CELLULAR INTERACTION BETWEEN HUMAN AMNION MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS, HUMAN UMBILICAL VEIN ENDOTHELIAL CELLS AND HUMAN DERMAL FIBROBLASTS IN 2D AND 3D *IN VITRO* TRICULTURE SYSTEMS

SYVA HEDNELLA SABANTING

B A TIMIVERSITI MILLERSITA MALAYSIA SABAH

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

INSTITUT PENYELIDIKAN BIOTEKNOLOGI UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2017

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN TESIS

JUDUL: **CELLULAR INTERACTIONS BETWEEN HUMAN AMNION MESENCHYMAL** STEM CELLS, HUMAN UMBILICAL VEIN ENDOTHELIAL CELLS AND HUMAN DERMAL FIBROBLASTS IN 2D AND 3D IN VITRO TRICULTURE SYSTEMS

DAZAH: SARJANA SAINS (BIOTEKNOLOGI)

Saya SYVA HEDNELLA SABANTING, sesi 2014-2017, mengaku membenarkan tesis Sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

- 1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
- 3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesisi ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi. **PERPUSTAFIAN**

(Mengandungi

dijalankan)

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA CORD

dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972)

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepengtingan, Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di

ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan

TERHAD

maklumat

4. Sila tandakan (/):

SULIT TERHAD TIDAK TERHAD

SYVA HEDNELLA SABANTING

Tarikh: 20 SEPTEMBER 2017

MZ1321009T

Disahkan OleNURULAIN BINTI ISMAIL LIBRARIAN NIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH (Tanda Tangan Pustakawan)

yang

telah

Dr. Teoh Peik Lin Penvelia

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

11th September 2017

Syva Hednella Sabanting MZ1321009T



CERTIFICATION

NAME : SYVA HEDNELLA SABANTING

MATRIC NO. : MZ1321009T

- TITLE : CELLULAR INTERACTIONS BETWEEN HUMAN AMNION MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS, HUMAN UMBILICAL VEIN ENDOTHELIAL CELLS AND HUMAN DERMAL FIBROBLASTS IN 2D AND 3D IN VITRO TRICULTURE SYSTEMS
- DEGREE : MASTER OF SCIENCE (BIOTECHNOLOGY)

DATE OF VIVA: **15TH AUGUST 2017**



Dr. Teoh Peik Lin

1.

CERTIFIED BY;

Signature

2. COMMITTEE MEMBER Assoc. Prof. Dr. Helen Benedict Lasimbang

3. COMMITTEE MEMBER Dr. Siti Fatimah Simat

I would first like to thank my former supervisor, Dr. Siti Fatimah Simat for her guidance, encouragement, and patience over the last three years. Thank you so much for pushing me to keep on going whenever I encountered problems while conducting this research. She's consistently giving advices and steered me in the right direction whenever she thought I need it. Without her continuous support, I will not be where I am now.

I would also like to thank Assoc. Prof. Dr Helen Lasimbang of the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences and Brig. Jen. Prof. Datuk Seri Panglima Dr. Kamaruzaman Hj. Ampon for their continuous support on different aspects of my study and their expertise.

I would also like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Teoh Peik Lin for her kindness to take me in as her postgraduate student and continue to support me in finishing this thesis.

I would also like to acknowledge and thank Dr. Tan Tek Song of the Faculty of Medicine and Health Science for his expertise in immunohistochemistry.

To my colleagues, I am very thankful for their cooperation in collecting and isolating the samples together day and night. Maintaining samples is one of the challenges in this research but with their helping hands, everything is just great.

I would also like to thank the staffs of Biotechnology Research Institute for their continuous assistance in this research. Same goes to the Sisters and nurses at KPJ Damai Specialist Hospital and KPJ Sabah Specialist Hospital for their assistance in providing samples for this research.

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my parents and to my partner for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

Syva Hednella Sabanting

11th September 2017

ABSTRACT

Human amnion mesenchymal stem cells (HAMCs) are capable of multilineage differentiation and have angiogenic potential. Tri-culture system is known to direct stem cell fate decisions. This study was carried out to determine the cellular interaction between HAMCs, human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) and human dermal fibroblasts (HDFs) in 2-dimensional (2D) and 3-dimensional (3D) tri-culture systems in vitro. In the first part of the study, HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs were cultured directly and indirectly in 2D tri-culture system at various seeding ratio (HAMCs:HUVECs:HDFs; 1:4.5:4.5, 5:2.5:2.5 and 9:0.5:0.5) until 7 days. Cell morphological observation, ELISA for vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) detection and immunofluorescence staining against von willebrand factor (vWF), stage specific embryonic antigen-4 (SSEA-4) and collagen Type I (coll) were performed. In the second part of the study, HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs were embedded in fibrin scaffold at selected seeding ratio, 9:0.5:0.5 for 7 days. Cells morphological observation, ELISA and immunohistochemistry against SSEA-4, monoclonal mouse anti-human CD31 and alpha smooth muscle actin (SMA-a) were performed. The results in 2D study showed that HAMCs retained its spindle-shaped morphology with filopodia, and VEGF concentration in both direct and indirect tri-culture groups was significantly reduced at the end of culture whereas bFGF concentration remained constant. HAMCs in both direct and indirect 2D tri-culture positively expressed against SSEA-4 and Coll but negatively expressed vWF. Whereas in 3D study, HAMCs in tri-culture formed network- and capillary-like structures and showed significant reduction in VEGF and bFGF concentration on Day 7. They positively expressed SMA-a but negatively expressed SSEA-4 and CD31. The results in 2D study suggested that HAMCs do not differentiate into endothelial cell. However, VEGF molecules was activated to promote proliferation and migration. For 3D tri-culture study, HAMCs morphology demonstrated its involvement in angiogenesis, and differentiated into myofibroblasts cells. HAMCs in 2D tri-culture maintain its MSCs characteristic but not endothelial cell whereas HAMCs in 3D tri-culture do not possessed endothelial cell and MSCs characteristics. HAMCs in 2D do not influence and be influenced by other cell in direct and indirect contact. However, HAMCs differentiated and proliferated in the presence of fibrin. Therefore, the features of 3D tri-culture system model in this study have expanded the limitation of 2D tri-culture system. The 3D system has successfully provided fundamental knowledge of mimicking skin microenvironment for future work as well as future application towards *in vivo* study. Nevertheless, further fundamental studies are required to assess HAMCs differentiation and roles in angiogenesis in 2D and 3D in vitro tri-culture models.

