RESPONSE OF MARBLE GOBY (*Oxyeleotris marmorata*) TO TASTE-STIMULANTS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF WEANING DIET

LAI SIAN KANG JASON

THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

BORNEO MARINE RESEARCH INSTITUTE

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

2017

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL: RESPONSE OF MARBLE GOBY (*Oxyeleotris marmorata*) TO TASTE-STIMULANTS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF WEANING DIET

IJAZAH: MASTER OF SCIENCE (AQUACULTURE)

Saya LAI SIAN KANG JASON, Sesi 2014-2015, mengaku membenarkan tesis Sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syaratsyarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

- 1. Tesis ini adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk pengajian sahaja.
- 3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. Sila tandakan (/):



(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA 1972)



(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)



TIDAK TERHAD

LAI SIAN KANG JASON MY1421027T

Tarikh: 10 Ogos 2017

Disahkan Oleh, NURULAIN BINTI ISMAIL LIBRARIAN ALAYSIA SABAH

(Tandatangan Pustakawan)

(Prof. Dr. Rossita Shapawi) Penyelia

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own work. Other sources reference and information have been used, they may have been acknowledged.

10 August 2017

LAI SIAN KANG JASON (MY1421027T)





CERTIFICATION

NAME	:	LAI SIAN KANG JASON
MATRIC NO.	1	MY1421027T
TITLE		RESPONSE OF MARBLE GOBY (Oxyeleotris
		marmorata TO TASTE-STIMULANTS FOR
		THE DEVELOPMENT OF WEANING DIET
DEGREE	- T.,	MASTER OF SCIENCE (AQUACULTURE)
VIVA DATE	:	13 JUN 2017

CERTIFIED BY

1. SUPERVISOR

Prof. Dr. Rossita Shapawi

Signature hs UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

2. CO-SUPERVISOR

Dr. Lim Leong Seng

Signature

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I want to thank God for providing all the necessities for me in my university's life, and guiding me all the time to fulfill all the requirements of my MSc study. I would also like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Rossita Shapawi who is also the director of Borneo Marine Research Institute, and my co-supervisor, Dr. Lim Leong Seng for their full-time guidance and their valuable suggestions, which has ensured me to conduct my study on the right path. They had spent much time in sharing priceless knowledge and experience which shaped me into a more knowledgeable individual. I also want to thank all the staffs of BMRI, my family, my friends and my course mates who morally supported and assisted me in conducting my experiments and thesis writing.



ABSTRACT

Marble goby, Oxyeleotris marmorata is a high commercial value freshwater fish in Southeast Asia. In aquaculture, this fish generally rejects pelleted feed. This problem can be solved by dietary feeding stimulant (FS) or feed enhancer (FE) supplementation. However, there is still no information on the suitable FS and FE for this fish. Therefore, the present study aimed to determine the potential FS and FE, and evaluate the efficiency of selected potential FS to improve pellet-weaning process of juvenile O. marmorata. Prior to the behavioral assays, the fish were trained to accept agar gel pellet. Behavioral assays were conducted to determine the taste preference of *O. marmorata* for 19 amino acids, 13 organic acids, 3 nucleotides and nucleoside, 5 sugars and 2 classical taste substances at 0.1 M. In addition, the optimal value of the taste substances identified with the highest total ingestion ratio (TIR) was determined. The role of betaine as FS and FE was also investigated. All taste substances were tested once to each of the 50 fish (hatchery-reared; Total length=6.4 to 8.8 cm) using agar gel pellet. Among the amino acids tested, aspartic acid attained highest TIR (0.94) with optimal level at 0.025 M. Among the nucleoside and nucleotides, inosine was the more potential FS as it attained perfect TIR (1) with optimal value of 0.001 M. All organic acids attained very high TIR (0.96 to 1) at 0.1 M but only citric acid, formic acid, fumaric acid, tartaric acid, acetic acid, maleic acid and malic acid remained with high TIR (0.86 to 1) at the lower concentration (0.01 M). All sugars, classical taste substances were totally rejected by the fish. Betaine was also determined to function neither as a FS or FE. In the next study, nine selected taste substances (aspartic acid, inosine, citric acid, formic acid, fumaric acid, oxalic acid, tartaric acid, maleic acid and malic acid) were individually incorporated into the fish meal-based (FM) diet at 0.1 M, and their efficiency to improve the 19 day-weaning process were evaluated. The FM diet which contained no FS was prepared as the control. Each diet was fed to 20 replicates of juvenile O. marmorata (hatchery-reared but naïve-to-pellet; $TL=4.9\pm0.4$ cm). Each fish was fed with 5 pieces of the pellet (size=2 mm X 2 mm X 2 mm) at 4 pm daily and the number of pellet eaten by each fish was recorded after 4 hours (8 pm). Among all treatments (were labeled using alphabets as data has been filed for trade secret), "A" diet showed the highest daily mean ingestion ratios (DMIR) from day 5 to 19, with significant higher (P<0.05) IR than the other treatments on both day 9 and 19, indicating that the length of weaning period reduced when the fish was weaned to "A" diet. In addition, this treatment also attained highest percentage of successfully weaned fish which was 60%, and was significant (P<0.05) compared to other dietary treatment except "B" diet. Another similar weaning experiment was conducted to determine the optimal supplementation level of "A" using 0.01, 0.05, 0.2 M, and the results were compared to those of 0.1 M "A' from the previous weaning experiment. However, the DMIR of all diets showed similar trends to DMIR of control diet of previous weaning experiment, suggesting that 0.1 M could be the optimal level of "A" to function best as a FS. In conclusion, "A" substance with 0.1 M was detected as the best dietary FS and it can be used to improve the weaning process of juvenile O. marmorata.

