

**CAN DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES INFLUENCE  
FINANCIAL RISK TOLERANCE? A CASE IN  
KOTA KINABALU.**

**YEK WEI LING**

**THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL  
FULFILLMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER  
OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS  
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA SABAH  
2011**

PERPUSTAKAAN  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH



**UMS**  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH

**UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**

**BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS DISERTASI**

**JUDUL : CAN DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES INFLUENCE FINANCIAL  
RISK TOLERANCE? A CASE IN KOTA KINABALU.**


**IJAZAH : SARJANA PENTADBIRAN PERNIAGAAN**

**SESI PENGAJIAN: 2010-2011**

Saya, YEK WEI LING mengaku membenarkan disertasi sarjana ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan berikut:

1. Disertasi adalah hak milik Universiti Malaysia Sabah.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Malaysia Sabah dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan disertasi ini sebagai bahan pertukaran Institusi Pengajian Tinggi.
4. TIDAK TERHAD.

**PERPUSTAKAAN  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA SABAH**  
Disahkan oleh;




Penulis: YEK WEI LING

Alamat: 27, Taman Foh Sang,  
Jalan Mawas, 88300,  
Kota Kinabalu, Sabah.



TANDATANGAN PUSTAKAWAN



Penyelia: Dr. Caroline Geetha


Tarikh : 05 Ogos 2011



## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the material in this thesis is my own except for quotations, excerpts, equations, summaries and references, which have been duly acknowledged.

10 June 2011



---

YEK WEI LING  
PE20098869C

## CERTIFICATION

NAME : YEK WEI LING

MATRIC NO. : PE20098869C

TITLE : CAN DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES INFLUENCE FINANCIAL  
RISK TOLERANCE? A CASE IN KOTA KINABALU.


DEGREE : MASTER OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

VIVA DATE : 11 JULY 2011

DECLARED BY

**1. SUPERVISOR**

DR. CAROLINE GEETHA



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I gratefully acknowledge the valuable guidance, support, encouragement and advice of my supervisor, Dr Caroline Geetha, from the School of Business and Economics, University Malaysia Sabah. Her continuous guidance, patient as well as kindness have helped me in all the time of completing this dissertation. I would not be performing this work without her support. The precious contributions of my supervisor will always be treasured.

I would also like to thank to my parents in providing such a caring and healthy environment that enable me to conduct my work comfortably. Last but not least, I thank my fellow friends and course-mates that have assisted me in the data collection process. Thank you for the supports from all of you.

Yek Wei Ling  
10 June 2011





## **ABSTRACT**

### **CAN DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES INFLUENCE FINANCIAL RISK TOLERANCE? A CASE IN KOTA KINABALU.**

This study attempts to measure the effect of demographic characteristics of respondents from Kota Kinabalu towards risk tolerance when making financial decision. A quantitative study that consists of about hundred and seventy two respondents was performed. Data was collected using personally administer questionnaire. The effects of demographic variables (gender, age, marital status, income, education, number of financial dependents as well as occupation) on financial risk tolerance were analyzed by using multiple regressions. The findings revealed that demographic variables had significant effect on financial risk tolerance (FRT). The validity of gender, age, marital status as well as income in determining FRT was supported. However, education, number of financial dependents and occupation were found to be insignificant in this study compared to some previous studies. This was due to some societal differences between Kota Kinabalu and Western countries. Therefore, some demographic variables that were appeared to have significant effect on FRT were found to be insignificant in this study.

