OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT AND HEALTH EFFECTS AMONG WORKERS IN BIOGAS PALM OIL PLANTS, SABAH

MUHAMMAD NAJIB BIN JAAFAR

PERPUSTAKAAN INIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULLFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

FACULTY OF MEDICINE AND HEALTH SCIENCE UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL: OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT AND HEALTH EFFECTS AMONG WORKERS IN BIOGAS PALM OIL PLANTS, SABAH

IJAZAH: SARJANA SAINS (SAINS PERUBATAN)

Saya MUHAMMAD NAJIB BIN JAAFAR, Sesi 2015-2017, mengaku membenarkan tesis Sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:-

- 1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat Salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
- 3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. Sila tandakan (/):



(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972)

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

MUHAMMAD NAJIB BIN JAAFAR MM1511009T

NURULAIN BINTI SMAIL PUSTAKAWAN KANAN

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

(Tandatangan Pustakawan)

(Prof. Madya Dr. Shamsul Bahari Bin Shamsudin) Penyelia

> (Prof. Dr. Mohammad Saffree Bin Jeffree) Penyelia Bersama

Tarikh :

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotation, excepts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.





1 February 2018

Muhammad Najib Bin Jaafar MM1511009T

CERTIFICATION

NAME : MUHAMMAD NAJIB BIN JAAFAR

MATRIC NO. : MM1511009T

- TITLE : OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH RISK ASSESSMENT AND HEALTH EFFECTS AMONG WORKERS IN BIOGAS PALM OIL PLANTS, SABAH
- DEGREE : MASTER OF SCIENCE (MEDICAL SCIENCE)

VIVA DATE : 6 NOVEMBER 2017

CERTIFIED BY;

1. SUPERVISOR

INIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Shamsul Bahari Bin Shamsudin

Signature

PROF. MADYA DR. SHAMSUL BAHARI SHAMSUDIN

Pengarah Pusat Keselamatan & Kesihatan Pekerjaan (PKKP) Universiti Malaysia Sabah

2. CO-SUPERVISOR

Prof. Dr. Mohammad Saffree Bin Jeffree

Signature

PROF DR MOHAMMAD SAFFREE BIN JEFFREE Dean Faculty Of Medicine & Health Sciences Universiti Malaysia Sabah MMC No. 38671

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, all the praises and thanks are to Allah for granting me His blessings to complete my research for the Master Degree program. I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my main supervisor, Asso. Prof. Dr. Shamsul Bahari bin Shamsudin and my co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mohammad Saffree bin Jeffree for the considerable time they spent in discussing with me and also all their advices, critiques, views, and contributions have given me insights to conduct this research study. Special thanks are expressed to FMHS Postgraduate Coordinator Team especially to Dr. Sylvia Daim and Dr. Aza Sherin Mohd Yusuff who have helped and guided me while completing this thesis. Their advice, guidance and support in this research work that led to the completion of this thesis.

I would like to thank the academic and non-academic staff of the Department of Community and Family Medicine, FHMS for their professional helps and direct involvement particularly during the field work and data analysis work in completing this project. My appreciation to the UMSGreat Grant Scheme (GUG0008-SKK-M-1/2016) as grant provider that helps a lot in ensuring data collection is successfully conducted.

Many thanks to my family, wife, and children, for allowing me to be distracted at home. They are my source of enjoyment and happiness. Many thanks also to my parents, siblings, relatives, neighbours, friends, and colleagues for praying for me to complete my Master Degree. Their assistance is precious to me and kept me grounded.

In addition, I convey my highest appreciation to all palm oil mill industry employers and employees, recent graduates and research consultants who participated in this study. Their willingness to participate in this study was a significant assistance to me. Last but not least, I thank the Department of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) and the Public Service Department (JPA) for giving me this opportunity to study at University Malaysia Sabah.

