

**THE IMPACT OF ORGANIZATIONAL AND
INDIVIDUAL FACTORS ON SAFETY CLIMATE: A
STUDY IN AVIATION INDUSTRY**

DIANA ATTON ANAK PAUL



**DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL
FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF
HUMAN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT (MHCM)**

**SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**

2008

**THE IMPACT OF ORGANIZATIONAL AND
INDIVIDUAL FACTORS ON SAFETY CLIMATE: A
STUDY IN AVIATION INDUSTRY**

DIANA ATTON ANAK PAUL

**DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL
FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF
HUMAN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT (MHCM)**

**SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**

2008

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL: THE IMPACT OF ORGANIZATIONAL AND INDIVIDUAL FACTORS ON SAFETY CLIMATE: A STUDY IN AVIATION INDUSTRY

IJAZAH: MASTER IN HUMAN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT (MHCM)

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2007-2008

Saya, DIANA ATTON AK. PAUL mengaku membenarkan tesis Sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian saya.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. TIDAK TERHAD.



Disahkan oleh

ANITA BINTI ARSAD
PUSTAKAWAN KANAN
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

(Penulis: DIANA ATTON AK PAUL)

(TANDATANGAN PERPUSTAKAWAN)

Alamat:

(Penyelia: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kalsom Abd Wahab)

Tarikh: _____

(Penyelia: Pn. Sharija Che Shaari)

Tarikh: 2008

Tarikh: _____

CATATAN: Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana secara penyelidikan atau disertasi bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan penyelidikan, atau laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (LPSM)

**TITLE : THE IMPACT OF ORGANIZATIONAL AND INDIVIDUAL
FACTORS ON SAFETY CLIMATE: A STUDY IN AVIATION
INDUSTRY**

DEGREE : MASTER IN HUMAN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT (MHCM)

VIVA DATE : 24 JUNE 2008

DECLARED BY

1. **SUPERVISOR**
ASSOC. PROF. DR. KALSOM ABD. WAHAB ()
2. **CO-SUPERVISOR**
PN. SHARIJA CHE SHAARI ()




UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

DECLARATION

I hereby declared that the materials in this dissertation are original except for quotations, excerpts, summaries and references, which have been appropriately acknowledged.

2nd JUNE 2008



DIANA ATTON ANAK PAUL

PE2007-8299



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I am grateful and would like to thank God because with His permission, I finally completed this thesis.

Here, I would like to express my deepest thanks and appreciation especially to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kalsom Abd. Wahab and Puan Sharija Che Shaari as my research supervisors. With their supervision, patience and valuable advices, my research has run smoothly. I am also indebted to Master of Human Capital Management lecturers for their kind assistance, comments and encouragement throughout the completion of MHCM program.

Apart from that, I would like to thank my family especially for my beloved parents, Mr. Paul Biju and Mdm. Sulan Lunyong and my siblings, Peterson Agas and Steven Nanang, for their moral and financial support and for always pray for my safety, happiness and security in completing this valuable master degree.

Special thanks to the Malaysia Airlines System (MAS) and Layang-Layang Aerospace Sdn. Bhd. staffs especially to Mr. Madzlan (MAS, Human Resource Executive), Mr. Linus (MAS, Duty Manager) and Mr. Eric (Layang-Layang Aerospace Sdn. Bhd., Quality and Safety Manager).

Not forgotten to my wonderful friends, Ling Fang, Aidalina binti Mahili, Geng Na, Jumahira binti Nurdin, Dk. Fadzliyanah, Nureffideza and Nellie Bunsu for always been by my side going through this challenging 'journey'. No matter how hard it was, we are still together and our friendship will last forever.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my one and only, Herschel Ng, who gave kind assistance and for a good listener to my ups and downs.

'A dream is just a dream. A goal is a dream with a PLAN and a DEADLINE.'

Anonymous

Thank you.