ABSTRAK

Interaksi Selular antara Sel Stem Mesenkima Amnion Manusia, Sel Endotelial Vena Umbilikal Manusia dan Fibroblas Dermal Manusia Dalam Sistem Trikultur in vitro 2D dan 3D

Sel Stem Mesenkima Amnion Manusia (HAMCs) memiliki keupayaan untuk berubah menjadi pelbagai jenis sel dan mempunyai potensi angiogenik. Sistem tri-kultur diketahui mampu mengawal nasib sel stem. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk menentukan interaksi selular antara HAMCs, sel endotelial vena umbilikal manusia (HUVECs) dan fibroblas kulit manusia (HDFs) dalam sistem tri-kultur 2-dimensi (2D) dan 3-dimensi (3D) secara in vitro. Bahagian pertama kajian, HAMCs, HUVECs dan HDFs telah dikultur secara langsung dan tidak langsung dalam sistem tri-kultur 2D pada pelbagai nisbah pengkulturan (HAMCs:HUVECs:HDFs; 1:4.5:4.5, 5:2.5:2.5 dan 9:0.5:0.5) selama 7 hari. Pemerhation morfologi sel, ELISA untuk mengesan faktor pertumbuhan vaskular endothelial (VEGF) dan faktor pertumbuhan asas fibroblas (bFGF) dan immunofloresen terhadap von Willebrand factor (vWF), stage specific embryonic antigen-4 (SSEA-4) dan collagen Type I (ColI) telah dijalankan. Bahagian kedua dalam kajian, HAMCs, HUVECs dan HDFs dikultur di dalam perancah fibrin pada nisbah pengkulturan yang dipilih, 9:0.5:0.5 selama 7 hari. Pemerhatian morfologi sel, ELISA dan immunohistokimia terhadap SSEA-4, monoclonal mouse anti-human CD31 dan alpha smooth muscle actin (SMA-a) telah dijalankan. Dapatan kajian 2D menunjukkan bahawa HAMCs mengekalkan morfologi berbentuk gelendong serta filopodia, dan rembesan VEGF di dalam tri-kultur langsung dan tidak langsung berkurangan secara signifikan pada hari ke 7 manakala rembesan bFGF adalah malar. Manakala dalam kajian 3D, HAMCs membentuk struktur rangkaian dan kapilari, dan rembesan VEGF dan bFGF berkurangan secara signifikan pada kultur lewat. Ekspresi mereka pula positif terhadap SSEA-4 dan SMA-a tetapi negatif terhadap CD31, Dapatan kajian 2D mencadangkan bahawa HAMCs tidak berubah endotelial sel. Tetapi, molekul VEGF telah diaktifkan untuk mengalakkan percambahan dan migrasi. Dalam kajian tri-kultur 3D, morfologi HAMCs menunjukkan penglibatannya dalam angiogenesis, dan berubah kepada miofibroblas. HAMCs in tri-kultur 2D mengekalkan sifat MSC nya tetapi tidak terhadap sel endotelial, manakala tri-kultur 3D tidak lagi mempunyai sifat MSC dan sel endotelial. HAMCs dalam tri-kultur 2D tidak dipengaruhi dan mempengaruhi oleh sel lain dalam sentuhan langsung dan tidak langsung. Akan tetapi, HAMCs berubah dan bercambah di dalam fibrin. Oleh itu, ciri-ciri sistem tri-kultur 3D di dalam kajian ini telah mengembangkan had sistem tri-kultur 2D. Sistem tri-kultur 3D telah memberi pengetahuan yang asas dalam meniru persekitaran mikro kulit untuk kajian yang akan datang dan untuk aplikasi dalam klinikal pada masa depan yang menuju kepada kajian in viyo. Namun, kajian asas selanjutnya diperlukan untuk menilai perubahan HAMCs dan fungsinya dalam angiogenesis di dalam model in vitro tri-kultur 2D dan 3D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE	Ĭ
DECLARATION	ii
CERTIFICATION ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	iv v
ABSTRAK	vi
LIST OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	Х
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	XV
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Significance of Study	4
1.3 Objectives	4
1.3.1 General Objectives 1.3.2 Specific Objectives	4 5
1.4 Hypothesis	э 5
1.4 Hypothesis	5
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 HAMCs and Their Differentiation Potential	6
2.1.1 HAMCs as The Alternative Source of Mesenchymal Stem Cells 2.1.2 HAMCs Differentiation Potential	6 9
2.1.3 HAMCs Differentiation Potential	11
2.2 Relevant Soluble Factors in Directing HAMCs Fate	13
2.2.1 Growth Factors	15
2.2.2 Cytokines and Chemokines	16
2.2.3 Chemicals	17
2.3 Relevant Extracellular Matrix Molecules in Directing HAMCs Fate	17
2.3.1 Membrane Proteins	20
2.3.2 External Mechanical Stimuli	23 24
2.4 Relevant Cues from Supporting Cells in Directing HAMCs Fate 2.5 Recent Technology of Biomaterials to Mimic Stem Cells	24
Microenvironment and Direct Stem Cells Fate Decisions	27
2.5.1 Bioreactors Technology	27
2.5.2 Biomimetic Cell Culture Proteins	28
2.5.3 Surface-based Scaffold	29
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	30
3.1 Isolation and Culture of Human Amnion Mesenchymal Stem Cells	30
3.2 Isolation and Culture of Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVECs)	31
3.3 Isolation and Culture of Human Dermal Fibroblasts (HDFs)	31
3.4 Preparation of Human Fibrin as Biological Scaffold	32
3.5 Cell-to-Cell Interaction in 2D Tri-Culture System	32
3.5.1 Direct Cell-to-Cell Interaction in 2D Tri-Culture System	33 33
3.5.2 Indirect Cell-Cell Interaction in 2D Tri-Culture System	22

