

GROWTH FACTORS OF CONSTRUCTION FIRMS IN KOTA KINABALU, SABAH.



LIM SHIN TARN

UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirement for the degree of Master of Business
Administration.

**SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMY
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA SABAH
2007**

GROWTH FACTORS OF CONSTRUCTION FIRMS IN KOTA KINABALU, SABAH.



LIM SHIN TARN

UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMY
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA SABAH
2007

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS[®]

JUDUL: *GROWTH FACTORS OF CONSTRUCTION FIRMS IN KOTA KINABALU, SABAH.*

IJAZAH: SARJANA PENTADBIRAN PERNIAGAAN (MBA)

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2005-2007

Saya, LIM SHIN TARN mengaku membenarkan tesis Sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
2. Perpustakaan University Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian saya.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. TIDAK TERHAD.


Disahkan oleh


(Penulis: LIM SHIN TARN)

ANITA BINTI ARSAD
PUSTAKAWAN KANAN
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH


(TANDATANGAN PERPUSTAKAWAN)

Alamat:


(Penyelia: Dr. Kalsom Abd. Wahab)

Tarikh: 22 Nov. 2007

Tarikh: 2007


(Penyelia: Dr. Fumitaka Furukawa)

Tarikh: NOV 23, 2007

CATATAN:[®] Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana secara penyelidikan atau disertasi bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan penyelidikan, atau laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (LPSM).

DECLARATION

All the materials in this thesis are original except for quotations, summaries and reference which had been duly acknowledge.

LIM SHIN TARN
PS05-002(K)-053
23 MEI 2007



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. Kalsom and Dr. Fumitaka, for their patience guidance, advices, supports and encouragements through out the course of this research. Thank you also to Prof. Roselina and Dr. Fumitaka, our lecturers for Research Methodology. Experiences and knowledge gained from the lectures and through out the course of this research exercises over the last 5 months indeed help me pick up a new skill which will be truly helpful to my future career advancement.

I would also like to express my appreciation to Pn. Sharija, our course coordinator for making this MBA course so successful and fruitful, and for the troubles she took to arrange special early Viva for myself, due to some unavoidable circumstances.

To my fellow colleagues in this MBA program, they are a special bunch of people, whom willing to share knowledge, information and resources unselfishly. Wish them all the best in whatever they pursue in the future.

Special thanks to Dr. Mastura of Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) and Dr. Fox of Hong Kong Polytechnic University, for their kindness in lending their questionnaires in their respective researches to me. The survey could not be conducted without these highly helpful questionnaires.

Thank you also to the MDs of 183 construction firms in Kota Kinabalu whom participate and respond to the survey, especially 65 of them whom spent half an hour to two hours of their precious time with me during the interviews. I really wish to list down their name one by one shall it was permissible.

I am grateful also to my General Manager, Ir. Heng, for despite knowing that I did some of this MBA research works during the official working hours in office, and utilized some of the company resources for this purpose, remains quietly supportive.

Finally, to my beloved family, I could not have completed the MBA program and this research without their condition-less supports and understandings. Thank you so much.

ABSTRACT

GROWTH FACTORS OF CONSTRUCTION FIRMS IN KOTA KINABALU, SABAH

This research aims to determine growth factors for construction contracting firms operating in Kota Kinabalu, Sabah. The objective is achieved by analyzing relationships between proportionate growths in sales of these firms against the factors stipulated in two proposed models. The first model is called organization characteristic which consists of four theories with nine factors (organizational theory – size, age; human capital theory – experience, education, trainings; social capital theory – relationships, social networks; motivation theory – NACH, LOC). The second model is called organization strategies and consists of two strategies and six factors (marketing strategies – price, product, promotion; management strategies – project, value, finance). Questionnaires data was collected from 183 Managing Directors of construction firms in Kota Kinabalu. Results from analysis reveal that 78.8% and 63.5% of the variance are able to be explained by model 1 and 2 respectively, with age, experience, relationships, social networks, NACH, LOC, price, product, promotion, project management and finance management (total eleven factors) significant. Hopefully, this research has contributed to researches in this field and played its part in paving way for future researches to identify a more sustainable and replicable model, hence assisting the construction community to face the challenge of 21st century.




UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

ABSTRAK

KAJIAN TERHADAP FAKTOR-FAKTOR PERTUMBUHAN SYARIKAT-SYARIKAT PEMBINAAN DI KOTA KINABALU, SABAH

Kajian ini bertujuan mengenalpasti faktor-faktor pertumbuhan bagi syarikat-syarikat pembinaan yang beroperasi di Kota Kinabalu, Sabah. Objektif ini dicapai dengan menganalisis perhubungan antara pertumbuhan berkadaran jualan syarikat-syarikat pembinaan dengan faktor-faktor yang dibentangkan dalam dua model yang dicadangkan. Model pertama dinamakan ciri-ciri organisasi, iaitu terdiri daripada empat teori bersamaan sembilan faktor (teori organisasi – saiz, usia; teori kapital manusia – pengalaman, pembelajaran, latihan; teori kapital sosial – hubungan, rangkaian sosial; teori motivasi – NACH, LOC). Model kedua adalah strategi organisasi, yang terdiri daripada dua strategi bersamaan enam faktor (strategi pemasaran – harga, produk, promosi; strategi pengurusan – projek, nilai, kewangan). Soal selidik telah dikumpul daripada 183 pengarah pengurusan bagi syarikat-syarikat pembinaan di Kota Kinabalu. Hasil daripada analisis jawapan-jawapan mereka, telah didapati bahawa 78.8% dan 63.5% varians dapat dipenuhi oleh model 1 dan model 2 masing-masing, dengan usia, pengalaman, hubungan, rangkaian sosial, NACH, LOC, harga, produk, promosi, pengurusan projek dan pengurusan kewangan (kesemuanya sebelas faktor) didapati mustahak. Adalah diharapkan bahawa kajian ini telah memberikan sumbangan kepada penyelidikan dalam bidang ini, dan memainkan peranan dalam membuka jalan untuk kajian-kajian yang lebih lengkap dan sempurna pada masa depan, dan selanjutnya membantu masyarakat pembinaan menghadapi cabaran-cabaran sengit abad ke-21 ini.



UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Overview of Construction Industry.....	1
1.2 Construction Industry in Malaysia.....	3
1.3 Construction Industry in Sabah and Kota Kinabalu.....	5
1.4 Problem Statement.....	6
1.5 Objective and Scope of Study.....	8
1.6 Significant of the Study.....	9
1.7 Organization of Study.....	10
 CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction.....	11
2.2 Definition of Construction Firms.....	12
2.3 Definition of Growth Factors.....	12
2.3.1 Rule of Proportionate Growth.....	13
2.4 Organizational Factors.....	13
2.4.1 Size of Firms.....	14
2.4.2 Age of Firms.....	16
2.5 Motivation Factors.....	17
2.5.1 NACH and LOC.....	17
2.6 Human Capital Factors.....	18
2.6.1 MD Experience.....	18
2.6.2 MD Education.....	19
2.6.3 MD Training.....	20
2.7 Social Capital Factor.....	20
2.7.1 Relationship and Social Networks.....	21
2.8 Marketing Strategy.....	21
2.8.1 Pricing Strategy.....	22
2.8.2 Product Strategy (Market Positioning).....	22
2.8.3 Promotion Strategy (Tendering Pattern).....	24
2.9 Management Strategy.....	24
2.9.1 Project Management.....	24
2.9.2 Value Management.....	25
2.9.3 Finance Management.....	26
2.10 Summary.....	27
 CHAPTER 3 : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND FRAMEWORK	
3.1 Introduction.....	28
3.2 Research Framework.....	28
3.2.1 Dependent Variable.....	30
3.2.2 Independent Variables.....	30
3.3 Hypotheses.....	31
3.4 Research Design.....	32