ABSTRACT

The main aim for this research is to investigate the impact of organizational and individual factors on safety climate in aviation industry with 240 respondents. Organizational factors involved in the research were organization ownership, the existence or absence of safety manager and safety committee. Whereas, the individual factors that involved in the research were age, gender, educational level, safety training and accident experience. These factors were tested toward safety climate by adapting the safety climate scale which consisted of five fundamental dimensions such as *Chief Executive Officer's Safety Commitment and Action*, *Manager's Safety Commitment and Action*, *Employee's Safety Commitment and Action*, *Perceived Risk and Emergency Response*. The relationships of these independent and dependent variables were tested using the Multiple Regression Analysis. Precisely, the level of significance was set to 0.05 for every statistical analysis. The result revealed that the Total Safety Climate (TSC) was 3.7632 which were considered as a positive safety climate. Moreover, the result also revealed that there is a positive relationship between private ownership and safety climate. In addition, there is a positive relationship between the existence of safety manager and safety climate. There is also a significant relationship between safety committee and safety climate. But the relationship between safety committee and safety climate is negatively related. Moreover, there is a positive relationship between accident experience and safety climate. Finally, there is a positive relationship between safety training and safety climate. However, the other factors such as gender, age, accident experiences and educational level have not reached statistical significance towards safety climate.



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

ABSTRAK

IMPAK FAKTOR- FAKTOR ORGANISASI DAN INDIVIDU TERHADAP IKLIM KESELAMATAN: SATU KAJIAN DALAM INDUSTRI PENERBANGAN

Tujuan utama kajian ini dijalankan adalah untuk mengkaji tentang impak faktor-faktor organisasi and individu terhadap iklim keselamatan di industri penerbangan di mana responden kepada kajian ini adalah seramai 240 orang. Antara faktor-faktor organisasi adalah seperti taraf pemilikan syarikat, pengurus keselamatan dan jawatankuasa keselamatan. Manakala faktor-faktor individu adalah seperti umur, jantina, taraf pendidikan, latihan keselamatan dan pengalaman terlibat dengan kemalangan. Kesemua factor ini diuji hubungannya terhadap iklim keselamatan menggunakan 'Safety Climate Scale' yang digunapakai dari kajian sebelumnya. Sukatan ini mempunyai lima dimensi yang penting seperti 'Chief Executive Officer's Safety Commitment and Action', 'Manager's Safety Commitment and Action', 'Employee's Safety Commitment and Action', 'Perceived Risk' and 'Emergency Response'. Untuk mengkaji hubungan di antara pemboleh ubah, 'Multiple Regression Analysis' digunakan untuk menganalisa hubungan tersebut. Hasil kajian mendapati, min keseluruhan iklim keselamatan adalah bernilai 3.7632 iaitu di antara neutral dan setuju. Manakala, hasil kajian turut mendapati bahawa syarikat swasta mempunyai iklim keselamatan yang positif. Hasil kajian juga mendapati kehadiran pengurus keselamatan turut mempengaruhi iklim keselamatan dalam sesebuah organisasi. Selain itu, kehadiran jawatankuasa keselamatan turut mempengaruhi iklim keselamatan dalam organisasi. Namun hubungan ini adalah berkadaran songsang. Selain itu, pengalaman terlibat dalam kemalangan turut mempengaruhi iklim keselamatan sesebuah organisasi. Akhir sekali latihan keselamatan turut mempengaruhi iklim keselamatan. Manakala, faktor-faktor lain seperti umur, jantina, dan taraf pendidikan tidak mempengaruhi iklim keselamatan sesebuah organisasi.

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

TABLE OF CONTENT

	PAGE
DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Research Problem	5
1.3 Research Questions	9
1.4 Research Objectives	12
1.5 Scope of the Study	12
1.6 Significance of the Study	14
1.7 Organization of the Study	15
1.8 Conclusion	15
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	16
2.2 Definition of Concepts	
2.2.1 Safety	17
2.2.2 Safety Climate	18
2.2.3 Safety Climate Dimensions	20
2.2.4 Perceived Risk	23