ABSTRAK

RESPON PEMAKANAN KETUTU OXYELEOTRIS MARMORATA TERHADAP BAHAN PERANGSANG DERIA RASA BUKAN CONVENSIONAL DAN PENAMBAH MAKANAN DALAM DIET PEMBIASAAN

Ketutu, Oxyeleotris marmorata ialah ikan air tawar yang tinggi nilai komersialnya di Asia Tenggara. Dalam pengkulturannya, ikan ini tidak menerima makanan pelet. Masalah ini boleh diatasi dengan suplemen perangsang makanan (FS). Namun, tiada maklumat mengenai FS untuk ikan ini. Justeru, kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengenalpasti FS berpotensi dan menilai keberkesanan FS terpilih untuk menambahbaik proses pembiasaan O. marmorata terhadap makanan pelet. Sebelum penilaian perlakuan dijalankan, ikan telah dilatih untuk menerima pelet gel agar-agar. Penilaian perlakuan ikan dijalankan bagi mengenalpasti rasa kegemaran O. marmorata pada 19 asid amino, 13 asid organik, 3 nukleotida dan nukleosida, 5 qula dan 2 bahan rasa klasikal pada kepekatan 0.1 M. Tambahan, semua bahan yang memperolehi jumlah nisbah pengambilan (TIR) yang tertinggi dipilih untuk pengenalpastian nilai optima. Fungsi betain sebagai FS dan FE juga diselidik. Semua bahan rasa diberi makan sekali saja kepada 50 ekor ikan (ternakan hatcheri; panjang keseluruhan (TL)=6.4-8.8 cm) menggunakan pelet gel agar-agar. Asid aspartik memperoleh TIR tertinggi (0.94) di antara asid amino dengan nilai optima 0.025 M. Antara nukleotida dan nukleosida, inosin ialah FS yang lebih berpotensi dengan TIR yang sempurna (1) dan nilai optima 0.001 M. Semua asid organik mendapat TIR yang tinggi (0.96-1) pada 0.1 M, tetapi hanya asid sitrik, asid formik, asid fumarik, asid osalik, asid tartarik, asid maleik, dan asid malik kekal dengan IR yang tinggi (0.86 hingga 1) pada 0.01 M. Semua gula dan bahan rasa klasikal ditolak sepenuhnya. Betain dikenalpasti berfungsi sebagai bukan FS dan bukan penambah perisa. Dalam kajian seterusnya, 9 bahan rasa terpilih (asid aspartik, inosin, asid sitrik, asid formik, asid fumarik, asid tartarik, asid osalik, asid maleik, dan asid malik) ditambah secara berasingan ke dalam diet yang berasaskan tepung ikan (FM) pada kepekatan 0.1 M, dan kecekapan mereka menambahbaik proses pembiasaan ikan terhadap pelet selama 19 hari telah dinilai. Diet FM tanpa bahan rasa disediakan sebagai kawalan. Setiap diet diberi makan kepada 20 ikan juvana (ternakan hatcheri tetapi tidak pernah makan pelet; TL=4.9±0.4 cm). Setiap ikan diberi 5 biji pelet (saiz=2 mm X 2 mm X 2 mm) pada 4 pm setiap hari, dan jumlah pelet yang dimakan oleh setiap ikan telah direkodkan selepas 4 jam (8 pm). Antara semua diet (dilabel dengan huruf demi rahsia perdagangan), diet A mempamerkan purata nisbah pengambilan harian (DMIR) tertinggi sepanjang proses pembiasaan, dan dengan signifikasinya lebih tinggi (P<0.05) berbanding diet lain pada hari 9 dan 19, bererti tempoh pembiasaan pelet disingkatkan. Tambahan, diet A juga memperolehi peratusan ikan yang berjaya dibiasakan yang tertinggi, iaitu 60%, dan bererti (P<0.05) berbanding dengan semua diet kecuali diet B. Satu lagi eksperimen pembiasaan yang sama dijalankan untuk mengenalpasti nilai optima suplemen A, dengan menggunakan 0.01, 0.05 dan 0.2 M, dan keputusan dibandingkan dengan keputusan 0.1 M dari eksperimen sebelum ini. Namun, semua diet menunjukkan pola DMIR yang sama dengan diet kawalan yang ada dalam ekperimen pembiasaan sebelum ini, mencadangkan 0.1 M ialah suplemen yang optima untuk berfungsi cemerlang sebagai FS. Konklusinya, bahan A dengan