## ABSTRAK

*Kajian ini adalah bertujuan untuk mengkaji kesan pembolehubah demografi bagi responden Kota Kinabalu terhadap toleransi risiko semasa membuat keputusan berkaitan kewangan. Sebanyak seratus tujuh puluh dua responden terlibat dalam kajian kuantitatif ini. Data telah dikumpulkan dengan menggunakan pendekatan soal selidik yang dikendalikan sendiri. Kesan demografi (jantina, umur, status perkhawinan, pendapatan, tahap pendidikan, bilangan orang yang bergantung kepada responden dari segi kewangan dan pekerjaan) pada toleransi risiko kewangan telah dikaji dengan menggunakan regresi berganda. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa pembolehubah demografi mempunyai kesan yang signifikan terhadap toleransi risiko kewangan. Jantina, umur, status perkhawinan dan pendapatan dibuktikan sebagai pembolehubah yang mempunyai kesan signifikan terhadap toleransi risiko kewangan. Namun, tahap pendidikan, bilangan orang yang bergantung kepada responden dari segi kewangan serta pekerjaan tidak mempunyai kesan yang signifikan terhadap toleransi risiko kewangan. Ini disebabkan perbezaan dari segi sosial yang wujud di antara Kota Kinabalu dengan Negara Barat yang lain. Oleh sebab itu, sesetengah pembolehubah demografi yang didapati mempunyai kesan signifikan terhadap toleransi risiko kewangan didapati tidak signifikan dalam kajian ini.*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>TITLE</b>	
<b>DECLARATION</b>	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iv
<b><i>ABSTRAK</i></b>	v
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	vi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	x
<b>LIST OF APPENDIXES</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF GLOSSARY</b>	xii
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Research Questions	5
1.4 Research Objectives	5
1.5 Scope of Study	6
1.6 Significance of Study	7
1.7 Definitions of Key Terms in Study	8
a. Financial Risk Tolerance (Dependent Variable)	8
b. Demographic Variables (Independent Variable)	8
c. Gender	9
d. Age	9
e. Marital Status	9
f. Income	9
g. Education	9
h. Number of Financial Dependents	9
i. Occupation	10
1.8 Structure of the Study	10
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Financial Risk Tolerance	11
2.3 Independent Variables	13
2.3.1 Demographic Variables	13
a. Gender	14
b. Age	17
c. Marital Status	18
d. Income	19
e. Education	21
f. Number of Financial Dependents	23
g. Occupation	24
2.4 Summary	25



### **CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	27
3.2	Theoretical Framework	27
3.3	Research Hypotheses	28
3.4	Research Design	31
3.5	Research Sample	32
3.6	Research Instrument	32
3.7	Statistical Analysis	34

### **CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS**

4.1	Introduction	35
4.2	Reliability Analysis	35
4.3	Factor Analysis	35
4.4	Descriptive Statistics	36
4.5	Hypothesis Testing	45
4.6	Summary	53

### **CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

5.1	Introduction	54
5.2	Effects of Demographic Variables on Financial Risk Tolerance	54
5.2.1	Effect of Gender on Financial Risk Tolerance	54
5.2.2	Effect of Age on Financial Risk Tolerance	55
5.2.3	Effect of Marital Status on Financial Risk Tolerance	55
5.2.4	Effect of Income on Financial Risk Tolerance	55
5.2.5	Effect of Education on Financial Risk Tolerance	56
5.2.6	Effect of Number of Financial Dependents on Financial Risk Tolerance	57
5.2.7	Effect of Occupation on Financial Risk Tolerance	57
5.3	Implication of Study	58
5.4	Conclusion	58
5.5	Limitation and Future Research	59

<b>REFERENCES</b>	60
-------------------	----

<b>APPENDIXES</b>	64
-------------------	----

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1	Empirical Model of Grable (1997) 4
Figure 3.1	Theoretical Framework 28
Figure 4.1	The distribution of respondents based on gender 41
Figure 4.2	The distribution of respondents based on age 42
Figure 4.3	The distribution of respondents based on marital status 42
Figure 4.4	The distribution of respondents based on income 43
Figure 4.5	The distribution of respondents based on education 43
Figure 4.6	The distribution of respondents based on number of financial dependents 44
Figure 4.7	The distribution of respondents based on occupation 44
Figure 4.8	The distribution of respondents' FRT 45

## LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3.1      Scoring of 13-item instrument based on Grable and Lytton (1999b)	34
Table 4.1      The respondents profile based on gender	36
Table 4.2      The respondents profile based on age	37
Table 4.3      The respondents profile based on marital status	37
Table 4.4      The respondents profile based on income	38
Table 4.5      The respondents profile based on education	39
Table 4.6      The respondents profile based on number of financial dependents	39
Table 4.7      The respondents profile based on occupation	39
Table 4.8      The distribution of respondents' FRT	40
Table 4.9      Test of Normality	46
Table 4.10     Regression results of equation 4.1	47
Table 4.11     Regression results of equation 4.2	48
Table 4.12     Regression results of equation 4.3	48
Table 4.13     Regression results of equation 4.4	48
Table 4.14     Regression results of equation 4.5	49
Table 4.15     Regression results of equation 4.6	49
Table 4.16     Regression results of equation 4.7	49
Table 4.17     Regression results of equation 4.8	50
Table 4.18     Summary of the hypotheses testing	53

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.

