# DISTRIBUTION OF WOOD FEEDING TERMITES IN THE PRIMARY AND REGENERATING FORESTS OF DANUM VALLEY, LAHAD DATU, SABAH

# KEVIN FERNANDEZ A/L SHELLTON FERNANDEZ

# THESIS SUBMISSION IN FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

PERPUSTAKAAN Uxiversiti malaysia sabah

# INSTITUTE FOR TROPICAL BIOLOGY AND CONSERVATION UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2015

#### PUMS 99:1

# **UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**

	BORANG PENGESAHAN TESIS
JUDUL :	
IJAZAH :	
SAYA :	SESI PENGAJIAN :
(HURUF BESAR)	
Mengaku membenarkan tesis *(LPSM/S Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan se	Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia eperti berikut:-
<ol> <li>Tesis adalah hak milik Universiti</li> <li>Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysi</li> <li>Perpustakaan dibenarkan mem tinggi.</li> <li>Sila tandakan (/)</li> <li>SULIT (Menga seperti</li> </ol>	Malaysia Sabah. ia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja. buat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian ndungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia yang termaktub di AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)
mana p	envelidikan dijalankan)
TIDAK TERHAD	Disahkan oleh:
 (TANDATANGAN PENULIS) Alamat Tetap:	(TANDATANGAN PUSTAKAWAN)
 TARIKH:	(NAMA PENYELIA) TARIKH:
Catatan: *Potong yang tidak berkenaan. *Jika tesis ini SULIT dan TERHAD, sil menyatakan sekali sebab dan temp *Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis ba bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus c	a lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan oh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT dan TERHAD. agi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana Secara Penyelidikan atau disertai lan Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (LPSM).

## DECLARATION

I declare that the work presented in thesis is to the best of my knowledge and belief, original and my own work except for citation and quotations whose source have been stated clearly.

11 May 2015

Kevin Fernandez A/L Shellton Fenandez MX12110002T



#### CERTIFICATION

## NAME : KEVIN FERNANDEZ A/L SHELLTON FERNANDEZ

- MATRIC NO. : MX1211002T
- TITLE : DISTRIBUTION OF WOOD FEEDING TERMITES IN THE PRIMARY AND REGENERATING FORESTS OF DANUM VALLEY, LAHAD DATU, SABAH
- DEGREE : MASTER OF SCIENCE (ECOLOGICAL PROCESSES)
- VIVA DATE : 20 APRIL 2015

**CERTIFIED BY** 

#### 1. SUPERVISOR

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Homathevi Rahman

Signature

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I thank God for providing me the strength, endurance and willpower to complete this project. I would also like to acknowledge my appreciation of the many people who helped me along the way and played a vital role in the completion of this project.

I am deeply grateful to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Homathevi Rahman for always making time to meet up and discuss each and every chapter of this thesis thoroughly. This is despite the inconvenience of taking up much of her time and also for providing me the necessary supervision during the course of this study.

To my parents, thank you ever so much for your unending prayers and support especially during stressful moments. This thesis is a token of appreciation for your support towards me. To the Yayasan Sabah Group, Sabah Forestry Department, and Sabah Biodiversity Centre (SaBC) thank you for approving my research in Danum Valley Conservation Area (DVCA). To Danum Valley Field Centre (DVFC) thank you for providing hospitality.

I would also like to thank Royal Society, (RS) in association with Southeast Asia Research Programme (SEARP) for helping in placement and setting up of plots in the primary forest and regenerated forest. Special thanks to Mr. Mike Bernadus, Mr. Deddier Deddy, Ms. Moung Wei Ling, and Ms. Nadya Fitri Kusumawati Gawe for helping me with field data collection and laboratory work. To Ms Nivaarani Arumugam and Ms. Aqilah Afendy thank you for the many inputs and assistance for this research.

Lastly, I offer my regards to all those who supported me in any aspect during the completion of this thesis. All your critical comments and unfailing encouragement certainly went a long way in giving me determination to complete the race. Thank you.

Kevin Fernandez A/L Shellton Fernandez 11 May 2014

#### ABSTRACT

The tendency of wood feeding termites selecting types of tree species as their food source is still unclear. This study was aimed to understand the distribution patterns of termites especially wood feeding termites and the types of tree species which are infested from the primary and regenerated forest of Danum Valley. The objectives of this study were to i) determine the species of wood feeding termites associated with trees in the forest of Danum Valley; ii) identify the types of trees in the primary and regenerated forest associated with termites; iii) map the distribution patterns of termites in the forest of Danum Valley; iv) find the relationship between termites and abiotic factors from the forest of Danum Valley. This research was carried out in two types of forest namely primary and regenerated forest in Danum Valley, Lahad Datu, Sabah. A total of six plots were sampled in this study. Three plots with an area of 0.25 hectare (50 meters X 50 meters) were placed in each, primary and regenerated forest. Trees in each plot with a diameter breast height, (dbh) of more than 10 cm were examined. Examination for termites on trees included examination of tree barks, runways and termite mounds. Trees with and without termites were tagged and labeled accordingly using GPS. Overall a total of 23 termite species were sampled from the forest of Danum Valley. However, only 16 species (69.6%) of termites sampled were wood feeding termites. Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index and Simpson's Index of Diversity indicates that termites in the regenerated forest (H' = 2.5909; D = 0.9374) were higher compared to the primary forest (H' = 2.5335; D = 0.9312). There were a total of 66 interactions of which involved 23 termite species and 31 tree species. Termites in Danum Valley were found in association with tree families of Alangiaceae, Annonaceae, Burseraceae, Dipterocarpaceae, Ebenaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae, Fagaceae, Lauraceae, Magnoliaceae, Meliaceae, Myrsinaceae, Sapindaceae and Sapotaceae. Macaranga gigantea was the highest recorded tree species with 16 hits found associating with nine different termite species. This tree species was only sampled in the regenerating forest with 54 hits. Macrotermes malaccensis is the termite species which are abundantly found in the forest of Danum Valley. This species was found associated with six different tree species. Eight termite pest species which have been identified as potential pest in Danum Valley include Heterotermes tenuior, Coptotermes curvignatus, Schedorhinotermes medioobscurus, Schedorhinotermes sarawakensis, Microcerotermes dubius, Macrotermes gilvus, Odontotermes javanicus and Nasutitermes matangensis. Statistical analysis showed that termites association with trees in the forest of Danum Valley are influenced by dbh (p = 0.000) and canopy density (p = 0.006). However, the presence of pest termites is statistically influenced by dbh (p = 0.001) and soil pH (p = 0.002).