Muhammad Najib bin Jaafar 1 February 2018

ABSTRACT

Biogas is produced by a processing residual waste from palm oil mill effluents (POME) as well as waste water treatment plants. By constructing biogas plant in a palm oil mill, this POME is treated and turned out to be the main source of water without contributing to water pollution. However, biogas plant workers will be exposed to various hazards. Hydrogen sulphide gas and bio-aerosol dust are available in biogas plant which can cause health risks to the workers. Therefore, the aim of this study is to determine occupational health risks in biogas palm oil plant and respiratory health effects to the workers. This cross-sectional study was carried out to 200 workers of palm oil mill in Sabah and their health effects were compared between exposed and unexposed of biogas environment. All the biogas plants were evaluated and measured on the level of occupational health risk assessment (OHRA) by using two stage assessment methods namely initial assessment and detail assessment. Respondents were requested to fill up a standardized questionnaire, a physical examination, oximetry measurements and spirometry tests. Then, all the data collected were analysed by using SPSS software version 22. The OHRA conducted at biogas plants showed that all hazards categories namely physical, chemical, biological, psychosocial and ergonomic were present at medium risk score. The means of FVC and FEV1 for exposed workers were 79.57% and 81.57%. The means of OHRA score by toxic gas and bio-aerosol dust were 11.89 (medium) and 5.68 (medium). Then, results of the Spearman's rho correlation showed that there is no significant correlation between respiratory health risk assessment by toxic gas and bio-aerosol dust with FVC, FEV1 and FEV1/FVC. Meanwhile by comparing the exposed group and the unexposed group, there is a significant differences between biogas plant environment exposure and lung function test (LFT) result using Pearson Chi-square (p = 0.019, $x^2 = 5.51$) with risk estimation in risk effect (OR = 1.96, 95% CI 1.12, 3.45). Regression analysis showed that duration of biogas plant operation was the most significant influence variable for respiratory health risk assessment scored by toxic gas and bio-aerosol dust. When the duration of biogas plant operation was longer, then the risk scores were also higher for both toxic and bio-aerosol risks. Thus, the working period of the respondents in biogas plant, is a significant influence variable for respiratory health effects. The biogas plant workers were two times at higher odds of being in abnormal lung function test compared to those workers in unexposed group. In addition, a worker who is active in sport or exercise is also a significant influence variable for respiratory health effect. Hence, the results of this assessment will be useful for manufacturers in planning and implementing optimal control measures to minimize the risks of respiratory diseases among the workers working at biogas plant environment.

Keywords: biogas plant workers, respiratory health effects, lung function test, occupational health risk assessment.