kepekatan 0.1 M telah dikenalpasti sebagai FS yang terbaik dan ia berkesan untuk menambahbaik proses pembiasaan O. marmorata juvana terhadap makanan pelet.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
TITL BORA DECL CERI ACKM ABSI ABSI TABL LIST LIST LIST LIST LIST LIST	E ANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS ARATION TIFICATION NOWLEDGEMENT TRACT TRAK E OF CONTENTS OF FIGURES OF TABLES OF PHOTOS OF UNITS OF ABBREVIATIONS OF APPENDICES	i iii iv v vi vii ix xiii xvi xvii xvii
СНА	PTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Marble Goby (<i>Oxyeleotris marmorata</i>) Culture in Malaysia	1
1.2	Feeding Challenges in <i>O.marmorata</i> Culture	1
1.3	Taste-stimulant (Feeding Stimulant and Feed Enhancer) in Fish	2
1.4	Culture UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH Improve Pellet-Weaning Process through Dietary Supplementation of FS and FE (Betaine)	3
1.5	Significance of Study	4
1.6	General Objectives	4
CHAI	PTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Biological Features of <i>O. marmorata</i>	6
2.2	<i>O. marmorata</i> Culture	7
	2.2.1 Breeding of <i>O. marmorata</i>	8
	2.2.2 Larval Rearing of <i>O. marmorata</i>	9
	2.2.3 Juvenile Rearing of <i>O. marmorata</i>	10
2.3	Roles of Chemosensory Systems in Fish Feeding Behavior	11
	2.3.1 Olfactory System in Fish	12

	2.3.2 Gustatory System in Fish	12
2.4	FS for Fish	13
	2.4.1 L-Amino Acid	13
	2.4.2 Organic Acids	17
	2.4.3 Sugars	19
	2.4.4 Classical Taste Substances	21
	2.4.5 Nucleotides and Nucleosides	22
2.5	Betaine as FS and FE	24
2.6	Behavioral Methods to Study Fish Taste Preference	27
2.7	Usage of FS and FE to Improve Fish Pellet-Weaning Process	29