3.9.2 Immunohistochemistry to detect protein expression in 3D tri- culture 36 3.10 Quantification of Growth Factors Secretion in 2D and 3D Culture System 37 3.11 Statistical Analysis 37 CHAPTER 4: RESULTS 4.1 Morphological Observation of 2D Tri-culture Cells 38 4.2 Protein Expression Changes of 2D Tri-culture Cells via Immunofluorescence Staining 38 4.3 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 2D Tri-culture Cells using ELISA 49 4.4 Morphological Observation of 3D Tri-culture Cells 49 4.5 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 3D Tri-culture Cells using ELISA 49 4.6 Differentiation Marker Analysis of 3D Tri-culture Cells via Immunochemistry 56 5.1 Two Dimensional (2D) Tri-Culture System 5.3 Conclusion 62 6.3 Conclusion 69 REFERENCES APPENDICES UNIVERSITIMALAYSIA SABAH 71	 3.6 Cell-Cell Interaction in 3D Tri-Culture System 3.7 Morphological analysis for 2D Tri-Culture System 3.8 Morphological analysis for 3D Tri-Culture System 3.9 Immunostaining 3.9.1 Immunofluorescence to detect protein expression in 2D tri- culture 	34 34 35 35 35
3.10 Quantification of Growth Factors Secretion in 2D and 3D Culture 37 3.11 Statistical Analysis 37 CHAPTER 4: RESULTS 38 4.1 Morphological Observation of 2D Tri-culture Cells 38 4.2 Protein Expression Changes of 2D Tri-culture Cells via 44 Immunofluorescence Staining 43 4.3 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 2D Tri-culture 48 Cells using ELISA 49 4.5 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 3D Tri-culture 54 Cells using ELISA 49 4.5 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 3D Tri-culture 54 Cells using ELISA 49 4.6 Differentiation Marker Analysis of 3D Tri-culture Cells via 56 Immunochemistry 56 S.1 Two Dimensional (2D) Tri-Culture System 52 5.2 Three Dimensional (3D) Tri-Culture System 62 5.3 Conclusion 69 REFERENCES 71	3.9.2 Immunohistochemistry to detect protein expression in 3D tri-	36
3.11 Statistical Analysis 37 CHAPTER 4: RESULTS 38 4.1 Morphological Observation of 2D Tri-culture Cells 38 4.2 Protein Expression Changes of 2D Tri-culture Cells via Immunofluorescence Staining 38 4.3 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 2D Tri-culture Cells using ELISA 48 4.4 Morphological Observation of 3D Tri-culture Cells 49 4.5 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 3D Tri-culture Cells using ELISA 49 4.5 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 3D Tri-culture Cells using ELISA 46 4.6 Differentiation Marker Analysis of 3D Tri-culture Cells via Immunochemistry 56 CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS 62 5.1 Two Dimensional (2D) Tri-Culture System 62 5.2 Three Dimensional (3D) Tri-Culture System 66 5.3 Conclusion 69 REFERENCES 71	3.10 Quantification of Growth Factors Secretion in 2D and 3D Culture	37
4.1 Morphological Observation of 2D Tri-culture Cells 38 4.2 Protein Expression Changes of 2D Tri-culture Cells via 44 Immunofluorescence Staining 44 4.3 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 2D Tri-culture 48 Cells using ELISA 49 4.4 Morphological Observation of 3D Tri-culture Cells 49 4.5 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 3D Tri-culture 54 Cells using ELISA 46 4.6 Differentiation Marker Analysis of 3D Tri-culture Cells via 56 Immunochemistry 56 CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS 62 5.1 Two Dimensional (2D) Tri-Culture System 62 5.2 Three Dimensional (3D) Tri-Culture System 66 5.3 Conclusion 67 REFERENCES 71	,	37
 4.5 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 3D Tri-culture 54 Cells using ELISA 4.6 Differentiation Marker Analysis of 3D Tri-culture Cells via 56 Immunochemistry CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS 5.1 Two Dimensional (2D) Tri-Culture System 5.2 Three Dimensional (3D) Tri-Culture System 5.3 Conclusion REFERENCES 	 4.1 Morphological Observation of 2D Tri-culture Cells 4.2 Protein Expression Changes of 2D Tri-culture Cells via Immunofluorescence Staining 4.3 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 2D Tri-culture Cells using ELISA 	38 44 48
 4.6 Differentiation Marker Analysis of 3D Tri-culture Cells via 56 Immunochemistry CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS 5.1 Two Dimensional (2D) Tri-Culture System 5.2 Three Dimensional (3D) Tri-Culture System 5.3 Conclusion REFERENCES 	4.5 Growth Quantification of VEGF and bFGF Secreted by 3D Tri-culture	
5.1 Two Dimensional (2D) Tri-Culture System625.2 Three Dimensional (3D) Tri-Culture System665.3 Conclusion69REFERENCES71	4.6 Differentiation Marker Analysis of 3D Tri-culture Cells via	56
UNIVERSITIVIALATSIA SADATI	5.1 Two Dimensional (2D) Tri-Culture System 5.2 Three Dimensional (3D) Tri-Culture System	62 66
	REFERENCES UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH	