<b>ACV</b>	Portfolio-weighted average volatility
<b>CAPM</b>	Capital Asset Pricing Model
<b>FRT</b>	Financial risk tolerance
<b>HHI</b>	Herfindahl-Hirschmann
<b>LWS</b>	Luxembourg Wealth Study
<b>RTS</b>	Risk tolerance score
<b>SCF</b>	Survey of Consumer Finances
<b>SOEP</b>	German Socioeconomic Panel
<b>SPSS</b>	Statistical package for Social Science
<b>VOL</b>	Volatility of portfolio

## LIST OF APPENDIXES

	Page
Appendix A Questionnaire	64
Appendix B Reliability Test	69
Appendix C Descriptive Statistics	70
Appendix D Normality Test	82
Appendix E Multiple Regression Analysis	83



## LIST OF GLOSSARY

Age	How old is the respondents in years during the time they respond to the survey (during the year of 2011).
Demographic Variables	Demographic characteristics of respondents which include gender, age, marital status, income, education, number of financial dependents and occupation.
Education	The level of formal education of a person.
Financial risk tolerance	Highest amount of uncertainty or volatility of investment returns that a person is willing to bear when dealing with financial decision making.
Gender	Referred to either male or female.
Income	Total earnings per month for the respondents in Ringgit Malaysia.
Marital Status	Referred to either single or married.
Number of financial dependents	The number of people who are fully or partially supported financially by the respondents. This number exclude the respondent himself or herself.
Occupation	The main activity of an individual that result in pay. It is referred to either self-employed or employed by others.
Risk	The variance or standard deviation of a possible outcome related to a choice.

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Overview

According to Grable (1997), financial risk tolerance is one of the inputs for investment management decision making process. Financial risk tolerance can influence a person's decision on investment. This is because investors' financial goals or objectives could be highly correlated with his or her financial risk tolerance (Chang, DeVaney and Chiremba, 2004). Therefore, it is the responsibility of financial planners or investment managers to understand the financial risk tolerance of their clients (Callan et al., 2002; Grable, 1997).

Based on the prediction of Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), the percentage of risky portfolio against risk-free assets will differ according to the investor's financial risk tolerance (Hariharan, Chapman, & Domain, 2000). This is also supported by Dorn and Huberman (2010), who found that investors with lower risk tolerance or greater risk-aversion tend to hold less volatile or less risky stocks. Hence, different levels of financial risk tolerance will result in needs of portfolio with different proposition of risky and risk-free assets.

According to the empirical model of Grable (1997), financial planners or investment managers must first conduct background analysis. This background analysis includes observation on the demographic characteristics that describes investor's risk tolerance before they can come out with the objective of investment. The objective of investment is then further contributed to the development of suitable financial plan for their clients. He also stated that people with different

financial risk tolerance can react differently toward financial instruments that consist of different risk.

Understanding the financial risk tolerance of investors is critical to avoid the mismatch or disparity between financial and psychological needs (Callan et al., 2002). Based on Moreschi (2005), investors with portfolios that are not parallel to their risk tolerance can lead to dissatisfaction among investors. However, the subjectivity of financial risk tolerance makes it difficult to be measured by financial planners or investment managers. Hence, they will usually use heuristic judgments or demographic characteristics such as age, gender, marital status, income, educational level as well as number of financial dependents to predict the financial risk tolerance of their clients (Grable, 1997). This makes the validity of demographic variables as the determinants of financial risk tolerance become more critical.