#### ABSTRAK

## TABURAN ANAI-ANAI PEMAKAN KAYU DALAM HUTAN PRIMER DAN REGENERASI DI LEMBAH DANUM, LAHAD DATU, SABAH

Kecenderungan anai-anai memilih spesies pokok sebagai sumber makanan masih tidak jelas. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk memahami corak taburan anai-anai terutamanya anai-anai pemakan kayu serta jenis spesies kayu yang dimakan oleh anai-anai dari hutan primer dan hutan regenerasi daripada Lembah Danum. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk i) menentukan spesies anai-anai pemakan kayu di Lembah Danum; ii) mengenal pasti jenis pokok di hutan primer dan hutan regenerasi yang berhubungkait dengan anai-anai pemakan kayu; iii) pemetaan taburan spesies anai-anai di hutan Lembah Danum; iv) mengkaitkan persamaan antara kehadiran anai-anai dengan faktor-faktor abiotik di hutan Lembah Danum. Kajian ini telah dijalankan di dua jenis hutan iaitu hutan primer dan hutan regenerasi di Lembah Danum, Lahad Datu, Sabah. Sebanyak enam plot dikaji dalam kajian ini, Tiga plot dengan keluasan 0.25 hektar (50 meter X 50 meter) telah ditempatkan, masing-masing dalam hutan primer dan hutan regenerasi. Pokok-pokok yang hanya mempunyai diameter lebih daripada 10 cm pada ketinggian paras dada (dbh) dikaji. Kehadiran anai-anai ditentukan dengan menjalankan pemeriksaan pada bahagian kulit pokok, laluan dan sarang anai-anai. Penandaan GPS dibuat pada pokok-pokok untuk membezakan pokok-pokok yang terdapat anai-anai dengan yang tidak. Sejumlah 23 spesies anai-anai telah disampel dari hutan Lembah Danum. Walau bagaimanapun, hanya 16 spesies (69.6%) daripada sampel anai-anai yang dikumpul merupakan anai-anai pemakan kayu. Indeks Kepelbagaian Shannon-Wiener dan Indeks Kepelbagaian Simpsons menunjukkan bahawa diversiti anai-anai di hutan regenerasi (H' = 2.5909; D = 0.9374) lebih tinggi berbanding di hutan primer (H' = 2.5335; D = 0.9312). Terdapat sejumlah 66 interaksi antara 23 spesies anai-anai dengan 31 spesies pokok. Anai-anai yang ditemui di Lembah Danum mempunyai hubungkait dengan keluarga-keluarga pokok; Alangiaceae, Annonaceae, Burseraceae, Dipterocarpaceae, Ebenaceae, Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae, Fagaceae, Lauraceae, Magnoliaceae, Meliaceae, Myrsinaceae, Sapindaceae dan Sapotaceae. Macaranga gigantea merupakan spesies pokok yang tertinggi yang direkodkan dengan 16 pokok menunjukkan interaksi dengan sembilan spesies anai-anai yang berbeza. Pokok ini hanya ditemui di hutan regenerasi dengan sejumlah 54 pokok. Manakala, Macrotermes malaccensis merupakan spesies anai-anai yang paling banyak disampel di hutan Lembah Danum. Spesies anai-anai ini ditemui pada enam species pokok yang berbeza. Sejumlah lapan spesies serangga perosak anai-anai telah dikenalpasti daripada hutan Lembah Danum. Anai-anai perosak di Lembah Danum terdiri daripada Heterotermes tenuior, Coptotermes curvignatus, Schedorhinotermes medioobscurus, Schedorhinotermes sarawakensis, Microcerotermes dubius, Macrotermes gilvus, Odontotermes javanicus dan Nasutitermes matangensis, Analisis statistik menuniukkan bahawa interaksi anaianai dan pokok di hutan Lembah Danum dipengaruhi oleh dbh (p = 0.000) dan ketumpatan kanopi (p = 0.006). Manakala, kehadiran anai-anai perosak dipengaruhi oleh dbh (p = 0.001) dan pH tanah (p = 0.002).