ABSTRAK

PENILAIAN RISIKO KESIHATAN PEKERJAAN DAN KESAN KESIHATAN KEPADA PEKERJA DI LOJI BIOGAS KELAPA SAWIT, SABAH

Biogas terhasil daripada pemprosesan bahan sisa buangan dari air kumbahan kilang minyak sawit atau loji rawatan air sisa. Dengan pembinaan loji biogas di kilang minyak sawit ini maka air kumbahan kilang minyak sawit dapat dirawat dan dapat mengelakkan dari berlakunya pencemaran air sungai. Pelbagai jenis bahaya yang terdedah kepada pekerja yang bekerja di loji biogas. Gas hidrogen sulfida dan habuk bioaerosol yang terdapat di dalam loji biogas boleh menyebabkan kesan kesihatan kepada pekerja. Oleh itu, tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan tahap penilaian risiko kesihatan pekerjaan (OHRA) di loji biogas dan kesan kesihatan pernafasan kepada pekerja. Kajian keratan rentas ini melibatkan 200 pekeria di kilang sawit dan kesan kesihatan mereka dibandingkan di antara pekeria yang terdedah dan tidak terdedah dengan persekitaran biogas. Kesemua loji biogas telah dinilai dan diukur tahap risiko kesihatan pekerjaan melalui dua peringkat iaitu penilaian awalan dan penilaian lanjutan. Responden telah diminta untuk mengisikan borang soal selidik, pemeriksaan fizikal, pengukuran oximetri dan pengujian spirometri. Kemudian, semua data yang telah dikumpulkan dianalisis menggunakan perisian SPSS versi 22. OHRA yang dijalankan di loji biogas menunjukkan semua jenis bahaya iaitu fizikal, kimia, psikososial dan ergonomik berada pada skor kategori medium. Nilai min FVC dan FEV1 untuk pekerja yang terdedah dengan biogas adalah 79.57% dan 81.57%. Manakala nilai min bagi skor OHRA melalui gas toksik dan habuk bioaerosol adalah 11.89 (sederhana) dan 5.68 (sederhana). Kemudian dengan menggunakan korelasi Spearman telah menunjukkan bahawa tiada perbezaan yang singnifikans di antara penilaian risiko kesihatan pernafasan melalui gas toksik dan habuk bioaerosol dengan FVC, FEV1 dan FEV1/FVC. Sementara itu dengan membandingkan kedua-dua kumpulan yang terdedah dan kawalan didapati terdapat perhubungan yang signifikans di antara pendedahan persekitaran loji biogas dengan keputusan ujian fungsi peparu dengan menggunakan ujian Pearson Chi-square (p = 0.019, $x^2 = 5.51$) di mana anggaran risiko di dalam kesan risiko (OR = 1.96, 95% CI 1.12, 3.45). Analisis regresi pula menunjukkan tempoh operasi loji biogas adalah variabel yang paling kuat mempengaruhi skor penilaian risiko kesihatan pernafasan melalui gas toksik dan habuk bioaerosol. Bagi responden di dalam loji biogas pula, tempoh bekerja adalah variabel yang paling mempengaruhi kesan kesihatan pernafasan. Pekerja loji biogas adalah berkemungkinan yang lebih tinggi sebanyak dua kali mendapat keputusan abnormal pada ujian fungsi paru-paru berbanding dengan pekerja dalam kumpulan tidak terdedah. Di samping itu, pekerja yang aktif adalah variabel yang paling mempengaruhi kesan kesihatan pernafasan. Oleh itu, hasil penilaian ini dapat digunakan oleh pihak pengilang dalam merancang dan melaksanakan langkahlangkah kawalan yang optimum bagi mengurangkan pekerja daripada risiko mendapat penvakit pernafasan oleh pendedahan persekitaran loii biogas.

Kata kunci: loji biogas, kesan kesihatan pernafasan, ujian fungsi peparu, penilaian risiko kesihatan pekerjaan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
TITLE		i
DECL	ARATION	ii
CERT	IFICATION	iii
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABST	RACT	V
ABST	RAK	vi
TABL	E OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST	OF TABLES	xi
LIST	OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
LIST	OF APPENDICES	xvi
СНАР	TER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	The Res <mark>earch Pro</mark> blem	7
1.3	Objectives of the Study	11
1.3.1	General Objective	11
1.3.2	Specific Objectives UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH	12
1.4	Research Questions and Hypotheses	12
1.5	Limitation of the Study	14
1.6	Justification of the Study	14
1.7	Definitions of Key Terms	15
	1.7.1 Conceptual Definition	15
	1.7.2 Operational Definition	16
CHAP	TER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	18
2.0	Introduction	18
2.1	Biogas Plant Development	18
2.2	Hazard Identification in Biogas Plant	22
2.3	Health Effects and Health Status	27
2.4	Occupational Health Risk Assessment	32
2.5	Risk Evaluation	33

2.6	Summary	36
2.7	Conceptual Framework	37
СНАР	TER 3: METHODOLOGY	41
3.1	Research Background	41
3.2	Research Location	41
3.3	Sampling Selection	42
	3.3.1 Selection of Biogas Plants	42
	3.3.2 Sample Size Calculation	43
	3.3.3 Inclusive Criteria of Respondents	44
3.4	Data Collection Method and Instrumentation	45
	3.4.3 Occupational Health Risk Assessment	45
	3.4.1 Socio-demographic Information	52
	3.4.2 Health status and physical characteristics of workers	53
3.5	Quality Assurance and Quality Control	55
	3.5.1 Pre-testing Questionnaire	55
	3.5.2 Standard Operating Procedure (SOP)	56
	3.5.3 Training	56
3.6	Protection of Human Subjects	56
3.7	Data Analysis	56
	3.7.1 Univariate UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH	57
	3.7.2 Bivariate	57
	3.7.3 Multivariate	58
СНАР	TER 4: RESULTS	61
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Biogas Plant Information	61
4.3	Respondent's Demography	66
	4.3.1 Respondent's Background	67
	4.3.2 Occupational Information	70
	4.3.3 Daily Lifestyle	71
	4.3.4 Workers Physical Characteristic	71
4.4	Data Quality Control	75
	4.4.1 OHRA Reliability	76
	4.4.2 Lung Function Test Reliability	76