However, there are some inconsistent findings discovered in previous studies in which some demographic characteristics do not show significant effect on financial risk tolerance. Grable and Joo (2004) found inverse but significant relationship between education and financial risk tolerance. Hallahan, Faff and McKenzie (2003) found that the said relationship can be negligible. Thus, we need to identify the effects of demographic characteristics on financial risk tolerance in order to confirm the use of this kind of heuristic judgments for a case in Kota Kinabalu.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

According to Grable (1997), the use of demographics to categorize investors into different levels of risk tolerance has received consensus from researchers and investment managers. Nonetheless, most of the studies were conducted in Western countries. According to Badunenko, Barasinska and Schafer (2009), the findings



obtained for one location should not be generalized for other locations since different country has different institutional settings, macroeconomic conditions and social policies. Furthermore, based on Demirel (2011), different effect of demographic factors on financial risk tolerance may occur at different geographical area. Demirel (2011) found that the older students were not able to take more risk compared to the younger students in Macedonia but this was not the case when respondents were from Turkey. In addition there were still very few research conducted regarding the use of demographic as determinant of financial risk tolerance in of Kota Kinabalu. Hence, a study needed to be carried out to contribute to the body of literature.


Furthermore, many studies on demographics as determinants of financial risk tolerance had used questionnaire that consisted of only a single question as the risk tolerance assessment instrument. According to Grable (1997), single question instrument used in their study was considered as a limitation in their study. This is because instrument with strong multidimensionality could provide a better suggestion of actual financial risk tolerance attitudes (Grable et al., 1999b). Hence, a multidimensional financial risk tolerance assessment instrument should be used in the study that link demographics with financial risk tolerance.

As mentioned by Grable (1997), the use of demographic characteristics to judge on a person financial risk tolerance was widely accepted by financial planners or investment managers. Bryman (2011) further supported that in Malaysia, many companies struggled with performing an appropriate risk tolerance assessment and reverted to simple selection. Using simple selection resulted in inconsistent findings were found by previous researchers. Thus, to ensure the accuracy of this kind of heuristic judgment was highly critical. According to Keown, Martin, Petty, & Scott (2005), there were three types of investors. Investors were willing to get higher return by getting involved in the purchase of stocks that has high risk. There were individuals who would like to reduce risk by getting involved in transaction that has low volatility but provide consistent returns. Finally, there were investors who were

known as risk adverse individuals who do not like risk at all. If financial planners or investment managers mismatch the proposition of portfolio with their clients' financial risk tolerance, dissatisfaction may occur (Moreshi, 2005).

Moreover, the identification of individuals' financial risk tolerance is vital in financial planning and investment decision making process (Grable, 1997). According to the empirical model of Grable (1997), this financial planning and investment decision making process started with background analysis. During the background analysis, demographic characteristics are used to predict investors' risk tolerance. Then, the information acquired will be used to establish objectives and finally develop financial plans. Figure 1.1 represents the empirical model of Grable (1997).

**Figure 1.1: Empirical Model of Grable (1997)**





The initial stage in the financial planning process is very important as shown in Figure 1.1. Without the identification of individuals' financial risk tolerance, it is difficult for financial planners and investment managers to establish suitable financial tools for their clients. This can then hinder the development of financial plans. Hence, it is crucial to ensure the effectiveness of demographic variables in differentiating the financial risk tolerance of individuals so that the whole process of financial planning and investment decision making can flow smoothly and successfully. Thus the main aim of this paper is to determine whether demographic variables can be used to determine or predict the individuals' financial risk tolerance in Kota Kinabalu. With this the research question derived for this study is as follows:-

**Can demographic variables (gender, age, marital status, income, education, number of financial dependents and occupation) be used as the determinants of financial risk tolerance of an individual?**

### **1.3 Research Questions**

The research question for this study is as follows:-

Can the demographic variables (gender, age, marital status, income, education, number of financial dependents and occupation) be used as the determinants of financial risk tolerance of an individual?

### **1.4 Research Objectives**

The overall objective of this study is to identify whether demographic variables can be used to determine the financial risk tolerance of individuals? The specific objectives of this study are as follows:-

- a. Are men greater risk takers compared to women in financial decision making?