CHAPTER 3: FEEDING RESPONSE OF JUVENILE *O. marmorata* 30 TOWARDS DIFFERENT CLASSES OF TASTE SUBSTANCES

3.1	Introduction	30
3.2	General Materials and Methods	30
	3.2.1 Fish Specimens	31
	3.2.2 Agar Gel Pellets Preparation	31
	(a) Preparation of FC Pellet	32
	(b) Preparation of Negative Control Pellet	32
	(c) Preparation of TS Pellet	32
	3.2.3 Fish Conditioning to Agar gel Pellet	37
	3.2.4 Procedures of Behavioral Assays	38
	3.2.5 Data Collection and Analysis	39
3.3	Experiment I: Determination on the Taste Preference of O.	39
	marmorata for Various Taste Substances at 0.1 M	
3.4	Experiment II: Determination on the Threshold of Selected	39
	Taste Substances	
3.5	Experiment III: Feeding Response of Juvenile O. marmorata on	40
	Betaine	
3.6	Summarized Methodology in Flow Chart	41
3.7	Results	42
	3.7.1 Behavioral Observations	42

	3.7.2	Experiment I	42
	(a)	Taste Preference of Juvenile O. marmorata for Amino	42
		Acids at 0.1 M	
	(b)	Taste Preference of juvenile O. marmorata for Organic	44
		Acids at 0.1 M	
	(c)	Taste preference of Juvenile O. marmorata for	45
		Nucleotides and Nucleoside at 0.1 M	
	(d)	Taste Preference of Juvenile O. marmorata for Sugars	46
		and Some Classical Taste Substances at 0.1 M	
	3.7.2	Experiment II	47
	(a)	Optimal Level Determination for L-Aspartic Acid	47
	(b)	Optimal Level Determination for Inosine-5'-	48
		Monophosphate (IMP) and Inosine (INO)	
	(c)	Optimal Level Determination for 13 Organic Acids	49
	3.7.3	Experiment III: Feeding Response of Juvenile O.	50
		marmorata for Betaine	
3.8	Discus	sion	50
	3.8.1	Feeding Response of Juvenile <i>O. marmorata</i> Towards	50
		Different Classes of Taste Substances	
	3.8.2	Optimal Level Determination of Selected Potential FS	54
	3.8.3	Feeding Response of Juvenile O. marmorata Towards	54
		Betaine	
3.9	Conclu	usion	55
CHAP	TER 4	EFFECTIVENESS AND EFFICIENCY OF THE	57
SELEC	TED FS	TO IMPROVE PELLET-WEANING OF JUVENILE O.	
marm	<i>orata</i> T	HROUGH DIETARY SUPPLEMENTATION	
4.1	Introd	duction	57
4.2	Gener	ral Materials and Methods	58
	4.2.1	Source of Fish and Maintenance	58

4.2.2 Preparation of Experimental Diets584.2.3 Experimental Setup and Procedures59

	4.2.4	Data and Statistical Analysis	59
	(a)	Daily Mean Ingestion Ratios	59
	(b)	Percentage of Successfully Weaned Fish (%)	60
	(c)	Percentage of Fish in Certain Ingestion Levels	61
4.3	Experi	ment I: Evaluation on the Effectiveness of Selected	61
	Potent	tial FS in Improving Weaning Process of Juvenile <i>O.</i>	
	marm	orata	
4.4	Experi	ment II: Determination of Optimal Level of the FS	63
4.5	Summ	arized Methodology in Flow Chart	65
4.6	Result	s	66
	4.6.1	Experiment I: Evaluation on the Effectiveness and	66
		Efficiency of Selected Potential FS in Improving Weaning	
		Process of Juvenile O. marmorata.	
	(a)	Daily Mean Ingestion Ratios	66
	(b)	Percentage of Successfully Weaned Fish	68
	4.6.2	Experiment II: Determination of Optimal Level of the FS	70
	(a)	Daily Mean Ingestion Ratios	70
	(b)	Percentage of Successfully Weaned Fish	72
4.7	Discus	Sion - LINIVERSITI MALAVSIA SABAH	74
4.8	Conclu	usion	77
СНАРТЕ	R 5:	GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND	78
RECOM	MEND	ATION	
REFERE	NCES		80

APPENDICES

96

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1:	<i>O. marmorata</i> aquaculture production in Malaysia from 2005 to 2015.	8
Figure 3.1:	Summarized methodology in flow chart.	41
Figure 3.2:	TIR of juvenile <i>O. marmorata</i> on 20 amino acids at 0.1 M.	43

