection algorithms is studied. Chapter Three presents the enhancement of the bearing signals through the time-domain and frequency-domain analysis. The chapter begins with the development of autoregressive liner prediction and minimum entropy deconvolution techniques to isolate the bearing signals from noise. In addition, the integration of the Fast Kurtogram into envelope analysis is introduced to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and helps in identification of the peaks associated with bearing fault impulses.

Chapter Four discusses the implementation of neural network-based classifier in bearing fault diagnosis process. Reinforcement learning is theoretically explained and practically integrated into the neural network training process. In addition, the development of a bearing degradation indicator based on quantization error is also included in this chapter.

Chapter Five illustrates the implementation of feature extraction and feature optimization techniques. The procedures to implement the developed GA-based feature selection algorithm to extract the feature set with important discriminating attributes are proposed. The performance of the developed algorithm is then further enhanced by embedding the correlation-based filtering algorithm into it.

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

Chapter Six shows the evaluation and assessment of the proposed enhanced bearing diagnostic scheme for ball bearings with seeded faults and roller bearings which developing natural defect propagation processes. The performances of the diagnostic scheme are evaluated on case studies with and without feature optimization algorithms. The efficiency of these diagnostic algorithms is investigated and compared under different working conditions. Furthermore, the damage levels of the bearings are trended with the degradation indicator based on minimum quantization error.

Finally, the summary of this thesis is concluded in Chapter Seven. Achievement and future works are presented in this chapter.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

A bearing condition monitoring system is crucial to prevent malfunction and catastrophic failure of the machine caused by bearing faults. The techniques used for bearing condition monitoring are commonly achieved via vibration analysis, acoustic signal analysis, thermal analysis, oil debris analysis, and electrical current analysis.

The most widely employed approach in monitoring the bearing defects is vibration-based. It has been employed for a long time and has become more economical and reliable in recent years. The faults in bearing will typically produce salient signature in the vibration signal. The fault signature produced by the interaction between the bearing fault and its rotating component is the first indication of the defect (Yan and Gao, 2005). Therefore vibration analysis is suitable for diagnosis of both localized and distributed defects in their incipient stage.

Acoustic-based analysis is another effective bearing condition monitoring technique. Acoustic emission refers to the emission of a certain level of seismic signals when the materials are subjected to deformation or stress. The generation of high-frequency stress waves are caused by the rapid release of strain energy if there is a structural modification in material under stress. The measurement of these waves is analyzed to detect the bearing defect (Elmaleeh *et al.*, 2007). This method can provide higher SNR compared to the vibration analysis. However, it has a drawback of incurring high cost and specialized expertise is required to measure acoustic emission.