- b. Are younger individuals greater risk takers compared to older individuals in financial decision making?
- c. Are single individuals greater risk takers than married individuals in financial decision making?
- d. Are individuals with higher income greater risk takers than individuals with lower income in financial decision making?
- e. Are individuals with higher educational level greater risk takers compared to individuals with lower educational level in financial decision making?
- f. Are individuals with less number of financial dependents greater risk takers compared to individuals with more number of financial dependents in financial decision making?
- g. Are self-employed individuals greater risk takers than individuals employed by others in financial decision making?

## 1.5 Scope of Study

This study aimed to measure whether demographic characteristics play an important role with risk perception among respondents in Kota Kinabalu while making financial decision. This was a **cross sectional study** in which data was collected just once. The **quantitative study** required the respondents to answer a well structured questionnaire which was used as a tool. The respondents were chosen based on **non-probability sampling method** where **convenient sampling method** was used. Minimum **140 respondents** were required to answer the questionnaire. This was supported by Coakes, Steed and Ong (2010) who claimed that the numbers of respondent should be at least twenty times the number of independent variables. Roscoe (1975) further supported this and stated that the sample size that was greater than 30 and below 500 were appropriate in most research.

Respondents were given questionnaire to measure the risk tolerance that was employed in the study of Grable and Lytton (1999b) in section B. In section A



of the questionnaire, respondents were required to fill in their personal information regarding age, gender, marital status, monthly income, level of education, number of financial dependents as well as occupation.

## **1.6 Significance of the Study**

The significance of this study was to contribute to the body of literature that addressed the issue of demographic variables as the determinant of financial risk tolerance at different geographical area. Up to date, in Kota Kinabalu, limited studies on the effect of demographic variables towards financial risk tolerance were conducted. Hence, a study based on respondents from Kota Kinabalu will contribute to the body of literature.

As mentioned by Badunenko et al. (2009), private households were important suppliers of financial assets for firms or enterprises. They supply firms or companies with financial capital that support the production of firms. If they were very risk averse (low financial risk tolerance) and refuse to invest in risky assets such as bonds and stocks, the overall economy as well as individual firms can be negatively affected due to the lack of capital supplies from the market (Badunenko et al., 2009). Since, Sabah is Malaysia's poorest state as reported in Sabah Development Corridor (2007), this creates a need to investigate the financial risk tolerance in Kota Kinabalu.

Based on Grable et al. (1999b), instrument with strong multidimensionality could provide a better measurement of actual financial risk tolerance attitudes. Therefore, in this study, a multidimensional 13-item instrument from Grable and Lytton (1999b) was used. This study would contribute to the personal financial planning industry in which the results can inform the financial planners which demographic variables can be used in differentiating financial risk tolerance.

According to Sung and Hanna (1996), the study of demographic variables as the determinants of financial risk tolerance was useful and vital to financial planners as well as investment managers. They also agreed that more research on this issue was required. This was because even though there were many previous studies about the effects of demographics on financial risk tolerance, but there were some inconsistent findings for some demographic variables such as age. Improper link between demographics and financial risk tolerance can hinder the whole process and the effectiveness of financial planning due to improper inputs (Grable, 1997). Thus, this study further addressed the effect of demographic variables in financial risk tolerance on respondents who lived in Kota Kinabalu.

## **1.7 Definitions of Key Terms in Study**

### **a. Financial Risk Tolerance (Dependent Variable)**

Financial risk tolerance is defined by Grable and Lytton (1999a) as the highest amount of uncertainty or volatility of investment return that a person is willing to bear when dealing with financial decision making. In this study, the multidimensional financial risk tolerance assessment instrument by Grable et al. (1999b) was used to measure the financial risk tolerance of respondents. Score was given to the answer chosen for each question. For question number 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 13, a score of one to four was assigned to the four choices. A score one to three was used for the question number 4, 5, 9, 10 and 12. The higher the total score for this 13-item, the greater the financial risk tolerance of an individual.

### **b. Demographic Variables (Independent Variable)**

Demographic variables refer to the demographic characteristics of respondents which include gender, age, marital status, income, education, number of financial dependents as well as occupation.

**c. Gender**

Gender of respondents is referred to either male or female.

**d. Age**

Age is referred to as how old is the respondents in years during the time they respond to the survey (which mean in this case is during the year of 2011). Based on Grable (1997), investment managers or financial planners use age as a proxy to examine the ability of investors to recover from financial losses.