- Different superscripted alphabets indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05. Horizontal bars indicate 95% binomial confidence interval.
- Figure 3.3: TIR of juvenile *O. marmorata* on 13 organic acids at 0.1 44 M. Different superscripted alphabets indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05. Horizontal bars indicate 95% binomial confidence interval.
- Figure 3.4: TIR of juvenile *O. marmorata* on nucleotides and 45 nucleoside at 0.1 M. Different superscripted alphabets indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05. Horizontal bars indicate 95% binomial confidence interval.
- Figure 3.5: TIR of *O. marmorata* for L-aspartic acid at 5 different 47 concentrations. Different superscripted alphabets indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05. Vertical bars indicate 95% binomial confidence interval.
- Figure 3.6: TIR of inosine-5'-monophosphate (IMP) and inosine (INO) 48 at 6 different concentration levels. Different superscripted alphabets indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05. Vertical bars indicate 95% binomial confidence interval.

- Figure 3.7: TIR of 13 organic acids at 3 different concentration levels. 49 Different superscripted alphabets indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05. Vertical bars indicate 95% binomial confidence interval.
- Figure 3.8: TIR of betaine (BET), amino acids mixture (AAM) and 50 mixture of BET and AAM at different rations. Different superscripted alphabets indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05. Horizontal bars indicate 95% binomial confidence interval.
- Figure 4.1: Summarized methodology in flow chart. 65
- Figure 4.2: Daily mean ingestion ratios from day 1 until day 19 of "A", 67 "B", control, "C", "D", "E", "F", "G", "H" and "I" diets. Different superscripted alphabet indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05. For the standard error of each result, please refer Appendix B.
- Figure 4.3: The percentage of successfully weaned fish in "A", "B", 69 control, "C", "D", "E", "F", "G", "H" and "I" diets along the pellet-weaning period. Vertical bars indicate binomial 95% confidence interval at *P*=0.05. Different superscripted alphabets indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05.
- Figure 4.4: Percentage of fish with different ingestion levels within 71 "A", "B", control, "C", "D", "E", "F", "G", "H" and "I" diets along the pellet-weaning period.
- Figure 4.5: Daily mean ingestion ratios from day 1 until day 19 71 of A (0.01 M), A (0.05 M), A (0.1 M) and A (0.2 M) diets. Different superscript alphabet indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05. For the standard error of each

result, please refer Appendix C.

Figure 4.6:Percentage of successfully weaned fish, % of "A" (0.0173M), "A" (0.05 M), "A" (0.1 M) and "A" (0.2 M) diets.Vertical bars indicate binomial 95% confidence interval
at P=0.05. Different superscripted alphabets indicate
significant difference at P<0.05.</td>

Figure 4.7: Percentage of fish with different ingestion levels 73 along the pellet-weaning period for "A" diets of 0.01 M, 0.05 M, 0.1 M and 0.2 M inclusion of "A".



LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1:	Feeding regime for <i>O. marmorata</i> larvae during the first 60 days.	9
Table 2.2:	L-amino acids tested in present study and the examples of fish species which prefer each amino acid.	15
Table 2.3:	Organic acids tested in present study and the examples of fish species which prefer each organic acid.	19
Table 2.4:	Sugars tested in present study and the examples of fish prefer each type of sugar.	20
Table 2.5:	Classical taste substances tested in present study and the examples of fish species which prefer the substances.	22
Table 2.6:	Nucleoside and nucleotides tested in present study and the examples of fish species which prefer each substance.	24
Table 2.7:	Taste effects of betaine on different fish species.	26
Table 3.1:	Ingredients for preparing pure agar gel pellet, FC pellet TS pellet and TS pellet for betaine experiment.	33
Table 3.2:	Ingredients for preparing 44 types of TS pellets consisted of 0.1 M inclusion of amino acids, betaine, organic acids, sugars, classical taste substances, nucleotides and nucleotide.	34
Table 3.3:	Selected taste substance and their ingredients.	35

Table 3.4:	TS pellets of betaine experiment and their ingredients.	37
Table 3.5:	TIR of sugars and some classical taste substances at 0.1 M.	46
Table 4.1:	Ingredients for preparing control and FS supplemented diets.	62
Table 4.2:	Proximate compositions of the experimental diets.	62
Table 4.3:	Ingredients for preparing "A" diets of 0.01, 0.05 and 0.2 M inclusion of "A".	64

Table 4.4:Proximate compositions of "A" diets of 0.01, 0.05 and 0.264M inclusion of "A".