4.5	OHRA	in Biogas Plant	76
	4.5.1	OHRA Results	77
	4.5.2	Differences of OHRA between New Biogas Plant and Old Biogas	82
		Plant	
	4.5.3	Differences of OHRA Scores between Chemical and Bio-scrubber	83
		Desulphurization Treatment Plants	
4.6	Health	Effect of Workers	83
	4.6.1	Workers' Health Status	85
	4.6.2	Lung Function Test	85
	4.6.3	Differences of Respiratory Health Effects among Palm Oil Mill	86
		Workers	
4.7	Relatio	onship of Respiratory Health Risk Assessment and LFT Score	88
4.8	Associ	ation of Biogas Plant Operation Duration with Respiratory	91
4.9	Influer	nce Factors of Respiratory Health Effects for Palm Oil Mill	96
	Worke	rs	
CHAP	TER 5:	DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	100
5.1	Discus	sion	100
	5.1.1	Demography Background and Biogas Plant Information	100
	5.1.2	Comparative of OHRA Scores	103
	5.1.3	Comparison of Workers' Health Effect ALAYSIA SABAH	106
	5.1.4	Correlation of Respiratory Health Risk Assessment and Lung	110
	5.1.5	Association of Biogas Plant Operation Duration with the	111
	5.1.6	Association of Workers Daily Lifestyle with Respiratory	112
		Health	
5.2	Conclu	ision	114
5.3	Implic	ations	116
5.4	Recom	nmendations for Future Research	118
REFE	RENCE	S	121
APPE	APPENDICES 130		

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1.1: Biogas Contents	4
Table 1.2: Selected toxic substances and the organs that they endanger most	6
Table 1.3: List of biogas plants in Sabah	9
Table 1.4: Number of occupational respiratory disease reported in Malaysia	11
Table 2.1: JEM High Risk of High Molecular Weight Asthmagenic Agents	25
Table 2.2: Content of hydrogen sulfide in raw biogas from various materials	25
Table 2.3: Chronic health outcomes of concern at levels of exposure	28
< 100 ppm H2S in humans	
Table 2.4: Comparison of percentage population of respiratory symptoms	29
to the hydrogen sulphide exposed	
Table 2.5: Specific compounds identified after a risk evaluation of the	35
untreated biogas	
Table 3.1: Location area of biogas plant population and sampling	43
Table 3.2: OHRA detailed assessment steps	48
Table 3.3: Degree of Release (DOR) based on airborne exposure measuremen	t 49
Table 3.4: Risk Rating (RR)	50
Table 3.5: OHRA Conclusion	50
Table 3.6: Spirometry Summary Results	55
Table 4.1: Biogas plant list and information	63
Table 4.2: Descriptive analysis of biogas plant characteristics as categorical	65
variables	
Table 4.3: Descriptive analysis of biogas plant OSH implementation and quality	y 66
initiative as categorical variables	
Table 4.4: Comparison of median characteristics of the respondents between	68
biogas exposed and unexposed group	
Table 4.5: Comparison of frequency characteristics of the respondents betwee	n 69
biogas exposed and unexposed group	
Table 4.6: Occupational information of respondents	72
Table 4.7: Respondents' Daily lifestyle	73