2.2.5	Emergency Response	25
2.2.6	Organizational Factors	26
2.2.7	Individual Factors	
2.2.7.1	Age	27
2.2.7.2	Gender	27
2.2.7.3	Educational Level	28
2.2.7.4	Accident Experience	28
2.2.7.5	Safety Training	31
2.2.8	The Relative Theories	
2.2.8.1	The Perceptual Process	33
2.2.8.2	Accident Causation Theories	35
2.2.8.2.1	Domino Theory of Accident Causation	35
2.2.8.2.2	Human Factors of Accident Causation	36
2.2.8.2.3	Accident/Incident Theory of Accident Causation	38
2.2.8.2.4	System Theory of Causation	39
2.2.8.2.5	Behavioural Theory of Accident Causation	41
2.3	Conclusion	42

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Research Framework	43
3.2.1	Safety Climate Dimensions	44
3.2.2	Organizational factors affect safety climate	44
3.2.3	Individual factors affect safety climate	45
3.2.4	Educational level affects safety climate	48
3.3	Definition of Terms	
3.3.1	Safety Climate	49
3.3.2	Ownership	50
3.3.3	Safety Manager	50

3.3.4	Safety Committee	50
3.3.5	Gender	50
3.3.6	Age	50
3.3.7	Accident Experience	50
3.3.8	Safety Training	51
3.3.9	Educational Level	51
3.4	Research Hypotheses	51
3.5	Research Design	52
3.6	Sampling Design	52
3.7	Population and Sample Size	53
3.8	Instrument	54
3.9	Data Collection	55
3.10	Data Analysis	55
3.11	Conclusion	56

CHAPTER 4: RESEARCH FINDINGS

4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Profile of Respondents	57
4.2.1	Ownership	58
4.2.2	Safety Manager	58
4.2.3	Safety Committee	59
4.2.4	Gender	60
4.2.5	Age	60
4.2.6	Educational Level	61
4.2.7	Accident Experience	62
4.2.8	Safety Training	63
4.3	Descriptive Analyses	63
4.4	Hypotheses Testing	64
4.5	Summary of the Findings	66

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

5.1	Introduction	67
5.2	Summary of the study	67
5.3	Implications and Discussion	69
5.3.1	Positive relationship between private organization and safety climate	70
5.3.2	Positive relationship with the presence of safety manager and safety climate	71
5.3.3	Negative relationship with the presence of safety committee and safety climate	73
5.3.4	Positive relationship between accident experience and safety climate	74
5.3.5	Positive relationship between trained in safety and safety climate	75
5.4	Limitations of the Study	76
5.5	Suggestions for Future Research	78
5.6	Conclusion	79

REFERENCES

APPENDIX A

LIST OF TABLES

TITLE	PAGE
Table 4.0: Table of Organization Ownership	58
Table 4.1: Table of the absence or presence of Safety Manager	59
Table 4.2: Table of absence or presence of Safety Committee	59
Table 4.3: Table of Respondents' Gender	60
Table 4.4: Table of Respondents' Age Range	61
Table 4.5: Table of Respondents' Educational Level	62
Table 4.6: Table of Accident Experience	62
Table 4.7: Table of Safety Training	63
Table 4.8: Model Summary	64
Table 4.9: Multiple Regression Analysis	64
Table 4.10: Summary of Hypotheses Testing	66



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.0: Accident cases reported to Department of Occupational Safety and Health in 2007	3
Figure 1.1: Accident rate according to region in 2006	4
Figure 2.0: The Perceptual Process: An Individual Interpretation	33
Figure 2.1: Factors that cause human errors	37
Figure 2.2: Accident/Incident Theory	39
Figure 2.3: The Systems Theory of Causation Theory	40
Figure 3.0: Research Framework	49



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

The safety matters have become an important issue in managing an organization as it is one of the sub-systems. Safety matters also play an important role in establishing the organization strategy in to achieve its goals, vision and mission. This is especially among the organizations that involved in critical industries like aviation, manufacturing, chemical, production, engineering, nuclear and lots more. For certain organization, safety becomes one of the indicators to achieve organization productivity and corporate image like Bintulu Port Sdn. Bhd. This company become famous among its rivals because of the competitive advantage that it poses as it won prestigious "***Gold Award in Occupational Safety & Healthy***" in 1995, 1996, 1998, 1999 and 2001 as well as the "***Occupational Safety and Health Award 2000***" for Transportation, Storage and Communication as well as the ISO 9001 certification by Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance, UK in 2003 (Bintulu Port Sdn. Bhd., 2005).