LIST OF PHOTOS

		Page
Photo 2.1:	The image of <i>O. marmorata</i> .	7
Photo 3.1:	Red agar pellet prepared for this experiment.	32



LIST OF UNITS

cm	-	centimeter
cm ²	-	square centimeter
°C	ž.	degree celcius
g	-	gram
kg	-	kilogram
L	-	liter
М	-	molar
m	÷	milliliter
mm	-	millimeter
mg/L	-	milligram per liter
RM	-	Malaysian ringgit
%	-	percentage



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- AAM amino acid mixture
- ASP aspartic acid
- BET betaine
- BW body weight
- CA citric acid
- CI confidence interval
- CMFF commercial marine finfish
- DMIR daily mean ingestion ratio
- DO dissolved oxygen
- FUM fumaric acid
- FE feed enhancer
- FC For-Conditioning
- FM fish meal-based
- FO formic acid
- FS feeding stimulant
- GMP guanosine-5'-monophosphate
- IMP inosine-5'-monophosphate
- INO inosine
- IR ingestion ratio
- MA maleic acid
- MALEIC- maleic acid
- OXA oxalic acid
- pH potential hydrogen
- PSWF percentage of successfully weaned fish
- SE standard error
- TAR tartaric acid
- TIR total ingestion ratio
- TS taste substance

LIST OF APPENDICES

		Page
APPENDIX A	Table 1: Structural formula, number of carbon atoms and total ingestion ratios of organic acids (0.1 M).	96
APPENDIX B	Table 2: Daily mean ingestion ratio \pm standard error of "A", "B", control, "C", "D", "E", "F", "G", "H" and "I" diets for 19 days.	97
APPENDIX C	Table 3: Daily mean ingestion ratio \pm standard error of the diets with different inclusion levels of "A" for 19 days.	98
APPENDIX D	Determination of Moisture Content and Dry Matter	99
APPENDIX E	Determination of Ash Content	100
APPENDIX F	Determination of Crude Protein	101
APPENDIX G	Determination of Crude Lipid	102
APPENDIX H	Determination of Crude Fiber	103

CHAPTER 1

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Marble Goby (Oxyeleotris marmorata) Culture in Malaysia

Marble goby (*Oxyeleotris marmorata*) is a freshwater fish that widely distributed in the Southeast Asian countries, such as Malaysia, Thailand, Vietnam, Laos, Singapore and Indonesia (Mohsin and Ambak, 1983; Inger and Chin, 2002). In Malaysia, *O. marmorata* is commonly known as *ketutu* in Malay, and *soon hock* in Mandarin. *O. marmorata* is a popular and highly preferable delicacy among the Chinese people due to its tasty, soft, lean and boneless flesh. In the local restaurants, the price of *O. marmorata* can fetch RM120 to RM150 per kg, and it has been well-known as one of the most expensive freshwater food fish in Malaysia. *O. marmorata* is also exported from Malaysia to many countries, especially those populated with majority Chinese, such as China, Hong Kong and Taiwan (Loo *et al.*, 2013).

The high demand and lucrative market price of *O. marmorata* has encouraged farmers from Malaysia as well as other Southeast Asia countries including Singapore, Thailand and Vietnam to breed and culture this fish (Tan and Lam, 1973; Tavarutmaneegul and Lin, 1988; Cheah *et al.*, 1994; Luong *et al.*, 2005; Wang *et al.*, 2011). Many studies have been conducted to improve the *O. marmorata* culture, including the seed production and larval rearing techniques (Lam *et al.*, 1990; Cheah *et al.*, 1991, 1994, Senoo *et al.*, 1994, 2008; Amornsakun *et al.*, 2002, 2003a, b; Loo *et al.*, 2012, 2013), disease control (Idris and Amba, 2011), nutritional requirement and feeding (Bundit, 2007; Yong *et al.*, 2013, 2015). However, there is still a major constraint remaining unsolved up-to-date, which is the feeding management of *O. marmorata* at grow-out stage.

