

**AN INVESTIGATION ON STUDENT'S CONCEPTUAL
UNDERSTANDING OF NEWTON'S THIRD LAW –
FORCE IN EQUILIBRIUM AND ATTITUDES IN
PHYSICS USING EQUIFORCE KIT WITH
STAD COOPERATIVE LEARNING**



CHONG CHIN LU

UMS

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

**PERPUSTAKAAN
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**

**SCHOOL OF EDUCATION AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA SABAH
2013**

**AN INVESTIGATION ON STUDENT'S CONCEPTUAL
UNDERSTANDING OF NEWTON'S THIRD LAW –
FORCE IN EQUILIBRIUM AND ATTITUDES IN
PHYSICS USING EQUIFORCE KIT WITH
STAD COOPERATIVE LEARNING**

CHONG CHIN LU



UMS

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

**A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER
OF EDUCATION (SCIENCE)**

**PERPUSTAKAAN
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**

**SCHOOL OF EDUCATION AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA SABAH
2013**

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL : ***AN INVESTIGATION ON STUDENT'S CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING OF NEWTON'S THIRD LAW – FORCE IN EQUILIBRIUM AND ATTITUDES IN PHYSICS USING EQUIFORCE KIT WITH STAD COOPERATIVE LEARNING.***

IJAZAH : **IJAZAH SARJANA PENDIDIKAN (PENDIDIKAN SAINS)**

SAYA : **CHONG CHIN LU**

SESI PENGAJIAN: **2012/2013**

Mengakui membenarkan tesis (LPSM/Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenar membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Perpustakaan dibenar membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.

4. Sila tandakan (✓)

☐

SULIT

(mengandungi yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

☐

TERHAD

(mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi / badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)


☒

TIDAK TERHAD



(CHONG CHIN LU)

Disahkan oleh


(Dr Sopia Abdullah)

Tarikh: 8/07/13

Alamat Tetap:

Tarikh : 8 JULAI 2013

CATATAN:

- * Potong yang tidak berkenaan.
- ** Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/ organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT dan TERHAD.
- *** Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana secara penyelidikan atau disertasi bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (LPSM).

DECLARATION

I, Chong Chin Lu, hereby declare that this dissertation is my own original work and has not been submitted anywhere. Further, I have acknowledged for every source I have used or cited in the reference section.



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

Chong Chin Lu

CHONG CHIN LU

PT2011-7297C

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

DATE: 8 JULY 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank god for providing me strengths and wisdoms to complete this dissertation on time. On my belief, I managed to overcome several difficulties which encountered all the time in this study.

Secondly, I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr Sopiah Abdullah for her advises and suggestions. Besides, a special thank to my UMS professors Dr Mohd Zaki bin Mohd Ishak, Dr Zulkifli bin Mohamed, Dr Christina Peter Ligadu and Dr Khalid Johari for the comments on my study, the newly developed teaching tool, especially Dr Zaki whom provide me useful comments on the Force in Equilibrium Concept Test. I would also like to thank Dr Lay Yoon Fah for the statistical skill that he has taught me since my bachelor degree until now.

Certainly, a highly attribution should be credited to the principals and teachers of the school involved in the study, Education Research Department, School Management Sector and related agencies. Thanks for providing me opportunities to access my data collection. I would not forget the students involved during conduct the lesson, they are too awesome for me and fully commitment was given.

A special thanks to my sister-in-law for checking my English language grammar errors.

I would not forget to show my appreciation to my friends and employer who always support and encourage me.

Last but not least, I am deeply obliged to my parents whom always accompany me and being my backbone all the time. Especially, my dad often spent his precious time and patience to fetch me everywhere I want to go for my study.