LIST OF TABLES

Page

- Table 2.1:
 Typical markers express in MSCs and markers expressed in 9 study on HAMCs
- Table 2.2:Different types of biomaterials scaffold-based used to direct20stem cells fate
- Table 4.1:Summarised protein expression marker expressed in HAMCs,
HUVECs, HDFs control group and HAMCs in tri-culture group
in 3D study



- Figure 2.1: Soluble, surface associated and ECM factors influencing MSC fate. MSC maintenance and proliferation molecules are shown in blue arrow and box; specific biomolecules associated with directed differentiation are shown in red, purple and orange arrows, whereas the green box indicates associated biomolecules that are in both osteocytes/chondrocytes and in both chondrocytes/adipocytes, downstream respectively; signalling pathways activated shown in white box. Coll, collagen; Fbn, fibronectin; Lmn, laminin; PThrP, parathyroid hormone-related protein; Vtn, vitronectin
- Figure 2.2: Mesenchymal stem cell niche. MSC are shown in their 18 putative perivascular niche, interacting with (1) various other cells by means of cell-adhesion molecules, such as cadherins, (2) other MSC (3) extracellular matrix (ECM) deposited by the niche cells mediated by integrin receptors, and (4) signalling molecules. Signalling molecules may include neurotransmitters released from neurons; hormones, growth factors, nutrient levels and oxygen saturation signals transmitted through the vascular system autocrine and paracrine factors produced inside the niche by stem cells and neighbouring cells, including the vasculature.
- Figure 4.1: Monoculture of HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs from Day 0 to 40 Day 7 act as control group. Scale bar represent 200 µm.
- Figure 4.2: Direct tri-culture of HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs at different 41 seeding ratio (HAMCs:HUVECs:HDFs; 1:4.5:4.5; 5:2.5:2.5; 9:0.5:0.5). Red arrow indicates HAMCs in direct tri-culture. Scale bar represent 200 µm.
- Figure 4.3: Indirect tri-culture of HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs at different 42 seeding ratio (HAMCs:HUVECs:HDFs; 1:4.5:4.5; 5:2.5:2.5; 9:0.5:0.5). Scale bar represent 200 µm.
- Figure 4.4: Monoculture of HAMCs and; direct and indirect tri-culture of 43 HAMCs at the seeding ratio of 9:0.5:0.5 where HAMCs were stained with blue CMAC dye. Scale bar represent 200 µm.
- Figure 4.5: Expression of antibodies (SSEA-4, vWF and CoII) on HAMCs, 45 HUVECs and HDFs in control group. Positive expression of antibodies was indicated by the presence of green colour. Negative expression of antibodies was indicated by absence of green colour (Only nuclei stained with blue dye were visible). Scale bar represents 60µm.

- Figure 4.6: Expression of antibodies (SSEA-4, vWF and CoII) on HAMCs, 46 HUVECs and HDFs in direct tri-culture group. Positive expression of antibodies was indicated by the presence of green colour. Negative expression of antibodies was indicated by absence of green colour (Only nuclei stained with blue dye were visible). Scale bar represents 60µm.
- Figure 4.7: Expression of antibodies (SSEA-4, vWF and CoII) on HAMCs, 47 HUVECs and HDFs in indirect tri-culture groups on Day 7. Positive expression of antibodies was indicated by the presence of green colour. Negative expression of antibodies was indicated by absence of green colour (Only nuclei stained with blue dye were visible). Scale bar represents 60µm.
- Figure 4.8: VEGF secretion by HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs in both direct 48 and indirect 2D tri-culture on Day 0, 1, 4 and 7. * indicate significant difference when comparing HAMCs control with mixtures of HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs at different seeding ratio on each respective day. # indicate significant difference when comparing VEGF secretion by controls and mix cells between Day 0, 1, 4 and 7 (p<0.05 and n=6)
- Figure 4.9: bFGF secretion by HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs in both direct 49 and indirect 2D tri-culture on Day 0, 1, 4 and 7. * indicate significant difference when comparing HAMCs control with mixtures of HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs at different seeding ratio on each respective days (p<0.05 and n=6).

JNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

- Figure 4.10: Morphological observation of all tri-culture groups in 3D 52 fibrin gel from Day 0 to day 7 under inverted research microscope. Scale bar represent 100µm. Red circle showed network-like formation of cells.
- Figure 4.11: Monoculture of HAMCs and; tri-culture of HAMCs at the 53 seeding ratio of 9:0.5:0.5 where HAMCs were stained with blue CMAC dye. Scale bar represent 100µm. Red circle showed network-like formation of cells.
- Figure 4.12: VEGF and secretion by HAMCs, HUVECs, HDFs and mix cells 54 (HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs) cultured in 3D in vitro on Day 0,1, 4 and 7. HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs that were cultured alone act as control. # indicate significant difference when comparing VEGF secretion between controls and mix cells between Day 0, 1, 4 and 7 (p<0.05)
- Figure 4.13: bFGF secretion by HAMCs, HUVECs, HDFs and mix cells 58 (HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs) cultured in 3D in vitro on Day 0,1, 4 and 7. HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs that were cultured alone act as control. # indicate significant difference of bFGF

secretion between controls and mix cells between Day 0, 1, 4 and 7 (p<0.05)

- Figure 4.14: Expression of antibodies (SSEA-4, CD31 & SMA-a) on HAMCs 58 control groups on Day 7 via immunohistochemistry staining. Scale bar represents 50µm.
- Figure 4.15: Expression of antibodies (SSEA-4, CD31 & SMA-a) on 59 HUVECs control groups on Day 7 via immunohistochemistry staining. Scale bar represents 50µm.
- Figure 4.16: Expression of antibodies (SSEA-4, CD31 & SMA-a) on HDFs 60 control groups on Day 7 via immunohistochemistry staining. Scale bar represents 50µm.
- Figure 4.17: Expression of antibodies (SSEA-4, CD31 & SMA-a) on triculture with seeding density 9:0.5:0.5 on Day 7 via immunohistochemistry staining. Scale bar represents 50µm.



ABTS	-	2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)
Ang1	-	Angiopoietin-1
bFGF	-	basic Fibroblast Growth Factor
BM-MSCs	-	Bone Marrow-derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells
BMP-2	-	Bone Morphogenic Protein-2
ColI	-	Collagen Type I
CF	-	Cystic Fibrosis
CMAC	-	7-amino-4-chloromethylcoumarin
CTFR	-	Cystic Fibrosis Transmembrane Conductance Regulator
DMSO	-	Dimethyl sulfoxide
ECM	-	Extracellular Matrix
EDTA	-	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EGF	-	Epidermal Growth Factor
ELISA	-	Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
ESCs	1	Embryonic Stem Cells
F12:DMEM	-	Ham's F12: Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium
FAK	_	Focal Adhesion Kinase
FBS	-	Fetal Bovine Serum
Flt4	-	Fms-related tyrosine kinase-4
HAECs	-	Human Amnion Epithelial Cells
HAM	1	Human Amnion Membrane
HAMCs	-	Human Amnion Mesenchymal Stem Cells
HA/TCP	2	Hydroxyl apatite/tricalcium phosphate
HBMSCs	-	
hCDSC	-6	Human Chorion-Derived Stem Cells
HDFs		Human Dermal Fibroblasts
hESCs	~~2+	Human Embryonic Stem Cells
HGF	A_B	Hepatocyte Growth Factor
HIER	-	Heat Induced Epitope Retrieval
hMSCs	-	Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells
HRP	-	Horseradish Peroxidase
HSCs	-	Hematopoietic Stem Cells
HUVECs	-	Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells
IL-1	-	Interleukin-1
IL-6	-	Interleukin-6
IL-8	-	Interleukin-8
IL-10	-	Interleukin-10
KDR	-	Kinase-insert Domain Receptor
KGF	-	Keratinocyte Growth Factor
MHC	-	Major Histocompatibility Complexes
MIF	-	Migration-Inhibitory Factor
MMPs	-	Metalloproteinases
MSCs	-	Mesenchymal Stem Cells
NGF	-	Nerve Growth Factor
nHA/CS/PLGA	-	Nano-hydroxyapatite/chitosan/poly(lactide-co-glycolide)
PBS	-	Phosphate Buffered Saline
PCL	-	ε-caprolactone
PDGF	-	Platelet-derived Growth Factor
PGE2	-	Prostaglandin E2

PGF	- Placenta Growth Factor
PHBV/HA	- Poly (3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) and hydroxyl
	- apatite
PI3K	 Phosphoinositide 3-kinase
PLGA	 Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid)
PLLA	 poly-L-lactic acid
RA	- Retinoic Acid
RAECs	- Rat Aortic Endothelial Cells
SEM	- Standard Error of the Mean
SMA-a	- Alpha-Smooth Muscle Actin
SSEA-4	- Stage Specific Embryonic Antigen-4
TCP-HA	 Tricalcium phosphate/hydroxyapatite
TBS	- Tris-Buffered Saline
TGF-a	 Transforming Growth Factor-a
TGF-β	 Transforming Growth Factor-β
Tie2	- Tyrosin kinase-2
TIMPs	 Tissue Inhibitors of Matrix Proteinases
TNF	- Tumour Necrosis Factor
VEGF	 Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor
VEGFR	 Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor
∨WF	Von Willebrand Factor



LIST OF APPENDICES

Page

- Appendix A Approval letter of obtaining biological samples by the Ethics 85 and Research Committee of Universiti Malaysia Sabah
- Appendix B Expression of antibodies (SSEA-4, vWF and CoII) on HAMCs, 87 HUVECs and HDFs in control group, direct tri-culture group and indirect tri-culture groups on Day 0, 1, 4, and 7.