Table 4.8: Comparison of mean and median physical characteristics between	74
Table 4.9: Descriptive analysis of respondent's physical characteristics as	75
categorical variables	/ 5
Table 4 10: Reliability value for OHRA in biogas plant	77
Table 4 11: Reliability value for lung function test	77
Table 4.12: Summary of OHRA work units and bazards in biogas plant	70
Table 4.13: Summary of OHRA results in biogas plant	80
Table 4.14: Descriptive analysis of OHPA characteristics as continuous variables	Q1
Table 4.15: OHBA conclusions analysis	01
Table 4.15. ORRA conclusions analysis	01
Plant and now bioges plant	82
Table 4.17. Comparison of mean and median OHDA share staristics between	04
Table 4.17: Comparison of mean and median ORKA characteristics between	84
Table 4.19: Fragman of armentaria frage revealed marships an aimurua	07
Table 4.18: Frequency of symptoms from mucosal membranes or airways	87
Table 4.19: Descriptive analysis of respondent's lung function test result as	88
categorical variables	
Table 4.20: Descriptive analysis of respondent's lung function test results as	89
categorical variables	
Table 4.21: Comparison of mean and median lung function test results between	89
exposed group and unexposed group	
Table 4.22: Correlation of initial respiratory health risk assessment (RHRA)	90
score and lung function test score in biogas plant workers	
Table 4.23: Correlation of detail respiratory health risk assessment (RHRA)	90
score and lung function test score in biogas plant workers	
Table 4.24: Variables which influence the initial respiratory health risk	92
assessment score in biogas plant	
Table 4.25: Variables which influence the detail respiratory health risk	93
assessment score in biogas plant	
Table 4.26: Variables significantly influence the initial respiratory health risk	95
assessment score in biogas plant	
Table 4.27: Variables significantly influence the detail respiratory health risk	95
assessment score in biogas plant	

- Table 4.28: Variables which influence the respiratory health effect among palm96oil mill workers
- Table 4.29: Variables which influence the respiratory health effect among97exposed group workers
- Table 4.30: Variables which influence the respiratory health effect among
 97
- Table 4.31: Variable significantly influences the respiratory health effect among99palm oil mill workers
- Table 4.32: Variable significantly influences the respiratory health effect among99unexposed group workers



LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Oil palm plantation areas in Malaysia	1
Figure 1.2	Schematic process of waste management in a palm oil mill	3
Figure 2.1	The number of Pam oil mills in Malaysia	19
Figure 2.2	The number of Biogas plants in Malaysia	20
Figure 2.3	Conceptual framework	39
Figure 2.4	Flow chart for research activities	40
Figure 3.1	Biogas plants based on location distribution	42
Figure 3.2	Regions for tolerability of risk	55
Figure 3.3	Statistics Analysis of t-Test and Chi-Square Test Model	59
Figure 3.4	Statistics Analysis of correlation and regression model	60
Figure 4.1	Biogas plant distribution in Sabah's map	62
Figure 4.2	Respondents' educational level	70

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
DOE	Department of Environment
DOSH	Department of Occupational Safety and Health
DSM	Department of Standards Malaysia
et al.	and others
N	total number of sample
HIRARC	Hazard Identification, Risk Assessment and Risk Control
HRA	health risk assessment
IQR	interquartile range
MPOB	Malaysian Palm Oil Board
n	number of sample
OHRA	occupational health risk assessment
p 🎜	probability under the assumption of hypothesis
POME	palm oil mill effluent
PPE	personal protective equipment
ppm	parts per million
PTS	Petronas Technical Standard MALAY SIA SABAH
r	correlation coefficient
R ²	coefficient of determination
SD	standard deviation
SDS	safety data sheets
WHO	World Health Organization
α	significance level , the probability of making a Type I error
β	probability of failing to reject the hypothesis tested when that
	hypothesis is false and a specific alternative hypothesis is true

LIST OF APPENDICES

		Page
Appendix A	Evidence of Approval Ethical by Medical Research Ethics Committee	131
Appendix B	Subject Information Sheet and Informed Consent Form	132
Appendix C	Questionnaire (Malay version)	136
Appendix D	Photographs of instruments being used in the study	142
Appendix E	HIRARC Risk Matrix	143
Appendix F	Hazard rating table	144
Appendix G	Exposure measurement in biogas plant	149
Appendix H	OHRA results for one of biogas plant in Sabah	155
Appendix I	Photograph of research study	165
Appendix C Appendix D Appendix E Appendix F Appendix G Appendix H Appendix I	Questionnaire (Malay version) Photographs of instruments being used in the study HIRARC Risk Matrix Hazard rating table Exposure measurement in biogas plant OHRA results for one of biogas plant in Sabah Photograph of research study	136 142 143 144 144 149 159





CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

The palm oil industry has developed hugely in the current years and represented the largest percentage of oil and fats production in the world. This is because oil palm has higher yearly oil yield per hectare contrasted with different oilseeds crops including soybean and the cost of palm oil is moderately lower as compared to other major alternative vegetable oils. With the higher worldwide request of palm oil, Malaysia has built up its palm oil industry to become one of the major palm oil exporters and producers in the world (Chin, Poh, Tey, Chan and Chin, 2013). As shown in Figure 1.1, from year 2004 until 2014, we can clearly see the oil palm plantation areas in Malaysia have grown enormously except in year 2011 which showed a little bit decreased.