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CHAPTER 3 : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND FRAMEWORK	
3.5 Unit Analysis.....	33
3.6 Sampling Design.....	33
3.6.1 Location of Study and Population.....	33
3.6.2 Sampling Technique.....	35
3.6.3 Sampling Size.....	35
3.7 Instrument Design.....	36
3.7.1 Questionnaire.....	36
3.7.2 Measurement.....	37
3.8 Data Collection Method.....	42
3.8.1 Data Homogeneity Test.....	44
3.9 Data Analysis Method.....	45
3.10 Summary.....	47
CHAPTER 4 : ANALYSIS OF RESULTS	
4.1 Introduction.....	48
4.2 Profile of Respondents.....	48
4.3 Reliability Test Analysis.....	51
4.3.1 Reliability Test for NACH.....	52
4.3.2 Reliability Test for LOC.....	52
4.3.3 Reliability Test for Relationships.....	53
4.3.4 Reliability Test for Social Networks.....	54
4.3.5 Reliability Test for Project Management.....	54
4.3.6 Reliability Test for Value Management.....	54
4.3.7 Reliability Test for Finance Management.....	55
4.4 Descriptive Analysis of Variables.....	56
4.5 Inferential Analysis.....	59
4.5.1 Independent Groups t-test for Size of Firms.....	60
4.5.2 Independent Groups t-test for Pricing Strategy.....	60
4.5.3 Independent Groups t-test for Product Strategy.....	61
4.5.4 One-Way ANOVA Test for Promotion Strategy.....	62
4.6 Hypothesis Testing.....	63
4.6.1 Multiple Regression Model 1 – Organization Characteristic.....	63
4.6.2 Multiple Regression Model 2 – Organization Strategies.....	65
4.6.3 Model 2 Investigation – Pricing Strategy Re-Categorized... ..	67
4.6.4 Hypotheses Testing	68
4.7 Summary of Findings.....	72

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

CHAPTER 5 : DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

5.1	Introduction.....	75
5.2	Recapitulation.....	75
5.3	Discussion.....	77
5.3.1A	Size of Firms.....	77
5.3.1B	Age of Firms.....	78
5.3.2A	MD Need of Achievement Motivation (NACH).....	78
5.3.2B	MD Internal Locus of Control (LOC).....	79
5.3.3A	MD Experience	79
5.3.3B	MD Education	80
5.3.3C	MD Trainings	80
5.3.4A	Firms Relationships	81
5.3.4B	MD Social Networks.....	81
5.3.5A	Pricing Strategy.....	82
5.3.5B	Product Strategy.....	82
5.3.5C	Promotion Strategy.....	83
5.3.6A	Project Management Strategy.....	83
5.3.6B	Value Management Strategy.....	84
5.3.6C	Finance Management Strategy.....	84
5.4	Implication.....	85
5.5	Limitations of Study.....	86
5.6	Suggestion for Future Research.....	88
5.7	Conclusion.....	89

BIBLIOGRAPHY	91
--------------------	----

APPENDICES	97
------------------	----

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Figure 3.1	Research Framework: Growth Factors for Construction Firms in Kota Kinabalu.....	29
Figure 4.1	Revised Theoretical Framework: Growth Factors for Construction Firms in KK.....	74



LIST OF TABLE

Page

Table 1.1	Numbers of Registered Contractors (with CIDB) by States and Grades.....	4
Table 1.2	Numbers of Registered Contractors by Districts and Grades in Sabah.....	5
Table 2.1	Summary on Previous Researches Reviewed.....	11
Table 2.2	Classification of Firm Size According to Smidec Definition.....	14
Table 2.3	Classification of Contractor According to CIDB Registration.....	15
Table 2.4	Various Types of Construction Firms.....	23
Table 3.1	Sampling Weightage.....	34
Table 3.2a	Data Homogeneity Test – Pricing Strategy.....	44
Table 3.2b	Data Homogeneity Test – Pricing Strategy t-test	44
Table 3.3a	Data Homogeneity Test – Relationships.....	45
Table 3.3b	Data Homogeneity Test – Relationships t-test.....	45
Table 4.01	Summary of Respondents Profiles.....	49
Table 4.02	Reliability Test for NACH.....	52
Table 4.03a	Reliability Test for LOC.....	52
Table 4.03b	Reliability Test for LOC – Item Total Statistic.....	53
Table 4.04	Reliability Test for Relationships.....	53
Table 4.05	Reliability Test for Social Networks.....	54
Table 4.06	Reliability Test for Project Management.....	54
Table 4.07	Reliability Test for Value Management.....	54
Table 4.08a	Reliability Test for Finance Management.....	55
Table 4.08b	Reliability Test for Finance Management – Item Total Statistic.....	55
Table 4.09a	Descriptive Statistic of the Variables.....	56
Table 4.09b	Descriptive Statistic for Size of Firms.....	57
Table 4.09c	Descriptive Statistic for MD's Experience.....	57
Table 4.09d	Descriptive Statistic for MD's Education.....	57
Table 4.09e	Descriptive Statistic for MD's Training.....	58
Table 4.09f	Descriptive Statistic for Price Strategy.....	58
Table 4.09g	Descriptive Statistic for Product Strategy.....	59
Table 4.09h	Descriptive Statistic for Promotion Strategy.....	59
Table 4.10	Independent groups t-test for Size.....	60
Table 4.11	Independent groups t-test for Price.....	61
Table 4.12	Independent groups t-test for Product.....	61
Table 4.13a	Test of Homogeneity of Variance for Tendering Pattern.....	62
Table 4.13b	ANOVA Test for Tendering Pattern.....	62
Table 4.13c	Non-Parametric Test for Tendering Pattern.....	63
Table 4.14a	Model 1 Multiple Regression – Model Summary.....	64
Table 4.14b	Model 1 Multiple Regression – Coefficients.....	64
Table 4.15a	Model 2 Multiple Regression – Model Summary.....	66
Table 4.15b	Model 2 Multiple Regression – Coefficients.....	66
Table 4.16a	Model 2 Pricing Regression – Model Summary.....	67
Table 4.16b	Model 2 Pricing Regression – Coefficients.....	68