Besides that, the industrial accident rate is alarming where, it was reported that the industrial accidents at workplaces in Malaysia is about 100,000 cases annually which is quite high (Borneo Post Online, 2008). Thus, Safety Care

Management Centre (SCMC) organized a one-day seminar for the 100 employees from private and government sectors. SCMC urged the organization to manage and enforce the laws in occupational safety and health. The seminar focused on how to prevent and handle accident at the workplace.

In Malaysia, the employees' safety and health matters were under the management of Department of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH). In doing so, DOSH enforces legislation such as *Acts, Regulations, Orders, Codes of Practice and Guidelines*. There are three acts being enforced by DOSH such as *Factories and Machinery Act 1967 (Act 139)*, *Occupational Safety and Health Act 1994 (Act 514)* and *Petroleum Act (Safety Measures) 1984 (Act 302)*. In addition, there are several regulations under the *Factories and Machinery Act 1967 (Act139)* like *Factories and Machinery (Administration) Regulations 1970*, *Factories and Machinery (Steam Boiler and Unfired Pressure Vessel) Regulations 1970*, *Factories and Machinery (Asbestos Process) Regulations 1986*, *Factories and Machinery (Compoundable Offences) Regulations 1978* and lots more. On the other hand, orders under the *Worker Health and Safety Act 1984 (Act 514)* are *Occupational Safety and Health (Safety and Health Officer) Order 1997* and *Occupational Safety and Health (Prohibition of Use of Substance) Order 1999*. Finally, list of guidelines published by DOSH are *Guidelines For Public Safety and Health At Construction Sites*, *Guidelines For The Prevention of Falls at Workplaces*, *Guidelines On Occupational Safety and Health Act 1994 (Act 514)*, *Guidelines On Occupational Health Services*, *Guidelines On Occupational Safety and Health In Service Sector*, *Guidelines On Method Of Sampling and Analysis For Airborne Lead* and lots more.

Furthermore, there was approximately 1831 industrial accident cases involved temporary disability were reported to the Department of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) from January to July 2007. 91 accident cases which involved permanent disability were reported to DOSH from January to July 2007. Finally, 135 cases involved fatality were reported to DOSH from January to July 2007. Precisely, this can be clearly seen as the following figure:


Figure 1.0: Accident cases reported to Department of Occupational Safety and Health in 2007



Source: '*Jumlah kemalangan yang dilaporkan ke Jabatan Kesihatan and Keselamatan Pekerja (JKKP) bagi tahun 2007*'. Department of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH), 2008.

Another accident statistic prepared by DOSH was the accidents rate in 2006 based on region. It is clearly seen from the following figure 1.2 where Johor has the highest accident rate that involved '*tanpa hilang upaya kekal*' and '*hilang upaya kekal*' category with 1178 and 34 cases accordingly. While, Selangor has the highest accident rate that involved death with 39 cases in 2006.

Figure 1.1: Accident rate according to region in 2006



Source: '*Kemalangan mengikut negeri dan jenis kecederaan 2006*'. Department of Occupational Safety and Health, 2008.

In understanding safety matters or issues in an industry or organization, it is advisable to know the safety culture in that particular industry or organization. According to Olive and Mannan (2006), safety culture is defined as "the overarching policies and goals set by an organization relating to the overall safety of their facility or environment." Safety culture is consistent but not flexible enough to cope with changes. "In the aftermath of a significant accident, it is the climate of an organization, rather than the culture, that will undergo immediate modification" (Olive et al., 2006). Moreover, there are three vital components to explain the safety culture such as psychological, situational and behavioural. Psychological component is basically examined by safety climate questionnaires which are usually measuring people's norms, values, attitudes and perception towards safety. However, situational component can be examined by looking at the organizational structure such as its policies, working procedures, management systems and others. Last and

not least, behavioural component is measured via self-report measures, outcome measures and observations. (Gadd and Collins, 2002).