Figure 1.1: Oil palm plantation areas in Malaysia Source : MPOB

Even though the fact that the development of palm oil industry has expanded the national economy, it likewise simultaneously created abundance of by products such as palm oil mill effluent (POME), empty fruit bunch (EFB), palm kernel shells (PKS) and mesocarp fibre in palm oil mills during the processing of palm oil from fresh fruit bunch (Chin *et al.*, 2013). POME is the liquid waste generated from the oil extraction process from FFB in palm oil mills.

The extraction of palm oil from the fruits involves a number of processing procedures namely sterilization, stripping, digestion, pressing, classification, purification and vacuum drying. In the extraction process, large quantities of water are required. It is calculated that around 1.5 m³ of water is needed to process one tonne of fresh fruit bunch (FFB) and almost half of the water discharge ends up as POME (Zhang, Yan, Qiao, Chi, Niu, Mei, and Zhang, 2008)

The raw POME is a thick brownish, viscous and voluminous colloidal matters, containing 95% - 96% of water, 4% - 5% total solids including 2% - 4% suspended solids as well as 0.6% - 0.7% of oil and grease which discharged at a temperature of $80 \ ^{\circ}C - 90 \ ^{\circ}C$ (Ahmed, Yaakob, Akhtar and Sopian, 2015). POME being a waste with high organic carbon content has turned into a promising source for biogas production and to conceivably support up the sustainable power source sector. Some industrial effluents however are not amenable to anaerobic treatment, such as those from pulp and paper, molasses fermentation, seafood processing, potato-starch, tanneries, edible oil refineries, pharmaceutical and petrochemical production. These waste streams contain high groupings of sulphate and / or sulphide (Khanal, 2008).

For the best practice to handle waste management in the palm oil mill industry, the raw POME will be treated as per shown in Figure 1.2. First of POME has to go through centrifugal decanter to separate solid materials from liquids in slurry. These solid materials or palm oil mill sludge can be dried and used as a fertilizer as it contains high nutritional value (Rupani, Rajeev, Irahim and Esa, 2010). Meanwhile, the rest of POME liquids will go through a few treatment processes before they are discharged into watercourses to avoid a considerable

cause of environmental problems. In anaerobic digestion, anaerobic bacteria degrades organic material to biogas in four stages i.e. hydrolysis, acidification, production of acetic acid and production of methane. A biogas plant can be portrayed as a building containing frameworks developed to produce biogas from plant biomass, animal excrements, disposal wastes, or even wastewater sediment. When all is said in done, a typical biogas plant consists of a biomass system, a heating system and a gas system (Barnert, Piesik and Śliwiński, 2014).



Figure 1.2: Schematic process of waste management in a palm oil mill

Biogas is a substance of obscure and variable composition and its composition changes from the composition of the organic mixture that is fed into the fermenters. It has combustible properties because of the presence of flammable gases, primarily methane. Furthermore, biogas has toxic properties, for instance when it contains a high level of hydrogen sulphide (Heezen, Gunnarsdóttir, Gooijer and Mahesh, 2013). In addition, biogas is a hydrocarbon gas produced from the breakdown of organic matters without oxygen. Depending on the source, biogas typically comprises the following compounds with varied percentages (Table 1.1).

The production of biogas is generally by one of two methods. The first method is called anaerobic digestion or in more specific it is produced by a biogas

plant which is purposely designed, above ground tanks or in ponds to optimize the gas producing decay process from animal and other organic waste. On the other hand, there is a landfill which is biogas produced by allowing natural decay to occur within a landfill site where gas is produced and then captured.