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix A	
Questionnaires.....	97
Appendix B	
Test Results – Descriptive Analysis (Original Data).....	109
Appendix C	
Test Results – Descriptive Analysis (with Dummy Variables).....	113
Appendix D	
Test Results – Independent Groups t-tests.....	116
Appendix E	
Test Results – One-Way ANOVA Test.....	118
Appendix F	
Test Results – Reliability Tests.....	119
Appendix G	
Test Results – Multiple Regression Model 1.....	126
Appendix H	
Test Results – Multiple Regression Model 2.....	131
Appendix J	
Verification Test – Pricing Regression Model 2.....	132

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix A	
Questionnaires.....	97
Appendix B	
Test Results – Descriptive Analysis (Original Data).....	109
Appendix C	
Test Results – Descriptive Analysis (with Dummy Variables).....	113
Appendix D	
Test Results – Independent Groups t-tests.....	116
Appendix E	
Test Results – One-Way ANOVA Test.....	118
Appendix F	
Test Results – Reliability Tests.....	119
Appendix G	
Test Results – Multiple Regression Model 1.....	126
Appendix H	
Test Results – Multiple Regression Model 2.....	131
Appendix J	
Verification Test – Pricing Regression Model 2.....	132

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview of Construction Industry

As an industry, construction plays a significant role in the economy. The construction industry (CI) provided for the homes in which people live and the places they use for work and recreation. Construction also provides for essential facilities and infrastructures, such as schools, hospitals, roads, water and electricity supply, and telecommunications.

The industry and its activities are closely linked to other parts of the economy. Important linkages include the manufacturing, wholesale and retail trade industries, as well as the finance and insurance industries. Significant parts of the professional services industry, such as the architectural and engineering professions, are also closely linked to the CI.

Despite its prominent roles, construction market performances are considered to be fundamentally flawed not only in developing but also in developed country (Hindle, 2001). In any other industries, market forces will ensure service providers not meeting the customer expectation to be displaced. However, this does not happened in CI, which leads Carr (2000) to believe that there is a structural impediment to development in CI and the industry need to adopt new form of organization structure, which can understand the customers better. Love, Irani and Edwards (2004) emphasis on a new approach on inter-organization collaboration, cooperative and learning and move towards assimilating a symbiotic client-driven project team entity, away from the traditional fragmented project teams where professional within it aspire to different goal and objectives.

Eaton (1994) further highlighted the chronic problems of CI, which are low productivity, insufficient quality, poor coordination and high cost while Low and Tan (1994) characterized the industry as 4D (Discontinuous, Dispersed, Diverse, and Distinct in Nature). As an industry with unstable workload due to unstable demand, construction firms faced difficulties to improve quality. Metri (2005) insisted that the success of TQM (Total Quality Management) in manufacturing and other industries is forcing construction organizations to adopt TQM. Jaafari (1996) however cautioned that to force quality on the industry without concern about the characteristic, structure, hereditary environment and the unique way CI behaves doom to fail.