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TITLE       i         DECLARATION       ii         CERTIFICATION       iii         ACKNOWLEDGEMENT       iv         ABSTRACT       v         ABSTRAK       vi         TABLE OF CONTENTS       vii         LIST OF TABLES       xiii         LIST OF FIGURES       xiii         LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIVES       xv         LIST OF APPENDIX       xvi         CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION       1         1.1       Background       1         1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       1         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       7         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.4.3       Termite Soldiers       11         2.5.1       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.4       Feeding Group II       14			Page
DECLARATION       ii         CERTIFICATION       iii         ACKNOWLEDGEMENT       iv         ABSTRACT       v         ABSTRAK       vi         TABLE OF CONTENTS       vii         LIST OF TABLES       xi         LIST OF FABLES       xi         LIST OF FABLES       xviiii         LIST OF APPENDIX       xvi         CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION       1         1.1       Background       1         1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       3         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       5         C.1       Normite Life Cycle       9         2.4       Termite Life Cycle       9         2.4.1       Reprodu	TITL	E	i
CERTIFICATION       iii         ACKNOWLEDGEMENT       iv         ABSTRACT       v         ABSTRACT       vi         ABSTRAK       vi         TABLE OF CONTENTS       vii         LIST OF TABLES       xi         LIST OF FIGURES       xiiii         LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIVES       xv         LIST OF APPENDIX       xvi         CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION       1         1.1       Background       1         1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       3         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       7         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Caste       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Workers       11         2.5.3       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.4       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.5       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.6       Feeding Group III       14         2.6.1       Wood Nest	DEC	LARATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT       iv         ABSTRACT       v         ABSTRAK       vi         TABLE OF CONTENTS       vii         LIST OF TABLES       xi         LIST OF FIGURES       xiii         LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIVES       xv         LIST OF APPENDIX       xvi         CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION       1         1.1       Background       1         1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       3         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       6         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Life Cycle       9         2.4       Termite Soldiers       11         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Workers       11         2.5.1       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group III       14         2.5.4       Feeding Group III       14         2.5.1       Feeding Group III       14	CER	TIFICATION	iii
ABSTRACT v ABSTRAK v ABSTRAK vi TABLE OF CONTENTS vii LIST OF CONTENTS vii LIST OF TABLES xi LIST OF FIGURES xii LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIVES xv LIST OF APPENDIX vvi CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background 1 1.2 Forest Land in Malaysia 1.3 Justification 4 1.4 Research Objectives 5 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Introduction 6 2.4 Termite Morphology 7 2.3 Termite Life Cycle 9 2.4 Termite Scate 10 2.4.1 Reproductive Termites 10 2.4.2 Termite Functional Group 12 2.5.1 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.3 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.3 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.4 Feeding Group I 15 2.6.1 Wood Nester 16 2.6.2 Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester 16 2.6.3 Epigeal Mounds 16 2.6.4 Arboreal Nester 17 2.7.2 Family Rainotermitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Rainotermitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.4 Family Rainotermitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.5 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.6 Family Rainotermitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.7 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.8 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.9 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.9 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.9 Family Termiti	ACK		iv
ABSTRACT       V         ABSTRAK       vi         TABLE OF CONTENTS       vii         LIST OF TABLES       xi         LIST OF FIGURES       xiii         LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIVES       xv         LIST OF APPENDIX       xvi         CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION       1         1.1       Background       1         1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       3         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       5         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Functional Group       12         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Functional Group       12         2.5.1       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.4       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.5       Feeding Group III       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterr	ADC	TRACT	
ABSTRAK       Vi         TABLE OF CONTENTS       vii         LIST OF TABLES       xi         LIST OF FIGURES       xiii         LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIVES       xv         LIST OF APPENDIX       xvi         CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION       1         1.1       Background       1         1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       3         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       6         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Scaste       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.5.3       Feeding Group I       12         2.5.4       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group III       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       16         2.6.3       Epigeal Mounds       16         2.6.4	ADS		v
TABLE OF CONTENTS       vii         LIST OF TABLES       xi         LIST OF FIGURES       xiii         LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIVES       xv         LIST OF APPENDIX       xvi         CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION       1         1.1       Background       1         1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       3         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       6         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Cycle       9         2.4       Termite Soldiers       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.5.1       Feeding Group I       12         2.5.1       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group II       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2	ABS	IKAK	VI
LIST OF TABLES xi LIST OF FIGURES xi LIST OF FIGURES xv LIST OF APPENDIX xvi CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background 1 1.2 Forest Land in Malaysia 1.3 Justification 4 1.4 Research Objectives 5 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 6 2.1 Introduction 6 2.2 Termite Morphology 7 2.3 Termite Life Cycle 9 2.4 Termites Caste 10 2.4.1 Reproductive Termites 10 2.4.2 Termite Workers 11 2.5.1 Feeding Group I 2.5.1 Feeding Group I 2.5.1 Feeding Group I 2.5.3 Feeding Group I 2.5.4 Feeding Group I 2.5.4 Feeding Group I 2.5.4 Feeding Group IV 15 2.5.4 Feeding Group IV 15 2.7.4 Family Kalotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites) 17 2.7.2 Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterra	TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES xiii LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIVES xv LIST OF APPENDIX xvi CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background 1 1.2 Forest Land in Malaysia 1.3 Justification 4 1.4 Research Objectives 5 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Introduction 6 2.2 Termite Morphology 7 2.3 Termite Life Cycle 9 2.4 Termites Caste 10 2.4.1 Reproductive Termites 10 2.4.2 Termite Soldiers 11 2.4.3 Termite Workers 11 2.5.1 Feeding Group I 12 2.5.1 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.2 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.3 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.3 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.4 Feeding Group I 15 2.5.4 Feeding Group I 17 2.5.5 Figure I Nounds 16 2.6.1 Wood Nester 16 2.6.2 Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester 16 2.6.3 Epigeal Mounds 16 2.6.4 Arboreal Nester 17 2.7 Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah 17 2.7.1 Family Kalotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites) 17 2.7.2 Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 3.7.3 Family Termitedae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 17 3.7.3 Family Termitedae (	LIST	OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIVES xv LIST OF APPENDIX xvi CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background 1 1.2 Forest Land in Malaysia 3 1.3 Justification 4 1.4 Research Objectives 5 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Introduction 6 2.2 Termite Morphology 7 2.3 Termite Life Cycle 9 2.4 Termites Caste 10 2.4.1 Reproductive Termites 10 2.4.2 Termite Soldiers 11 2.4.3 Termite Workers 11 2.5.1 Feeding Group I 12 2.5.1 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.2 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.3 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.3 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.4 Feeding Group I 14 2.5.4 Feeding Group I 15 2.5.4 Feeding Group I 16 2.6.1 Wood Nester 16 2.6.2 Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester 16 2.6.3 Epigeal Mounds 16 2.6.4 Arboreal Nester 17 2.7 Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah 17 2.7.1 Family Kalotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites) 17 2.7.2 Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Termitidae (Wet-wood Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Termitidae (Wound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Churd Nester 18 2.7.3 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Termited Termites Termites Termites 18 2.7.3 Family Termited Termites Termites 18 2.7.3	LIST	OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDIX xvi CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 Background 1 1.2 Forest Land in Malaysia 3 1.3 Justification 4 1.4 Research Objectives 5 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 6 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 6 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW 7 2.1 Introduction 6 2.2 Termite Morphology 7 2.3 Termite Life Cycle 9 2.4 Termites Caste 100 2.4.1 Reproductive Termites 100 2.4.2 Termite Soldiers 100 2.4.3 Termite Workers 110 2.5.1 Feeding Group I 2.5.1 Feeding Group I 2.5.2 Feeding Group I 2.5.3 Feeding Group I 2.5.4 Feeding Group II 2.5.4 Feeding Group II 2.5.5 Termite Habitat 15 2.6.1 Wood Nester 16 2.6.2 Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester 16 2.6.3 Epigeal Mounds 16 2.6.4 Arboreal Nester 17 2.7 Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah 17 2.7.1 Family Kalotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites) 17 2.7.2 Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites) 18 2.7.3 Family Chalcerarisitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18 2.7.4 Family Chalc	LIST	OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIVES	xv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION         1.1       Background       1         1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       3         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW       6         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Cycle       9         2.4       Termites Caste       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.4.3       Termite Workers       11         2.5.4       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group IV       15         2.5.4       Feeding Group IV       15         2.5.4       Feeding Group IV       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       16         2.6.3       Epigeal Mounds       16         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7.1	LIST	OF APPENDIX	xvi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION       1         1.1       Background       1         1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       3         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Life Cycle       9         2.4       Termites Caste       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.4.3       Termite Workers       11         2.5.1       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.4       Feeding Group IV       15         2.6       Termite Habitat       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       16         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7       Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Mound, Subte			
1.1       Background       1         1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       3         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Life Cycle       9         2.4       Termites Caste       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.4.3       Termite Workers       11         2.5.1       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.4       Feeding Group IV       15         2.6       Termite Habitat       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       16         2.6.3       Epigeal Mounds       16         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidd	CHA	PTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.2       Forest Land in Malaysia       3         1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Life Cycle       9         2.4       Termites Caste       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.4.3       Termite Workers       11         2.5.1       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.4       Feeding Group IV       15         2.6       Termite Habitat       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       16         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7       Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)       18         2.7.3       Family Chotermitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eatin	1.1	Background	1
1.3       Justification       4         1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Korphology       7         2.4       Termites Caste       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.4.3       Termite Workers       11         2.5.5       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.4       Feeding Group IV       15         2.6       Termite Habitat       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       16         2.6.3       Epigeal Mounds       16         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)       18	1.2	Forest Land in Malaysia	3
1.4       Research Objectives       5         CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Korkers       9         2.4       Termites Caste       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.4.3       Termite Workers       11         2.5.1       Feeding Group I       12         2.5.1       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group III       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group IV       15         2.6       Termite Habitat       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       16         2.6.3       Epigeal Mounds       16         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinoter	1.3	Justification	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW         2.1       Introduction         2.2       Termite Morphology         2.3       Termite Morphology         2.3       Termite Life Cycle         2.4       Termites Caste         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers         2.4.3       Termite Workers         2.5       Termite Functional Group         2.5.1       Feeding Group I         2.5.2       Feeding Group II         2.5.3       Feeding Group II         2.5.4       Feeding Group IV         2.6       Termite Habitat         2.6.1       Wood Nester         2.6.1       Wood Nester         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester         2.6.3       Epigeal Mounds         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester         2.7       Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)         2.7.3       Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)	1.4	Research Objectives	5
2.1       Introduction       6         2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Life Cycle       9         2.4       Termites Caste       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.4.3       Termite Workers       11         2.4.3       Termite Workers       11         2.5.1       Feeding Group I       12         2.5.1       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group III       15         2.5.4       Feeding Group IV       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       16         2.6.3       Epigeal Mounds       16         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)       18         2.7.3       Family Termitidae (Wound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)       18         2.7.4       Fermily Termitidae Indexter       18	СНА	PTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.2       Termite Morphology       7         2.3       Termite Life Cycle       9         2.4       Termites Caste       10         2.4.1       Reproductive Termites       10         2.4.2       Termite Soldiers       11         2.4.3       Termite Workers       11         2.5.4       Feeding Group I       14         2.5.2       Feeding Group II       14         2.5.3       Feeding Group III       14         2.5.4       Feeding Group IV       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       16         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)       18         2.7.3       Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)       18         2.7.3       Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)       18	2 1	UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH	6
2.3Termite Life Cycle92.4Termites Caste102.4.1Reproductive Termites102.4.2Termite Soldiers112.4.3Termite Workers112.4.3Termite Workers112.5Termite Functional Group122.5.1Feeding Group I142.5.2Feeding Group II142.5.3Feeding Group III152.5.4Feeding Group IV152.6Termite Habitat152.6.1Wood Nester162.6.2Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester162.6.3Epigeal Mounds162.6.4Arboreal Nester172.7Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah172.7.1Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)172.7.2Family Rhinotermitidae (Meund, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)182.7.3Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)18	2.2	Termite Morphology	7
2.4Termites Caste102.4.1Reproductive Termites102.4.2Termite Soldiers112.4.3Termite Workers112.4.3Termite Workers112.5Termite Functional Group122.5.1Feeding Group I142.5.2Feeding Group II142.5.3Feeding Group III152.5.4Feeding Group IV152.6Termite Habitat152.6.1Wood Nester162.6.2Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester162.6.3Epigeal Mounds162.6.4Arboreal Nester172.7Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah172.7.1Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)172.7.2Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)182.7.3Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)18	2.3	Termite Life Cycle	9
2.4.1Reproductive Termites102.4.2Termite Soldiers112.4.3Termite Workers112.4.3Termite Workers112.4.3Termite Workers112.4.3Termite Functional Group122.5.1Feeding Group I142.5.2Feeding Group II142.5.3Feeding Group III152.5.4Feeding Group IV152.6Termite Habitat152.6.1Wood Nester162.6.2Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester162.6.3Epigeal Mounds162.6.4Arboreal Nester172.7Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah172.7.1Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)172.7.2Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)182.7.3Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)18	2.4	Termites Caste	10
2.4.2Termite Soldiers112.4.3Termite Workers112.5.1Feeding Group I122.5.1Feeding Group II142.5.2Feeding Group III142.5.3Feeding Group III152.5.4Feeding Group IV152.6Termite Habitat152.6.1Wood Nester162.6.2Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester162.6.3Epigeal Mounds162.6.4Arboreal Nester172.7Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah172.7.1Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)172.7.2Family Rhinotermitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)182.7.3Family Chulchermitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)18		2.4.1 Reproductive Termites	10
2.4.3 Termite Workers112.5Termite Functional Group122.5.1 Feeding Group I142.5.2 Feeding Group II142.5.3 Feeding Group III152.5.4 Feeding Group IV152.6Termite Habitat152.6.1 Wood Nester162.6.2 Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester162.6.3 Epigeal Mounds162.6.4 Arboreal Nester172.7Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah172.7.1 Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)172.7.2 Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)182.7.3 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)182.7.4 Family Christer18		2.4.2 Termite Soldiers	11
2.5Termite Functional Group122.5.1Feeding Group I142.5.2Feeding Group II142.5.3Feeding Group III152.5.4Feeding Group IV152.6Termite Habitat152.6.1Wood Nester162.6.2Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester162.6.3Epigeal Mounds162.6.4Arboreal Nester172.7Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah172.7.1Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)172.7.2Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)182.7.3Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)18		2.4.3 Termite Workers	11
2.5.1Feeding Group I142.5.2Feeding Group III142.5.3Feeding Group III152.5.4Feeding Group IV152.6Termite Habitat152.6.1Wood Nester162.6.2Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester162.6.3Epigeal Mounds162.6.4Arboreal Nester172.7Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah172.7.1Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)172.7.2Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)182.7.3Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)18	2.5	Termite Functional Group	12
2.5.2Feeding Group II142.5.3Feeding Group III152.5.4Feeding Group IV152.6Termite Habitat152.6.1Wood Nester162.6.2Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester162.6.3Epigeal Mounds162.6.4Arboreal Nester172.7Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah172.7.1Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)172.7.2Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)182.7.3Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)18		2.5.1 Feeding Group I	14
2.5.3Feeding Group III152.5.4Feeding Group IV152.6Termite Habitat152.6.1Wood Nester162.6.2Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester162.6.3Epigeal Mounds162.6.4Arboreal Nester172.7Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah172.7.1Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)172.7.2Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)182.7.3Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)18		2.5.2 Feeding Group II	14
2.5.4       Feeding Group IV       15         2.6       Termite Habitat       15         2.6.1       Wood Nester       16         2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       16         2.6.3       Epigeal Mounds       16         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7       Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)       18         2.7.3       Family Strikter       18		2.5.3 Feeding Group III	15
<ul> <li>2.6 Termite Habitat</li> <li>2.6.1 Wood Nester</li> <li>2.6.2 Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester</li> <li>2.6.3 Epigeal Mounds</li> <li>2.6.4 Arboreal Nester</li> <li>2.7 Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah</li> <li>2.7.1 Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)</li> <li>2.7.2 Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)</li> <li>2.7.3 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)</li> <li>18</li> <li>2.7.4 Family Striktermitidae</li> </ul>	2.0	2.5.4 Feeding Group IV	15
2.0.1Wood Nester162.6.2Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester162.6.3Epigeal Mounds162.6.4Arboreal Nester172.7Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah172.7.1Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)172.7.2Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)182.7.3Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)18	2.0	Permite Habitat	15
2.6.2       Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester       10         2.6.3       Epigeal Mounds       16         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7       Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)       18         2.7.3       Family Striktermitidae       18         2.7.4       Family Striktermitidae       18		2.6.1 Wood Nester	10
2.6.3       Epigeal Mounds       10         2.6.4       Arboreal Nester       17         2.7       Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)       18         2.7.3       Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)       18		2.6.2 Hypogeal or Subterranean Nester	10
2.7       Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah       17         2.7       Common Families of Termites Found in Sabah       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)       18         2.7.3       Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)       18         2.7.4       Family Striktermitidae       18		2.0.3 Epigeal Mounas	10
2.7       Common Parmites of Termites Found in Saban       17         2.7.1       Family Kalotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)       18         2.7.3       Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)       18         2.7.4       Family Styletormitidae       18	27	2.0.4 Arboreal Nester	17
2.7.1       Family Raiotermitidae (Dry-wood Termites)       17         2.7.2       Family Rhinotermitidae (Wet-wood Termites)       18         2.7.3       Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites)       18         2.7.4       Family Styletormitidae       18	2./	2.7.1 Eamily Valotermitidae (Dry wood Termitee)	17
2.7.2 Family Kninotermitidae (wet-wood Termites) 10 2.7.3 Family Termitidae (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating Termites) 18		2.7.1 Family Nature (Dry-Wood Termites)	18
2.7.5 Family reminude (Mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating remites) 10		2.7.2 Family Kninotermitidae (Wet-Wood Termites)	10
		2.7.5 Family remitude (mound, Subterranean, Bark-eating remites) 2.7.4 Family Stylotermitidae	18