1.2 Research Problem

Most of the research done in aviation industry is focusing on one particular safety culture component or either combination of two components. For example, there was a review of human error in aviation maintenance and inspection. This paper was written by Latorella and Prabhu (2000) where their paper only concentrating on the human error in maintaining and inspecting the safety in aviation industry. The main idea of the paper is to discuss the appropriate approaches to investigate human error. Basically, this paper is focusing on the behavioural component. Another example is research on the development and initial validation of an Aviation Safety Climate Scale by Evans, Glendon and Creed (2007). This research included the psychological and situational components as it discussed on the employee's perception towards the safety management system.

Looking at the Malaysia's aviation industry, according to Keababjian (2008), Malaysia Airlines was in rank 27 in world airlines accident ratings and rank 5 in Asia-Australia region as it was involved in one fatal accident in 1995. The incident happened in Tanjung Kupang where 97 passengers and 7 cabin crew were killed. It was believed that the flight (Flight 653) was being hijacked as it enters the cruise level.

In addition, one of the earliest helicopters crashed in Sarawak which was a Bell 206 belongs to Hornbill Skyways happened on 3rd March 1982. The chopper was on a flying doctor mission to Baram district in Sarawak. Another Bell Jet Ranger 206 helicopter crashed-landed on 11th July 1996 in Bario carrying a flying health team and fortunately, the pilot and the passengers were all survived the mishap. On 29th January 1997, another Bell 206 helicopter belongs to Kenari Aviation Services Sdn Bhd. crashed in Belaga jungles and killed four people including the pilot, the company manager and two engineers. However, two others were survived the crashed. The chopper was crashed due to engine failure while airborne after sending off spare parts to another chopper grounded near the Sarawak and Kalimantan border. 5 years later, a woman was killed as a Bell 206 helicopter crashed-landed in Beluru forest due to engine problem and bad weather. Meanwhile, the three others were injured. This incident happened on 18th February 2002 as the chopper was on its way to Miri Hospital from Long Akah. On July 2003, another helicopter belongs to Hornbill Skyways crashed in the deep jungle Lawas and killed two people including the pilot and a pastor who was on his way to the annual mass congregation at Gunung Murud in Limbang. The chopper was crashed due to bad weather (Bernama^a, 2004). One year after the incident, precisely on September 2004, another Hornbill's helicopter crashed in Asa Jaya Samarahan Kuching and killed four people including the pilot Abang Ibrahim Ismail, Sarawak deputy chief minister Tan Sri Alfred Jabu's private secretary Bettie Alex, photographer Yong Chan Leong, and another passenger known as Awang Iskandar (Wong, 2004). Few months after that, another Hornbill's helicopter crashed on July 2005. Two people were killed including the pilot and a military escort. The other one was survived and one passenger was missing. Based on the chopper crashes incident, the Malaysia Transport Minister, Datuk Seri Chan Kong Choy said that none decision to halt Hornbill's operation has

taken because the company's operation audit result showed that the pilot and flying procedures were all in order. Perhaps, he stated that Hornbill Skyways had implemented all the proposals made to it from the ministry's monthly audits (Bernama^b, 2005).

As according to the Malaysia Aviation Thread 7 (which was run from 2 November 2007 until 25 January 2008), there were few mishaps happened in the previous thread. A Malaysia Airlines System (MAS) flight was cancelled due to a technical problem precisely the aircraft's air-conditioning system had malfunctioned. The incident has caused 140 passengers including Menteri Besar Datuk Idris Jusoh and several state leaders were stranded in Kuala Terengganu airport. The flight was supposedly to depart at 4.10pm. On 27 October 2007, one MAS flight was delayed in Karachi due to bomb related threat. Another MAS flight departed from Hong Kong to Kuala Lumpur International Airport (KLIA) was returned back to Hong Kong airport after few hours as the pilot detected a malfunction. Besides that, a FAX Rural Air Service flight to Lawas from Miri was almost ended up in disaster when the flight engines were failed during the airborne. However, the determined pilot was able to restart one of the engines and nursed the plane back to Miri Airport (Airliners.net, 2008). Basically, most of the aviation accident or crashes which involved fatal incidents happened in the private organization like Hornbill Skyways. Meanwhile, the public organization like MAS has only involved in fatal accidents once in 1995 and in the recent cases, MAS able to escape the mishap or crashes.