**e. Marital Status**

Marital status of respondents is referred to either single or married.

**f. Income**

Income in this study refers to the total earnings per month for the respondents in Ringgit Malaysia.

**g. Education**

Education refers to the level of formal education of a person (Grable et al., 1999a). In this study, the respondents' formal education in terms of number of years is used.

**h. Number of Financial Dependents**

Number of financial dependents refers to the number of people who are fully or partially supported financially by the respondents. This number do not include the respondent himself or herself (Hallahan, Faff, and Mc Kenzie, 2003).



## **i. Occupation**

Occupation as defined by Grable (1997) is the main activity of an individual that result in pay. Occupation is divided into self-employed and employed by others in this study. Grable (1997) further explained that individuals are considered as self-employed if their incomes or earnings come from their own profession, business or trade instead of being paid by an employer in terms of wages or salaries.

## **1.8 Structure of the Study**

This study consisted of five chapters. Chapter 1 provided an overview of this study. In this chapter, some of the research problem, research objectives and scope of study were mentioned. The next chapter was the review of literature that was relevant to this study. In chapter 3, the research methodology employed was explained. Then, the results and the analysis of data were presented in chapter 4. Finally, there were discussion and conclusion of this study in chapter 5.

## REFERENCES

- Anbar, A. & Eker, M. 2010. An empirical investigation for determining of the relation between personal financial risk tolerance and demographic characteristic. *Ege Academic Review*. **10**(2): 503 – 523.
- Badunenko, O., Barasinska, N. & Schafer, D. 2009. Risk attitude and investment decisions across European countries – Are women more conservative investors than men? *Working Paper FINES.D. 6.1*. 8 October. Project funded under the Socio-economic Science and Humanities.
- Bodie, Z., Kane, A. & Marcus, A. J. 2007. *Essentials of investments* (6<sup>th</sup> ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Borghans, L., Golsteyn, B. H. H., Heckman, J. J. & Meijers, H. 2009. Gender differences in risk aversion and ambiguity aversion. *Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) Discussion Paper No. 3985*.
- Brayman, S. (2011). Introducing the 'debt policy statement'. *4E Journal*. **11**(2): 5 – 11.
- Callan, V. J. & Johnson, M. 2002. Some guidelines for financial planners in measuring and advising clients about their levels of risk tolerance. *Journal of Personal Finance*. **1**(1): 31 - 44.
- Chang, C. C., DeVaney, S. A. & Chiremba, S. T. 2004. Determinants of subjective and objective risk tolerance. *Journal of Personal Finance*. **3**(3): 53 – 67.
- Chaulk, B., Johnson, P. J. & Bulcroft, B. 2003. Effects of marriage and children on financial risk tolerance: A synthesis of family development and prospect theory. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*. **24**(3): 257 – 279.
- Chen, S. H. & Tsai, C. H. 2011. Investment preference, risk perception, and portfolio choices under different socio-economic status: Some experimental evidences from individual investors. *Asian Finance Association 2010 Conference*. Hong Kong, China, 29 June – 1 July.

- Coakes, S. J., Steed, L. & Ong, C. 2010. *SPSS: Analysis without anguish version 17,0 for Windows*. Australia: John Wiley and Sons.
- Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G. & Aiken, L. S. 2003. *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Demirel, E. & Gunay, S. G. 2011. Financial risk taking behavior comparison between two different countries based on demographic factors: Turkey and Macedonia case. *Middle Eastern Finance and Economics*. **10**: 111 – 120.
- Dorn, D. & Huberman, G. 2010. Preferred risk habitat of individual investors. *Journal of Financial Economics*. **97**: 155 -173.
- Dwyer, P. D., Gilkeson, J. H. & List, J. A. 2002. Gender differences in revealed risk taking: evidence from mutual fund investors. *Economics Letters*. **76**: 151 – 158.
- Eckel, C. C. & Grossman, P. J. 2002. Sex differences and statistical stereotyping in attitudes toward financial risk. *Evolution and Human Behavior*. **23**: 281-295.
- Faff, R., Hallahan, T. & Mc Kenzie, M. 2009. Nonlinear linkages between financial risk tolerance and demographic characteristics. *Applied Economics Letters*. **16**: 1329 – 1332.
- Grable, J. E. 1997. *Investor risk tolerance: Testing the efficacy of demographics as differentiating and classifying factors*. Ph.D. Thesis. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Grable, J. E. & Joo, S. H. 2004. Environmental and biopsychosocial factors associated with financial risk tolerance. *Financial Counseling and Planning*. **15**(1): 73 – 82.
- Grable, J. E. & Lytton, R. H. 1999a. Assessing financial risk tolerance: Do demographic, socioeconomic, and attitudinal factors work? *Journal of the FRHD/FERM Divisions of AAFCS*.