2.8	Role of Termites in the Ecosystem	18
2.9	l'ermite Studies in Sabah	20
2.10	1 ypes of Forest in Sabah	22
	a Beach Forest	22
	h Mangrove Forest	23
	c Ninah Forest	23
	d Transitional Forest	24
	e Swamp Forest	24
	f. Dipterocarp Forest	24
	a. Riverine Forest	25
	h. Heath Forest	25
	i. Ultramafic Forest	25
	j. Montane Forest	25
	2.10.2 Forest Classification Management in Sabah	26
	a. Protection Forest Reserve	27
	b. Commercial Forest Reserve	27
	c. Domestic Forest Reserve	27
	d. Amenity Forest Reserve	27
	e. Mangrove Forest Reserve	28
	f. Virgin Jungle Reserve	28
	g. Wildlife Reserve	28
2.11	Types of Logging in Malaysia	28
2.12	Different Types of Management Concepts	29
	2.12.1 Regeneration Improvement Felling System, RIFS	30
	2.12.2 Malayan Uniform System 50, MUS 50	30
	2.12.3 Malayan Uniform System 60, MUS 60	31
	2.12.4 Malayan Uniform System 70, MUS 70	31
2 4 2	2.12.5 Selective Management System, SMS	32
2.13	Reducing Logging Damages and Waste	33
2.14	Impacts of Forest Disturbance in Insects	34
СНАР	TER 3: STUDY SITE	
3.1	Study Site	36
3.2	Danum Valley Conservation Area	37
3.3	Sampling Location in Danum Valley	39
	3.3.1 Primary Forest of Danum Valley	39
	3.3.2 Regenerated Forest of Danum Valley	40
3.4	Sampling Design	42
3.5	Termite and Tree Species Identification	43
3.6	Distribution of Termites	44
3.7	Species Diversity Index	44
	3.7.1 Shannon-Wiener Index	44
	3.7.2 Shannon-Wiener Evenness Index	45
	3.7.3 Simpson's Diversity Index	45
3.8	Statistical Analysis	46
	3.8.1 Multi Regression Analysis of Termites in Primary Forest	46
	3.8.2 Multi Regression Analysis of Termites in Regenerated Forest	47
	3.8.3 Multi Regression Analysis of Overall Termites in Danum Valley	4/