ABSTRACT

The purposes of present study were to (i) investigate the effects of incorporating a manipulative material - 'EquiForce Kit' (EFK) in a Student Team-Achievement Division (STAD) classroom; and to (ii) examine students' attitudes toward Physics using EFK. A total of 77 students who take Physics subject in two secondary schools around Penampang and Kolombong district in Sabah were assigned into STAD (control group) and STAD-EFK (treatment group). Force in Equilibrium Concept Test (FECT) and Attitudes in Physics were used to collect data. The data was then analyzed using independent and paired sample t-test with SPSS 16.0. The finding indeed showed better improvement of conceptual understanding of Force in Equilibrium in STAD-EFK group than in STAD group, but the changes were not significant. Besides, the attitudes survey also indicated no significant difference in pre- and post-test of STAD-EFK group.

Key Words: Forces in Equilibrium, Conceptual Understanding, Attitudes.



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

ABSTRAK

Kajian Penggunaan EquiForce Kit Dengan Pembelajaran Kooperatif STAD Terhadap Kefahaman Konseptual Hukum Newton Ketiga - Keseimbangan Daya Dan Sikap Dalam Fizik Pelajar

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji (i) kesan penggunaan bahan manipulasi – 'EquiForce Kit' (EFK) yang disepadukan dalam kelas Student Team-Achievement Division (STAD); dan (ii) sikap pelajar terhadap Fizik dengan penggunaan EFK. Sample kajian ini terdiri daripada 77 orang pelajar daripada sekolah menengah sekitar Penampang dan Kolombong ditetapkan sebagai kumpulan STAD (kawalan) dan STAD-EFK (rawatan). Ujian Konsep Keseimbangan Daya (FECT) dan Attitudes in Physics digunakan untuk mengumpul maklumat dan seterusnya dianalisis menggunakan ujian-t bagi dua kumpulan yang tidak bersandaran dan ujian-t bagi dua kumpulan yang bersandaran. Sesungguhnya dapatan kajian menunjukkan peningkatan yang lebih tinggi bagi kumpulan STAD-EFK berbanding dengan kumpulan STAD dalam ujian kefahaman Force in Equilibrium, malah perbezaan ini tidak ketara. Di samping itu, ujian sikap terhadap Fizik juga menunjukkan tiada perbezaan yang signifikan dalam ujian pra dan pasca bagi kumpulan STAD-EFK.

Kata Kunci: Keseimbangan Daya, Kefahaman Konseptual, Sikap.

CONTENT

	PAGE
TITLE	i
<i>BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS</i>	ii
DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
<i>ABSTRAK</i>	vi
CONTENT	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xviii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 BACKGROUND	3
1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT	5
1.4 RESEARCH OBJECTIVE	7
1.5 RESEARCH QUESTION	7
1.6 HYPOTHESIS	8
1.7 OPERATIONAL DEFINITION	8
1.7.1 Student Teams-Achievement Divisions	8

1.7.2 Force in Equilibrium	9
1.7.3 EquiForce Kit	9
1.7.4 Attitudes toward Physics	10
1.7.5 Conceptual Understanding	10
1.8 SIGNIFICANCE	11
1.9 LIMITATION	11

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION	12
2.2 THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE	12
2.2.1 Constructivism	12
2.2.2 Piaget's Theory of Cognitive Process	13
2.2.3 Social Learning Theory of Albert Bandura	15
2.2.4 Vygotsky's Theory of Cognitive Development – Sign Systems and Cooperative Learning	16
2.3 MODEL	20
2.3.1 Kolb's Four Stages Model of Experiential Learning	20
2.3.2 Meichenbaum's Five Steps of Self- Regulated Learning Model	23
2.4 RELATED RESEARCH FINDINGS	25

2.4.1 Misconception	25
2.4.2 Student's Conception about Force and Motion	27
2.4.3 Student's Conception about Force in Equilibrium	30
2.4.4 Attitudes toward Physics	32
2.4.5 Manipulative Material	34
2.5 Conceptual Framework	36

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1 INTRODUCTION	37
3.2 RESEARCH DESIGN	37
3.3 POPULATION AND SAMPLING	38
3.3.1 Population	38
3.3.2 Sample	38
3.4 INSTRUMENT	39
3.4.1 Force in Equilibrium Conceptual Test	39
3.4.2 Attitudes in Physics	41
3.5 PROCEDURE	42
3.6 DATA ANALYSIS	43
3.7 THE INTERVENTION OF EFK	43
3.8 PILOT TEST	45