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research background

Stem cells are defined as cells that have the capability to proliferate indefinitely and to differentiate into various cell lineages. Due to their self-renewal capacity and multilineage differentiation, stem cells have been extensively studied for their potential in skin regeneration (Burd, Ahmed, Lam, Ayyappan and Huang, 2007; Liu, Deng, Han, Liu, Wen, Lu, Geng, Huang and Jin, 2008). Stem cells can be further divided into two main groups: embryonic and adult stem cells. Embryonic stem cells (ESC) isolated from inner cell mass of a blastocyst are pluripotent (Bongso, Fong, Ng and Ratnam, 1994; Thomson, Itskovitz-Eldor, Shapiro, Waknitz, Swiergiel, Marshall and Jones, 1998), but their clinical applications are constrained by tendency to form tumours (Lawrenz, Schiller, Willbold, Ruediger, Muhs and Esser, 2004; Maitra, Arking, Shivapurkar, Ikeda, Stastny, Kassauei, Sui, Cutler, Liu and Brimble, 2005; Shih, Forman, Chu and Slovak, 2007), cellular immune rejection (Sarić, Frenzel and Hescheler, 2008) and ethical issue concerning human embryos as the sources (Daley, Ahrlund-Richter, Auerbach, Benvenisty, Charo, Chen, Deng, Goldstein, Hudson and Hyun, 2007; Edwards, 2007; Green, 2007). In contrast, the use of adult stem cells such as mesenchymal stem cells derived from bone marrow does not involve human embryos destruction and at the same time show similar functions as ESC (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). However, the possibility of genetic alterations occurring with ageing could lead to loss of their functions (Mimeault, Hauke, Mehta and Batra, 2007).

Therefore, extensive investigations of human amnion-derived stem cells as a potential source of mesenchymal stem cells have been done. Human amnion mesenchymal stem cells (HAMCs) shared several remarkable features that make these cells suitable as an alternative source of mesenchymal stem cells. HAMCs give high contribution in skin regeneration due to their high recovery of cells and potential in multilineage differentiation (Pan, Yang, Chiu, Lai, Wang, Chang and Cheng, 2006; Portmann-Lanz, Schoeberlein, Huber, Sager, Malek, Holzgreve and Surbek, 2006; Tamagawa, Oi, Ishiwata, Ishikawa and Nakamura, 2007), high capacity of proliferation and do not form tumour upon transplantation, do not elicit an immune reaction which may reduce the risks of rejection upon transplantation (Bailo, Soncini, Vertua, Signoroni, Sanzone, Lombardi, Arienti, Calamani, Zatti and Paul, 2004; Fatimah, Chua, Tan, Azmi, Tan and Abdul Rahman, 2013a; Kubo, Sonoda, Muramatsu and Usui, 2001), abundance and easy accessibility during pregnancy from healthy mothers as they are discarded after delivery (Marcus and Woodbury, 2008), easy isolation with high yield using enzymatic techniques and ethically free from controversial issues associated to non-invasive procedure during harvesting and in clinical use.

Angiogenesis is a process of capillaries formation branching from the pre-existing microvessels in the body system which is crucial in tissues regeneration such as in skin regeneration. Previous studies show that HAMCs have the angiogenic potential (Fatimah, Tan, Chua, Fariha, Tan and Hayati, 2013b) and they could spontaneously be differentiated into endothelial cells and form capillary-like structures in the *in vitro* matrigel assay (Alviano, Fossati, Marchionni, Arpinati, Bonsi, Franchina, Lanzoni, Cantoni, Cavallini, Bianchi, Tazzari, Pasquinelli, Foroni, Ventura, Grossi and Bagnara, 2007). Due to these beneficial properties, hCDSC was used in the construction of amnion composites and transplanted into the dorsal part of the athymic mice and successfully showed promising angiogenic and endogenic properties (Fariha, Chua, Tan, Lim and Hayati, 2013). While a few of MSCs properties in angiogenesis for tissue regeneration have been uncovered, HAMCs fate in a tissue microenvironment is still underexplored.

Stem cell niches are composed of numerous microenvironmental features, including soluble and insoluble factors, cues from other cells and the extracellular matrix, which coordinately regulate the stem cell fate decisions with precise spatiotemporal control (Moore and Lemischka, 2006). However, the complexity and integration of these various elements in HAMCs microenvironment remain poorly understood. MSCs is the central process in tissue regeneration such as in wound repair which requires a coordinated interplay among cells, growth factors and extracellular matrix proteins. Therefore, to better understand the HAMCs fate decisions *in vitro*, two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) tri-culture systems is usually implemented. Each system

models provide unique culture conditions that can be manipulated that allows us to further define the molecular milestone and the underlying mechanisms of differentiation and proliferation in HAMCs after interacting with human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) and human dermal fibroblasts (HDFs).