3.8.4	Multi Regression Analysis on Wood Feeding Termites in
	Danum Valley

48

87

90

91

93

# **CHAPTER 4: RESULTS**

4.1.1 Termite Diversity Index5a. Shannon-Wiener Index5b. Simpson's Index54.2 Functional Composition of Termites in Danum Valley54.3 Nesting Group of Termites in Danum Valley54.4 Tree Species Checklist54.5 Composition of Termites in Danum Valley64.6 Distribution of Termites in Danum Valley6	54
a.Shannon-Wiener Index5b.Simpson's Index54.2Functional Composition of Termites in Danum Valley54.3Nesting Group of Termites in Danum Valley54.4Tree Species Checklist54.5Composition of Trees in Danum Valley64.6Distribution of Termites in Danum Valley6	
b.Simpson's Index54.2Functional Composition of Termites in Danum Valley54.3Nesting Group of Termites in Danum Valley54.4Tree Species Checklist54.5Composition of Trees in Danum Valley64.6Distribution of Termites in Danum Valley6	54
4.2Functional Composition of Termites in Danum Valley54.3Nesting Group of Termites in Danum Valley54.4Tree Species Checklist54.5Composition of Trees in Danum Valley64.6Distribution of Termites in Danum Valley6	54
4.3Nesting Group of Termites in Danum Valley54.4Tree Species Checklist54.5Composition of Trees in Danum Valley64.6Distribution of Termites in Danum Valley6	55
4.4Tree Species Checklist54.5Composition of Trees in Danum Valley64.6Distribution of Termites in Danum Valley6	56
4.5Composition of Trees in Danum Valley64.6Distribution of Termites in Danum Valley6	58
4.6 Distribution of Termites in Danum Valley 6	54
	57
4.6.1 Association of Termite and Types of Trees	57
4.7 Abiotic Multi Regression Analysis in the Primary Forest 7	72
4.8 Abiotic Multi Regression Analysis in the Regenerated Forest 7	73
4.9 Abiotic Multi Regression Analysis in the Forest of Danum Valley 7	74
4.10 Abiotic Multi Regression Analysis of Wood Feeding Termites in	75
Danum Valley	
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION	
5.1 Termite Assemblage in Danum Valley Conservation Area	79
5 1 1 Termite Species Richness	21
5.2 Distribution of Termites	21
5.3 Pest Species in the Forest of Danum Valley	22
5.4 Tree Species Composition in Danum Valley	35