On marketing aspect, Yisa *et al.* (1996) pointed out that Construction firms are generally unclear on what should be emphasized on and how to decide on organizational marketing orientation. Consequently, marketing is less developed and performed in most firms at *ad hoc* basis. Bennett (2005) supported this view and further elaborated that firm adopting long term approaches to marketing management across cycles tended to attain superior performance. Unfortunately, short term approaches to marketing were common place in CI.

Traditional indicators for performances in CI, i.e cost, time and quality can no longer provide balance view on project performance, according to Lee (2000). Firms can no longer compete solely on the basis of cost, but must formulate competitive strategy (Chandler, 1997). Love and Holt (2000) argued that the present construction Business Performance Measurement (BPM) is myopic, most often being project specific, profit orientated and neglected broader stakeholder issues and highlighted the need to shift from BPM to Stakeholder Perspective Measurement (SPM), which consider long term relationship with customers, suppliers, employees, financiers and community.

Ofori (2000) emphasized that twenty first century CI needs to transform from 3D (Dirty, Dangerous, Demanding) to 3P (Professional, Productive, Progressive) in order to compete in global market. However, CI in developing countries faced additional challenges compare to those in developed countries due to general situation of socio-economic stress, chronic resource shortage, institutional weakness and general inability to deal with key issues. Another study on future of CI by Siehler (1999) found that triggered by Asian Financial Crisis, there had been a switch in objective of Global construction firms in last few years, from market share to profitability.

In short, despite being one of the major industries, CI falls short compare to other industries in term of process, quality, marketing and value managements due to nature of the industry which is projects-based and having inconsistent demands.

1.2 Construction Industry in Malaysia

In 2005-06, the construction industry contributed 2.7% (RM 7,133 Million) to Malaysia's Gross Domestic Product (GDP). The industry also employed 798,200 workers, either as employees or as self-employed contractors, which represented 7.4% of all the employed persons in Malaysia (Malaysia Finance Ministry Annual Finance Report, 2005-2006).

However, compare with the economy which grew at 5.3%, the construction sector continued to contract albeit at a slower rate of -1.6% in 2005 (Malaysian Construction Industry Directory 2006-2007). The sector remained in recession as the 8th Malaysia Plan was nearing the end and most infrastructure projects had been completed or nearing completion. The residential sector which supported growth in the CI since second quarter of 2003, although showing signs of slow down, has remained resilient. Growth in the sector is expected to recover with the

implementation of 9th Malaysia Plan beginning 2006 in which the Government allocated RM 200 billion with another RM 20 billion to be sourced through Private Financing Initiatives (PFI) for development (CIDB Malaysia Annual Report, 2006).

Demand for construction services in Malaysia domestic market is driven mainly by private sector which constitutes approximately 75% of the market. This can be further breaks down to Residential (35%), Infrastructure (25%) and Commercial (15%). The balance 25% is Government market. Some Malaysian construction firms had ventured into international market, mainly in India, Middle East and other ASEAN countries and enjoyed certain degree of successes.

In line with the trends in other countries, Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) was established in 1992 in Malaysia to develop and encourage development of CI. By the year 2006, there are 71,305 registered (with CIDB) contractors in Malaysia.

Table 1.1 Numbers of Registered Contractors (with CIDB) by States and Grades

State	G1	G2	G3	G4	G5	G6	G7	Total
Johor	4,487	1,028	1,244	233	229	79	210	7,510
Kedah	2,977	597	465	112	142	51	162	4,506
Kelantan	2,745	224	355	83	166	52	115	3,740
Labuan	277	20	44	7	8	5	9	370
Melaka	1,506	236	351	55	85	31	70	2,334
Negeri Sembilan	2,965	370	495	74	98	27	59	4,088
Pahang	2,617	368	484	125	129	33	91	3,847
Perak	3,222	679	698	144	170	58	102	5,073
Perlis	1,201	81	82	17	25	9	17	1,432
Pulau Pinang	2,138	648	703	119	137	62	191	3,998
Sabah	4,034	566	660	138	170	80	251	5,899
Sarawak	1,286	413	470	115	174	61	222	2,741
Selangor	6,716	1,423	2,208	406	603	222	844	12,422
Terengganu	2,674	231	434	102	129	40	123	3,733
Kuala Lumpur	2,715	1,210	2,498	537	920	354	1,378	9,612
TOTAL	41,560	8,094	11,191	2,267	3,185	1,164	3,844	71,305

(Source: Malaysian Construction Industry Directory 2006-2007)

Future of construction industry in Malaysia remains uncertain, with ever increasing amount of contractors competing in ever contracting domestic market as most infrastructure projects were already completed. Other external risks such as high oil prices and tightening of the US market will have downside effects on the demand in the private sector and incur negative variance on the public sector supply of the Malaysian CI too.