1.2 Feeding Challenges in *O. marmorata* Culture

During the grow-out stage, *O. marmorata* is primarily fed with the chopped or minced low-value fish, and sometimes the farm-made feed produced using low

value fish as the main ingredient (Bundit, 2007). Although the nutritional (protein and lipid) requirement of *O. marmorata* has been established recently (Yong *et al.*, 2013, 2015), but there is still no attempt in producing formulated diet specifically for this fish (Cheah *et al.*, 1994; Lin and Kaewpaitoon, 2000; Lim *et al.*, 2015). This is critical as the juvenile *O. marmorata* generally rejected the other formulated feed during the weaning period (Darwis *et al.*, 2009; Rojtinnakorn *et al.*, 2012), which subsequently caused mass mortality due to starvation. Such behavior can be due to the poor palatability of the formulated feeds. Suitable taste-stimulant can be supplemented into the formulated diet to improve the feed palatability and feed intake. However, there is still no information available on the suitable tastestimulant for *O. marmorata*.

1.3 Taste-Stimulant (Feeding Stimulant and Feed Enhancer) in Fish Culture

In present study, taste-stimulant is referred to the substance that can be detected by the gustatory (taste) sensory system of fish. Feeding stimulant (FS) and feed enhancer (FE) are the common types of taste-stimulant applied in formulated fish feed.

FS are the substances which can trigger high ingestion in fish (Kasumyan and Døving, 2003). FS are detected by the fish through their gustatory sense (taste sense). For decades, a lot of studies had been done to identify the suitable FS for various fish species through behavioral assays, and these studies have proven that amino acids, organic acids, nucleotides and nucleosides, sugars and classical taste substances are potential FS for fish (Kasumyan and Døving, 2003). However, the FS identified for a fish species may not work the same in other species due to the species-specificity in their taste preferences. For example, L-glutamic acid and L-lysine can function as the good FS for the guppy, (*Poecilia reticulata*) but these amino acids are totally rejected by the Navaga, (*Eleginus navaga*) (Kasumyan and Døving, 2003). Therefore, the suitable FS for the targeted fish species should be identified before it can be supplemented into the formulated feed. Nonetheless, there is still no information on the suitable FS for *O. marmorata*.

FE are the substances which are indifferent in taste for fish, but it able to enhance the palatability of other taste substances such as amino acids through synergistic reaction. Betaine is one of the most common feed enhancer, which works well in many fishes (*eg.* Carr and Chaney, 1976; Carr *et al.*, 1977; Ohsugi *et al.*, 1978; Goh and Tamura, 1980; Mackie *et al.*, 1980; Carr, 1982; Mackie, 1982; Lim *et al.*, 2016). Besides as a feed enhancer, betaine can function as FS, taste-indifferent substance or deterrent for different fish species due to the species-specificity in their taste preference. For *O. marmorata*, the effect of betaine on its feeding response has not yet been determined. In favor of searching for the suitable substance to enhance the palatability of diets for *O. marmorata*, information on the feeding response of *O. marmorata* to betaine is needed.

1.4 Improve Pellet-Weaning Process through Dietary Supplementation of FS and FE (Betaine)

Rejection of formulated diets by juvenile fish during weaning is common. Such problem can be solved through dietary supplementation of the suitable FS or FE into the weaning diet. In previous study, the dietary supplementation of FS were shown to effectively improve the number of successfully weaned fish in the pelletweaning process of the pike perch (Sander Lucioperca) juveniles (Horvath et al., 2013) and largemouth bass (Mycropterus salmoides) juveniles (Kubitza and Lovshin, 1997). The dietary supplementation of betaine alone (as a FS) or mixed together with amino acid mixture (as a FE) had been demonstrated to improve feed intake in several species, such as Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) (Clarke et al., 1994), coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch) juveniles (Castro et al., 1998), winter flounder (Pleuronestes americanus) juveniles (Fredette et al., 2000), gibel carp (Carassius auratus) juveniles (Xue and Cui, 2001), Indian major carp (Labeo rohita) fingerlings (Shankar et al., 2008) and pike perch (Sander lucioperca) fingerlings (Zakipour et al., 2012). Therefore, in juvenile O. marmorata, dietary supplementation of suitable FS or FE could also be applied to overcome the rejection of formulated diet.