5.5	Relationship	between Termites and Tree Species

- 5.6 Abiotic Factors
- 5.7 Multi Regression Analysis

# **CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION**

REFERENCE	95
Appendix A	104
Appendix B	107
Appendix C	108
Appendix D	109
Appendix E	110
Appendix F	111
Appendix G	112
Appendix H	113
Appendix I	114
Appendix J	115
Appendix K	116

Appendix L	117
Appendix M	118
Appendix N	119
Appendix O	120



# LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1:	Main termite clades showing feeding substrate and main habitat	13
Table 2.2:	Classification of termite feeding group	14
Table 2.3:	Summary of previous termite sampling in the Sabah region	21
Table 2.4:	Types of forest management concepts which were used in Malaysia	29
Table 2.5:	Operational process of RIFS	30
Table 2.6:	Operational process of MUS 50	31
Table 2.7:	Operational process of MUS 60	32
Table 2.8:	Operational process of MUS 70	32
Table 2.9:	Operational process of SMS	33
Table 2.10:	Logging damages to residual stands as percentage of commercial stem density between Conventional Logging and RIL in Malaysia	34
Table 2.11:	Logging waste as percentage of harvested wood Conventional Logging and RIL in Malaysia	34
Table 2.12:	Impacts of forest disturbance towards different types of insect groups in Sabah	35
Table 3.1:	Characters used to classify termite existence in SPSS 21	47
Table 3.2:	Characters used to classify soil moisture in SPSS 21	47
Table 4.1:	List of termites collected from the primary forest, (PF) and regenerated forest, (RF) with a classification of feeding group and nesting group in Danum Valley	50
Table 4.2:	Shannon-Wiener Index of termites in Danum Valley	54
Table 4.3:	Simpson's Diversity Index of termites in Danum Valley	54
Table 4.4:	List of tree species in primary forest (PF) and regenerated forest (RF) from Danum Valley arranged according to family and tree species	59

Table 4.5:	List of dead trees in the primary forest, (PF) and regenerated forest, (RF) from Danum Valley	66
Table 4.6:	Association between tree species and termite species in the primary forest of Danum Valley	68
Table 4.7:	Association between termite species and tree species in the regenerated forest of Danum Valley	70
Table 4.8:	Modal Summary Analysis for the primary forest of Danum Valley	72
Table 4.9:	ANOVA for the primary forest of Danum Valley	72
Table 4.10:	Coefficient Analysis for the primary forest of Danum Valley	72
Table 4.11:	Modal Summary Analysis for the regenerated forest of Danum Valley	73
Table 4.12:	ANOVA for the regenerated forest of Danum Valley	73
Table 4.13:	Coefficient Analysis for the regenerated forest of Danum Valley	74
Table 4.14:	Modal Summary Analysis for the forest of Danum Valley	74
Table 4.15:	ANOVA for the forest of Danum Valley	74
Table 4.16:	Coefficient Analysis for the forest of Danum Valley	75
Table 4.17:	List of pest termites collected from the Primary Forest, PF and Regenerated Forest, RF of Danum Valley	76
Table 4.18:	Modal Summary Analysis for pest termites from the forest of Danum Valley	76
Table 4.19:	ANOVA for pest termites from the forest of Danum Valley	77
Table 4.20:	Coefficient Analysis of pest termites in Danum Valley	77
Table 4.21:	Trees infested by pest termites	78

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	General comparisons of termites and ants	7
Figure 2.2:	General termite life cycle	10
Figure 2.3:	Different castes of termites of Macrotermes gilvus	12
Figure 2.4:	Diagrammatic chart of the major floristic associations in Sabah and Sarawak Forest	23
Figure 2.5:	Different forest reserve classes in Sabah	26
Figure 3.1:	Map of forest in Sabah, Malaysia	36
Figure 3.2:	Map of DVCA, Lahad Datu, Sabah	38
Figure 3.3:	Average rainfall according to months from 1985-2011 in DVCA	39
Figure 3.4:	Map of primary forest research plots in Danum Valley	40
Figure 3.5:	Map of the regenerated forest, Coupe 89 research plots in Danum Valley	41
Figure 3.6:	Overview placements of plots from the primary forest and regenerating forest of Danum Valley	43
Figure 4.1:	Total number of termite, (hits) according to forest types in Danum Valley	51
Figure 4.2:	Number of termites, (hits) according to family from the type of forest in Danum Valley	52
Figure 4.3:	Number of termites, (hits) according to subfamilies in Danum Valley	52
Figure 4.4:	Number of termites, (hits) according to subfamilies from the types of forest in Danum Valley	53
Figure 4.5:	Overall composition of termite functional group in Danum Valley	55
Figure 4.6:	Comparison of termite functional group between types of forest in Danum Valley	56
Figure 4.7:	Nesting group of termites in Danum Valley	57
Figure 4.8:	Comparison of termite nesting group in Danum Valley	58

- Figure 4.9: Comparison of tree abundance according to tree families 63 from the primary and regenerated forest of Danum Valley
- Figure 4.10: Total composition of trees in the plots of Danum Valley 64
- Figure 4.11: Comparison of tree composition between the primary 65 forest and regenerated forest of Danum Valley
- Figure 4.12: Vern diagram relationship between termite species and 69 tree species from the primary forest of Danum Valley
- Figure 4.13: Vern diagram relationship between tree species and 71 termite species from the regenerated forest of Danum Valley



## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION

%	Percentage
m	Meter
mm	Millimeter
cm	Centimeter
ha	Hectare
km <sup>2</sup>	Kilometer square
m <sup>3</sup> ha	Cubic meter per hectare
kg ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	Kilogram per hectare per annual
CH <sub>4</sub>	Methane
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide
F	Felling
H′	Shannon-Wiener Index
Η″	Shannon-Wiener Evenness Index
D	Simpson's Diversity Index
Sp	Species
Mt	Mount
2D	Two dimension
рН	Potential of Hydogen
TPA	Total Protected Area
PFE	Permanent Forest Estate
SLF	State Land Forest
RIL 🔄 🤇	Reduce Impact Logging
WWF	World Wide Fund for Nature
DBH	Diameter Breast Height LAYSIA SABAH
RDC	Research Development Centre
MUS	Malayan Uniform System
SMS	Selective Management System
RIFS	Regeneration Improvement Felling System
Pre-F	Pre Felling
Post-F	Post Felling
DVCA	Danum Valley Conversation Area
DVMC	Danum Valley Management Committee
IBTC	Institute for Tropical Biology and Conversation
SPSS	Statiscal Package for Social Science
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
ARCGIS	Geographic Information System Software
SEARRP	South East Asia Rainforest Research Programme

# LIST OF APPENDIX

		Page
Appendix A	Assigned Tree Species Numbers	104
Appendix B	Assigned Colour for Tree Species	107
Appendix C	Assigned Colour for Termite Species	108
Appendix D	Map of Plot 1 in the Primary Forest of Danum Valley	109
Appendix E	Map of Plot 2 in the Primary Forest of Danum Valley	110
Appendix F	Map of Plot 3 in the Primary Forest of Danum Valley	111
Appendix G	Map of Plot 4 in the Regenerated Forest of Danum Valley	112
Appendix H	Map of Plot 5 in the Regenerated Forest of Danum Valley	113
Appendix I	Map of Plot 6 in the Regenerated Forest of Danum Valley	114
Appendix J	Calculation of Shannon-Wiener Index (Primary Forest)	115
Appendix K	Calculation of Shannon-Wiener Index (Regenerated Forest)	116
Appendix L	Rel <mark>ations</mark> hip of <i>Schedorhinotermes brevialatus</i> on <i>Parashorea malaanonan</i>	117
Appendix M	Relationship of Microcerotermes dubius on Dehaasia caesia	118
Appendix N	Relationship of <i>Microcerotermes dubius</i> on <i>Diospyros</i> macrophylla	119
Appendix O	Relationship between <i>Macrotermes malaccensis</i> on <i>Shorea</i> parvifolia	120

# PERPUSTANAAN

# **CHAPTER 1**

# INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

Termite is an insect classified under Insecta and often referred to as 'white ants' (Eggleton et al., 1994). However, termites are not related to ants. Instead previous and recent studies showed that termites and cockroaches have similar molecular phylogenetic. Thus, termites are known to be social cockroaches (Inward et al., 2007; Eggleton et al., 2007). Termites can be found from the temperate regions to the rainforest of Africa, South America and Asia. In addition, termites are also vastly populated in the tropical rainforest as the best sites to examine tropical termites are in Peninsular Malaysia, Sumatra, Borneo and Java. In Malaysia, good taxonomic accounts exists for Peninsular Malaysia (Tho, 1992) and Sabah (Thapa, 1981).

#### JNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

According to Krishna et al., (2013), there are currently 3105 living and fossil termite species around the world. These species consist of 330 of living and fossil genera from twelve main termite families. The twelve termite families which have been accounted for in the world are Cratomastotermitidae (fossil family), Mastotermitidae, Termopsidae (fossil family), Archotermopsidae, Hodotermitidae, Stolotermitidae. Kalotermitidae. Archeorhinotermitidae (fossil family). Stylotermitidae, Serritermitidae, Rhinotermitidae, and Termitidae. However, there are only four of these families of termites which have been recorded in Malavsia. They are Kalotermitidae, Rhinotermitidae, Stylotermitidae and Termitidae (Thapa, 1981: Collins, 1988: Tho. 1992), About 323 species out of 52 genera of termites have been recorded in the region of Indo-Malaysia (Thapa, 1981; Tho, 1992). In Peninsular Malaysia, there are 175 species of termites consisting of 42 genera and three families which have been identified (Tho, 1992; Jones and Brendall, 1997).

However, in Sabah there are lesser species sampled compared to Peninsular Malaysia with 103 species of 33 genera from four families (Thapa, 1981).

Termite can be categorized into five known trophic groups according to their food intake, colour of the abdomen, known biology and foraging columns (Eggleton et al., 1997; Jones and Brendell, 1997). The five trophic groups are namely soil feeders; soil-wood interface feeders; wood feeders; litter foragers and micro-epiphyte feeders. The termite feeding habits consists of plant tissue from all stages of decay, living trees and mineral soils. These five trophic groups are related to the four types of termite habitats. Termite nest ranges from small to huge subterranean and epigeal nest system. The construction materials of the nests are mostly from faeces mixed with saliva (Eggleton, 1999). The four types of termite nesting group are wood nesters, subterranean nesters (termites found below the soil), epigeal mounds (termites found above the soil) and arboreal nester (termite nest which are attached outwards from trees) (Eggleton et al., 1997; Homathevi and Noel, 2003).

Wood feeding termites feed on wood and woody litter and are sometimes looked up as pest. Termites become pest when humans alter the natural habitats of these termites such as logging practises and forest destruction. Examples of termite species which infest on standing trees in the tropical rainforest of South East Asia are Coptotermes curvignathus and Microcerotermes dubius (Aihetasham and Iqbal, 2012). However, not all termites are pest species. Most termites benefit the ecosystem greatly as they act as natural decomposers (Bignell and Eggleton, 1999). In addition, termites are also involved in contributing gases exchange such as carbon influx and nitrogen fixation (Eggleton et al., 1997). Activities involving termites includes mound building, subterranean tunnelling and soil feeding of which actually improves the quality and soil structure (Jones and Prasetyo, 2002). Termites also play an important role in the food chain. This is as termites become prey for birds and various types of mammals like sun bears, pangolins and sloth bears (McGavin, 2001).

Termites can also be classified into 'higher termites' and 'lower termites'. This classification is based on the composition of the symbiotic protists and bacteria

2

living in the termites gut. All termite families carry a dense and diverse array of prokaryotes. Lower termites have flagellated protists while higher termites generally lack flagellated protists. Example of higher termite is from the family of Termitidae. This is the only family which is classified as a higher termite however, this family comprises of more than three quarter (75 % - 80 %) of all termite species (Matsui et al., 2009). Higher termites mostly live in the tropical ecosystem in which several termites have been known to maintain the soil fertility. The presence of the flagellated protists on the lower termites allows cellulose digestion in the termite gut.