1.3 Construction Industry in Sabah and Kota Kinabalu

“To intensify development of construction sector” is high on the list of the 8-points measures (second on the list in fact) announced by Sabah Business Council to boost the state’s economy (Zatul-Karamah A.B.U *et. al.*, 2003), highlighted the importance to construction industry towards the state’s economy.

According to Malaysian Construction Industry Directory 2006-2007, Sabah has 5,899 registered contractors, the 4th highest in Malaysia only after Selangor, Kuala Lumpur and Johor. Similar to patterns in other states in the country, most of these construction firms are small in size.

Table 1.2 Numbers of Registered Contractors by Districts and Grades in Sabah

District	G1	G2	G3	G4	G5	G6	G7	Total
Tawau	401	41	53	10	7	4	13	529
Semporna	147	12	11	2	3	0	0	175
Lahad Datu	321	26	32	3	5	2	0	389
Sandakan	434	50	78	15	9	6	11	603
Tuaran	203	21	10	2	4	0	0	240
Kota Kinabalu	1,212	200	315	87	129	67	225	2,235
Kudat	375	45	50	11	6	1	1	489
Keningau	291	24	26	3	2	0	1	347
Tambunan	139	9	24	0	1	0	0	173
Papar	211	12	17	2	2	0	0	244
Beaufort	165	14	24	2	1	0	0	206
Sepitang	135	12	20	1	1	0	0	169
TOTAL	4,034	566	660	138	170	80	251	5,899

(Source: Malaysian Construction Industry Directory 2006-2007)

More than 35% of all the registered Sabah construction firms are based in the state capital, Kota Kinabalu (KK). In terms of higher grades (G5 to G7) and bigger size firms, concentration in the state capital is even more obvious (~80%). Most of these construction firms have operations and projects through out towns and villages in the State. However, the head offices (registration office) are based in KK for easy access to financial and human capital resources. Several big construction firms from West Malaysia also operating in KK. These firms mainly focus on mega projects funded by the federal government.

1.4 Problem Statement

From contracting trend of growth in the industry (in Malaysia) over the past few years, the future of local construction firms look uncertain indeed. Hence, it is worthwhile to start problems analysis by looking into the industry environment.

To enter CI, individual only need to have a low amount of capital compared to other industries. Thus, the industry is considered as having a low entry barrier (Mastura and Abdul-Rashid, 2005). Low entry barriers are good news for new entrants, however bad news for existing firms as high numbers of new contracting enterprises leads to intense competition and high business failure rate.

There are no exact threats of substitute for the industry as a whole. However, as experienced by many developed / industrialized countries, numbers of new construction projects on infrastructures and buildings will significantly reduce as the country edging nearer towards “fully developed” stage and maintenance on existing infrastructures and buildings will then take the center stage. Nevertheless, as Carr (2000) believed, there are some “revolutions” within the industry in recent years, whereby traditional systematic process of planning, design and construction by separate entities is giving ways to a new form of inter-organization collaborations, call

Design-Built, Concurrent Engineering or Lean Construction, which is widely believed to be able to catalyze further growth to the industry.

“Power of Buyers” is traditionally not strong in the industry, as most contractors’ marketing efforts are not being directed towards seeking works in a particular market area or from a certain client, but towards getting on the tender lists of construction works for central government, local authorities and nationalized industries (Hillebrandt and Cannon, 1990). This trend is however changing too and as the number of construction firms increased, the buyers have more choices to select from. Moreover, good relationships with buyers are a barrier of entry for another competing company (Mastura and Abdul-Rashid, 2005).