3.8.1 Reliability of FECT	46
3.8.2 Reliability Of 'Attitudes in Physics'	49

CHAPTER 4: DEVELOPMENT OF 'EQUIFORCE KIT'

4.1 INTRODUCTION	51
4.2 Concept of EFK	51
4.3 Technical Aspect of EFK	54
4.3.1 Dimension	54
4.3.2 Cost	56
4.4 PRODUCTION OF EFK	58
4.4.1 Teacher's Use of Force Anchor	58
4.4.2 Student's Use of Force Anchor	60
4.4.3 Memory Short Note	61
4.4.4 Work Sheet	62
4.4.5 EquiForce Kit	62
4.5 THE PROPERTIES OF EACH TOOL IN EFK	63
4.6 OPERATIONAL MANUAL FOR FORCE ANCHOR	64
4.7 STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES	67
4.7.1 Strengths	67
4.7.2 Weaknesses	67

CHAPTER 5: DATA ANALYSIS

5.1	INTRODUCTION	69
5.2	NORMALITY TEST	69
5.2.1	Normality of STAD in pre-FECT	70
5.2.2	Normality of STAD-EFK in Pre-FECT	71
5.2.3	Normality of STAD in Post-FECT	72
5.2.4	Normality of STAD-EFK in Post-FECT	73
5.2.5	Normality of STAD-EFK in Pre-test of 'Attitude in Physics'	74
5.2.6	Normality of STAD-EFK in Post-test of 'Attitude in Physics'	75
5.3	RESEARCH FINDINGS	76
5.3.1	Is There a Significant Difference in Pre-FECT Mean Scores between STAD Group and STAD-EFK Group?	76
5.3.2	Is There a Significant Difference in Post-FECT Mean Scores between STAD Group and STAD-EFK Group?	77
5.3.3	Is There a Significant Difference between Pre-FECT and Post-FECT Mean Scores in STAD Group?	78
5.3.4	Is There a Significant Difference	79

between Pre-FECT and Post-FECT

Mean Scores in STAD-EFK Group?

5.3.5 How are the improvements of STAD 80
and STAD-EFK group by items in
FECT?

5.3.6 Is there any Significant Differences 81
between Pre-test and Post-testMean
Scoresof 'Attitude in Physics' in STAD-
EFK Group?

5.4 CONCLUSION 83

CHAPTER 6: DISCUSSION

6.1 INTRODUCTION 85

6.2 SUMMARY 85

6.3 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION 86

6.3.1 Effects of STAD-EFK on Students' 86
Conceptual Understanding of
Newton's Third Law - Force in
Equilibrium.

6.3.2 Effects of STAD-EFK on Students' 88
Attitude toward Physics Learning.

6.4 IMPLICATION 90

6.5	RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE STUDIES	91
6.6	CONCLUSION	92

BIBLIOGRAPHY	93
---------------------	-----------

APPENDIX

APPENDIX 1 : FORCE IN EQUILIBRIUM CONCEPT TEST (FECT)	107
APPENDIX 2 : ATTITUDES IN PHYSICS	113
APPENDIX 3 : PERCENTAGE OF ANSWERING CORRECTLY IN STAD- EFK BY FECT ITEMS	116
APPENDIX 4 : PERCENTAGE OF ANSWERING CORRECT IN STAD BY FECT ITEMS	117
APPENDIX 5 : IMPLEMENTATION OF EQUIFORCE KIT	118

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Kolb's Experiential Learning Cycle and Learning Styles

Figure 2.2: Meichenbaum's Five Steps of Self Instruction

Figure 2.3: Conceptual Framework

Figure 4.1: Force Anchor for teacher's use

Figure 4.2: Force Anchor for student's use

Figure 4.3: PVC manila card

Figure 4.4: Arrow Shape

Figure 4.5: Making Hole for Magnet

Figure 4.6: Adhere the Magnet into the Hole

Figure 4.7: Combine Three Pieces into One

Figure 4.8: Complete Set of Force Anchor

Figure 4.9: Make a Tiny Hole

Figure 4.10: Labeling on the Force Anchor

Figure 4.11: EquiForce Kit

Figure 4.12: Example of Inclined Plane

Figure 4.13: Drawing of an Inclined Plane

Figure 4.14: Placing Force Anchor Represents Weight

Figure 4.15: Resolve Slanting Force

Figure 4.16: Placing Second Force Anchor

Figure 4.17: Placing Third Force Anchor

Figure 4.18: Example of Three Forces in Equilibrium

Figure 4.19: Reference Plane

Figure 4.20: Placing Force Anchor on the Plane

Figure 4.21: Placing Second Force Anchor

Figure 4.22: Placing Third Force Anchor

Figure 4.23: Resolve Slanting Force

Figure 5.1: Histogram for STAD in pre-FECT

Figure 5.2: Histogram for STAD-EFK in pre-FECT

Figure 5.3: Histogram for STAD in post-FECT

Figure 5.4: Histogram for STAD-EFK in Post-FECT

Figure 5.5: Histogram for STAD-EFK in Pre-test

Figure 5.6: Histogram for STAD-EFK in Post-test



UMS
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Four Clusters of Forces in Equilibrium Proposed by Steif (2004)

Table 3.1: Research Design of the Study

Table 3.2: Six Categories of the FECT Items

Table 3.3: The Test Specification Table for FECT

Table 3.4: Seven Categories of the Items in 'Attitudes in Physics'

Table 3.5: Procedure for Conducted Research

Table 3.6: Statistical Test for Hypothesis Testing

Table 3.7: George and Mallery's Rule of Thumb

Table 3.8: Reliability of FECT

Table 3.9: Reliability of FECT Revised Version

Table 3.10: Interpretation of Difficulty Index

Table 3.11: Interpretation of Discrimination Index

Table 3.12: Index of Difficulty and Index of Discrimination of FECT

Table 3.13: Reliability of 'Attitude in Physics'

Table 4.1: The Corresponding Part in the Worksheet

Table 4.2: Cost for EFK Production

Table 4.3: Production Steps for Teacher's Use Force Anchor

Table 4.4: Production Steps for Student's Use Force Anchor

Table 4.5: Time Estimation for Memory Short Note Production

Table 4.6: Time Estimation for Worksheet Production

Table 4.7: Properties of Each Tool in EFK

Table 4.8: Operational Manual for Force Anchor

Table 5.1: Test of Normality of STAD in pre-FECT

Table 5.2: Test of Normality of STAD-EFK in pre-FECT

Table 5.3: Test of Normality of STAD in post-FECT

Table 5.4: Test of Normality for STAD-EFK in Post-FECT

Table 5.5: Test of Normality for STAD-EFK in Pre-test

Table 5.6: Test of Normality for STAD-EFK in Post-test

Table 5.7: Difference of Mean Scores in Pre-FECT between STAD and STAD-EFK

Table 5.8: Difference of Mean Scores in Post-FECT between STAD and STAD-EFK

Table 5.9: Difference of Mean Score between Pre-FECT and Post-FECT in STAD

Table 5.10: Difference of Mean Scores between Pre-FECT and Post-FECT in STAD-EFK

Table 5.11: Increased Percentages by Each Category

Table 5.12: Categories of 'Attitude in Physics'

Table 5.13: Average Mean (Percentage) Differences of Attitudes Pre-test and Post-test in STAD-EFK

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

CAT	Concept Achievement Test
EFK	EquiForce Kit
FCI	Force Concept Inventory
FECT	Force in Equilibrium Concept Test
FMCE	Force – Motion Concept Evaluation
KBSM	Integrated Curriculum for Secondary Schools
JSU	Test Specification Table
NSKS	Nature of Scientific Knowledge
NTM	New Teaching Method
PBL	Problem –Based Learning
Post-FECT	Posttest for Force in Equilibrium Concept Test
Pre-FECT	Pretest for Force in Equilibrium Concept Test
SPSS 16.0	Statistical Package for Social Sciences Version 16.0
STAD	Students Team-Achievement Divisions
STAD-EFK	Students Team-Achievement Divisions with EquiForce Kit
ZPD	Zone of Proximal Development

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Physics is a field of knowledge that is mainly based on individual experiences and what happened in daily practices. However, students' view of concept is normally not exactly the same with the real science concept. Their thinking of Science at that particular moment can be called as intuitive belief which is actually constructed inside them according to what they have experienced. Therefore this intuitive belief held by students before entering their first Physics lesson, has been proved to be one of the major difficulties in learning Physics (Eryilmaz, 2002). Students started to learn physics with their well-established common sense beliefs about physical world from what they had discovered since the first day they came to the world (Hestenes, Wells & Swackhamer, 1992; Dykstra, Boyle & Monarch, 1992). A student would not be able to grasp the correct concept if one's conception framework is not in phase with the teacher. Eventually, the student pre-existed incorrect beliefs remain unchanged, hence identified as 'Misconception', 'Alternative Conception', or 'Preconception' by many researchers (Hestenes, Wells & Swackhamer, 1992; Smith, disessa & Roschelle, 1993; Eryilmaz, 2002; Bayraktar, 2007). Over few decades, researches have revealed that most students entered Sciences classes with their own perceptions which, in fact, were not in line with scientific views (Treagust & Duit, 2008). Furthermore, students will usually resist to a change of perceptions which seemingly, for them, are more logical than the correct one (Bayraktar, 2007) even after the lesson. Thus, students' preconception is generally a

major obstacle in physics learning and this is urged to be investigated in order to find out an optimum solution.

Throughout the years, many researchers were trying to figure out teaching strategies that capable to overcome this problem, especially constructivism that stressed on students' experiences in understanding the real concept (Nilsson, Pendrill & Pettersson, 2004; Nabilah Abdullah, 2009; Ogunleye & Babajide, 2011). For example, Problem-Based Learning integrated with Cooperative Learning approach (Ahmad Hadi Ali & Siti Nur Kamariah Rubani, 2009; Fauziah Sulaiman, 2010; Ates & Eryilmaz, 2011). From those studies, Cooperative learning has proven to be effective in a classroom. Students may learn from their peers through their own activities and interaction (Shimazoe & Aldrich, 2010). In addition, Eryilmaz (2002) also claimed that the conceptual change discussion which implies Cooperative Learning has significant effect on reducing misconception and improving achievement in Force and Motion.

Other than that, the matter of students' attitudes toward Science is also another factor that principally influences students' learning in Science. Students' attitudes and interests have a significant contribution in students' Physics learning (Normah & Salleh, 2006). In different country, students develop vary attitudes toward Science. In comparison, students in developing countries generally hold better positive attitudes than in developed countries (Riffat-Un-Nisa Awan *et al.*, 2011). The Physics subject is generally treated as an elite discipline and it is conceptually hard (Erdemir, 2009). Due to this reason, students prefer not to choose Physics course when compared to Biology and Chemistry, especially for girls (Riffat-Un-Nisa Awan, 2011). As an addition, Erdemir (2009) have figured out that plenty of developed countries often failed to achieve the target goal in Physics compared to other Science discipline.

Therefore, the present study is aimed to study the conceptual understanding of students in Force and students' attitudes toward Physics.

1.2 BACKGROUND

Many studies have done on conceptual understanding in Physics over the year, particularly amongst elementary and high-school pupils (Trumper, 1998), as well as the pre-service Physics' teachers (Trumper, 1998; Bayraktar, 2007; Saglam-Arslan & Devecioglu, 2010). The students are averagely found to be holding incorrect Physics concepts, especially when it is related to 'Forces'. In this study, the concept of 'Forces in Equilibrium' is highlighted. In order to understand the concept and reasoning in balanced force, Newton's First Law and Newton's Third Law should be first introduced in the lesson. From previous studies, there are two significant misconceptions of students in Newton's Third Law: (1) There may not be necessary a reaction force for every each action force; and (2) Action and reaction may not happen at once (Kara, 2007; Crowe, 2009). In Crowe (2009), there was also evidence showed that students not even able to interpret an action and reaction pair in the picture of a monkey sitting on the ground, as well as the net force. Besides, Trumper (1998) analyzed students' view of Forces in three different areas of Identifying Forces, Adding Forces, Force and Motion, and found that students mostly failed in identifying the direction of forces. At the same time, students were also confused to affirm the balances forces that acting on an object during uniform motion.

From most of the studies, we noticed that remedying misconception and conceptual change would not happen naturally. Students' understanding would change if different types of learning activities or materials have been integrated in their learning process. Concept of Forces in Equilibrium is quite abstract for new learners. This

happens when they have to identify the direction of forces. Most of the students were unable to imagine balanced forces or identifying unbalanced forces. This finding was supported by Trumper (1998) in his journal 'The Need for Change in Elementary-school Teacher Training: The Force Concept as an Example'. Therefore, in order to neutralize the interference of misconceptions, teacher would have to confront students' misconception in the instruction (Smith, diSessa and Roschelle, 1993). Their misconceptions would be eliminated if the disparity of real concept and misconception was totally explicit. However, Bayraktar (2007) and Wood (2011) share different view by claiming that conceptual change did not always happen though the students were presented with some plausible evidences. At the same time, Bayraktar (2007) concluded that majority of the misconceptions held by college pre-service teachers remain unchanged, even the finding showed positive increment in Mechanic Physics.

Other than conceptual understanding, student's attitudes toward Physics also caught a lot of attentions (Duda & Garrett, 2008; Ahmad Nurulazamet.al., 2010; Hirca, Çalik, & Seven, 2011; Milner-Bolotin *et al.*, 2011). The finding of Kaya & Boyuk (2011) indicated that students' attitudes toward Physics are depended upon students' grade and ages, but not gender. Moreover, Milner-Bolotin *et al.* (2011) studies stressed that educational background of the students has significant influence on students' attitudes and their conceptual knowledge.

Therefore, this study is attempted to examine the effect of different approaches in remedying students' pre-conception. In the meantime, the study is also tried to find out the impact of applied approaches in students' attitudes toward Physics.

1.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT

In order to eliminate students' misconceptions, their conceptual framework has to be reconstructed. Conceptual understanding of a student can be built by experiences or hands-on activities. Constructivist believed that knowledge is constructed in the learner's mind (Bodner, 1986). This constructed knowledge is actually organized from the learner experience on the basis of one's pre-existing mental structures. According to Nersessian (1999), there are three generative of conceptual change in Science, which are (i) creating analogies, (ii) employing visual representations, and (iii) thought experimenting. According to Treagust & Duit (2008), students may use different method to make the difficult concept comprehensible based on the conceptual change learning theory. Shaharom & Faizah (2010) suggested that using a suitable teaching material in learning Physics is much more important. This is because the teaching material such as concrete manipulative enable student to communicate with the abstract content. Thereupon, learning could occur in a better way through any combination of verbal, textual, pictures, or physical objects.

In the present study, researcher attempted to integrate manipulative material and supplement worksheet in a cooperative classroom. A manipulative material not only helps student to understand the learning concept, but also as a beneficial in making a problem more readily accessible in long-term memory (Moreno, Ozogul & Reisslein, 2011). Previous study that conducted by Jonassen, Strobel and Gottdenker (2005) argued that students' conceptual change are mostly affected by model-based reasoning and this model building was found to be a very powerful tool in conceptual change. Besides, Newcomer and Steif (2008) carried out a study and proved that students who practice the "Principle of Static Equilibrium" in daily basis had improved their