#### 1.2 Forest Land in Malaysia

Malaysia has an approximately 32.86 million ha of total land area, in which Peninsular Malaysia has 13.16 million ha, Sarawak has 12.33 million ha while Sabah has 7.37 million ha of land. Peninsular Malaysia is separated with the island of Borneo (Sabah and Sarawak) by 720 km of the South China Sea. In Malaysia, there are a total of 18.67 (56.8%) million ha of land gazetted as forested area. Based on policy and administrative framework, the forest resources in Malaysia are categorised according to Permanent Forest Estate (PFE), State Land Forest (SLF), and Totally Protected Area (TPA). In Sabah, there are 3.35 million ha of PFE, 0.84 million ha of SLF and 0.26 million of TPA. The PFE in Sabah are divided into seven different classes which comprises of Protection Forest, Commercial Forest, Domestic Forest, Amenity Forest, Mangrove Forest, Virgin Jungle and Wildlife (Reynolds et al., 2011).

In Malaysia, forestry plays a major role in the socio-economic development by contributing significantly towards foreign exchange earnings, Gross Domestic Product (GDP), government revenue, employment and livelihood of rural population (United Nations Development Programme, 2008). The timber industry has shown a remarkable strength and resilience in exports. Thus for the timber industry to continue growing, continuous harvesting is required. However, with an increase of logging in the tropical rainforest, it is known to cause an effect towards the ecosystem. The behaviour of termites can also be affected due to changing environments. Termites have been known to be affected by intensity, temperature, humidity, altitude and longitude, soil and leaf litter (Gathorne-Hardy et al., 2002).

3

#### **1.3** Justification

The tropical rainforest of Borneo is known to be rich with a diversity of flora and fauna. Different types of studies have been conducted in Borneo. This includes the study pertaining termites which have been well documented especially in Sabah (Eggleton et al., 1997; Jones et al., 1998; Homathevi and Bignell, 1999; Homathevi et al., 2002a). However, no particular study has been conducted to relate the infestation of wood feeding termites with different species of trees especially in the primary and how it changes in disturbed habitat or forest.

Intensive study of termite assemblages has been conducted in the forest of Danum Valley, Lahad Datu (Eggleton et al., 1997; Eggleton et al., 1999). However, the current study differs as this study looks into the distribution of wood feeding termites which are only present on the tree stand. This study shows patterns of termite infestation towards living trees. In addition, this study identifies the types of termites which infest trees and the types of tree species which are infested by pest termite species. Furthermore a comparison of termites and tree species infested from the primary and regenerated forest was undertaken to show the effectiveness of Reduce Impact Logging, RIL.

The abiotic factors and environmental variables were taken to determine the relationship towards the presence of wood feeding termites on certain tree species. These factors would provide better understanding of the feeding preferences and behaviour of the wood feeding termites. It would also show the criteria of standing trees of which wood feeding termites pick. A species checklist of termite pest found in Sabah can be made from this study and can become beneficial to all walks of life.

This study would benefit logged over forest as the infestation of wood feeding termites will be better understood. Indigenous tree species which are infested can be identified and protected. From this study, better forest management can be implemented especially in areas of afforestation and reforestation. Tree species which are abundantly infested by termites can be monitored or reduced. This study will also provide better knowledge of the feeding behavior of these termites. In which durability of processed log can last longer.

4

This will also provide furniture manufacturers with better understanding of certain tree and termite species affiliated for better treatment if needed.

## **1.4 Research Objectives**

The objectives of this research were:

- **a.** To determine the species of wood feeding termites which are associated with the trees in primary and regenerated forest of Danum Valley.
- **b.** To identify the types of trees in primary and regenerated forest which are associated with termites in Danum Valley.
- c. To map the distribution patterns of termites in the forest of Danum Valley.
- **d.** To find the relationship between termites and abiotic factors from the forest of Danum Valley



# **CHAPTER 2**

# LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Introduction

Termites were initially placed under the order of Isoptera which comes from the Greek Language in which '*isd*' means same while '*ptera*' means wing (CSIRO, 1991). It is believed that termites have evolved about 120 million years ago (Collins, 1988). There has been evidence that relates the phylogenetic of a termite to cockroaches (Kambhampati *et al.*, 1996). It is said that termites are derived from a primitive group of wood-dwelling cockroaches (Bignell and Eggleton, 1999). In recent phylogenetic studies, it has been proposed that termites and cockroaches are to be placed under the same order which is Blattodea. This is because from the extraction of a molecular phylogenetic analysis, it showed that termites are social cockroaches. It was also suggested that termites be classified under a new family of cockroaches called Termitidae and the order of Isoptera is no longer valid (Inward *et al.*, 2007).

Termites are a type of polymorphic insect which is an organism with more than one adult form. Furthermore, termites like ants are an eusocial insect in which they form an insect society characterized by specialization of tasks and cooperative care of the young (CSIRO, 1991). Termites are also known as "White Ant" which are one of the most abundant insects in the tropical rainforest of Borneo (Horwood and Eldridge, 2005). The term is an unfortunate one as termites are not related to ants. Termites resemble ants in one aspect of they both live in organised communities (Tweedie and Harrison, 1965). Generally, ants are brownish black while termites are pale brownish white. In comparison, termites have straight antennas while ants have elbowed antennas. Reproductive termites have equal length of wings while for ants, the fore and hind wings differ in length (Koehler and Pereira, 1994). Figure 2.1 shows the general comparison of winged termites and ants.



Figure 2.1 : General comparisons of termites and ants. Source : www.ianrpubs.unl.edu/pages/publicationD.jsp?publicationId=338

Throughout the world, it is known that there are about 3,105 species of 12 families including living and fossil termites Krishna *et al.* (2013). Termites are widely dispersed throughout the tropics and have high diversities and abundance in the rainforest of Africa, South America and Southeast Asia (Bignell and Eggleton, 1999). There are about 150 species in 44 genera which have been recognised in Malaysia (Jones and Eggleton, 2000). In Sabah, about 103 species of termites were collected around the island, in which 38 species were new species (Thapa, 1981).

#### 2.2 Termite Morphology

The termite anatomy can be divided into three segments which are head, thorax and abdomen. However, different castes of termites have different morphology characteristics. Morphology characteristics of a termite include antennas, compound eyes (Amornsak *et al.*, 2003), three pairs of legs and termite alates have two pairs of wings (fore and hind wings).