Compound	% by volume
Methane	55 - 70
Carbon Dioxide	30 - 45
Hydrogen Sulphide	0 - 0.5
Ammonia	0 - 0.05
Water vapour	1 – 5
Nitrogen	0 - 5
Dust	> 5 µm

Table 1.1: Biogas Contents

Source: Dieter and Angelika (2008)

Biogas has different utilizations, however, as it is derived from biomass, it is a renewable energy source. There are numerous different advantages to be derived from the process of converting substrates in a biogas plant. The economic pressure on conventional agricultural products always continues to rise. Numerous agriculturists are required to give up their occupation, since their land is no longer brings adequate yield (Deublein and Steinhauser, 2008).

Genereally, biogas has been utilized as fuel for boilers to provide the heat needed to maintain the process temperatures in the anaerobic digesters. The most widely alternative use of biogas is as combustion in an engine generator and waste heat is recovered from the engine generator to heat the digesters. Before the biogas can be converted into electricity in engines at the place at which it is produced, the raw biogas must be cleaned in the first process in which the water vapour saturated biogas is desulfurized and dried by cooling. In developing countries, alternative possible avenue for digester gas is used as fuel for cooking and lighting (Harikishan, 2008).

In any case, the production of biogas is subsidized in many countries, giving the farmers an additional income. For the farmers, biogas production does not mean significant reorientation, since microorganisms for methanation require comparative care to that required for livestock in the stable. Since alongside the present tendency for farms to become large scale enterprises and with the widespread abandonment of agricultural areas, the cultural landscape is changing (Deublein and Steinhauser, 2008).

MPOB (2011) mentioned that Malaysia is to concentrate on 12 National Key Areas (NKEAs) to build the economy and accomplish a high-income status by 2020. These 12 NKEAs are the cores of the Economic Transformation Programme (ETP) and will get organized government underpins including funding, top talent and Prime Ministerial attention. Likewise, strategy changes, for example, the removal of barriers to competition and market liberalisation will be carried out if required. There will be committed consideration from the Prime Minister and fast-track mechanisms to determine question or bottlenecks in executing the NKEAs.

The impacts of harmful substances differ broadly, as the substances themselves. Be that as it may, all the different impacts and introduction times can be categorized as being either acute or chronic. Acute effects and exposures involve a sudden dose of a highly-concentrated substance. They are normally the aftereffect of a mischance that outcomes in quick medical issues extending from disturbance to death. Acute effects and exposures are not the results of an accumulation over time. Chronic effects and exposures involve limited continual exposure over time. Subsequently, the associated health issues grow gradually. When a toxic substance enters the body, it eventually affects one or more organs. One of the liver functions is to collect such substance, converts them into non-toxic, and sends them to the kidneys for elimination in the urine. However, when the dose is more than what the liver can handle, the toxics move on to other organs, producing a variety of different effects. Table 1.2 below lists some of the more widely system toxic substances and the organs that they endanger most.

Risk assessment is based on the principle that there is a level of risk in every activity performed and it is possible to make a quantitative assessment of this level of risk; risk being the product of severity of an accident either measured in Ringgit or lives lost and the frequency or likelihood of that accident happening. While risks may be reduced by good design, it is obvious that they cannot be eliminated. Therefore, an evaluation is made to determine whether the risk levels are acceptable in each location. Risks are generally categorized into two types, namely:

- a) Risks that we voluntarily assume such as mountain climbing, surfing, parachuting, and smoking; and
- b) Risks that are involuntarily assumed such as fire, being struck by lightning, major industrial accidents which affect persons outside of the Petrochemicals Plant boundaries; etc.