Furthermore, there is less research done in the air transport industry in Malaysia. It is assumed that it is due to lack of awareness among the Malaysian regarding the safety and health in air transport. Although several helicopters crashed

from the last 1980s to the most recent in 2005, less research and investigation is done on that incidents. Perhaps, the Department of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) has overlooked the aviation industry and focusing more on the other industries such as construction and manufacturing.

However, the awareness of the importance of safety in academic industry is increasing. In Taiwan, the accidents at university and college laboratories are alarming (Wu, Liu and Lu, 2007). Thus, an empirical study done by Lu, Liu and Chen (2007) has found out that organizational and individual factors like ownership, the presence of safety manager and safety committee, gender, age, title, accident experience and safety training significantly affected the safety climate. Perhaps, this finding may be different in Malaysia context due to the different organization culture and individual needs.

Apart from that, lack of awareness could be due to the belief among Malaysians which is fatalism. They believed that whatever incident happened to them was already fated and could not be changed. The question is that, how can the management change this kind of belief when it is already in the culture. Obviously, it is not easy to certainly change person behaviour or values but their behaviour can be controlled by the legislation. But however, it is possible to manipulate their behaviour via the working environment or situation.

Moreover, the employees' attitudes toward their jobs are varied from each other and it is hard to control their behaviour. Especially, those who do not understand the organization corporate culture and comply with the rules and regulations. However, the root to this problem is that, the top management should play an important role to establish and promote a desired working environment.

Otherwise, a positive organization culture should result in a positive organization climate. In the research context, a positive organization climate should create a positive safety climate which will derive the employees' behaviour. This is supported by Jonson (1982, cited in Coyle, Sleeman and Adams, 1995) where in his paper, he argued that safety problems occurred due to the poor attitude of the management toward occupational safety and health where the unsafe acts were supposedly view as the precede accidents.

Based on the interview session with some of the employees in aviation organizations in Kota Kinabalu, some of them do not know that they have safety manager and some even do not have safety committee in the organization. Besides that, the employees in the operational level claimed that it is very rarely that the top management communicates about the safety issue in the organization. Even some of them never had undergone safety training although they are working in the worksite that was exposed to danger such as at the airport building.

1.3 Research Questions

With regard to the research problem discussed earlier, several questions should be raised regarding the safety issue.

Firstly, as according to the scenario in Malaysia aviation industry, most of the crashes happened among the private organization like Hornbill Skyways and less in the public sector like Malaysia Airlines System. This has raised the first research question which was:

1.3.1 What is the impact of private ownership organization on safety climate?

As mentioned earlier on, top management plays an important role in ensuring that the existing safety legislation is being enforced and communicated to the employees. The role to manage the safety issue is usually in the hands of safety manager. Then, the managers will execute the safety committee to ensure that the employees complied with the existed legislation. This has raised the second and third research questions which is as below:

1.3.2 What is the impact of absence or presence of safety manager on safety climate?

1.3.3 What is the impact of absence or presence of safety committee on safety climate?

Moreover, most of the operational level employees are male rather than female. This kind of stereotyping assumed that the accidents occur because of the gender differences. The female employees are more careful when performing their jobs while among the male employees are more clumsy. This has raised the fourth question which is as below:

1.3.4 Does gender differences affect safety climate?

In Malaysia or perhaps in Asia, it is the culture to respect the elders. It is believed that the older that person is, the more experienced he or she is. Thus, the high intelligence and cognitive development that person has reached. As the person has reached that level then, it is assumed that person can make decision and respond to environment stimuli well. This has raised the fifth question which is as below: