# LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY MAPPING OF KOTA KINABALU AREA SABAH USING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING

# **ALVYN CLANCEY MICKEY**

PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

# THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

# SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH 2011

i



#### UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

#### BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS@

JUDUL : Landslide Susceptibility Mapping of Kota Kinabalu Area Sabah Using

GIS and Remote Sensing.

LIAZAH : Sarjana Sains

SAYA : ALVYN CLANCEY MICKEY SESI PENGAJIAN: 2006

Mengaku membenarkan tesis (LPSM/Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

- 1. Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
- Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
- Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institutsi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. Sila tandakan ( / )

Sulit

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau Kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

(TANDATANGAN

Tarikh:

Prof. Madya Dr. Baba Musta

(NAMA PENYELIA)

Terhad

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyedilikan dijalankan)

PERPUSTAKAAN UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

/ Tidak

(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)

Alamat Tetap: Jabatan Mineral dan Geosains Beg Berkunci 2042, Jalan Penampang 88999, Kota Kinabau, Sabah.

Tarikh: 27.09.2011

CATATAN : \*Potong yang tidak berkenaan.

\*\* Jika tesis ini sulit atau terhad, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi Berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai Sulit dan Terhad.

@Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana secara penyedilikan atau disertai bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan Laporan Projek Sarjana muda (LPSM).



(AWAN)

### DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, excerpts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

31 March 2011

Alvyn Clancey Mickey PS20068219



### CERTIFICATION

NAME : ALVYN CLANCEY MICKEY

MATRIC NO. : PS20068219

TITLE : LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY MAPPING OF KOTA KINABALU AREA SABAH USING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING

DEGREE : MASTER OF SCIENCE

VIVA DATE : 14<sup>th</sup> July 2011

## DECLARED BY

# 1. SUPERVISOR

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Baba Musta School of Science and Technology Universiti Malaysia Sabah



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to all those who rendered their valuable support, encouragement and guidance. In this respect, I would like to give the following persons my utmost thanks and appreciation:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Baba Musta (supervisor) for his encouragement and valuable guidance that he accorded me throughout the study. His astute comments greatly improved the outcome of my thesis,

Dr. Noraini Surip, Research Officer of ARSM, for her expertise in GIS which benefited me most,

Prof. Dr. Felix Tongkul, for his expertise in sedimentology especially in the study area,

The Director and administrative staff of Minerals and Geoscience Department of Malaysia, Sabah for their support, encouragement and assistance,

Special appreciation and thanks to Ms. Penny Oktaviani and Mr. Ernowo for their help and guidance to use the GIS software. Not forgotten, Mr. Zaki Alias, for his guidance on the ERDAS software.

Lastly, I would like to thank GOD for his abundance grace, wisdom and guidance for the success of the study.



### ABSTRACT

# LANDSLIDE HAZARD MAPPING IN KOTA KINABALU AREA USING GIS AND REMOTE SENSING

The aim of this study was to evaluate the landslide susceptibility in Kota Kinabalu area, Sabah, using the Geographic Information System (GIS) and Remote Sensing tools. In this study, the landslide susceptibility was analyzed using the Probabilistic Frequency Ratio (PFR) model. Based on the PFR analysis model, three main causative factors were identified for the landslide occurrences in the study area; the geomorphological factor, the human factor and the geological factor. The geomorphological factor (79.21%) was the most influencial causative factor followed by the human factor (72.42%). The relations between the attribute factors and landslide locations in the study area were determined by their likelihood value based on the spatial analysis. Generally, areas generally featuring steep slope gradient and widely underlain by river (1<sup>st</sup> order) with human interference in the natural setting of thick forest cover was prone to landslide occurrence.



### ABSTRAK

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengkaji bencana tanah runtuh di sekitar kawasan Kota Kinabalu dengan menggunakan aplikasi GIS dan remote sensing. Dalam kajian ini, model analisis nisbah kebarangkalian berdasarkan frekuensi telah digunapakai. Berdasarkan model analisis, terdapat tiga faktor utama yang mempengaruhi kejadian tanah runtuh di kawasan kajian; faktor geomorfologi, faktor manusia dan faktor geologi. Faktor geomorfologi (79.21%) merupakan faktor yang paling mempengaruhi kejadian tanah runtuh di kawasan kajian diikuti oleh faktor manusia (72.42%). Hubungan antara faktor penyumbang kejadian tanah runtuh dengan lokasi tanah runtuh telah dikenalpasti berdasarkan nilai kebarangkalian dalam analisis spatial. Secara amnya, kawasan yang mempunyai kecerunan tinggi dan diliputi oleh sungai (order pertama) berserta gangguan aktiviti manusia mempunyai kebarangkalian yang tinggi untuk mengalami bencana tanah runtuh.



### TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page
TITLE	1
DECLARATION	Ш
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTARCT	v
ABSTRAK	vii
TABLE OF CONTENT	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	×
LIST OF TABLES	xili
LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS	xiv

## **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

1.	.1 Preface	1
1	.2 Study area	2
	1.2.1 Accessibility	4
	1.2.2 Climate	5
	1.2.3 Land use	5
	1.2.4 Topography	6
	1.2.5 Drainage	7
1	.3 Objective	9
1	.4 Method of study	9
	1.4.1 Preliminary Investigation	9
	1.4.2 Fieldwork	10
	1.4.3 Interpretation and Result	10
1	.5 Previous geological work	11
1	.6 Justification and study constraints	12

## **CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1 Introduction	14
2.2 Scale scheme	15



	2.3 Landslide morphology and terminology	16
	2.4 Classification of landslide	19
	2.5 Landslide factors	20
	2.6 Landslide and geology relationship	22
	2.7 Landslide investigation 2.4.1 Principles of Satellite Interpretations 2.4.2 Recognition of Unstable Slope Forms	22 22 23
	2.8 Landslide susceptibility analysis methodology	23
	2.9 Landslide analysis models 2.9.1 Probabilistic Frequency Ratio Model 2.9.2 Logistic Regression Mode 2.9.3 Information Value Model	25 25 25 26
	2.10 Summary	27
CHAPTER 3:	METHODOLOGY AND DATA ACQUISITION	
	3.1 Introduction	28
	3.2 Materials 3.2.1 Hardware 3.2.2 Software	28 29 30
	<ul> <li>3.3 Methodology</li> <li>3.3.1 Landslide Investigation <ul> <li>a. Remote sensed imagery analysis</li> <li>b. Fieldwork</li> </ul> </li> <li>3.3.2 Spatial Analysis <ul> <li>a. Database preparation</li> <li>b. Probabilistic frequency ratio mode</li> <li>c. Landslide susceptibility map</li> <li>d. Verification</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	31 33 37 42 42 54 55 55
CHAPTER 4:	RESULT AND FINDINGS	
	4.1 Introduction	57
	4.2 Geology of Study Area 4.2.1 Lithological Units	57 58

ology of Study Area	57
.1 Lithological Units	58
a. Massive sandstone unit	58
b. Interbedded sandstone and shale unit	60
c. Shale unit	63



	4.2.2 Stratigraphy	65
	4.2.3 Structural Geology	67
	a. Bedding attitude	68
	b. Folds	68
	c. Thrust fault	71
	d. Normal fault	71
	e. Strike slip fault	72
	f. Imbricate structures and duplexes	72
	d. Disrupted zone	72
	4.3 Landslide Characteristic in Study Area	73
	4.4 Frequency Ratio and Landslide Susceptibility Index Calculation	77
	4.4.1 Lithology	77
	4.4.2 Land use	80
	4.4.3 Soil	83
	4.4.4 Slope Gradient and Slope Aspect	85
	4.4.5 Distance from River	88
	4.4.6 Distance from Road	93
	4.4.7 Distance from Structure (faults)	99
	4.5 Verification and Attribute Factor Analysis	102
	4.6 Landslide Susceptibility Map	104
5:	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	
	5.1 Introduction	106
	5.2 Factors Influencing Landslide Occurrence	106
	5.2.1 Geomorphology Factor	107
	5.2.2 Human Factor	107
	5.2.3 Geology Factor	108
	5.3 Conclusion	109
	5.4 Recommendation	110

5.4 Recommendation

CHAPTER

REFERENCES 111 APPENDIX 115



## LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Study area.	3
Figure 1.2	The administrative boundary of the study area.	3
Figure 1.3	The road system in the study area.	4
Figure 1.4	Elevation map of Kota Kinabalu area.	7
Figure 1.5	Drainage system of the study area.	8
Figure 2.1	Block diagram of typical landslide showing some of the	
	landslide morphology.	17
Figure 3.1	Methodology flowchart	32
Figure 3.2	The directional filters used in satellite image, N-S (A), E-W (B).	34
Figure 3.3	Directional filter TN images by N-S filter (A) and E-W filter (B).	34
Figure 3.4	Lineament interpretations analysis using Landsat TM.	35
Figure 3.5	Lineament interpretations analysis using SPOT5.	35
Figure 3.6	Combinations technique of satellite imagery draped over a DEM	
	for lineament analysis.	36
Figure 3.7	Landslide detection using SPOT5 (2.5m) with multiple band	
	ombinations.	36
Figure 3.8	An example of landslide inventory form that used in this study.	41
Figure 3.9	Slope gradient map of the study area.	44
Figure 3.10	Slope aspect map of the study area.	45
Figure 3.11	Distance map (road) of the study area.	46
Figure 3.12	Distance map (river 1 <sup>st</sup> order) of the study area.	47
Figure 3.13	Distance map (structure) of the study area.	48
Figure 3.14	Lithology map of the study area.	50
Figure 3.15	Soil map of the study area.	51
Figure 3.16	Land use map of the study area.	52
Figure 3.17	Landslide locations of the study area.	53
Figure 3.18	Likelihood ratio Venn diagram.	54
Figure 3.19	Illustration of cumulative frequency diagram represents	
	landslide susceptibility index rank which occurs in cumulative	
	percentage of landslide occurrence.	57
Figure 4.1	The geological map of Kota Kinabalu area.	59



x

Figure 4.2	Rose diagram of bedding planes in the study area.	69	
Figure 4.3	Landslide locations in the study area.	74	
Figure 4.4	The frequency of landslide (types) in the study area.	76	
Figure 4.5	Types of landslide distribution of the study area.	76	
Figure 4.6	Landslide location distribution of the study area.	77	
Figure 4.7	The frequency ratio map (lithology-landslide locations) of the		
	study area.	78	
Figure 4.8	The frequency ratio map (land use-landslide locations) of the		
	study area.	82	
Figure 4.9	The frequency ratio map (soil-landslide locations) of the study		
	area.	84	
Figure 4.10	The frequency ratio map (slope gradient-landslide locations)		
	of the study area.	86	
Figure 4.11	The frequency ratio map (slope aspect-landslide locations)		25
	of the study area.	87	SABA
Figure 4.12	The frequency ratio map (river order1-landslide locations)		AN SIA
	of the study area.	89	TAK
Figure 4.13	The frequency ratio map (river orde2-landslide locations)		SU19
	of the study area.	90	PE
Figure 4.14	The frequency ratio map (river order3-landslide locations)		ININ
	of the study area.	91	-
Figure 4.15	The frequency ratio map (river order4-landslide locations)		
	of the study area.	92	
Figure 4.16	The frequency ratio map (main road-landslide locations)		
	of the study area.	95	
Figure 4.17	the frequency ratio map (secondary road-landslide locations)		
	of the study area.	96	
Figure 4.18	The frequency ratio map (tertiary road-landslide locations).	97	
Figure 4.19	The frequency ratio map (quaternary road-landslide locations)		
	of the study area.	98	
Figure 4.20	The frequency ratio map (structure-landslide locations)		
	of the study area.	101	
Figure 4.21	The verification analysis result by exclusion of each attribute		
	factor's value.	102	



Figure 4.22	The verification	accuracy result based	d on the categorized group.	103
-------------	------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------	-----

Figure 4.23	Cumulative frequency diagram showing landslide hazard index rank		
	occurring in cumulative percent of landslide occurrence.	104	
Figure 4 24	The landelide succeptibility index formula for frequency ratio	model	

- Figure 4.24 The landslide susceptibility index formula for frequency ratio model analysis. 104
- Figure 4.25 Landslide susceptibility index map of the study area. 105



# LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.1	Major landslide incident in Kota Kinabalu area from year	
	1999-2010.	2
Table 1.2	Weather averages for Kota Kinabalu for 2005 and 2006.	5
Table 2.1	The classification of mass movement based on EPOCH.	19
Table 2.2	The landslide main factors.	20
Table 2.3	Methodologies approaches for landslide assessment using	
	remote sensing and GIS.	23
Table 3.1	List of aerial photographs that covered the study area.	30
Table 3.2	List of satellite images that covered the study area.	30
Table 3.3	Thematic maps were used in analysis (spatial database).	31
Table 3.4	The main landslide attributes factors in landslide analysis.	32
Table 3.5	Morphological, vegetation and drainage features, characteristic	
	for slope instability process with their photo characteristic.	37
Table 3.6	The list of attribute raster maps used in analysis.	42
Table 3.7	Soil unit of the study area.	49
Table 4.1	The landslide database of the study area.	75
Table 4.2	The frequency ratio analysis result for lithology.	79
Table 4.3	The frequency ratio analysis result for land use.	81
Table 4.4	The frequency ratio analysis result for soil unit.	83
Table 4.5	The frequency ratio analysis result for slope gradient and slope	
	aspect.	85
Table 4.6	The frequency ratio analysis result for distance (river).	88
Table 4.7	The frequency ratio analysis result for distance (road).	93
Table 4.8	The frequency ratio analysis result for distance (structure).	99
Table 4.9	The verification rate by exclusion of each factor's value.	102
Table 4.10	The verification result based on the categorized group.	103



# LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

n	-	-	~
μ	Я	а	ρ
	ч	ч	~

Photo 3.1	Landslide investigation on progress.	38	
Photo 3.2	Landslide investigation on progress.	39	
Photo 3.3	Damages by the landslide activities.	39	
Photo 3.4	Affected road due to landslide activities.	40	
Photo 4.1	Massive sandstone unit outcrop.	60	
Photo 4.2	Outcrop of interbedded sandstone and shale unit, sandy type.	61	
Photo 4.3	Outcrop of interbedded sandstone and shale unit, shaly type.	62	
Photo 4.4	Interbedded sandstone and shale unit, classical type.		
Photo 4.5	An outcrop of grey shale unit.		
Photo 4.6	Red shale unit associated with grey shale unit.	64	
Photo 4.7	Red shale unit.	65	
Photo 4.8	An outcrop of sandy sequence.	66	
Photo 4.9	An outcrop of shaly sequence.	67	
Photo 4.10	An upright tight fold in the interbedded sandstone and shale unit.	70	
Photo 4.11	An asymmetric fold associated with faults system.	70	
Photo 4.12	Steeply plunging folds associated with a fault zone.	71	
Photo 4.13	Landslide observed at the interbedded sandstone-shale unit		
	outcrop.	78	
Photo 4.14	Landslide observed at the grey-red shale unit outcrop.	80	
Photo 4.15	An example of open burning activities for farm openings.	81	
Photo 4.16	The disrupted zones found within the shaly sequences;		
	Interbedded Sandstone-shale unit.	100	



CHAPTER 1:

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Preface

Landslide is one of the major natural hazards which account for enormous property damage and life causalities. In Malaysia, most of the landslide occurred in hilly areas and closely related to the geology and geomorphology of the area, geotechnical properties of the slope material and in some cases poor or improper management of slope area (Frederick, 2003). In Kota Kinabalu area, a total of 14 landslides occurred and recorded during a day of heavy rainfall in early 2000 (Mineral and Geoscience Department of Malaysia, 2000) in which several road and public properties were damaged. In early 2001, a large scale landslide occurred at the northern part of Kota Kinabalu area where three persons were killed and several houses damaged. Table 1.1 shows some of the major landslide incidents in Kota Kinabalu area.

Social and economic losses due to landslides can be reduced by means of effective planning and management. These approaches include:

- a) Restriction of development in landslide prone area.
- b) Use of physical measures (drainage, slope geometry, structures and etc) to prevent or control landslide.
- c) Development of warning system.

With the advancement of remote sensing and Geographic Information System (GIS), this study can provide a useful reference and information to indentify landslides-prone areas by utilising the technologies for landslide assessment and prediction in the study area.



DATE	LOCATION	CASUALTIES	REMARK
lan, 1999	Kg. Garib, Inanam, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah	One person killed	
Jan, 2001	Sepanggar bay, Menggatal, Sabah	3 persons killed and several houses damage	
Jan, 2005	Sepanggar bay, Menggatal, Sabah	1 person killed	
Jun, 2010	Taman Jindo, Ph 7 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.	None	15 houses affected (5 houses badly damages)
Jun, 2010	Inanam-Minintod Road Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.	None	Road closed (temporarily)
July, 2010	Taman Fantasi, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.	None	Several houses affected
July, 2010	Fong Yin Ting Road, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.	None	Road closed (temporarily)
July, 2010	Chang Yai Villa Apt. Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.	None	3 houses affected
Aug, 2010	Jalan Asrama Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.	None	Road closed (temporarily)

### Table 1.1: Major landslide incidents in Kota Kinabalu area from year 1999-2010

### 1.2 Study Area

The study area lies on the western coast of Sabah. It is bounded by latitudes  $5^{\circ}57'N$  and  $6^{\circ}14'N$  and longitudes  $116^{\circ}00'E$  and  $116^{\circ}16'E$ . Sabah occupies the northern part of Borneo which is bounded by the South China Sea to the west and Sulu Sea to the east (Figure 1.1).

The map sheet was named Kota Kinabalu after the capital city, and the mapped area extends eastwards as far as Kiulu, Tuaran town in the north and Likas in the south which also includes the nearby islands of Gaya and Sepanggar. The total land area is approximately 540 km<sup>2</sup> with two main administrative districts comprising of Kota Kinabalu and Tuaran (Figure 1.2).





Figure 1.1: Study area



Figure 1.2: The administrative boundary of the study area



### 1.2.1 Accessibility

A good network of roads around the city of Kota Kinabalu provides excellent access to the area and its surroundings. Tuaran Road and Sulaman Road are among the main roads linking Kota Kinabalu City to other areas such as Tuaran and Tamparuli districts. These two roads are very important for the development of the surrounding areas especially Sepanggar. Most of the roads in the study area are built along the coast and between valleys. Telipok-Natai and Kokol roads are the only roads that cut through the mountains (Figure 1.3) and these roads had been upgraded in early 2009.

The nearby islands are easily reached by boat from the mainland. Boat services can be arranged with the boat operators around the city jetty. On the islands, there are no roads except for footpaths.



Figure 1.3: The road system in the study area



### 1.2.2 Climate

Kota Kinabalu experiences a typical equatorial climate, with constant temperature, considerable rain and high humidity. Two prevailing monsoons characterise the climate in this part of Sabah; the Northeast Monsoon and the Southwest Monsoon. The Northeast Monsoon occurs between November and March, while the Southwest Monsoon occurs between May and September. There are also two successive intermonsoons from April to May and from September to October. Temperature variation throughout the year is small. However, April and May are generally the hottest months, while December and January are often the coolest (Table 1.2). However, rainfall varies markedly throughout the year. February and March are typically the driest months while rainfall peaks in the inter-monsoon period around October. Humidity is relatively high, generally over 80% throughout the year.





### 1.2.3 Landuse

Kota Kinabalu Wetland Centre is the only remains of 24 hectares of mangrove forest that once existed extensively along the coastal region of Kota Kinabalu. Previously known as Likas Swamp or Likas Mangrove and later Kota Kinabalu City Bird Sanctuary, the Centre came foremost out of 20 wetlands selected by the Sabah



Wetlands Inventory Committee in 1986. Apart from Kota Kinabalu Wetland Centre, most of the swamps and lowlands are located in the Tuaran area which is in the northern part of the study area.

The landuse in Kota Kinabalu area are classified into 11 categories which are building, forest, the secondary forest, the disturbed forest, the urban and developed area, cultivated land, grassland, mangrove and tidal swamp, lake/pond, clear land and the paddy field. The nearby islands are still covered with dense jungle (Gaya Island and Sepanggar Island) and the Gaya Island is under the National Park administration, where wild animals and primary jungle are protected.

### 1.2.4 Topography

The topography of the study area can be divided into five categories; the high land, the moderate high land, the moderate low land, the low land and very low land (Figure 1.4). The height of the high land ranges from 725m to 900m; the moderate highland ranges from 500m to 725m, followed by the moderate low land with height ranging from 275m to 500m and the lowland area which is 50m to 275m high. Elevation below 50m is categorised as the very low land area.

Most of the high to moderate high lands (500-900m) are located in the east and southeast of the study area. These areas are free from physical development activities except for a number of slope cuttings for the upgrading of roads. As for the moderate low land (275-500m), most of these areas are covered by the cultivated plant such as rubber trees and fruit trees. The lowlands (50-275m) are best described as the undulating hills and small isolated foothills located at the western part of the study area. Most of these areas were cleared for new housing developments and road networks. In the north, the area is generally very low and is underlain by the coastal plain.





Figure 1.4: Elevation map of Kota Kinabalu area

### 1.2.5 Drainage

Sungai Tuaran, Sungai Inanam and Sungai Tombongan are among the main rivers in the study area (Figure 1.5). Sungai Tuaran, Sungai Mengkabong and Sungai Tambalang are located in the northern part of the study area while Sungai Likas, Sungai Inanam and Sungai Menggatal are in the southern part of the area. Sungai Tombongan is the only river located in the interior and flows toward the east. There are four different directions of river flows in the study area; Southeast to Northwest direction, South to North direction, Northwest to Southeast direction and Southwest to Northeast direction, before draining into the South China Sea. The main rivers in



the area are mostly wide and meandering indicating the current flows is slow where they allow the sediments to be deposited on the river bed.

The drainage systems in the study area are generally dendritic. However, at places upriver, they formed V-shaped valleys which suggest the area is underlain by hard rock.



Figure 1.5: Drainage system of the study area



### 1.3 Objective

The general objective of this study is to assess the landslide susceptibility area using the remotely sensed data and GIS techniques together with field observation. The objective can be elaborated as follows:

- To assess the landslide susceptibility area using the Probabilistic Frequency Ratio (PFR) model (Saro Lee & Pradhan, 2006).
- ii. To relate the relationship between the landslide occurrences with the attribute factors.
- iii. To determine the most influencial attribute factors to the landslide occurrences in the study area.
- iv. To produce a landslide susceptibility index map with the scale of 1:50,000.

### 1.4 Method of study

This study is divided into three stages; namely preliminary investigation, detailed investigation and interpretation and presentation of the study result.

### 1.4.1 Preliminary Investigation

Desktop study was part of the preliminary investigation which involved an extensive literature review pertaining to every aspect of the geological information available in the area. A base map with the scale of 1:50,000 were prepared for reference during fieldworks. A short field trip was carried out at this stage to obtain the general idea of the ground features of the study area.

Preliminary study and review of literatures have been carried out both at Geosciences and Mineral Department of Malaysia, Sabah and Malaysian Remote Sensing Agency (MACRES) in Kuala Lumpur. Preliminary study also included the interpretation of aerial photographs and satellite images, which is an important method for assessing geological characteristic and landslide investigation in the study area. Seven series of aerial photographs (year 1969-1972) at the scale of 1:30,000



#### REFERENCES

- Anon., 1972. The Preparation of Maps and Plans in Terms of Engineering Geology. Quarterly Journal Engineering Geology 5, pp. 292-381.
- Alvyn C.M., 2010. The Geology of Kota Kinabalu area, Sabah, Malaysia. Minerals and Geoscience Department of Malaysia, Sabah.
- Benard, F., Muller, C., Letouzey, J., Rangin, C. and Tahir, S., 1990. Evidence of Multiphase deformation in the Rajang-Crocker Range (Northern Borneo) from LANDSAT Imagery Interpretation: Geodynamic Implications. Tectonophysics, 183, pp. 321-339.
- Bowen, J.M. & Wright, J.A., 1957. *Geology of the Crocker Range and Adjoining areas.* In. P. Liechti (ed), Geology of Sarawak, Brunei and Northwest Sabah. Brit. Terr. Borneo Geol. Survey Dept.
- Brunsden, D., 1999. Some Geomorphological Consideration for the Future Development of Landslide Models. Geomorpgology 30, pp. 13-24.
- Collenette, P., 1958. The Geology and Minerals Resources of the Jesselton-Kinabalu area, North Borneo. British Borneo Geological Survey, Memoir 6.
- Crozier, M.J., 1986. Landslides: Causes, Consequences and Enviroment. Routledge, London.
- Cruden, D.M., 1991. A Simple Definition of a Landslide. Bulletin International Association for Engineering Geology 43, pp. 27-29.
- Ficth, F.H., 1954. Cretaceous and Eocene Stratigraphy in North Borneo. British Borneo Geological Survey Annual Report, 110pp.
- Frederick Tating., 2003. The geology and landslide in the northern Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia. Kumamoto University, Japan.
- Hj. Mohamad Pauzi Hj. Abdullah, 2000. Geohazard assessment along Kota Kinabalu-Tambunan-Ranau Highway, Sabah, Malaysia. CCOP technical bulletin, Vol. 29. pp 75-86.
- Huntchinson, J.N., 1988. Morphological and Geotechnical Parameters of Landslides in Relation to Geology and Hydrology. General Report. In Landslides, Proc. 5<sup>th</sup>. Int. Sym. On Landslides (Ed. C. Bonnard), Vol. 1, pp. 3-35.
- Jacobson, G. & Kim, P., 1969. Engineering Geology of Kota Kinabalu area, Sabah. Geological Survey of Malaysia (unpublished report)



- Jacobson, G., 1970. *Gunung Kinabalu area, Sabah, Malaysia*. Geological Survey of Malaysia, Report 8, 111 pp.
- Jasmi Ab. Talib, 1997. *Slope instability and hazard zonation mapping using remote sensing and GIS techniques in the area of Cameron Highlands, Malaysia.* International Institute for Aerospace Survey and Earth Sciences (ITC), Netherlands. pp 1-86.
- Jasmi Ab. Talib, 1997. *Slope Instability and Hazard Zonation Mapping Using Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques in the Area of Cameron Highlands, Malaysia.* Proceeding of The 18<sup>th</sup> ASIAN Conference on Remote Sensing. ASIAN Assoc. on Remote Sensing (AARS). pp. C-1-1 – C-1-6.
- Keiji, A.J., 1965. Late Cretaceous and Paleogene Arenaceous Foraminifera from Flysch Deposits in NW Borneo. Geol. Survey Borneo Region Malaysia, Annual Report, pp. 155-158.
- KIGAM, 2006. Development of techniques for landslide hazard analysis application using GIS and remote sensing. Coordinating Committee for Geoscience Programmes in East and Southeast ASIA (CCOP).
- Lee, D.C.T., 1979. Application of LANDSAT to Regional Geologic Studies, with reference to the Geology of Central and West Coast Sabah and Adjacent areas. Geol. Survey of Malaysia. Geological Paper 3, pp. 126-133.
- Lee S. & Biswajeet Pradhan, 2005. Landslide hazard mapping at Selangor, Malaysia using frequency ratio and logistic regression models. Springer-landslide Journal.
- Lee S. & Biswajeet Pradhan, 2006. Probabilistic landslide hazards and risk mapping on Penang Island, Malaysia. Journal Syst. Sci. 115, No. 6., India. pp. 661-672.
- Lee S., J. Choi & K. Min, 2004. Probabilistic Landslide Susceptibility Mapping Using GIS and Remote Sensing Data at Boun, Korea. International Journal of Remote Sensing. 125(11), pp. 2037-2052.
- Lee, W.A., Thomas, S.L., Sharma, S. & Boyce, G.M., 1995. *Slope Stability and Stabilization Methods*. John Wiley and Sons. 629 pp.
- Liang, T. & Belcher, D.J., 1958. *Airphoto Interpretation. In Landslides and Engineering Practice.* Highway Research Board Special Report 29. (Ed. B.E. Eckel) Washington D.C. pp.69-92.
- Mehrnoosh, J., Helmi, Z.M. S., Shattri, M., Mohammad, S. & Saeid, P., 2009. Landslide susceptibility evaluation and factor effect analysis using probabilistic-frequency ratio model. European Journal of Scientific Research, Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 654-668.



- Ramlin M.F., Yusof N., Yusoff M. K., Juahir, Shafri Z.M., 2010. Lineament Mapping and Its Application in Landslide Hazard Assessment: A Review. Bull Eng Geol Environ 69. pp.215-233.
- Minerals and Geoscience Department of Malaysia, Sabah, (2000). Landslide Investigation in the Vicinity of Kota Kinabalu area. (unpublished report)
- Reinhard, M. & Wenk, E., 1951. The Geology of the Colony North Borneo. British Borneo Geological Survey 1.
- Rodeano Roslee, Sanudin Tahir & Abd. Kadir S. Omang, 2006. *Engineerign Geology of the Kota Kinabalu Area, Sabah, Malaysia.* Geol. Soc. Of Malaysia Bulletin 52. Pp. 17-25.
- Sanudin Tahir & Baba Musta, 2007. *Pengenalan Kepada Stratigrafi*. Penerbitan UMS. Sabah, Malaysia. 215pp.
- Serwan M.J. & Kamal J. Sant., 2007. Developing GIS Based Geo-Environment Indicators for Mapping Landslide Susceptibility in Tropical Mountainous Environments. ACRS Conf. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Sharpe, C.F.S., 1938. Landslide and Related Phenomena. Columbia Press. New York.
- Soeters, R. & van Westen, C.J., 1996. *Slope Instability Recognition, Analysis and Zonation*. In Special Report 247: Landslides: Investigation and Mitigation, TRB, National Research Council. Washington, D.C. pp. 129-177.
- Stauffer, P.H., 1967. Studies in the Crocker Formation Sabah, Borneo Region. Geological Survey of Malaysia, Bull. 8, pp. 1-13.
- Sudarjat, A., 1978. *Geology of Southwestern Sabah on Landsat Images.* Sains Malaysiana, 7(2), pp. 223-234.
- Terzaghi, K., 1952. *Mechanism of Landslides*. Geol. Soc. Am. Berkely Volume, pp. 83-123.
- Tjia, H.D., 2003. Northwest Sabah Overthrust System. Geological Society of Malaysia, Bulletin 46. pp. 5-10.
- Tjia, H.D., 1974. Sense of Tectonic Transport in Intensely Deformed Trusmadi and Crocker Sediments, Ranau-Tenompok area, Sabah. Sains Malaysiana 3, pp. 171-188.
- Tongkul, F., (1990a). Structural Style and Tectonics of Western and Northern, Sabah. Geological Society Malaysia, Bulletin 27, pp. 227-239.
- Tongkul, F., (1990b). Basin Development and Deposition of The Bongaya Formation in the Pitas area, Northern Sabah. Geological Society Malaysia. Bulletin 29, pp. 183-193.



113

- Tongkul, F., 1987. Sedimentology and structure of the Crocker Formation in the Kota Kinabalu area, Sabah, East Malaysia. University of London.
- Tongkul, F., 1989. Weak zones in the Kota Kinabalu area, Sabah, East Malaysia. Sabah Society Journal, Vol IX No.1.
- Tongkul, F., 1991. *Tectonic Evolution of Sabah, Malaysia.* Journal of Southeast Asian Earth Sciences. Vol 6. pp. 395-405.
- Tongkul, F., 1994. The Geology of Northern Sabah, Malaysia: Its Relationship to the Opening of the South China Sea Basin. Tectonophysics 235. pp. 131-137.
- Van Westen, C.J. & Soeters, R., 2000. Geographic Information System in Slope Instability Zonation. Lecture Note on Landslide Hazard Zonation. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. pp. 156.
- Van Westen, C.J., 1993. Application of Geographical Information System to Landslide Hazard Zonation. ITC Publication. No. 15, Enschede, Netherlands. 245 pp.
- Varnes, D.J., 1984. *Hazard Zonation: A Review of Principles and Practice*. Commission of Landslides of IAEG, UNESCO, Natural Hazards No. 3, 61 pp.
- Varnes. C., 1978. *Slope Movement: Types and Process. In Landslide Analysis Control.* In Trans. Res. Board. Special Report 176, (Ed. B.E. Eckel), pp. 11-33.
- Wilson, R.A.M & Wong, N.P.Y., 1964. The Geology and Minerals Resources of Labuan and Padas Valley area, Sabah, Malaysia. Geological Survey of Malaysia, Memoir 17, 150pp.
- WP/WLI (International Geotechnical Socities UNESCO Working Party for Landslide Inventory), 1993. A Suggested Method for Describing the Activity of a Landslide. Bulletin International Association Engineering Geology 47, pp. 53-57.
- Yin, K.L. & Yan, T.Z., 1988. Statistical Prediction Model for Slope Instability of Metamorphosed Rocks. In Proceedings 5<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Landslide, Lausanne (C.Bonnard, ed.), A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Netherlands, Vol. 2, pp. 1269-1272.
- Zaruba, Q. & Mencl. V., 1982. Landslides and Their Control, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Elsevier Amsterdam, Oxford. 342 pp.