Organs	Toxic Substance	
Blood	Benzene, CO, Arsenic, Aniline, Toluene	
Kidne <mark>ys</mark>	Mercury, Chloroform	
Heart	Aniline UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH	
Brain	Lead, Hg, Benzene, Mg, Acetaldehyde	
Eyes	Cresol, Acrolein, Benzyl chloride, Butyl alcohol	
Skin	Nickel, Phenol, Trichloroethylene	
Lungs	Asbestos, Chromium, H ₂ S, Mica, N ₂ O	
Liver	Chloroform, Carbon tetrachloride, Toluene	

 Table 1.2: Selected toxic substances and the organs that they endanger most

Source: Occupational Safety and Health book (Goetsch, 2005)

Learning from past accidents and raising the risk awareness in this emerging energy sector is crucial for a safe and sustainable exploitation of such a renewable resource. In this point of view, the present study focused on the collection and analysis of accidents in the biogas sector. The accident trends should have been analysed keeping in mind the safety of the biogas sector and to obtain some signals on the present risk figures (Casson Moreno, Papasidero, Emrys Scarponi, Guglielmi and Cozzani, 2015).

In general, the public willingly accepts a much higher level of voluntary risk as compared to involuntary risks. With voluntary risks, there is the perception of some benefits to the risk taker and the freedom of choice. With involuntary risks, there may be no perceived benefits and no freedom of choice to accept or not to accept the risk. The Department of Environment (DOE) in Malaysia has created risk acceptability criteria for involuntary risks that arise from chemical and petrochemical plants in Malaysia. The criteria stated that the risk of fatality from an industrial facility must not exceed 1×10^{-6} fatalities per person per year at nearby residential areas and 1×10^{-5} fatalities per person per year at nearby industrial areas.

1.2 The Research Problem

Malaysia's palm oil industry is one of the largest contributors to the national economy. In 2009, it accounted for RM53 billion in Gross National Income (GNI). This GNI is targeted to increase by RM125 billion to reach RM178 billion by the year 2020. As a major contributor to economic growth, the Palm Oil NKEA programme plans to execute eight core Entry Point Projects (EPPs) crossing the palm oil value chain. EPP5 has required to build biogas facilities at mills across Malaysia (MPOB, 2011). Therefore, an assessment must be done to investigate the risks and hazards for those working in the mills or in the biogas plants in Malaysia.

Due to higher demand on palm oil products, the oil palm plantation has extended immeasurably in Malaysia (particularly in Sabah and Sarawak) for as far back as 10 years from 3.88 million hectares in 2004 to 5.39 million hectares in 2014. It is evaluated that 57 million tonnes of POME were generated in 2011 (Chin *et al.*, 2013).

The statistic from MPBO sources shown that Sabah has the highest number of biogas plants in Malaysia (Loh, Nasrin, Mohamad Azri, Nurul Adela, Muzzammil, Daryl Jay and Kaltschmitt, 2017). Then from DOSH database shows that 21 palm oil mills in Sabah already have their own biogas plants. Based on survey and discussion on the development of palm oil in Sabah, it is stated that some other palm oil mills are planning to build and about 5 mills are in the midst of constructing their biogas plants. Therefore, Sabah is the state which places this assessment as the must do priority and as a result, it can be taken as our benchmark of occupational health issue to compare with other biogas plants in other states in Malaysia.

As referring to Table 1.3, it is stated that approximately 10 biogas plants in Sabah have already operated at least 5 years. Most employers in industrial sector including workers of the palm oil mills have never conduct health surveillance especially if chemical hazards are not in the schedule II, regulation 27 of Occupational Safety and Health (use and standards of exposure of chemicals hazardous to health) regulations 2000 (USECHH, 2000). Consequently, this research may perhaps give some benefits regarding occupational health issues to public especially to those palm oil manufacturers. Hence, they could have some awareness to prevent and protect their workers during operation in the biogas plant.

In the year 2005, there was an accident happened in Germany with four workers died due to a release of an extremely high concentrated H₂S (Casson Moreno *et al.*, 2015). The estimated amount of H₂S was about 10,000 ppm. Early in January 2010, there was a case in a biogas plant which caused death in Terengganu. Meanwhile in 2014, there was an incident in a biogas plant in Sabah but it only involved property damage. The most possible cause was of course from improper management of methane gas in safety manner.

Bioprocesses, for example, the production of biogas are frequently wrongly seen as safer and having a lower affect than conventional chemical processes. Be that as it may, the results of the accidents are actually early warnings concerning the major accident hazards in biogas industry. At that point it climbed the concern about the need of enhancing the safety culture and risk awareness in this area, also