“Power of Suppliers” is not strong in CI as most of the products and materials essential to the industry have many suppliers, with the exception of some specialized equipments or installations. However, as pointed out by Edum-Fotwe *et al.* (1998), good relationship with companies in supply chain can give added advantages, such as better credit terms.

Competition within the industry is furious, especially in a market with contracting demands but increasing supplies. Ability to identify the market segment, market focus and niche market is crucial to survival. As Bennett (2005) put it, incorporate possible influence of cycle into corporate strategy, engage objective and task budgeting and build market share during down turn ultimately increase chances of survival.

Having examined the industry environment, a company position in the industry needs to be determined, which leads us to the heart of this research, whereby under this type of environment, what are the important factors that will lead

to a construction firm growth and to what extend organizational factors, motivation factors, human capital factors, social capital factors, marketing and management strategy influence this growth.

1.5 Objective and Scope of Study

The aim of this study is to investigate growth factors for construction firms in Sabah. The scope is being limited to Kota Kinabalu (KK), the capital city for state of Sabah in East Malaysia, with the target to obtain valuable local data. It will be interesting to compare the eventual findings of this research with findings by other researchers through out the world to identify possible existence of any unique local factors to the growth.

Due to the above mentioned purposes, global multinational construction firms had been excluded from this study. Construction firms from West Malaysia and Sarawak which operate in KK is, however being included, due to their common objectives with the local firms – i.e. long term growth (multinational firm normally comes and goes on project basis with no intention on long term operation locally).

No upper or lower limit on firm size had been set for this study. The objective is to identify different approaches and response by big and small firms to certain common factors. Neither had any construction trades been excluded from the study. Civil, structural, mechanical, electrical, IT, landscape and specialist contractors will all be covered, with the target to identify whether are there any market segment which is particularly competitive.

The study, however focus solely on contractor firms and exclude all consultancy firms. This is due to consultancy firms are generally considered as part of Professional Services Industry rather than in Construction Industry.

Specifically, the main objectives of this study are to discover:-

1. To what extend Organizational Factors (size of firm and age of firm) influence the growth?,
2. To what extend the MD Motivation Factors (NACH and internal LOC) affect a firm growth?,
3. To what extend Human Capital Factors (MD Experience, MD Education, MD Trainings) influence the growth?,
4. To what extend Social Capital Factors (Firm Relationships, MD Social Networks) influence the growth?,
5. To what extend the firm's Marketing Strategy (Pricing, Product, Promotion) affect its growth?,
6. To what extend the firm's Management Strategy (Project Management, Finance Management, Value Management) affect its growth?,
7. To establish a model from the above factors and strategies.

1.6 Significance of the Study

The results of this study will have important implication for the construction firms in KK. Other than some organization factors such as size and age which are not entirely under their control, it is hope that construction firms can improve their performance after knowing some of the key factors influencing growth in this industry, possibly starts by reviewing their present corporate strategies and practices.

Besides, this study also aims to improve consultants, consumers and political leaders understanding on the industry for better development and planning. It is also hoped to serve as a guideline to improve quality and process in the industry as a whole, to satisfy needs of the customers of twenty first century.

Finally, not many researches had been conducted on the Construction Industry in the country, particularly from East Malaysia perspective. The study hopes to serve as a platform for further future researches in various aspects of construction industry in East Malaysia generally and Kota Kinabalu, specifically.

1.7 Organization of Study

The study is being organized into five chapters, which are Introduction, Literature Review, Research Methodology and Framework, Analysis of Results, and Discussion and Conclusion respectively.

Chapter One, Introduction, provides an overview of the construction industry, then zooms specifically into background of construction industry in Malaysia, Sabah and Kota Kinabalu. It subsequently derives the Problem Statements, Objective and Scope of Study, Significant of Study and Organization of Study. Chapter Two, Literature Review, reviews studies done previously by others and their findings. Some of these previous studies are nearly the same as this study, with focus on different countries or states while some others focus on certain segments of CI only. Independent and dependant variables this research set out to study will be discussed too.

Chapter Three, Research Methodology and Frameworks discusses on the Research Framework, Hypothesis, and methods and questionnaires plan to be used to conduct survey for the research. Findings of the studies will be presented in Chapter Four, Analysis of Result while the findings will be discussed and concluded in Chapter Five, Discussion and Conclusion.