The implementation of 2D and 3D in vitro model systems that could mimic the niche environments of a skin tissue as closely as possible by allowing dynamic cell-cell interactions are crucial as the relevant platforms for evaluating regenerative medicine therapies (Baraniak and McDevitt, 2010; Griffith and Swartz, 2006). The 2D system may provide a simple platform to conduct various cell-cell interaction dynamic such as allowing direct physical contact between cells and soluble factors as the mean of signalling mechanisms, and to prevent physical contact but permitted signalling mechanisms through soluble factors (Ball, Shuttleworth and Kielty, 2004). Whereas 3D system by using fibrin as biological scaffold may provide a way to recreate and closely mimic 3D environments in skin tissue which allows the study of more complex cellular interactions than in 2D system (Lesman, Koffler, Atlas, Blinder, Kam and Levenberg, 2011). Moreover, the use of primary human cells, tri-culture system containing three cell types to permit better simulation of interactions within realistic microenvironments, and biomaterials in 3D system have the potential to yield further progress toward tissue regeneration study. Three different cell types was chosen and implemented in this study due to their close proximity within the same skin tissue niche. HAMCs act as the alternative source of stem cells in tissue regeneration process, HUVECs represent the endothelial cells and HDFs represent the dermal fibroblast in skin microenvironment.

Therefore, in this study, we determined the direct and indirect cell-cell interaction between HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs in 2D culture system *in vitro* at different time points via morphological changes of cells, protein expression changes analysis and growth factor quantification using Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). We also determined the cell-cell interaction between HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs in 3D-culture system *in vitro* at 9:0.5:0.5 seeding density at different time points via cell morphological analysis, end point differentiation marker analysis and growth factors quantification using ELISA. Seeding density of 9:0.5:0.5 was chosen due to its ability to balance the rapid growth of HUVECs and HDFs (by using smaller density) over slower growing HAMCs in tri-culture group. Fibrin gel is used as a biological scaffold in this study. The 3D fibrin based cell culture model is used to further explore the mechanisms by which HAMCs

promote or involve in the angiogenesis upon interaction with HUVECs and their respond to the presence of HDFs.

1.2 Significance of Study

Human amnion mesenchymal stem cells (HAMCs) as an alternative source of stem cells held promising future in skin regeneration to treat skin injury or diseases. Therefore, it is crucial to understand the stem cell niche (microenvironment) and its role in regulating the balance of self-renewal and differentiation through cell-cell interaction between HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs. Thus, it is important to note that better understanding on the interactions between these cells could give survival chance and participation of HAMCs in skin regeneration *in vivo* that can be used in clinical application.

1.3 Objectives

1.3.1 General Objectives

The objectives of this study were to determine the direct and indirect cell-cell interaction in 2-dimensional (2D) culture system and to determine cell-cell interaction in 3dimensional (3D) culture system between human amnion mesenchymal stem cells (HAMCs), human dermal fibroblasts (HDFs) and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs).

1.3.2 Specific Objectives

- a. To determine the direct cell-cell interaction between HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs in 2D *in vitro* tri-culture system at different time-points via cell morphological observation, protein expression changes analysis and growth quantification using ELISA.
- b. To determine the indirect cell-cell interaction between HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs in 2D *in vitro* tri-culture system at different time-points via cell morphological observation, protein expression changes analysis and growth quantification using ELISA.
- c. To determine the cell-cell interaction between HAMCs, HUVECs and HDFs in 3D *in vitro* tri-culture system with 9:0.5:0.5 seeding density at different time points via cell morphological observation, end point differentiation marker analysis and growth quantification using ELISA.

1.4 Hypothesis

JNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

HAMCs have dual characteristics of endothelial cells and mesenchymal cells. HAMCs influence and be influenced by other cells in direct and indirect contact. HAMCs differentiate and proliferate in the presence of fibrin.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 HAMCs and Their Differentiation Potential

2.1.1 HAMCs as The Alternative Source of Mesenchymal Stem Cells

It is believed that the discovery of therapeutics stem cells was initiated by 3 technological accomplishments that began in 1961 when early studies done using a revolutionary *in vivo* bioassay, unambiguously shows the existence of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) (Till and McCulloch, 1961). The second achievement was accomplished by Thomson, Itskovitz-Eldor, Shapiro, Waknitz, Swiergiel, Marshall and Jones (1998) on the isolation of human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) from blastocysts and the initiation of hESC lines for study. The most recent achievement was the successful production of induced formation of pluripotent stem cells from adult human dermal fibroblasts and other human somatic cells (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006).

ESCs can proliferate for long periods in *in vitro* and are able to differentiate into various types of cell lineages (Shamblott, Axelman, Littlefield, Blumenthal, Huggins, Cui, Cheng and Gearhart, 2001). This was demonstrated when the differentiation of ESCs into 3-dimensional, multicellular aggregates of differentiated and undifferentiated cells began after it was removed from feeder layers and transferred into suspension cultures. The cells were known as embryoid bodies which were composed of a random grouping of precursor and more fully differentiated cells from a wide variety of lineages and resembled early post-implantation embryos. However, the study on human ESCs is restricted due to ethical issue concerning the destruction of the embryo (Young, 2000).

The discovery of adult stem cells that can be isolated from several parts of the body, have opened up a new way to bring stem cell technology into action especially in regenerative medicine. Currently, adult stem cells were isolated from epidermis, dermis, hair follicle, adipose tissue, bone marrow and placental tissues. According to Brignier and Gewirtz (2010), the well-known example of adult stem cell is hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) isolated from bone marrow. However, in recent years, extensive studies was done on mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) isolated from bone marrow due to its excellent properties such as high proliferation potency and capability to differentiate into multiple cell lineages especially into osteogenic (Baglio, Devescovi, Granchi and Baldini, 2013; Hodgkiss-Geere, Argyle, Corcoran, Whitelaw, Milne, Bennett and Argyle, 2012; Liu, Konermann, Guo, Jager, Zhang and Jin, 2014).

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are mulitpotent non-hematopoietic that has the ability to differentiate into various mesoderm-type lineages, including osteoblasts, chondrocytes and adipocytes. MSCs were firstly described by Fridenshtein, Chailakhin and Gerasimov (1986) as the clonal and plastic adherent cells. MSCs can be acquired from almost all organs, but extensive studies were done on bone marrow-derived mesenchymal cells (BM-MSCs) for therapeutic purposes such as in regenerative medicine. MSCs, which are mainly isolated from bone marrow, are capable to differentiate into various cell lineages and have high proliferative capability which makes it very significant in regenerative research. Recent studies had shown the differentiation and proliferation capability of BM-MSCs into endothelial cells, endometrial epithelial cells, cardiac cells, adipocytes, chondrocytes and osteoblasts in vitro (Baglio et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014; Mathews, Bhonde, Gupta and Totey, 2012; Zhang, Cheng, Huang, Jiang, Cong, Zheng and Xu, 2012). However, to obtain BM-MSCs, it requires invasive as well as painful procedures. In addition, the cells could undergo genetic alterations and reduced functions depending on the age of the patient. Therefore, an alternative source is desirable and to obtain stem cells that have the same properties as BM-MSCs from abundance sources and easy access with better properties has been discovered namely MSCs isolated from placental tissues.

In recent years, extensive studies on human amnion mesenchymal stem cells (HAMCs) as the alternative source of stem cells have been done. Human amnion membrane (HAM) is located at the innermost layer of the placenta and harbours a source of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). There are two types of cells present in amnion membrane, both from different embryological origin: amnion epithelial cells

derived from embryonic ectoderm and amnion mesenchymal cells from embryonic mesoderm (Kmiecik, Niklinska, Kuc, Pancewicz-Wojtkiewicz, Fil, Karwowska, Karczewski and Mackiewicz, 2013). According to Kmiecik et al. (2013), HAMCs express low or moderate levels of the major histocompatibility complexes (MHC) class I and II antigens on its surfaces and indicates that HAMCs is immunoprivileged. Therefore, HAMCs have low immune rejection which is beneficial for therapeutic purposes or clinical application in the future (Lindenmair, Hatlapatka, Kollwig, Hennerbichler, Gabriel, Wolbank, Redl and Kasper, 2012). Other remarkable properties of HAMCs are anti-inflammatory activity, ability to differentiate into 3 germ layers: ectoderm, mesoderm and endoderm, and ease of harvesting in large numbers because placenta is usually discarded postpartum with the least ethical issues (Silini, Parolini, Huppertz and Lang, 2013; Tsuno, Yoshida, Nogami, Koike, Okabe, Noto, Arai, Noguchi and Nikaido, 2012). Furthermore, the isolation of the cells from placenta is very easy using enzymatic techniques (Parolini, Alviano, Bagnara, Bilic, Buhring, Evangelista, Hennerbichler, Liu, Magatti, Mao, Miki, Marongiu, Nakajima, Nikaido, Portmann-Lanz, Sankar, Soncini, Stadler, Surbek, Takahashi, Redl, Sakuragawa, Wolbank, Zeisberger, Zisch and Strom, 2008). The minimal criteria of HAMCs is according to the characteristic of stem cell as stated by the International Society for Cellular Therapy, such as plastic adherent, fibroblast-like or spindle-like appearances, forming clonal colonies, able to express typical range of MSCs markers as listed in Table 2.1, and able to differentiate into mature cell lineages in vitro (Dominici, Le Blanc, Mueller, Slaper-Cortenbach, Marini, Krause, Deans, Keating, Prockop and Horwitz, 2006).

It was shown that HAMCs have the same phenotype as BM-MSCs and higher capacity of proliferation and multilineage differentiation potential than the latter (Zhao, Ise, Hongo, Ota, Konishi and Nikaido, 2005). In addition, amnion membrane transplantation promotes re-epithelialization, reduces inflammation and fibrosis as well as modulates angiogenesis (Dua, Gomes, King and Maharajan, 2004; Solomon, Wajngarten, Alviano, Anteby, Elchalal, Pe'er and Levi-Schaffer, 2005). According to Shimazaki, Yang and Tsubota (1997), several growth factors produced from amniotic membrane are engaged in these processes such as Transforming Growth Factor- β (TGF- β) and basic Fibroblast Growth Factor (bFGF). In addition, another growth factors discovered were Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF), Transforming Growth Factor- α (TGF- α), Keratinocyte Growth Factor and Hepatocyte Growth Factor (Koizumi, Inatomi, Sotozono, Fullwood, Quantock and Kinoshita, 2000). Recent study by Warrier, Haridas