- Grable, J. E. & Lytton, R. H. 1999b. Financial risk tolerance revisited: The development of a risk assessment instrument. *Financial Services Review*. **8**: 163 – 181.
- Grable, J. E. & Lytton, R. H. 2003. The development of a risk assessment instrument: A follow-up study. *Financial Service Review*. **12**: 257 – 274.
- Hallahan, T., Faff, R. & Mc Kenzie, M. 2003. An exploratory investigation of the relation between risk tolerance scores and demographic characteristics. *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*. **13**: 483 – 502.
- Hallahan, T., Faff, R. & Mc Kenzie, M. 2004. An empirical investigation of personal financial risk tolerance. *Financial Services Review*. **13**: 57 – 78.
- Hariharan, G., Chapman, K. S. & Domian, D. L. 2000. Risk tolerance and asset allocation for investors nearing retirement. *Financial Service Review*. **9**: 159 – 170.
- Harris, C. R., Jenkins, M. & Glaser, D. 2006. Risk attitude and market behavior: Evidence from experimental asset markets. *Judgment and Decision Making*. **1**(1): 48 – 63.
- Holzhauer, H. M. & McLeod, R. W. 2009. Five factor model for measuring financial risk tolerance. *Working Paper*. The University of Alabama. 1 September.
- Hornby, A. S. 2005. *Oxford advanced learner's dictionary* (7<sup>th</sup> ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Jianakoplos, N. A. & Bernasek, A. 1998. Are women more risk averse? *Economic Inquiry*, Vol. XXXVI, 620-630.
- Keown, A. J., Martin, J. D., Petty, J. W. & Scott, D. F. 2005. *Financial management* (10<sup>th</sup> ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Education.
- Lucarelli, C. & Brighetti, G. 2010. Risk tolerance in financial decision making. *Wolpertinger Coference 2010*, 8-12 July, 2010, European Association of University Teachers of Banking and Finance, Bangor University, United Kingdom.

- Powell, M. & Ansic, D. 1997. Gender differences in risk behavior in financial decision-making: An experimental analysis. *Journal of Economic Psychology*. **18**: 605-628.
- Roscoe, J. T. 1975. *Fundamental research statistics for the behavioral sciences* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Roszkowski, M. J. & Grable, J. 2005. Estimating risk tolerance: The degree of accuracy and the paramorphic representations of the estimate. *Financial Counseling and Planning*. **16**(2): 29 - 47.
- Sabah Development Corridor Blueprint 2008 - 2025. 2007. *Chapter 6: Improve income distribution and living standards of the people*. Kota Kinabalu: Institute for Development Studies.
- Sashikala, P. & Ravi, R. S. P. 2010. A study on the effect of demographics on the choice of investments and ability to take risk. *Review of Business and Technology Research*. **3**(1): 1941 – 9414.
- Schubert, R., Gysler, M., Brown, M. & Brachinger, H. W. 2000. Gender specific attitudes towards risk and ambiguity: An experimental investigation. *Working Paper*. Center for Economic Research, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich.
- Sung, J. & Hanna, S. 1996. Factors related to risk tolerance. *Financial Counseling and Planning*. **7**: 11 – 19.
- Yao, R., Gutter, M. S. & Hanna, S. D. 2005. The financial risk tolerance of Blacks, Hispanics and Whites. *Financial Counseling and Planning*. **16**(1): 51 – 62.
- Zhong, L. X. & Xiao, J. J. 1995. Determinants of family and stock holdings. *Financial Counseling and Planning*. **6**: 1 – 8.